MRS Background Information

DIRECTIONS: Record the background information below for the MRS to be evaluated. Much of this information is available from Service and DoD databases. If the MRS is located on a FUDS property, the suitable FUDS property information should be substituted. In the MRS Summary, briefly describe the UXO, DMM, or MC that are known or suspected to be present, the exposure setting (the MRS's physical environment), any other incidental non-munitions-related contaminants (e.g., benzene, trichloroethylene) found at the MRS, and any potentially exposed human and ecological receptors. If possible, include a map of the MRS.

Con Inst Loc	nponent: Active allation/Property ation (City, Coun	Name: West Po nty, State): West	oint Military Point Military	Reserva	vation ation, Orange County,	New York EA UNDEVELOPED	
Poin	Information Entered t of Contact (Name/lot Phase (check only one):	Phone): DAYDAH		20) 674-2	2269		
	□ PA	□ SI	X RI		☐ FS	□ RD	
	□ RA-C	□RIP	□ RA-O		□ RC	□ LTM	
Med	lia Evaluated (chec	k all that apply):					_
	☐ Groundwater			□ Se	diment (human recept	tor)	
	X □ Surface soil			☐ Su	ırface Water (ecologica	al receptor)	
	☐ Sediment (ecol	logical receptor)		☐ Su	ırface Water (human r	eceptor)	

MRS Summary:

RC Date is 2027 11 15

Documents used throughout this MRSPP include the following:

- Remedial Investigation (RI), Dated October 2014.
- Decision Document (DD), Dated November 2018.

WSTPT-010-R-02 is Underway in an FS. WSTPT-010-R-02 encompasses 11 acres and was delineated out from WSTPT-010-R-01 (13 acres) after the RI (DD, Section 2.5.2.2.4, Page 18) & (RI, Page ES-1) & (RI, Page ES-9).

WSTPT-010-R-02 is identified as the "Undeveloped Portion/Area" in the reference documents.

The dense clustering of MEC and MD recovered during the intrusive investigation suggests the MRS was used as a former range and target area (RI, Page ES-4).

WSTPT-010-R-02 is recommended to proceed to an FS after the RI (DD, Table 2-3, Page 62(actual)) & (RI, Page ES-9).

Munitions Known or Suspected at the MRS: 3" Stokes Mortars, 8" Smoothebore Navy Shell (RI, Page ES-4) & (DD, Figure 2-19, Page 95(actual)); 37mm projectile (RI, Page ES-2).

The human health and ecological risk assessments indicated that the presence of MC is not a concern for potential unacceptable risks to human health. The risk for exposure to MC chemicals of potential ecological concern for ecological receptors at the MRS is considered to be minimal (RI, Page ES-5).

CHE Rated as NKSH: Per the RI, Pages ES-2 and ES-4, only conventional munitions were used. There is no historical use of CWM at the MRS and no documentation of CWM use was found during the review of historical documents of WSTPT-010-R-02.

HHE Rated as NKSH: The MC analytical results indicated that 10 metals and one explosive compound. were detected in soil samples collected from the MRS. However, the detections of 2-amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene were below its human health and ecological screening benchmarks. The concentrations of metals were either within the rural background range of concentrations for human and ecological health, or did not exceed screening benchmarks. The pathways for human and ecological receptors to contact MC are considered incomplete because no potential MC sources, and thus no potential risk, are known to exist within the 11-acre undeveloped portion of the MRS (RI, Page ES-7).

Table A Continued

Stakeholder Involvement - TBD

Description of Pathways for Human and Ecological Receptors: The pathways for surface MEC are complete in the surface soils (RI, Page ES-4).

The pathways for human and ecological receptors to contact MC in soil are considered incomplete (RI, Page ES-5).

Description of Receptors (Human and Ecological): West Point residents (adults and children), contractor personnel, installation personnel, and site visitors (RI, Section 2.1, Page 2-2).

There are no ecological resources within the MRS (RI, Section 1.3.7.1/2, Page 1-7).

EHE Module: Munitions Type Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are 11 classifications of munitions and their descriptions. Circle the scores that correspond with <u>all</u> the munitions types known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Note: The terms *practice munitions, small arms ammunition, physical evidence,* and *historical evidence* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Sensitive	 UXO that are considered most likely to function upon any interaction with exposed persons (e.g., submunitions, 40mm high-explosive [HE] grenades, white phosphorus [WP] munitions, high-explosive antitank [HEAT] munitions, and practice munitions with sensitive fuzes, but excluding all other practice munitions). Hand grenades containing energetic filler. Bulk primary explosives, or mixtures of these with environmental media, such that the mixture 	30
High explosive (used or damaged)	 poses an explosive hazard. UXO containing a high-explosive filler (e.g., RDX, Composition B), that are not considered "sensitive." DMM containing a high-explosive filler that have: Been damaged by burning or detonation Deteriorated to the point of instability. 	25
Pyrotechnic (used or damaged)	 UXO containing a pyrotechnic filler other than white phosphorus (e.g., flares, signals, simulators, smoke grenades). DMM containing a pyrotechnic filler other than white phosphorus (e.g., flares, signals, simulators, smoke grenades) that have: Been damaged by burning or detonation Deteriorated to the point of instability. 	20
High explosive (unused)	 DMM containing a high-explosive filler that: Have not been damaged by burning or detonation Are not deteriorated to the point of instability. 	15
Propellant	 UXO containing mostly single-, double-, or triple-based propellant, or composite propellants (e.g., a rocket motor). DMM containing mostly single-, double-, or triple-based propellant, or composite propellants (e.g., a rocket motor) that are: Damaged by burning or detonation Deteriorated to the point of instability. 	15
Bulk secondary high explosives, pyrotechnics, or propellant	 DMM containing mostly single-, double-, or triple-based propellant, or composite propellants (e.g., a rocket motor). DMM that are bulk secondary high explosives, pyrotechnic compositions, or propellant (not contained in a munition), or mixtures of these with environmental media such that the mixture poses an explosive hazard. 	10
Pyrotechnic (not used or damaged)	 DMM containing a pyrotechnic filler (i.e., red phosphorus), other than white phosphorus filler, that: Have not been damaged by burning or detonation Are not deteriorated to the point of instability. 	10
Practice	 UXO that are practice munitions that are not associated with a sensitive fuze. DMM that are practice munitions that are not associated with a sensitive fuze and that have not: Been damaged by burning or detonation Deteriorated to the point of instability. 	5
Riot control	UXO or DMM containing a riot control agent filler (e.g., tear gas).	3
Small arms	 Used munitions or DMM that are categorized as small arms ammunition. (Physical evidence or historical evidence that no other types of munitions [e.g., grenades, subcaliber training rockets, demolition charges] were used or are present on the MRS is required for selection of this category.) 	2
Evidence of no munitions	Following investigation of the MRS, there is physical evidence that there are no UXO or DMM present, or there is historical evidence indicating that no UXO or DMM are present.	0
MUNITIONS TYPE	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 30).	25

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the Munitions Type classifications

Munitions Known or Suspected at the MRS: 3" Stokes Mortars, 8" Smoothebore Navy Shell (RI, Page ES-4) & (DD, Figure 2-19, Page 95(actual)); 37mm projectile (RI, Page ES-2).

EHE Module: Source of Hazard Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are 11 classifications describing sources of explosive hazards. Circle the scores that correspond with <u>all</u> the sources of explosive hazards known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Note: The terms *former range, practice munitions, small arms range, physical evidence,* and *historical evidence* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Former range	The MRS is a former military range where munitions (including practice munitions with sensitive fuzes) have been used. Such areas include impact or target areas and associated buffer and safety zones.	10
Former munitions treatment (i.e., OB/OD) unit	The MRS is a location where UXO or DMM (e.g., munitions, bulk explosives, bulk pyrotechnic, or bulk propellants) were burned or detonated for the purpose of treatment prior to disposal.	8
Former practice munitions range	The MRS is a former military range on which only practice munitions without sensitive fuzes were used.	6
Former maneuver area	The MRS is a former maneuver area where no munitions other than flares, simulators, smokes, and blanks were used. There must be evidence that no other munitions were used at the location to place an MRS into this category.	5
Former burial pit or other disposal area	The MRS is a location where DMM were buried or disposed of (e.g., disposed of into a water body) without prior thermal treatment.	5
Former industrial operating facilities	The MRS is a location that is a former munitions maintenance, manufacturing, or demilitarization facility.	4
Former firing points	The MRS is a firing point, where the firing point is delineated as an MRS separate from the rest of a former military range.	4
Former missile or air defense artillery emplacements	The MRS is a former missile defense or air defense artillery (ADA) emplacement not associated with a military range.	2
Former storage or transfer points	The MRS is a location where munitions were stored or handled for transfer between different modes of transportation (e.g., rail to truck, truck to weapon system).	2
Former small arms range	• The MRS is a former military range where only small arms ammunition was used. (There must be evidence that no other types of munitions [e.g., grenades] were used or are present to place an MRS into this category.)	1
Evidence of no munitions	Following investigation of the MRS, there is physical evidence that no UXO or DMM are present, or there is historical evidence indicating that no UXO or DMM are present.	0
SOURCE OF HAZARD	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 10).	10

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the **Source of Hazard** classifications in the space provided.

The MRS was used as a former range and target area (RI, Page ES-4).

EHE Module: Location of Munitions Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are eight classifications of munitions locations and their descriptions. Circle the scores that correspond with <u>all</u> the locations where munitions are known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Note: The terms confirmed, surface, subsurface, small arms ammunition, physical evidence, and historical evidence are

defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Confirmed surface	 Physical evidence indicates that there are UXO or DMM on the surface of the MRS. Historical evidence (i.e., a confirmed report such as an explosive ordnance disposal [EOD], police, or fire department report that an incident or accident that involved UXO or DMM occurred) indicates there are UXO or DMM on the surface of the MRS. 	25
Confirmed subsurface, active	 Physical evidence indicates the presence of UXO or DMM in the subsurface of the MRS, and the geological conditions at the MRS are likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena (e.g., drought, flooding, erosion, frost heave, tidal action), or intrusive activities (e.g., plowing, construction, dredging) at the MRS are likely to expose UXO or DMM. Historical evidence indicates that UXO or DMM are located in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena (e.g., drought, flooding, erosion, frost heave, tidal action), or intrusive activities (e.g., plowing, construction, dredging) at the MRS are likely to expose UXO or DMM. 	20
Confirmed subsurface, stable	 Physical evidence indicates the presence of UXO or DMM in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena, or intrusive activities at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed. Historical evidence indicates that UXO or DMM are located in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena, or intrusive activities at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed. 	15
Suspected (physical evidence)	 There is physical evidence (e.g., munitions debris such as fragments, penetrators, projectiles, shell casings, links, fins), other than the documented presence of UXO or DMM, indicating that UXO or DMM may be present at the MRS. 	10
Suspected (historical evidence)	There is historical evidence indicating that UXO or DMM may be present at the MRS.	5
Subsurface, physical constraint	 There is physical or historical evidence indicating that UXO or DMM may be present in the subsurface, but there is a physical constraint (e.g., pavement, water depth over 120 feet) preventing direct access to the UXO or DMM. 	2
Small arms (regardless of location)	The presence of small arms ammunition is confirmed or suspected, regardless of other factors such as geological stability. (There must be evidence that no other types of munitions [e.g., grenades] were used or are present at the MRS to place an MRS into this category.)	1
Evidence of no munitions	Following investigation of the MRS, there is physical evidence that there are no UXO or DMM present, or there is historical evidence indicating that no UXO or DMM are present.	0
LOCATION OF MUNITIONS	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 25).	20

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Location of Munitions* classifications

MEC was recovered in the sub-surface (RI, Page ES-7).

MD was recovered (DD, Figure 2-19, Page 95(actual)).

Frost heave and erosion are a concern at the MRS (RI, Section 7.1, Page 7-2/3

EHE Module: Ease of Access Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are four classifications of barrier types that can surround an MRS and their descriptions. The barrier type is directly related to the ease of public access to the MRS. Circle the score that corresponds

with the ease of access to the MRS.

Note: The term *barrier* is defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
No barrier	There is no barrier preventing access to any part of the MRS (i.e., all parts of the MRS are accessible).	10
Barrier to MRS access is incomplete	There is a barrier preventing access to parts of the MRS, but not the entire MRS.	8
Barrier to MRS access is complete but not monitored	There is a barrier preventing access to all parts of the MRS, but there is no surveillance (e.g., by a guard) to ensure that the barrier is effectively preventing access to all parts of the MRS.	5
Barrier to MRS access is complete and monitored	There is a barrier preventing access to all parts of the MRS, and there is active, continual surveillance (e.g., by a guard, video monitoring) to ensure that the barrier is effectively preventing access to all parts of the MRS.	0
EASE OF ACCESS	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 10).	10

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Ease of Access* classification in the space provided.

There is no barrier to the MRS (RI, Section 7.1, Page 7-2).

EHE Module: Status of Property Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are three classifications of the status of a property within the Department of Defense (DoD) and their descriptions. Circle the score that corresponds with the status of property at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Score
Non-DoD control	 The MRS is at a location that is no longer owned by, leased to, or otherwise possessed or used by DoD. Examples are privately owned land or water bodies; land or water bodies owned or controlled by state, tribal, or local governments; and land or water bodies managed by other federal agencies. The MRS is at a location that is owned by DoD, but that DoD has leased to another entity and for which DoD does not control access 24 hours per day. 	5
Scheduled for transfer from DoD control	The MRS is on land or is a water body that is owned, leased, or otherwise possessed by DoD, and DoD plans to transfer that land or water body to the control of another entity (e.g., a state, tribal, or local government; a private party; another federal agency) within 3 years from the date the Protocol is applied.	3
DoD control	The MRS is on land or is a water body that is owned, leased, or otherwise possessed by DoD. With respect to property that is leased or otherwise possessed, DoD must control access to the MRS 24 hours per day, every day of the calendar year.	0
STATUS OF PROPERTY	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	0

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the **Status of Property** classification in the space provided.

The MRS is under DoD control (DD, Section 1.1, Page 1).

EHE Module: Population Density Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are three classifications for population density and their descriptions. Determine the population density per square mile that most closely corresponds with the population of the MRS, including the area within a two-mile radius of the MRS's perimeter. Circle the most appropriate score.

Note: Use the U.S. Census Bureau tract data available to capture the <u>highest</u> population density within a two-mile radius of the perimeter of the MRS.

Classification	Description	Score
> 500 persons per square mile	There are more than 500 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located.	5
100–500 persons per square mile	There are 100 to 500 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located.	3
< 100 persons per square mile	There are fewer than 100 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located.	1
POPULATION DENSITY	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	3

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Population Density* classification in the space provided.

The MRS is in Orange County. The towns of Cold Spring, Highland Falls, and Garrison are within two miles of the MRS boundary, but they are not listed in census.gov

There are 494 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located in Orange County; and 398 at West Point.

https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/westpointcdpnewyork,orangecountynewyork,putnamcountynewyork/PST045223PST045223

EHE Module: Population Near Hazard Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are six classifications describing the number of inhabited structures near the MRS. The number of inhabited buildings relates to the potential population near the MRS. Determine the number of inhabited

structures within two miles of the MRS boundary and circle the score that corresponds with the number

of inhabited structures.

Note: The term *inhabited structures* is defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
26 or more inhabited structures	There are 26 or more inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	5
16 to 25 inhabited structures	There are 16 to 25 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	4
11 to 15 inhabited structures	There are 11 to 15 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	3
6 to 10 inhabited structures	There are 6 to 10 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	2
1 to 5 inhabited structures	There are 1 to 5 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	1
0 inhabited structures	There are no inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	0
POPULATION NEAR HAZARD	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	5

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the Population Near Hazard

There are well over 26 inhabited structures located within 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS (RI, Figure 1-2, Page 39(actual)).

https://earth.google.com/web/search/USMA+Prep+School+(USMAPS),+West+Point,+NY/@41.39485468,-73.97940872,171.24141166a,2040.52321357d,35y,0h,0t,0r/data=CiwiJgokCWF_NeZrs0RAETNSIZ6TsURAGQ31autCfVLAle4T1OjBf1LAQgIIAUICCABKDQj_8BEAA

EHE Module: Types of Activities/Structures Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are five classifications of activities and/or inhabited structures and their descriptions. Review the

types of activities that occur and/or structures that are present within two miles of the MRS and circle the

scores that correspond with <u>all</u> the activities/structure classifications at the MRS.

Note: The term *inhabited structure* is defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Residential, educational, commercial, or subsistence	Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with any of the following purposes: residential, educational, child care, critical assets (e.g., hospitals, fire and rescue, police stations, dams), hotels, commercial, shopping centers, playgrounds, community gathering areas, religious sites, or sites used for subsistence hunting, fishing, and gathering.	5
Parks and recreational areas	Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with parks, nature preserves, or other recreational uses.	4
Agricultural, forestry	Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with agriculture or forestry.	3
Industrial or warehousing	Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with industrial activities or warehousing.	2
No known or recurring activities	There are no known or recurring activities occurring up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary.	1
TYPES OF ACTIVITIES/STRUCTURES	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	5

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Types of Activities/Structures* classifications

The MRS is located in the Community Support Zone and is highly-developed with residential housing (DD, Section 2.6.2.4, Page 24).

There are residences and recreational activities within two miles of the MRS boundary (RI, Figure 1-2, Page 39(actual)).

https://earth.google.com/web/search/USMA+Prep+School+(USMAPS),+West+Point,+NY/ @41.39485468,-73.97940872,171.24141166a,2040.52321357d,35y,0h,0t,0r/ data=CiwiJgokCWF_NeZrs0RAETNSIZ6TsURAGQ31autCfVLAIe4T10jBf1LAQgIIAUICCABKDQj______8BEAA

EHE Module: Ecological and/or Cultural Resources Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are four classifications of ecological and/or cultural resources and their descriptions. Review the

types of resources present and circle the score that corresponds with the ecological and/or cultural

resources present on the MRS.

Note: The terms ecological resources and cultural resources are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Ecological and cultural resources present	There are both ecological and cultural resources present on the MRS.	5
Ecological resources present	There are ecological resources present on the MRS.	3
Cultural resources present	There are cultural resources present on the MRS.	3
No ecological or cultural resources present	There are no ecological resources or cultural resources present on the MRS.	0
ECOLOGICAL AND/OR CULTURAL RESOURCES	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	0

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Ecological and/or Cultural Resources*

The MRS does not contain cultural and archaeological resources (DD, Section 2.5.1.5, Page 11).

There are no ecological resources within the MRS (RI, Section 1.3.7.1/2, Page 1-7).

Table 10
Determining the EHE Module Rating

DIRECTIONS:

- From Tables 1–9, record the data element scores in the Score boxes to the right.
- Add the **Score** boxes for each of the three factors and record this number in the **Value** boxes to the right.
- Add the three Value boxes and record this number in the EHE Module Total box below.
- 4. Circle the appropriate range for the **EHE Module Total** below.
- 5. Circle the **EHE Module Rating** that corresponds to the range selected and record this value in the **EHE Module Rating** box found at the bottom of the table.

Note:

An alternative module rating may be assigned when a module letter rating is inappropriate. An alternative module rating is used when more information is needed to score one or more data elements, contamination at an MRS was previously addressed, or there is no reason to suspect contamination was ever present at an MRS.

	Source	Score	Value	
Explosive Hazard Factor Data El	ements			
Munitions Type	Table 1	25	35	
Source of Hazard	Table 2	10	33	
Accessibility Factor Data Elemen	nts			
Location of Munitions	Table 3	20		
Ease of Access	Table 4	10	30	
Status of Property	Table 5	0		
Receptor Factor Data Elements	_			
Population Density	Table 6	3		
Population Near Hazard	Table 7	5	13	
Types of Activities/Structures	Table 8	5	13	
Ecological and/or Cultural Resources	Table 9	0		
EHE	MODULE	E TOTAL	78	
EHE Module Total		TOTAL Module R		
EHE Module Total		Module R		
EHE Module Total 92 to 100		Module R		
EHE Module Total 92 to 100 82 to 91		Module R A B		
## EHE Module Total 92 to 100 82 to 91 71 to 81		Module R A B		
### EHE Module Total 92 to 100 82 to 91 71 to 81 60 to 70		Module R A B C		
### EHE Module Total 92 to 100		Module R A B C D		
### STATE ST	EHE	Module R A B C D E	ating	
### STATE ST	EHE	Module R A B C D E F G	ating	
## EHE Module Total 92 to 100	EVA No I	A B C D E F G alluation Pend	ating ding ired pected	

CHE Module: CWM Configuration Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are seven classifications of CWM configuration and their descriptions. Circle the scores that correspond with <u>all</u> the CWM configurations known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Note: The terms CWM/UXO, CWM/DMM, physical evidence, and historical evidence are defined in Appendix C of the

Primer.

Classification	Description	Score			
CWM, that are either UXO, or explosively configured damaged DMM	 The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are: CWM that are UXO (i.e., CWM/UXO) Explosively configured CWM that are DMM (i.e., CWM/DMM) that have been damaged. 	30			
CWM mixed with UXO	d with UXO The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are undamaged CWM/DMM or CWM not configured as a munition that are commingled with conventional munitions that are UXO.				
CWM, explosive configuration that are undamaged DMM	The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are explosively configured CWM/DMM that have not been damaged.	20			
CWM/DMM, not explosively configured or CWM, bulk container	 The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are: Nonexplosively configured CWM/DMM either damaged or undamaged Bulk CWM (e.g., ton container). 	15			
CAIS K941 and CAIS K942	* The CWM/DMM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are CAIS K941-toxic gas set M-1 or CAIS K942-toxic gas set M-2/E11.				
CAIS (chemical agent identification sets)	CAIS, other than CAIS K941 and K942, are known or suspected of being present at the MRS.	10			
Evidence of no CWM	 Following investigation, the physical evidence indicates that CWM are not present at the MRS, or the historical evidence indicates that CWM are not present at the MRS. 	0			
CWM CONFIGURATION	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 30).	0			

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the **CWM Configuration** classifications

Per the RI, Pages ES-2 and ES-4, only conventional munitions were used. There is no historical use of CWM at the MRS and no documentation of CWM use was found during the review of historical documents of WSTPT-010-R-02.

IAW Army Guidance, Tables 12-19 have been omitted.

Table 20 Determining the CHE Module Rating

DIRECTIONS:

- From Tables 11–19, record the data element scores in the Score boxes to the right.
- 2. Add the **Score** boxes for each of the three factors and record this number in the **Value** boxes to the right.
- Add the three Value boxes and record this number in the CHE Module Total box below.
- 4. Circle the appropriate range for the **CHE Module Total** below.
- 5. Circle the **CHE Module Rating** that corresponds to the range selected and record this value in the **CHE Module Rating** box found at the bottom of the table.

Note:

An alternative module rating may be assigned when a module letter rating is inappropriate. An alternative module rating is used when more information is needed to score one or more data elements, contamination at an MRS was previously addressed, or there is no reason to suspect contamination was ever present at an MRS.

	Source	Score	Value
CWM Hazard Factor Data Elemen	nts		
CWM Configuration	Table 11	0	0
Sources of CWM	Table 12		0
Accessibility Factor Data Elemer	nts		
Location of CWM	Table 13		
Ease of Access	Table 14		
Status of Property	Table 15		
Receptor Factor Data Elements			
Population Density	Table 16		
Population Near Hazard	Table 17		
Types of Activities/Structures	Table 18		
Ecological and/or Cultural Resources	Table 19		
CHE	MODULE	TOTAL	0
CHE Module Total	CHE	Module R	ating
92 to 100		Α	
82 to 91		В	
71 to 81		С	
60 to 70		D	
48 to 59		Е	
38 to 47		F	
less than 38		G	
	Eva	luation Pen	ding
Alternative Module Ratings	No L	₋onger Requ	uired
		wn or Sus WM Haza	•
CHE MODULE RATING	No Kno	wn or Su WM Haza	spected

HHE Module: Groundwater Data Element Table

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the maximum concentrations of all contaminants in the MRS's groundwater and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional groundwater contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard present in the groundwater, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (μg/L)	Comparison Value (μg/L)	Ratios		
	_				
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios			
CHF > 100	H (High)	- [Maximum Concentration of Co	ntaminant		
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)	CHF = [Maximum Concentration of Co	mammam,		
? > CHF	L (Low)	[Comparison Value for Contain	nınantj		
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value (maximum value = H).	e from above in the box to the right			
DIRECTIONS: Circle t	·	vay Factor o the groundwater migratory pathway at the Necription	IRS. Value		
		that contamination in the groundwater is present at,	H		
Evident	moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.				
Potential	Contamination in groundwater has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.				
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the groundwater to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).				
MIGRATORY		hest value from above in the box to the			
PATHWAY FACTOR	right (maximum value :	= H).			
DIRECTIONS: Circle t	Receptor F the value that corresponds most closely to	actor o the groundwater receptors at the MRS.			
Classification	Des	scription	Value		
dentified	There is a threatened water supply well downgradient of the source and the groundwater is a current source of drinking water or source of water for other beneficial uses such as irrigation/agriculture (equivalent to Class I or IIA aquifer).				
D - 4 4! - !	There is no threatened water supply well downgradient of the source and the groundwater is currently or potentially usable for drinking water, irrigation, or agriculture (equivalent to Class I, IIA, or IIB				
Potential	or potentially usable for drinking water, irrigation aquifer).	, or agriculture (equivalent to elace 1, 111 t, or 112			
	aquifer). There is no potentially threatened water supply v	well downgradient of the source and the groundwater water and is of limited beneficial use (equivalent to	L		
Potential Limited RECEPTOR FACTOR	aquifer). There is no potentially threatened water supply vis not considered a potential source of drinking visuals IIIA or IIIB aquifer, or where perched aquifer.	well downgradient of the source and the groundwater water and is of limited beneficial use (equivalent to fer exists only). hest value from above in the box to the	L		

There is no site-specific groundwater information available because no groundwater monitoring wells were installed as part of previous investigations of the MRS (DD, Section 2.5.1.4.2, Page 11). Site-specific groundwater investigations were not conducted for the MRS (RI, Section 1.3.6.2, Page 1-7).

HHE Module: Surface Water - Human Endpoint Data Element Table

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the maximum concentrations of all contaminants in the MRS's surface water and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional surface water contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with human endpoints present in the surface water, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (μg/L)	Comparison Value (μg/L)	Ratios
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	
CHF > 100	H (High)	- IMaximum Concentration of Co	ontaminantl
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)	CHF = [Maximum Concentration of Co	i d
2 > CHF	L (Low)	[Comparison Value for Conta	minant]
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value (maximum value = H).	from above in the box to the right	
DIRECTIONS: Circle to	Migratory Pathw he value that corresponds most closely to	ay Factor the surface water migratory pathway at the	MRS.
Classification		ription	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates t moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposu	Н	
Potential	Contamination in surface water has moved only si move but is not moving appreciably, or information or Confined.	М	
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the surface water to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).		
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).		
DIRECTIONS: Circle to	Receptor Fa		
Classification		ription	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.		
Potential	Potential for receptors to have access to surface was move.	М	
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access or can move.	to surface water to which contamination has moved	L
RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single high the right (maximum value)		
	No Known or Suspected Sur	face Water (Human Endpoint) MC Hazard	

Media not sampled.

There are no surface water bodies within the MRS (RI, Section 5.3, Page 5-13).

HHE Module: Sediment – Human Endpoint Data Element Table

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the **maximum concentrations** of all contaminants in the MRS's sediment and their **comparison** values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional sediment contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with human endpoints present in the sediment, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (mg/kg)	Comparison Value (mg/kg)	Ratios
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	
CHF > 100	H (High)	— Maximum Cancentration of Co	ontominant1
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)	CHF = [Maximum Concentration of Co	ontariinantj
2 > CHF	L (Low)	[Comparison Value for Conta	minant]
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value maximum value = H).	from above in the box to the right	
DIRECTIONS: Circle to	Migratory Pathw he value that corresponds most closely to	vay Factor to the sediment migratory pathway at the MRS	S.
Classification	Des	cription	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the sediment is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.		
Potential	Contamination in sediment has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.		
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the sediment to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).		
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single high right (maximum value =	nest value from above in the box to the = H).	
	Receptor F	actor	
DIRECTIONS: Circle to	he value that corresponds most closely to		
Classification	Des	cription	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to sediment to v	which contamination has moved or can move.	Н
Potential	Potential for receptors to have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.		
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access can move.	to sediment to which contamination has moved or	L
RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single high the right (maximum val		
	No Known or Suspecte	d Sediment (Human Endpoint) MC Hazard	

Media not sampled.

There are no surface water bodies within the MRS; therefore there is no sediment source (DD, Section 2.5.1.4.1, Page 11).

HHE Module: Surface Water - Ecological Endpoint Data Element Table

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the **maximum concentrations** of all contaminants in the MRS's surface water and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional surface water contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with ecological endpoints present in the surface water, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (μg/L) Comparison Value (μg/L)				
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum the Ratios			
CHF > 100	H (High)	— [Maximum Concentration of Co	ontaminant]		
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)	CHF = [Maximum Concentration of Co	ntariiriaritj		
2 > CHF	L (Low)	[Comparison Value for Conta	minantj		
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value (maximum value = H).	from above in the box to the right			
DIRECTIONS: Circle to	Migratory Pathw he value that corresponds most closely to	vay Factor the surface water migratory pathway at the	MRS.		
Classification		cription	Value		
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the surface water is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.				
Potential	Contamination in surface water has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.				
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the surface water to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).				
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single high right (maximum value =	hest value from above in the box to the = H).			
DIRECTIONS: Circle to	Receptor Face that corresponds most closely to	actor the surface water receptors at the MRS.			
Classification		cription	Value		
Identified	Identified receptors have access to surface water	r to which contamination has moved or can move.	Н		
Potential	Potential for receptors to have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.				
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access or can move.	to surface water to which contamination has moved	L		
RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single high right (maximum value =	nest value from above in the box to the = H).			
	No Known or Suspected Surfac	ce Water (Ecological Endpoint) MC Hazard			

Media not sampled.

There are no surface water bodies within the MRS (RI, Section 5.3, Page 5-13).

HHE Module: Sediment - Ecological Endpoint Data Element Table

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the **maximum concentrations** of all contaminants in the MRS's sediment and their **comparison** values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional sediment contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard

with ecological endpoints present in the sediment, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (mg/kg) Comparison Value (mg/kg)			
	g,	companies i alias (ingling)	Ratios	
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum the Ratios		
CHF > 100	H (High)	[Maximum Concentration of Co	ntaminantl	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)			
2 > CHF	L (Low)	[Comparison Value for Conta	minantj	
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value (maximum value = H).			
DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the sediment migratory pathway at the MRS Classification Description				
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the sediment is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.			
Potential	Contamination in sediment has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.			
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the sediment to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).			
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR		thest value from above in the box to the		
DIRECTIONS: Circle the	Receptor I			
Classification	De	scription	Value	
Identified	Identified receptors have access to sediment to	·	Н	
Potential	Potential for receptors to have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.			
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.			
RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single high right (maximum value	hest value from above in the box to the = H).		
	No Known or Suspected	Sediment (Ecological Endpoint) MC Hazard		

Media not sampled.

There are no surface water bodies within the MRS; therefore there is no sediment source (DD, Section 2.5.1.4.1, Page 11).

HHE Module: Surface Soil Data Element Table

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the **maximum concentrations** of all contaminants in the MRS's surface soil and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional surface soil contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard present in the surface soil, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (mg/kg)	Comparison Value (mg/kg)	Ratio
2-Amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene	15	150	.100
CADMIUM	.25	70	.004
CHROMIUM	12	1600	.008
COBALT	3.9	23	.170
COPPER	10	3100	.003
		TOTAL FROM TABLE 27	.456
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum the Ratios	.741
CHF > 100	H (High)	[Maximum Concentration of Co	ntominant]
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)	CHF = [Maximum Concentration of Co	ntammantj
2 > CHF	L (Low)	[Comparison Value for Conta	minant]
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value (maximum value = H		L
	·	to the surface soil migratory pathway at the Mescription	
Classification		escription	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the surface soil is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.		
Potential	Contamination in surface soil has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.		
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the surface soil to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).		
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).		
	·	to the surface soil receptors at the MRS.	
Classification		escription	Value
Identified	identified receptors have access to surface so	il to which contamination has moved or can move.	Н
Potential	Potential for receptors to have access to surfa	ce soil to which contamination has moved or can move.	М
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to surface soil to which contamination has moved or can move.		
RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single hi right (maximum value	ghest value from above in the box to the e = H).	М
	No K	Cnown or Suspected Surface Soil MC Hazard	

Sampling Data can be found in the DD, Appendix C Table, Page 119(actual)(Page 3 of 4, left side). In the DD, See Figure 2-19, Page 95(actual) for sampling locations (SS21, SS22, SS23, SS24, SS25) that pertain to the MRS.

HHE Module: Supplemental Contaminant Hazard Factor Table

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Only use this table if there are more than five contaminants in any given medium present at the MRS. This is a supplemental table designed to hold information about contaminants that do not fit in the previous tables. Indicate the media in which these contaminants are present. Then record all contaminants, their maximum concentrations and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Calculate and record the ratio for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF for each medium on the appropriate media-specific tables.

Note: Do not add ratios from different media.

Media	Contaminant	Maximum Concentration	Comparison Value	Ratio
SOIL	LEAD	85	400	.213
SOIL	MANGANESE	250	1800	.139
SOIL	NICKEL	8.3	1500	.006
SOIL	TITANIUM	360	100000	.004
SOIL	VANADIUM	36	390	.092
SOIL	ZINC	43	23000	.002
			TOTAL SOIL THIS PAGE	.456

Determining the HHE Module Rating

DIRECTIONS:

- 1. Record the letter values (H, M, L) for the **Contaminant Hazard**, **Migration Pathway**, and **Receptor Factors** for the media (from Tables 21–26) in the corresponding boxes below.
- 2. Record the media's three-letter combinations in the **Three-Letter Combination** boxes below (three-letter combinations are arranged from Hs to Ms to Ls).
- 3. Using the **HHE Ratings** provided below, determine each media's rating (A–G) and record the letter in the corresponding **Media Rating** box below.

Media (Source)	Contaminant Hazard Factor Value	Migratory Pathway Factor Value	Receptor Factor Value	Three-Letter Combination (Hs-Ms-Ls)	Media Rating (A-G)
Groundwater (Table 21)					
Surface Water/Human Endpoint (Table 22)					
Sediment/Human Endpoint (Table 23)					
Surface Water/Ecological Endpoint (Table 24)					
Sediment/Ecological Endpoint (Table 25)					
Surface Soil (Table 26)	L	М	М	MML	Е

DIRECTIONS (cont.):

4. Select the single highest Media Rating (A is highest; G is lowest) and enter the letter in the **HHE Module Rating** box.

Note:

An alternative module rating may be assigned when a module letter rating is inappropriate. An alternative module rating is used when more information is needed to score one or more media, contamination at an MRS was previously addressed, or there is no reason to suspect contamination was ever present at an MRS.

HHE MODULE RATING

HHE Ratings (for reference only)

Combination	Rating	
ННН	Α	
ННМ	В	
HHL	•	
НММ	С	
HML	6	
MMM	D	
HLL	L	
MML	E	
MLL	F	
LLL	G	
	Evaluation Pending	
Alternative Module Ratings	No Longer Required	
J	No Known or Suspected MC Hazard	

The MC analytical results indicated that 10 metals and one explosive compound, were detected in soil samples collected from the MRS. However, the detections of 2-amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene were below its human health and ecological screening benchmarks. The concentrations of metals were either within the rural background range of concentrations for human and ecological health, or did not exceed screening benchmarks. The pathways for human and ecological receptors to contact MC are considered incomplete because no potential MC sources, and thus no potential risk, are known to exist within the MRS (RI, Section 8.2.1.1, Page 8-6).

Table 29 **MRS Priority**

DIRECTIONS: In the chart below, circle the letter rating for each module recorded in Table 10 (EHE), Table 20 (CHE), and Table 28 (HHE). Circle the corresponding numerical priority for each module. If information to determine the module rating is not available, choose the appropriate alternative module rating. The MRS Priority is the single highest priority; record this relative priority in the MRS Priority or Alternative MRS **Rating** at the bottom of the table.

Note: An MRS assigned Priority 1 has the highest relative priority; an MRS assigned Priority 8 has the lowest relative priority. Only an MRS with CWM known or suspected to be present can be assigned Priority 1; an MRS that has CWM known or suspected to be present cannot be assigned Priority 8.

EHE Rating	Priority	CHE Rating	Priority	HHE Rating	Priority
		Α	1		
А	2	В	2	Α	2
В	3	С	3	В	3
С	4	D	4	С	4
D	5	Е	5	D	5
E	6	F	6	E	6
F	7	G	7	F	7
G	8			G	8
Evaluation	Pending	Evaluation Pending		Evaluation Pending	
No Longer	Required	No Longer Required		No Longer Required	
No Known o Explosive			wn or Suspected WM Hazard No Known or Suspected MC Hazard		•
М	MRS PRIORITY or ALTERNATIVE MRS RATING			4	ļ