Table A MRS Background InformationDIRECTIONS:Record the background information below for the MRS to be evaluated. Much of this information is available from Service and DoD databases. If the MRS is located on a FUDS property, the suitable FUDS property information should be substituted. In the MRS Summary, briefly describe the UXO, DMM, or MC that are known or suspected to be present, the exposure setting (the MRS's physical environment), any other incidental non-munitions-related contaminants (e.g., benzene, trichloroethylene) found at the MRS, and any potentially exposed human and ecological receptors. If possible, include a map of the MRS.									
	tions Respons conent: Activ	e Site Name: e Army	WSTPT-00	1-R-03			WEBCASS	: 3699	3.1062
Insta Locat	llation/Prope ion (City, Cou	rty Name: West nty, State): We Name (Project	st Point Milit	ary Rese	rvation, Orange	-		GE SOL	JTH
Point o		red/Updated: 3 Jul e/Phone): Anne L	•	20) 674-2	269				
	I PA	□ SI	🗆 RI		□ FS		R D		
	RA-C	RIP	🛛 RA-O		RC				
Media	-	ck all that apply):							1
					diment (humai				
_	Surface soil				Irface Water (e	-			
_	Sediment (eco Summary:				Irface Water (h			ato io 20)24 09 15
Docum - R - F	nents used throug emedial Investiga easibility Study (I	ghout this MRSPP in ation (RI), Dated Ma FS), Dated January at (DD), <mark>Revision 1,</mark>	arch 2015. 2018.		:		KC D		124 09 15
	Г-001-R-03 was d n 1.4, Page 1-5).	lelineated out from	the WSTPT	-001-R-()1 after the R	I. The l	MRS is 123.4	acres (RI,
The M	RS constitutes po	ortions of former ar tion 1.3.1, Page 2).		g ranges	and contains	s the fir	ing point of a	another	r former
The MRS constitutes a portion of five overlapping former artillery firing ranges. The MRS contains the firing point for the Silver Depository Range and may contain MEC associated with all five artillery firing ranges. The five ranges were used from as early as 1906 until the late-1930s. Munitions known or suspected at the MRS include 75-mm projectiles, 2.95-inch projectiles, 6-inch projectiles, and 15- and 16-inch mortars, 3-inch Stokes mortar (unfuzed), 8-inch projectile, practice grenades (MkII and M69), rifle grenades (M18A1 Illumination), and slap flares (DD, Section 2.5.2.1, Page 14).									
no hist	orical use of CWI	Per the DD, Sectio M at the MRS and n WSTPT-001-R-03.	n 2.5.2.1, P o document	age 15, tation of	only conventi CWM use wa	ional mu as found	Initions were during the r	used. eview o	There is of
and th contan	e MRS should un	The nature and ex dergo no further ac nd at concentrations age 16).	tion for MC-	-related	contaminatio	n becau	se no MC-rela	ated	

Table A Continued

Stakeholder Involvement - TBD

Description of Pathways for Human and Ecological Receptors: Pathways for MEC are complete in soils and unacceptable explosive safety hazards are present (DD, Section 2.5.2.1, Page 16) & (DD, Section 2.7.1.3, Page 24).

The surface soil exposure pathway is complete for MC, however, no MC-related contamination was found at concentrations posing an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment (DD, Section 2.5.2.1, Page 16) & (DD, Section 2.7.1.3, Page 24).

Description of Receptors (Human and Ecological): contractor personnel, installation personnel, maintenance workers, recreational users, site visitors, and West Point residents (adults, cadet candidates, and children) (DD, Section 2.7.1.1, Page 23).

There are no ecological resources on the MRS (RI, Section 5.1.1.7, Page 5-8).

Table 1 EHE Module: Munitions Type Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are 11 classifications of munitions and their descriptions. Circle the scores that correspond with <u>all</u> the munitions types known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Note: The terms *practice munitions, small arms ammunition, physical evidence,* and *historical evidence* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Sensitive	 UXO that are considered most likely to function upon any interaction with exposed persons (e.g., submunitions, 40mm high-explosive [HE] grenades, white phosphorus [WP] munitions, high-explosive antitank [HEAT] munitions, and practice munitions with sensitive fuzes, but excluding all other practice munitions). Hand grenades containing energetic filler. Bulk primary explosives, or mixtures of these with environmental media, such that the mixture poses an explosive hazard. 	30
High explosive (used or damaged)	 UXO containing a high-explosive filler (e.g., RDX, Composition B), that are not considered "sensitive." DMM containing a high-explosive filler that have: Been damaged by burning or detonation Deteriorated to the point of instability. 	25
Pyrotechnic (used or damaged)	 UXO containing a pyrotechnic filler other than white phosphorus (e.g., flares, signals, simulators, smoke grenades). DMM containing a pyrotechnic filler other than white phosphorus (e.g., flares, signals, simulators, smoke grenades) that have: Been damaged by burning or detonation Deteriorated to the point of instability. 	20
High explosive (unused)	 DMM containing a high-explosive filler that: Have not been damaged by burning or detonation Are not deteriorated to the point of instability. 	15
Propellant	 UXO containing mostly single-, double-, or triple-based propellant, or composite propellants (e.g., a rocket motor). DMM containing mostly single-, double-, or triple-based propellant, or composite propellants (e.g., a rocket motor) that are: Damaged by burning or detonation Deteriorated to the point of instability. 	15
Bulk secondary high explosives, pyrotechnics, or propellant	 DMM containing mostly single-, double-, or triple-based propellant, or composite propellants (e.g., a rocket motor). DMM that are bulk secondary high explosives, pyrotechnic compositions, or propellant (not contained in a munition), or mixtures of these with environmental media such that the mixture poses an explosive hazard. 	10
Pyrotechnic (not used or damaged)	 DMM containing a pyrotechnic filler (i.e., red phosphorus), other than white phosphorus filler, that: Have not been damaged by burning or detonation Are not deteriorated to the point of instability. 	10
Practice	 UXO that are practice munitions that are not associated with a sensitive fuze. DMM that are practice munitions that are not associated with a sensitive fuze and that have not: Been damaged by burning or detonation Deteriorated to the point of instability. 	5
Riot control	• UXO or DMM containing a riot control agent filler (e.g., tear gas).	3
Small arms	 Used munitions or DMM that are categorized as small arms ammunition. (Physical evidence or historical evidence that no other types of munitions [e.g., grenades, subcaliber training rockets, demolition charges] were used or are present on the MRS is required for selection of this category.) 	2
Evidence of no munitions	 Following investigation of the MRS, there is physical evidence that there are no UXO or DMM present, or there is historical evidence indicating that no UXO or DMM are present. 	0
MUNITIONS TYPE	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 30).	25

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Munitions Type* classifications

Munitions known or suspected at the MRS include 75-mm projectiles, 2.95-inch projectiles, 6-inch projectiles, and 15- and 16-inch mortars, 3-inch Stokes mortar (unfuzed), 8-inch projectile, practice grenades (MkII and M69), rifle grenades (M18A1 Illumination), and slap flares (DD, Section 2.5.2.1, Page 14).

Table 2 EHE Module: Source of Hazard Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are 11 classifications describing sources of explosive hazards. Circle the scores that correspond with <u>all</u> the sources of explosive hazards known or suspected to be present at the MRS. **Note:** The terms *former range, practice munitions, small arms range, physical evidence,* and *historical evidence* are

defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification Description Score The MRS is a former military range where munitions (including practice munitions with sensitive fuzes) have been Former range 10 used. Such areas include impact or target areas and associated buffer and safety zones. The MRS is a location where UXO or DMM (e.g., ٠ Former munitions treatment (i.e., munitions, bulk explosives, bulk pyrotechnic, or bulk 8 propellants) were burned or detonated for the purpose of OB/OD) unit treatment prior to disposal. The MRS is a former military range on which only practice Former practice munitions range 6 munitions without sensitive fuzes were used. The MRS is a former maneuver area where no munitions other than flares, simulators, smokes, and blanks were used. Former maneuver area 5 There must be evidence that no other munitions were used at the location to place an MRS into this category. The MRS is a location where DMM were buried or ٠ Former burial pit or other disposal disposed of 5 (e.g., disposed of into a water body) without prior thermal area treatment. The MRS is a location that is a former munitions Former industrial operating 4 maintenance, manufacturing, or demilitarization facility. facilities The MRS is a firing point, where the firing point is Former firing points delineated as an MRS separate from the rest of a former 4 military range. The MRS is a former missile defense or air defense ٠ Former missile or air defense artillery (ADA) emplacement not associated with a military 2 artillery emplacements range. The MRS is a location where munitions were stored or 2 Former storage or transfer points handled for transfer between different modes of transportation (e.g., rail to truck, truck to weapon system). The MRS is a former military range where only small arms ٠ ammunition was used. (There must be evidence that no other Former small arms range 1 types of munitions [e.g., grenades] were used or are present to place an MRS into this category.) Following investigation of the MRS, there is physical evidence that no UXO or DMM are present, or there is Evidence of no munitions 0 historical evidence indicating that no UXO or DMM are present. DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above SOURCE OF HAZARD 10 in the box to the right (maximum score = 10).

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Source of Hazard* classifications in the space provided.

The Artillery Firing Range South MRS constitutes a portion of five overlapping former artillery firing ranges: the Adolphs Pond Range, the Sacred Heart Cemetery Range, the Silver Depository Range, Redoubt No. 2, and Lusk Reservoir. The Artillery Firing Range South MRS contains the firing point for the Silver Depository Range and may contain DoD MM associated with all five artillery firing ranges (DD, Section 2.5.2.1, Page 14).

Table 3 EHE Module: Location of Munitions Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are eight classifications of munitions locations and their descriptions. Circle the scores that correspond with <u>all</u> the locations where munitions are known or suspected to be present at the MRS.
 Note: The terms *confirmed, surface, subsurface, small arms ammunition, physical evidence,* and *historical evidence* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Confirmed surface	 Physical evidence indicates that there are UXO or DMM on the surface of the MRS. Historical evidence (i.e., a confirmed report such as an explosive ordnance disposal [EOD], police, or fire department report that an incident or accident that involved UXO or DMM occurred) indicates there are UXO or DMM on the surface of the MRS. 	25
Confirmed subsurface, active	 Physical evidence indicates the presence of UXO or DMM in the subsurface of the MRS, and the geological conditions at the MRS are likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena (e.g., drought, flooding, erosion, frost heave, tidal action), or intrusive activities (e.g., plowing, construction, dredging) at the MRS are likely to expose UXO or DMM. Historical evidence indicates that UXO or DMM are located in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena (e.g., drought, flooding, erosion, frost heave, tidal action), or intrusive activities (e.g., drought, flooding, erosion, frost heave, tidal action), or intrusive activities (e.g., plowing, construction, dredging) at the MRS are likely to expose UXO or DMM. 	20
Confirmed subsurface, stable	 Physical evidence indicates the presence of UXO or DMM in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena, or intrusive activities at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed. Historical evidence indicates that UXO or DMM are located in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed. Historical evidence indicates that UXO or DMM are located in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena, or intrusive activities at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed. 	15
Suspected (physical evidence)	 There is physical evidence (e.g., munitions debris such as fragments, penetrators, projectiles, shell casings, links, fins), other than the documented presence of UXO or DMM, indicating that UXO or DMM may be present at the MRS. 	10
Suspected (historical evidence)	• There is historical evidence indicating that UXO or DMM may be present at the MRS.	5
Subsurface, physical constraint	• There is physical or historical evidence indicating that UXO or DMM may be present in the subsurface, but there is a physical constraint (e.g., pavement, water depth over 120 feet) preventing direct access to the UXO or DMM.	2
Small arms (regardless of location)	• The presence of small arms ammunition is confirmed or suspected, regardless of other factors such as geological stability. (There must be evidence that no other types of munitions [e.g., grenades] were used or are present at the MRS to place an MRS into this category.)	1
Evidence of no munitions	 Following investigation of the MRS, there is physical evidence that there are no UXO or DMM present, or there is historical evidence indicating that no UXO or DMM are present. 	0
LOCATION OF MUNITIONS	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 25).	20

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Location of Munitions* classifications

MEC in the form of a 3-inch Stokes mortar (unfuzed) and an 8-inch projectile, were recovered from the subsurface. The mag and dig and DGM surveys recovered 32 MD items, including practice grenades (MkII and M69), rifle grenades (M18A1 Illumination), slap flares, and unidentified fragments from the ground surface to six inches bgs. Erosion and Frost Heave are a concern at the MR, which may cause the UXO to be exposed in the future (DD, Section 2.5.2.1 & 2.7.1.1).

Erosion and Frost Heave are a concern at the MRS (DD, Section 2.7.1.1, Page 23).

Table 4 EHE Module: Ease of Access Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are four classifications of barrier types that can surround an MRS and their descriptions. The barrier type is directly related to the ease of public access to the MRS. Circle the score that corresponds with the ease of access to the MRS.

Note: The term *barrier* is defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score			
No barrier	 There is no barrier preventing access to any part of the MRS (i.e., all parts of the MRS are accessible). 	10			
Barrier to MRS access is incomplete	 There is a barrier preventing access to parts of the MRS, but not the entire MRS. 	8			
Barrier to MRS access is complete but not monitored	• There is a barrier preventing access to all parts of the MRS, but there is no surveillance (e.g., by a guard) to ensure that the barrier is effectively preventing access to all parts of the MRS.	5			
Barrier to MRS access is complete and monitored	• There is a barrier preventing access to all parts of the MRS, and there is active, continual surveillance (e.g., by a guard, video monitoring) to ensure that the barrier is effectively preventing access to all parts of the MRS.	0			
EASE OF ACCESS	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 10).	10			
DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the Ease of Access classification in the space provided.					
There is no barrier to the MRS (DD, Section 2.6.1, Page 21).					

Table 5 EHE Module: Status of Property Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are three classifications of the status of a property within the Department of Defense (DoD) and their descriptions. Circle the score that corresponds with the status of property at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Score		
Non-DoD control	 The MRS is at a location that is no longer owned by, leased to, or otherwise possessed or used by DoD. Examples are privately owned land or water bodies; land or water bodies owned or controlled by state, tribal, or local governments; and land or water bodies managed by other federal agencies. The MRS is at a location that is owned by DoD, but that DoD has leased to another entity and for which DoD does not control access 24 hours per day. 	5		
Scheduled for transfer from DoD control	 The MRS is on land or is a water body that is owned, leased, or otherwise possessed by DoD, and DoD plans to transfer that land or water body to the control of another entity (e.g., a state, tribal, or local government; a private party; another federal agency) within 3 years from the date the Protocol is applied. 	3		
DoD control	• The MRS is on land or is a water body that is owned, leased, or otherwise possessed by DoD. With respect to property that is leased or otherwise possessed, DoD must control access to the MRS 24 hours per day, every day of the calendar year.	0		
STATUS OF PROPERTY	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	0		
DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the Status of Property classification in the space provided.				
The MRS is located on West Point under DoD control (DD, Section 1.1, Page 1).				

Table 6 EHE Module: Population Density Data Element Table

- **DIRECTIONS:** Below are three classifications for population density and their descriptions. Determine the population density per square mile that most closely corresponds with the population of the MRS, including the area within a two-mile radius of the MRS's perimeter. Circle the most appropriate score.
- **Note:** Use the U.S. Census Bureau tract data available to capture the <u>highest</u> population density within a two-mile radius of the perimeter of the MRS.

Classification	Description	Score		
> 500 persons per square mile	There are more than 500 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located.	5		
100–500 persons per square mile	There are 100 to 500 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located.	3		
< 100 persons per square mile	There are fewer than 100 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located.	1		
POPULATION DENSITY	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	3		
DIRECTIONS: Document any	DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the Population Density classification in the space			

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Population Density* classification in the space provided.

The MRS is in Orange County. The towns of Cold Spring, Highland Falls, and Garrison are within two miles of the MRS boundary, but they are not listed in census.gov

There are 494 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located in Orange County; and 398 at West Point.

https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/westpointcdpnewyork,orangecountynewyork/PST045223

EHE Module: Population Near Hazard Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are six classifications describing the number of inhabited structures near the MRS. The number of inhabited buildings relates to the potential population near the MRS. Determine the number of inhabited structures within two miles of the MRS boundary and circle the score that corresponds with the number of inhabited structures.

Note: The term *inhabited structures* is defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
26 or more inhabited structures	• There are 26 or more inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	5
16 to 25 inhabited structures	 There are 16 to 25 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both. 	4
11 to 15 inhabited structures	There are 11 to 15 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	3
6 to 10 inhabited structures	• There are 6 to 10 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	2
1 to 5 inhabited structures	• There are 1 to 5 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	1
0 inhabited structures	• There are no inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	0
POPULATION NEAR HAZARD	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	5

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Population Near Hazard*

There are well over 26 inhabited structures located on or within 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS.

Table 8 EHE Module: Types of Activities/Structures Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are five classifications of activities and/or inhabited structures and their descriptions. Review the types of activities that occur and/or structures that are present within two miles of the MRS and circle the scores that correspond with <u>all</u> the activities/structure classifications at the MRS. **Note:** The term *inhabited structure* is defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification Description Score Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with any of the following purposes: residential, educational, child care, critical assets Residential, educational, (e.g., hospitals, fire and rescue, police stations, dams), hotels, commercial. or subsistence commercial, shopping centers, playgrounds, community gathering areas, religious sites, or sites used for subsistence hunting, fishing, and gathering. Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up ٠ to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's Parks and recreational areas boundary, that are associated with parks, nature preserves, or other recreational uses. Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up ٠ to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's 3 Agricultural, forestry boundary, that are associated with agriculture or forestry. Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up ٠ to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with industrial activities or Industrial or warehousing warehousing. There are no known or recurring activities occurring up to two ٠ 1 No known or recurring activities miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary. DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in TYPES OF 5 **ACTIVITIES/STRUCTURES** the box to the right (maximum score = 5).

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the Types of Activities/Structures classifications

There are residences, academic activities, recreational activities, and industrial activities on or within two miles of the MRS boundary (DD, Section 2.6.1, Page 21).

https://earth.google.com/web/@41.3982609,-73.98505244,178.23240628a,3469.03097623d,35y,0h,0t,0r

Table 9 EHE Module: Ecological and/or Cultural Resources Data Element Table **DIRECTIONS:** Below are four classifications of ecological and/or cultural resources and their descriptions. Review the types of resources present and circle the score that corresponds with the ecological and/or cultural resources present on the MRS. **Note:** The terms *ecological resources* and *cultural resources* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer. Classification Description Score There are both ecological and cultural resources present on the MRS. ٠ Ecological and cultural 5 resources present There are ecological resources present on the MRS. ٠ **Ecological resources** 3 present ٠ There are cultural resources present on the MRS. 3 Cultural resources present ٠ There are no ecological resources or cultural resources present on the No ecological or cultural 0 MRS. resources present ECOLOGICAL AND/OR DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to 0 **CULTURAL RESOURCES** the right (maximum score = 5). DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the Ecological and/or Cultural Resources The MRS does not contain cultural and archaeological resources (DD, Section 2.5.1.5, Page 14). There are no ecological resources or wetlands on the MRS (RI, Section 5.1.1.7, Page 5-8).

Table 10 Determining the EHE Module Rating

DIRECTIONS:

- 1. From Tables 1–9, record the data element scores in the **Score** boxes to the right.
- 2. Add the **Score** boxes for each of the three factors and record this number in the **Value** boxes to the right.
- Add the three Value boxes and record this number in the EHE Module Total box below.
- 4. Circle the appropriate range for the **EHE Module Total** below.
- 5. Circle the EHE Module Rating that corresponds to the range selected and record this value in the EHE Module Rating box found at the bottom of the table.

Note:

An alternative module rating may be assigned when a module letter rating is inappropriate. An alternative module rating is used when more information is needed to score one or more data elements, contamination at an MRS was previously addressed, or there is no reason to suspect contamination was ever present at an MRS.

ng the EHE Module Rating					
	Source	Score	Value		
Explosive Hazard Factor Data El	ements				
Munitions Type	Table 1	25	35		
Source of Hazard	Table 2	10	55		
Accessibility Factor Data Elemen	nts				
Location of Munitions	Table 3	20			
Ease of Access	Table 4	10	30		
Status of Property	Table 5	0			
Receptor Factor Data Elements	-				
Population Density	Table 6	3			
Population Near Hazard	Table 7	5	13		
Types of Activities/Structures	Table 8	5	15		
Ecological and/or Cultural Resources	Table 9	0			
EHE MODULE TOT			78		
	MODULI		70		
EHE Module Total		Module R			
EHE Module Total		Module R			
EHE Module Total 92 to 100		Module R A			
EHE Module Total 92 to 100 82 to 91		Module R A B			
EHE Module Total 92 to 100 82 to 91 71 to 81		Module R A B C			
EHE Module Total 92 to 100 82 to 91 71 to 81 60 to 70		Module R A B C D			
EHE Module Total 92 to 100 82 to 91 71 to 81 60 to 70 48 to 59		Module R A B C D E			
EHE Module Total 92 to 100 82 to 91 71 to 81 60 to 70 48 to 59 38 to 47	EHE	Module R A B C D E F	ating		
EHE Module Total 92 to 100 82 to 91 71 to 81 60 to 70 48 to 59 38 to 47	EHE	Module R A B C D E F G	ding		
EHE Module Total 92 to 100 82 to 91 71 to 81 60 to 70 48 to 59 38 to 47 less than 38	EHE	Module R A B C D E F G uluation Pend	ding uired		

Table 11 CHE Module: CWM Configuration Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are seven classifications of CWM configuration and their descriptions. Circle the scores that correspond with <u>all</u> the CWM configurations known or suspected to be present at the MRS.
 Note: The terms *CWM/UXO*, *CWM/DMM*, *physical evidence*, and *historical evidence* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
CWM, that are either UXO, or explosively configured damaged DMM	 The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are: CWM that are UXO (i.e., CWM/UXO) Explosively configured CWM that are DMM (i.e., CWM/DMM) that have been damaged. 	
CWM mixed with UXO	The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are undamaged CWM/DMM or CWM not configured as a munition that are commingled with conventional munitions that are UXO.	25
CWM, explosive configuration that are undamaged DMM	The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are explosively configured CWM/DMM that have not been damaged.	20
CWM/DMM, not explosively The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are: • Nonexplosively configured or CWM, bulk • Nonexplosively configured CWM/DMM either damaged or undamaged • Bulk CWM (e.g., ton container).		15
CAIS K941 and CAIS K942 The CWM/DMM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are CAIS K941-toxic gas set M-1 or CAIS K942-toxic gas set M-2/E11. 		12
CAIS (chemical agent identification sets)	CAIS, other than CAIS K941 and K942, are known or suspected of being present at the MRS.	
Evidence of no CWM • Following investigation, the physical evidence indicates that CWM are not present at the MRS, or the historical evidence indicates that CWM are not present at the MRS.		0
CWM CONFIGURATION	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 30).	0

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the CWM Configuration classifications

Per the DD, Section 2.5.2.1, Page 15, only conventional munitions were used. There is no historical use of CWM at the MRS and no documentation of CWM use was found during the review of historical documents of WSTPT-001-R-03.

IAW Army Guidance, Tables 12-19 have been omitted.

Table 20 Determining the CHE Module Rating

		Source	Score	Value	
	CWM Hazard Factor Data Elemer	nts	_	-	
d the	CWM Configuration	Table 11	0	0	
	Sources of CWM	Table 12		0	
	Accessibility Factor Data Elements				
each	Location of CWM	Table 13			
cord ooxes	Ease of Access	Table 14			
	Status of Property	Table 15			
s and CHE	Receptor Factor Data Elements				
0112	Population Density	Table 16			
ge for	Population Near Hazard	Table 17			
low.	Types of Activities/Structures	Table 18			
ating nge	Ecological and/or Cultural Resources	Table 19			
alue in box	CHE MODULE TOTAL 0				
table.	CHE Module Total	CHE	Module R	ating	
	92 to 100		А		
be ting is	82 to 91		В		
dule	71 to 81	С			
ation is a	60 to 70	D			
RS was no	48 to 59	E			
was	38 to 47	F			
	less than 38	G			
		Evaluation Pending			
	Alternative Module Ratings	No Longer Required			
			wn or Sus WM Haza		
	CHE MODULE RATING	No Kno	wn or Su WM Haza	spected	

DIRECTIONS:

- From Tables 11–19, record the data element scores in the Score boxes to the right.
- 2. Add the **Score** boxes for each of the three factors and record this number in the **Value** boxes to the right.
- 3. Add the three **Value** boxes and record this number in the **CHE Module Total** box below.
- 4. Circle the appropriate range for the **CHE Module Total** below.
- Circle the CHE Module Rating that corresponds to the range selected and record this value in the CHE Module Rating box found at the bottom of the table.

Note:

An alternative module rating may be assigned when a module letter rating is inappropriate. An alternative module rating is used when more information is needed to score one or more data elements, contamination at an MRS was previously addressed, or there is no reason to suspect contamination was ever present at an MRS.

HHE Module: Groundwater Data Element Table

compa recorde concen togethe use the	RECTIONS: Record the maximum concentrations of all contaminants in the MRS's groundwater and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional groundwater contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard present in the groundwater, select the box at the bottom of the table.				
Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (µg/L)	Comparison Value (μg/L)	Ratios		
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios			
CHF > 100	H (High)	$CHF = \sum [Maximum Concentration of Conc$	ontaminant]		
100 > CHF > 2 2 > CHF	M (Medium) L (Low)	[Comparison Value for Conta	minantl		
	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value		·····,		
HAZARD FACTOR	(maximum value = H).	nom above in the box to the right			
DIRECTIONS: Circle th	<u>Migratory Pathw</u> ne value that corresponds most closely to	a <mark>y Factor</mark> the groundwater migratory pathway at the I	MRS.		
Classification Description					
Classification	Dese	cription	Value		
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates moving toward, or has moved to a point of expos	that contamination in the groundwater is present at, ure.	Value H		
	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates moving toward, or has moved to a point of expos Contamination in groundwater has moved only sl	that contamination in the groundwater is present at, ure.			
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates moving toward, or has moved to a point of expos Contamination in groundwater has moved only sl move but is not moving appreciably, or informatic or Confined.	that contamination in the groundwater is present at, ure. ightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could in is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident ant migration from the source via the groundwater to	Н		
Evident Potential Confined MIGRATORY	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates moving toward, or has moved to a point of expos Contamination in groundwater has moved only sl move but is not moving appreciably, or informatic or Confined. Information indicates a low potential for contamin a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the controls). DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single high</u>	that contamination in the groundwater is present at, ure. ightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could on is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident ant migration from the source via the groundwater to presence of geological structures or physical nest value from above in the box to the	H M		
Evident Potential Confined	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates moving toward, or has moved to a point of expos Contamination in groundwater has moved only sl move but is not moving appreciably, or informatic or Confined. Information indicates a low potential for contamin a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the controls). DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single high</u> right (maximum value =	that contamination in the groundwater is present at, ure. ightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could on is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident ant migration from the source via the groundwater to presence of geological structures or physical nest value from above in the box to the H).	H M		
Evident Potential Confined MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates moving toward, or has moved to a point of expos Contamination in groundwater has moved only sl move but is not moving appreciably, or informatic or Confined. Information indicates a low potential for contamin a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the controls). DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single high</u>	that contamination in the groundwater is present at, ure. ightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could in is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident ant migration from the source via the groundwater to presence of geological structures or physical nest value from above in the box to the H).	H M		
Evident Potential Confined MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates moving toward, or has moved to a point of expos Contamination in groundwater has moved only sl move but is not moving appreciably, or informatio or Confined. Information indicates a low potential for contamin a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the controls). DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single high</u> right (maximum value = <u>Receptor Fa</u> ne value that corresponds most closely to Desc	that contamination in the groundwater is present at, ure. ightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could in is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident ant migration from the source via the groundwater to presence of geological structures or physical nest value from above in the box to the = H). actor the groundwater receptors at the MRS. cription	H M		
Evident Potential Confined MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR DIRECTIONS: Circle th	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates moving toward, or has moved to a point of expos Contamination in groundwater has moved only sl move but is not moving appreciably, or informatic or Confined. Information indicates a low potential for contamin a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the controls). DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single high</u> right (maximum value = <u>Receptor Fa</u> ne value that corresponds most closely to <u>Desc</u> There is a threatened water supply well downgram source of drinking water or source of water for ott (equivalent to Class I or IIA aquifer).	that contamination in the groundwater is present at, ure. ightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could on is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident ant migration from the source via the groundwater to presence of geological structures or physical nest value from above in the box to the = H). actor to the groundwater receptors at the MRS. cription dient of the source and the groundwater is a current her beneficial uses such as irrigation/agriculture	H M L		
Evident Potential Confined MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR DIRECTIONS: Circle th Classification	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates moving toward, or has moved to a point of expos Contamination in groundwater has moved only sl move but is not moving appreciably, or informatic or Confined. Information indicates a low potential for contamin a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the controls). DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single high</u> right (maximum value = <u>Receptor Fa</u> ne value that corresponds most closely to <u>Desc</u> There is a threatened water supply well downgram source of drinking water or source of water for ott (equivalent to Class I or IIA aquifer).	that contamination in the groundwater is present at, ure. ightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could on is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident ant migration from the source via the groundwater to presence of geological structures or physical nest value from above in the box to the = H). actor to the groundwater receptors at the MRS. cription dient of the source and the groundwater is a current her beneficial uses such as irrigation/agriculture adient of the source and the groundwater is currently	H M L Value		
Evident Potential Confined MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR DIRECTIONS: Circle th Classification Identified	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates moving toward, or has moved to a point of expos Contamination in groundwater has moved only sl move but is not moving appreciably, or informatic or Confined. Information indicates a low potential for contamin a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the controls). DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single high</u> right (maximum value = <u>Receptor Fa</u> ne value that corresponds most closely to <u>Desc</u> There is a threatened water supply well downgrad source of drinking water or source of water for ott (equivalent to Class I or IIA aquifer). There is no threatened water supply well downgrad or potentially usable for drinking water, irrigation, aquifer).	that contamination in the groundwater is present at, ure. ightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could on is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident ant migration from the source via the groundwater to presence of geological structures or physical nest value from above in the box to the H). actor to the groundwater receptors at the MRS. cription dient of the source and the groundwater is a current her beneficial uses such as irrigation/agriculture adient of the source and the groundwater is currently or agriculture (equivalent to Class I, IIA, or IIB rell downgradient of the source and the groundwater ater and is of limited beneficial use (equivalent to	H M L Value H		
Evident Potential Confined MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR DIRECTIONS: Circle th Classification Identified Potential	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates moving toward, or has moved to a point of expos Contamination in groundwater has moved only sl move but is not moving appreciably, or informatic or Confined. Information indicates a low potential for contamin a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the controls). DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single high</u> right (maximum value = <u>Receptor Fa</u> ne value that corresponds most closely to <u>Desc</u> There is a threatened water supply well downgrad source of drinking water or source of water for ottl (equivalent to Class I or IIA aquifer). There is no threatened water supply well downgrad or potentially usable for drinking water, irrigation, aquifer).	that contamination in the groundwater is present at, ure. ightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could in is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident ant migration from the source via the groundwater to presence of geological structures or physical nest value from above in the box to the H). actor the groundwater receptors at the MRS. cription dient of the source and the groundwater is a current her beneficial uses such as irrigation/agriculture adient of the source and the groundwater is currently or agriculture (equivalent to Class I, IIA, or IIB rell downgradient of the source and the groundwater ater and is of limited beneficial use (equivalent to er exists only). nest value from above in the box to the	H M L Value H M		

Media not sampled. Based on the geology, an unconsolidated aquifer does not exist within the MRS. Site-specific groundwater investigations were not conducted for the MRS (FS, Section 1.3.1.5.2, Page 7).

HHE Module: Surface Water – Human Endpoint Data Element Table

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the **maximum concentrations** of all contaminants in the MRS's surface water and their **comparison values** (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the **ratios** for each contaminant by dividing the **maximum concentration** by the **comparison value**. Determine the **CHF** by adding the contaminant **ratios** together, including any additional surface water contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the **CHF**, use the **CHF Scale** to determine and record the **CHF Value**. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with human endpoints present in the surface water, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (µg/L)	Comparison Value (µg/L)	Ratios		
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios			
CHF > 100	H (High)	Movimum Concentration of C	ontominantl		
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium) $CHF = \sum $ [Maximum Concentration of Concen		ontaminantj		
2 > CHF	L (Low)	[Comparison Value for Contaminant]			
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the CHF Value</u> (maximum value = H).	from above in the box to the right			
Migratory Pathway Factor DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the surface water migratory pathway at the MRS.					
Classification	Desc	cription	Value		
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates t moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposu	hat contamination in the surface water is present at, re.	Н		

Potential	Contamination in surface water has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.	
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the surface water to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).	
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	

Receptor Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the surface water receptors at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.	Н
Potential	Potential for receptors to have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.	М
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.	L
RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	

No Known or Suspected Surface Water (Human Endpoint) MC Hazard

Media not sampled.

HHE Module: Sediment – Human Endpoint Data Element Table

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the **maximum concentrations** of all contaminants in the MRS's sediment and their **comparison values** (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the **ratios** for each contaminant by dividing the **maximum concentration** by the **comparison value**. Determine the **CHF** by adding the contaminant **ratios** together, including any additional sediment contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the **CHF**, use the **CHF Scale** to determine and record the **CHF Value**. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with human endpoints present in the sediment, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (mg/kg)	Comparison Value (mg/kg)	Ratios
1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene	.038	2200	.00001
2-Amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene	.11	150	.0007
PETN	.19	120	.002
RDX	.13	230	.0005
		Total From Table 27	1.3663
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	1.36951
CHF > 100 100 > CHF > 2	H (High) M (Medium)	$CHF = \sum $ [Maximum Concentration of Co	ontaminant]
2 > CHF	L (Low)	[Comparison Value for Contaminant]	
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTORDIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value maximum value = H).from above in the box to the right maximum value = H).		L	

Migratory Pathway Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the sediment migratory pathway at the MRS.

Classification	Description	
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the sediment is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.	Н
Potential	Contamination in sediment has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.	М
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the sediment to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).	L
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	М

Receptor Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the sediment receptors at the MRS.

Description	
Identified receptors have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	Н
Potential for receptors to have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	М
Little or no potential for receptors to have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	L
DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	М
	Identified receptors have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move. Potential for receptors to have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move. Little or no potential for receptors to have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.

No Known or Suspected Sediment (Human Endpoint) MC Hazard

Sampling Data can be found in the DD, Appendix B, Table B-1, Page 98 & Table B-2, Page 99.

HHE Module:	Surface Water – Ecolo	ogical Endpoint Data	Element Table
-------------	-----------------------	----------------------	---------------

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the **maximum concentrations** of all contaminants in the MRS's surface water and their **comparison values** (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the **ratios** for each contaminant by dividing the **maximum concentration** by the **comparison value**. Determine the **CHF** by adding the contaminant **ratios** together, including any additional surface water contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the **CHF**, use the **CHF Scale** to determine and record the **CHF Value**. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with ecological endpoints present in the surface water, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (µg/L)	Comparison Value (μg/L)	Ratios
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum the Ratios	
CHF > 100	H (High)	- Maximum Concentration of C	ontaminantl
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)	$CHF = \sum \frac{[Maximum Concentration of C]}{[Comparison Value for Contained on Contai$	minontl
2 > CHF		- ·	ammaniy
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the CHF Value</u> (maximum value = H).	from above in the box to the right	
	Migratory Pathw	av Eactor	
DIRECTIONS: Circle th		the surface water migratory pathway at the	MRS.
Classification	Description		Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the surface water is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.		Н
Potential	Contamination in surface water has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.		М
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the surface water to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).		L
MIGRATORY DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest value</u> from above in the box to the			
PATHWAY FACTOR	right (maximum value =	= H).	
DIRECTIONS: Circle th	Receptor Fa	actor the surface water receptors at the MRS.	
Classification	Dese	cription	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to surface water	r to which contamination has moved or can move.	Н
Potential	Potential for receptors to have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.		М
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access or can move.	to surface water to which contamination has moved	L
RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single high</u> right (maximum value =		
No Known or Suspected Surface Water (Ecological Endpoint) MC Hazard			

Media not sampled.

HHE Module: Sediment – Ecological Endpoint Data Element Table

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the **maximum concentrations** of all contaminants in the MRS's sediment and their **comparison values** (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the **ratios** for each contaminant by dividing the **maximum concentration** by the **comparison value**. Determine the **CHF** by adding the contaminant **ratios** together, including any additional sediment contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the **CHF**, use the **CHF Scale** to determine and record the **CHF Value**. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with ecological endpoints present in the sediment, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (mg/kg)	Comparison Value (mg/kg)	Ratios
1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene	.038	.0016	23.750
2-Amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene	.11	.0130	8.462
PETN	.19	325	.0005
RDX	.13	.0120	10.833
		TOTAL FROM TABLE 27	27.291
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum the Ratios	70.3365
CHF > 100 100 > CHF > 2	H (High) M (Medium)	CHF = $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ [Maximum Concentration of Co	ontaminant]
2 > CHF	L (Low) [Comparison Value for Contar		minant]
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTORDIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value (maximum value = H).from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).		М	

Migratory Pathway Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the sediment migratory pathway at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the sediment is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.	Н
Potential	Contamination in sediment has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.	М
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the sediment to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).	L
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	М

Receptor Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the sediment receptors at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	Н
Potential	Potential for receptors to have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	М
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	L
RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	М

No Known or Suspected Sediment (Ecological Endpoint) MC Hazard

Sampling Data can be found in the DD, Appendix B, Table B-1, Page 98 & Table B-2, Page 99.

Table 26HHE Module: Surface Soil Data Element Table

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the **maximum concentrations** of all contaminants in the MRS's surface soil and their **comparison values** (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the **ratios** for each contaminant by dividing the **maximum concentration** by the **comparison value**. Determine the **CHF** by adding the contaminant **ratios** together, including any additional surface soil contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the **CHF**, use the **CHF Scale** to determine and record the **CHF Value**. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard present in the surface soil, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Maximum Concentration (mg/kg)	Comparison Value (mg/kg)	Ratio
.32	150	.002
.031	2200	.00001
3.1	6.1	.508
.87	31	.028
14.6	3100	.005
	Total From Table 27	.601
CHF Value	Sum the Ratios 1.1	
H (High) M (Medium)	$CHF = \sum $ [Maximum Concentration of Contami	
L (Low)	[Comparison Value for Contaminant]	
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTORDIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value (maximum value = H).from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).		L
	.32 .031 3.1 .87 14.6 CHF Value H (High) M (Medium) L (Low) DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the CHF Valu</u>	.32150.03122003.16.1.873114.63100Total From Table 27CHF ValueSum the RatiosH (High) $CHF = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [Maximum Concentration of ContentL (Low)From Table 27DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Valuefrom above in the box to the right$

Migratory Pathway Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the surface soil migratory pathway at the MRS.

Classification	Description	
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the surface soil is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.	Н
Potential	Contamination in surface soil has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.	М
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the surface soil to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).	L
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	М

Receptor Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the surface soil receptors at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to surface soil to which contamination has moved or can move.	Н
Potential	Potential for receptors to have access to surface soil to which contamination has moved or can move.	М
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to surface soil to which contamination has moved or can move.	L
RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	М
	No Known or Suspected Surface Soil MC Hazard	

Sampling Data can be found in the DD, Appendix B, Tables B-1 & B-2, Pages 98 & 99 & Appendix C, Page 102.

HHE Module: Supplemental Contaminant Hazard Factor Table

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Only use this table if there are more than five contaminants in any given medium present at the MRS. This is a supplemental table designed to hold information about contaminants that do not fit in the previous tables. Indicate the media in which these contaminants are present. Then record all contaminants, their maximum concentrations and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Calculate and record the ratio for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF for each medium on the appropriate media-specific tables.

Note: Do not add ratios from different media.

Media	dia Contaminant Maximum Concentration Comparison Value		Ratio	
SOIL	IRON	19800	55000	.360
SOIL	LEAD	89.4	400	.224
SOIL	MERCURY	.35	23	.015
SOIL	POTASSIUM	1430	Not in App. B-1.	N / A
SOIL	ZINC	50.4	23000	.002
			TOTAL SOIL THIS PAGE	.601
SEDIMENT T23	TETRYL	.066	240	.0003
SEDIMENT T23	COPPER	28.2	3100	.009
SEDIMENT T23	IRON	23000	55000	.418
SEDIMENT T23	LEAD	363	400	.908
SEDIMENT T23	MERCURY	.65	23	.028
SEDIMENT T23	POTASSIUM	1360	Not in App. B-1.	N / A
SEDIMENT T23	ZINC	60.3	23000	.003
			TOTAL T23 THIS PAGE	1.3663
SEDIMENT T25	TETRYL	.066	.006	11
SEDIMENT T25	COPPER	28.2	31.6	.892
SEDIMENT T25	IRON	23000	20000	1.150
SEDIMENT T25	LEAD	363	35.8	10.140
SEDIMENT T25	MERCURY	.65	.180	3.611
SEDIMENT T25	POTASSIUM	1360	Not in App. B-3.	N / A
SEDIMENT T25	ZINC	60.3	121	.498
			TOTAL T25 THIS PAGE	27.291

Table 28 **Determining the HHE Module Rating**

DIRECTIONS:

- 1. Record the letter values (H, M, L) for the Contaminant Hazard, Migration Pathway, and **Receptor Factors** for the media (from Tables 21–26) in the corresponding boxes below.
- Record the media's three-letter combinations in the Three-Letter Combination boxes below (three-letter combinations are arranged from Hs to Ms to Ls).
- 3. Using the **HHE Ratings** provided below, determine each media's rating (A–G) and record the letter in the corresponding Media Rating box below.

Media (Source)	Contaminant Hazard Factor Value	Migratory Pathway Factor Value	Receptor Factor Value		Three-Letter Combination (Hs-Ms-Ls)		Media Rating (A-G)
Groundwater (Table 21)							
Surface Water/Human Endpoint (Table 22)							
Sediment/Human Endpoint (Table 23)	L	М	М		MML		E
Surface Water/Ecological Endpoint (Table 24)							
Sediment/Ecological Endpoint (Table 25)	М	М	М		MMM		D
Surface Soil (Table 26)	L	М	М		MML		E
DIRECTIONS (cont.):		HHE MODULE RATING			NKSH		

DIRECTIONS (cont.):

4. Select the single highest Media Rating (A is highest: G is lowest) and enter the letter in the **HHE Module Rating** box.

Note:

An alternative module rating may be assigned when a module letter rating is inappropriate. An alternative module rating is used when more information is needed to score one or more media, contamination at an MRS was previously addressed, or there is no reason to suspect contamination was ever present at an MRS.

HHE Ratings (for reference only)					
Combination	Rating				
ННН	A				
ННМ	В				
HHL					
НММ	С				
HML	_				
MMM	D				
HLL	_				
MML	E				
MLL	F				
LLL	G				
	Evaluation Pending				
Alternative Module Ratings	No Longer Required				
	No Known or Suspected MC Hazard				

The nature and extent of MC-related contamination was adequately characterized, and the MRS should undergo no further action for MC-related contamination because no MC-related contamination was found at concentrations posing an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment (DD, Section 2.5.2.1, Page 16).

HHE MODULE RATING

Table 29 MRS Priority

- **DIRECTIONS:** In the chart below, circle the letter **rating** for each module recorded in Table 10 (EHE), Table 20 (CHE), and Table 28 (HHE). Circle the corresponding numerical **priority** for each module. If information to determine the module rating is not available, choose the appropriate alternative module rating. The MRS Priority is the single highest priority; record this relative priority in the **MRS Priority or Alternative MRS Rating** at the bottom of the table.
- **Note:** An MRS assigned Priority 1 has the highest relative priority; an MRS assigned Priority 8 has the lowest relative priority. Only an MRS with CWM known or suspected to be present can be assigned Priority 1; an MRS that has CWM known or suspected to be present cannot be assigned Priority 8.

EHE Rating	Priority	CHE Rating	Priority	HHE Rating	Priority
		А	1		
А	2	В	2	Α	2
В	3	С	3	В	3
С	4	D	4	С	4
D	5	E	5	D	5
E	6	F	6	E	6
F	7	G	7	F	7
G	8			G	8
Evaluation Pending		Evaluation Pending		Evaluation Pending	
No Longer Required		No Longer Required		No Longer Required	
No Known or Suspected Explosive Hazard		No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard		No Known or Suspected MC Hazard	
MRS PRIORITY or ALTERNATIVE MRS RATING				4	•