Table A MRS Background Information							
DIR	available FUDS pr DMM, or environn	he background inform from Service and Do operty information sh MC that are known o nent), any other incide the MRS, and any po	nation below D databases ould be subs or suspected ental nonmur	for the M 5. If the M stituted. I to be pre nitions-rel	RS to be evaluated. /IRS is located on a F n the MRS Summary sent, the exposure se ated contaminants (e	Much of this information UDS property, the suita y, briefly describe the U etting (the MRS's physic .g., benzene, trichloroe ceptors. If possible, inc	able XO, cal thylene)
Con Ins Loc		HQAES: 13305 Name: Fort Stewa , State): Fort Stewa	5.1101 art art, Liberty C	County, G	TSW-011-R-01 eorgia ange, FTSW-011-R-01		
Poi	e Information Ente nt of Contact (Nam ject Phase (check	ered/Updated: 11 e/Phone): Tavy W only one):	October 202. 'ade, (912) 7	3 67-2196			
	D PA		🗆 RI		⊠ FS	🗆 RD	
	RA-C		RA-O		□ RC		
Med	lia Evaluated (check	c all that apply):				1	
	Groundwater			Sedi	ment (human receptor	-)	_
	Surface Soil			🛛 Surfa	ace Water (ecological	receptor)	_
	Sediment (ecolog	ical receptor)		□ Surfa	ice Water (human rec	eptor)	
MRS Summary: Site is not at RC. Documents used throughout this MRSPP include the following: Corrective Measure Study (CMS) Report, Dated July 2020. - RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI) Report, Dated March 2018. Confirmatory Sampling (CS) Report, Dated September 2011. FTSW-011-R-01 is also identified in the supporting documents as GLR. MD was recovered at some of these locations including a 57mm M70 APT projectile, M49 flare, frag pieces,				eces,			
fuzes, and other assorted MD components. The one anomaly that did contain MEC was determined to represent a burial pit. EOD was contacted to remove and dispose of a 90mm M348 HEAT projectile, an AN-M57 250-pound GP bomb, and an 8-inch M106 HE projectile (CMS Report, Section 2.6.2, Page 5).							
One inert training mine (inconsistent with historical range use) and six good condition, unfired 25mm TP-T projectiles of post-1970s vintage were recovered. The practice mine was discovered at 2-inches bgs and classified as MD. The 25mm TP-T projectiles were discovered along the bank of a runoff ditch and classified as MPPEH (CMS Report, Section 2.6.2, Page 4).			and				
CHE is rated as NKSH: Per the RFI, only conventional munitions were used. There is no historical use of CWM at the MRS and no documentation of CWM use was found during the review of historical documents of FTSW-011-R-01. MD was recovered at some of these locations including a 57mm M70 APT projectile, M49 flare, frag pieces, fuzes, and other assorted MD components. EOD was contacted to remove and dispose of a 90mm M348 HEAT projectile, an AN-M57 250-pound GP bomb, and an 8-inch M106 HE projectile (CMS Report, Section 2.6.2, Page 5).			ents tile,				
						Continued on the N	levt Page

Continued on the Next Page.

Table A Continued

Stakeholder Involvement: xxxxxxx

Description of Pathways for Human and Ecological Receptors: The pathways to both subsurface and surface exposure to MEC are considered complete (CMS Report, Section ES, Page v).

Due to the 25mm projectile, exposure pathways to MPPEH on the surface are considered complete. Due to the MEC in the disposal pit, exposure pathways to MEC in the subsurface are considered complete. There are potentially complete pathways to MC in soil underneath the disposal pit if munitions casings were breached and MC released (RFI Report, Page ES-2).

A variety of subsurface MEC items were found in a disposal pit on the west side of the MRS. Items recovered included a 250-lb GP Bomb, an 8-inch M106 HE projectile, and a 90mm M348 HE anti-tank projectile. There is evidence that MEC remains at the MRS in the disposal pit identified. Therefore, complete MEC exposure pathways exist for all receptors with activities that encounter the subsurface. MPPEH in the form of discarded 25-mm TP-T projectiles represents a MPPEH source (RFI Report, Section 4.4.2.2, Page 4-9).

Potentially complete pathways exist to construction workers via dermal contact and incidental ingestion should an excavation occur and MC be present in soil (RFI Report, Section 4.4.2.3, Page 4-9).

Description of Receptors (Human and Ecological): Receptors considered for MEC at the GLR are: Indoor Facility Workers who occupy FTSW buildings for work purposes; Maintenance & Construction Workers who may perform landscaping, grounds keeping, or excavation activities; Visitors (CMS Report, Section 3.3, Page 8).

Table 1 EHE Module: Munitions Type Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are 11 classifications of munitions and their descriptions. Highlight the scores that correspond with <u>all</u> the munitions types known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Note: The terms *practice munitions, small arms ammunition, physical evidence,* and *historical evidence* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Sensitive	 UXO that are considered most likely to function upon any interaction with exposed persons (e.g., submunitions, 40mm high-explosive [HE] grenades, white phosphorus [WP] munitions, high-explosive antitank [HEAT] munitions, and practice munitions with sensitive fuzes, but excluding all other practice munitions). Hand grenades containing energetic filler. Bulk primary explosives, or mixtures of these with environmental media, such that the mixture poses an explosive hazard. 	30
High explosive (used or damaged)	 UXO containing a high-explosive filler (e.g., RDX, Composition B), that are not considered "sensitive." DMM containing a high-explosive filler that have: Been damaged by burning or detonation Deteriorated to the point of instability. 	25
Pyrotechnic (used or damaged)	 UXO containing a pyrotechnic filler other than white phosphorus (e.g., flares, signals, simulators, smoke grenades). DMM containing a pyrotechnic filler other than white phosphorus (e.g., flares, signals, simulators, smoke grenades) that have: Been damaged by burning or detonation Deteriorated to the point of instability. 	20
High explosive (unused)	 DMM containing a high-explosive filler that: Have not been damaged by burning or detonation Are not deteriorated to the point of instability. 	15
Propellant	 UXO containing mostly single-, double-, or triple-based propellant, or composite propellants (e.g., a rocket motor). DMM containing mostly single-, double-, or triple-based propellant, or composite propellants (e.g., a rocket motor) that are: Damaged by burning or detonation Deteriorated to the point of instability. 	15
Bulk secondary high explosives, pyrotechnics, or propellant	 DMM containing mostly single-, double-, or triple-based propellant, or composite propellants (e.g., a rocket motor). DMM that are bulk secondary high explosives, pyrotechnic compositions, or propellant (not contained in a munition), or mixtures of these with environmental media such that the mixture poses an explosive hazard. 	10
Pyrotechnic (not used or damaged)	 DMM containing a pyrotechnic filler (i.e., red phosphorus), other than white phosphorus filler, that: Have not been damaged by burning or detonation Are not deteriorated to the point of instability. 	10
Practice	 UXO that are practice munitions that are not associated with a sensitive fuze. DMM that are practice munitions that are not associated with a sensitive fuze and that have not: Been damaged by burning or detonation Deteriorated to the point of instability. 	5
Riot control	 UXO or DMM containing a riot control agent filler (e.g., tear gas). 	3
Small arms	 Used munitions or DMM that are categorized as small arms ammunition. (Physical evidence or historical evidence that no other types of munitions [e.g., grenades, subcaliber training rockets, demolition charges] were used or are present on the MRS is required for selection of this category.) 	2
Evidence of no munitions	 Following investigation of the MRS, there is physical evidence that there are no UXO or DMM present, or there is historical evidence indicating that no UXO or DMM are present. 	
MUNITIONS TYPE	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 30).	30

One inert training mine (inconsistent with historical range use) and six good condition, unfired 25mm TP-T projectiles of post 1970s vintage were recovered. The practice mine was discovered at 2-inches bgs and classified as MD. The 25mm TP-T projectiles were discovered along the bank of a runoff ditch and classified as MPPEH (CMS Report, Section 2.6.2, Page 4).

MD was recovered at some of these locations including a 57mm M70 APT projectile, M49 flare, frag pieces, fuzes, and other assorted MD components. The one anomaly that did contain MEC was determined to represent a burial pit. EOD was contacted to remove and dispose of a 90mm M348 HEAT projectile, an AN-M57 250-pound GP bomb, and an 8-inch M106 HE projectile (CMS Report, Section 2.6.2, Page 5).

Table 2 EHE Module: Source of Hazard Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are 11 classifications describing sources of explosive hazards. Highlight the scores that correspond with <u>all</u> the sources of explosive hazards known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Note: The terms *former range, practice munitions, small arms range, physical evidence,* and *historical evidence* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Former range	 The MRS is a former military range where munitions (including practice munitions with sensitive fuzes) have been used. Such areas include impact or target areas and associated buffer and safety zones. 	10
Former munitions treatment (i.e., OB/OD) unit	• The MRS is a location where UXO or DMM (e.g., munitions, bulk explosives, bulk pyrotechnic, or bulk propellants) were burned or detonated for the purpose of treatment prior to disposal.	8
Former practice munitions range	• The MRS is a former military range on which only practice munitions without sensitive fuzes were used.	6
Former maneuver area	 The MRS is a former maneuver area where no munitions other than flares, simulators, smokes, and blanks were used. There must be evidence that no other munitions were used at the location to place an MRS into this category. 	5
Former burial pit or other disposal area	 The MRS is a location where DMM were buried or disposed of (e.g., disposed of into a water body) without prior thermal treatment. 	5
Former industrial operating facilities	 The MRS is a location that is a former munitions maintenance, manufacturing, or demilitarization facility. 	4
Former firing points	 The MRS is a firing point, where the firing point is delineated as an MRS separate from the rest of a former military range. 	4
Former missile or air defense artillery emplacements	 The MRS is a former missile defense or air defense artillery (ADA) emplacement not associated with a military range. 	2
Former storage or transfer points	 The MRS is a location where munitions were stored or handled for transfer between different modes of transportation (e.g., rail to truck, truck to weapon system). 	2
Former small arms range	 The MRS is a former military range where only small arms ammunition was used. (There must be evidence that no other types of munitions [e.g., grenades] were used or are present to place an MRS into this category.) 	1
Evidence of no munitions	 Following investigation of the MRS, there is physical evidence that no UXO or DMM are present, or there is historical evidence indicating that no UXO or DMM are present. 	0
SOURCE OF HAZARD	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 10).	5

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the Source of Hazard classifications

Based on historical records, the majority of GLR was used as firing points for small arms and grenade launchers. No MEC source is associated with these activities. Based on the RFI investigation, however, a portion of the Site was also used as a burial pit for munitions. Therefore, "Burial Pit" was selected to describe the GLR. "Burial Pits" correspond to concentrated quantities of MEC items (CMS Report, Section 2.7.2, Page 6).

The one anomaly that did contain MEC was determined to represent a burial pit. EOD was contacted to remove and dispose of a 90mm M348 HEAT projectile, an AN-M57 250-pound GP bomb, and an 8-inch M106 HE projectile (CMS Report, Section 2.6.2, Page 5).

EHE Module: Location of Munitions Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are eight classifications of munitions locations and their descriptions. Highlight the scores that correspond with <u>all</u> the locations where munitions are known or suspected to be present at the MRS.
 Note: The terms *confirmed*, *surface*, *subsurface*, *small arms ammunition*, *physical evidence*, and *historical evidence* are

Classifiesti	ion	Description
defined i	in Appendix C of the Primer.	
Note. The term		, sman anns annnunnuon, p

Classification	Description	Score
Confirmed surface	 Physical evidence indicates that there are UXO or DMM on the surface of the MRS. Historical evidence (i.e., a confirmed report such as an explosive ordnance disposal [EOD], police, or fire department report that an incident or accident that involved UXO or DMM occurred) indicates there are UXO or DMM on the surface of the MRS. 	25
Confirmed subsurface, active		
Confirmed subsurface, stable	 Physical evidence indicates the presence of UXO or DMM in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena, or intrusive activities at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed. 	
Suspected (physical evidence)	Suspected (physical + There is physical evidence (e.g., munitions debris such as fragments, penetrators, projection, shell excipate links, fine), other than the desumented prosence of UXO are	
Suspected (historical evidence)	• There is historical evidence indicating that UXO or DMM may be present at the MRS.	5
Subsurface, physical constraint	 There is physical or historical evidence indicating that UXO or DMM may be present in the subsurface, but there is a physical constraint (e.g., pavement, water depth over 120 feet) preventing direct access to the UXO or DMM. 	2
Small arms (regardless of location)	 The presence of small arms ammunition is confirmed or suspected, regardless of other factors such as geological stability. (There must be evidence that no other types of munitions [e.g., grenades] were used or are present at the MRS to place an MRS into this category.) 	1
Evidence of no munitions	• Following investigation of the MRS, there is physical evidence that there are no UXO or DMM present, or there is historical evidence indicating that no UXO or DMM are present.	0
LOCATION OF MUNITIONS	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 25).	25

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the Location of Munitions classifications in the space provided.

MD was recovered at some of these locations including a 57mm M70 APT projectile, M49 flare, frag pieces, fuzes, and other assorted MD components. The one anomaly that did contain MEC was determined to represent a burial pit. EOD was contacted to remove and dispose of a 90mm M348 HEAT projectile, an AN-M57 250-pound GP bomb, and an 8-inch M106 HE projectile (CMS Report, Section 2.6.2, Page 5).

MEC was found on the surface and in the subsurface (CMS Report, Table 2-1, Page 5).

Soils at the MRS are not highly eroded, owing to relatively flat terrain and adequate vegetation (CMS Report, Section 2.4, Page 3).

Table 4 EHE Module: Ease of Access Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are four classifications of barrier types that can surround an MRS and their descriptions. The barrier type is directly related to the ease of public access to the MRS. Highlight the score that corresponds with the ease of access to the MRS.

Note: The term *barrier* is defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description Sc	
No barrier	 There is no barrier preventing access to any part of the MRS (i.e., all parts of the MRS are accessible). 	
Barrier to MRS access is incomplete	There is a barrier preventing access to parts of the MRS, but not the entire MRS.	
Barrier to MRS access is complete but not monitored	 There is a barrier preventing access to all parts of the MRS, but there is no surveillance (e.g., by a guard) to ensure that the barrier is effectively preventing access to all parts of the MRS. 	
Barrier to MRS access is complete and monitored	• There is a barrier preventing access to all parts of the MRS, and there is active, continual surveillance (e.g., by a guard, video monitoring) to ensure that the barrier is effectively preventing access to all parts of the MRS.	
EASE OF ACCESS	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 10).	
DIRECTIONS: Document any M provided.	/IRS-specific data used in selecting the <i>Ease of Access</i> classification in the sp	bace
GLR is accessible by people wit Accessibility" (CMS Report, Sec	h access to FTSW, with no fences or posted signage, so it is considered to ha tion 2.7.2, Page 6).	ve "Full

Table 5EHE Module: Status of Property Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are three classifications of the status of a property within the Department of Defense (DoD) and their descriptions. Highlight the score that corresponds with the status of property at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Score
Non-DoD control	 The MRS is at a location that is no longer owned by, leased to, or otherwise possessed or used by DoD. Examples are privately owned land or water bodies; land or water bodies owned or controlled by state, tribal, or local governments; and land or water bodies managed by other federal agencies. 	5
	 The MRS is at a location that is owned by DoD, but that DoD has leased to another entity and for which DoD does not control access 24 hours per day. 	
Scheduled for transfer from DoD control	 The MRS is on land or is a water body that is owned, leased, or otherwise possessed by DoD, and DoD plans to transfer that land or water body to the control of another entity (e.g., a state, tribal, or local government; a private party; another federal agency) within 3 years from the date the Protocol is applied. 	
DoD control • The MRS is on land or is a water body that is owned, leased, or otherwise possessed by DoD. With respect to property that is leased or otherwise possessed, DoD must control access to the MRS 24 hours per day, every day of the calendar year.		0
STATUS OF PROPERTY	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	0
DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the Status of Property classification in the space		
	/IRS-specific data used in selecting the <i>Status of Property</i> classification in the rn portion of the cantonment area, which is the southernmost part of FTSW (CN	·

Report, Section 2.1, Page 2).

Table 6 EHE Module: Population Density Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are three classifications for population density and their descriptions. Determine the population density per square mile that most closely corresponds with the population of the MRS, including the area within a two-mile radius of the MRS's perimeter. Highlight the most appropriate score.

Note: Use the U.S. Census Bureau tract data available to capture the <u>highest</u> population density within a two-mile radius of the perimeter of the MRS.

Classification	Description	Score
> 500 persons per square mile	• There are more than 500 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located.	5
100–500 persons per square mile	 There are 100 to 500 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located. 	3
< 100 persons per square mile	• There are fewer than 100 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located.	1
POPULATION DENSITY	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	5
DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the Population Density classification in the space provided.		
There are 797.1 persons per square mile on Fort Stewart, Georgia		
https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/fortstewartcdpgeorgia/PST045222		

Table 7 EHE Module: Population Near Hazard Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are six classifications describing the number of inhabited structures near the MRS. The number of inhabited buildings relates to the potential population near the MRS. Determine the number of inhabited structures within two miles of the MRS boundary and highlight the score that corresponds with the number of inhabited structures.

Note: The term inhabited structures is defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
26 or more inhabited structures	 There are 26 or more inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both. 	
16 to 25 inhabited structures	 There are 16 to 25 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both. 	4
11 to 15 inhabited structures	 There are 11 to 15 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both. 	3
6 to 10 inhabited structures	 There are 6 to 10 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both. 	
1 to 5 inhabited structures	 There are 1 to 5 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both. 	
0 inhabited structures	 There are no inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both. 	
POPULATION NEAR HAZARD	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Population Near Hazard* classification in the space provided.

There are 26 or more inhabited structures located within 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, there are also several buildings within the boundary of the MRS (CMS Report, Figures 2, 4, & 5, Pages 28, 30 & 31).

Table 8 EHE Module: Types of Activities/Structures Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are five classifications of activities and/or inhabited structures and their descriptions. Review the types of activities that occur and/or structures that are present within two miles of the MRS and highlight the scores that correspond with <u>all</u> the activities/structure classifications at the MRS.

Note: The term *inhabited structure* is defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Residential, educational, commercial, or subsistence		
Parks and recreational areas	 Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with parks, nature preserves, or other recreational uses. 	4
Agricultural, forestry	• Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with agriculture or forestry.	
Industrial or warehousing	 Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with industrial activities or warehousing. 	2
No known or recurring activities	There are no known or recurring activities occurring up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary.	
TYPES OF ACTIVITIES/STRUCTURES	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Types of Activities/Structures* classifications in the space provided.

The GLR is primarily undeveloped forested land and grassland. The developed portion of the MRS contains warehouses and industrial buildings (CMS Report, Section 2.3.2, Page 3).

The MRS comprises warehouses and office facilities (CMS Report, Section 3.3, Page 8).

The FTSW Cantonment area is immediately adjacent to the MRS. Within two miles of the MRS boundary, on FTSW, there are barracks, fire stations, dining facilities. Less than a 1/2 mile to the southwest of the MRS is off-post housing (See FTSW-011-R-01; Map for Table 7 and 8).

EHE Module: Ecological and/or Cultural Resources Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are four classifications of ecological and/or cultural resources and their descriptions. Review the types of resources present and highlight the score that corresponds with the ecological and/or cultural resources present on the MRS.

Note: The terms ecological resources and cultural resources are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score	
Ecological and cultural resources present • There are both ecological and cultural resources present on the MR		5	
Ecological resources present	There are ecological resources present on the MRS.	3	
Cultural resources present	There are cultural resources present on the MRS.	3	
No ecological or cultural resources present on the MRS.		0	
ECOLOGICAL AND/OR CULTURAL RESOURCES DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).		0	
DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the <i>Ecological and/or Cultural Resources</i> classification in the space provided.			
Except for the habitats provided by forested areas, there are no known site-specific, sensitive ecological or cultural resources at this MRS (CMS Report, Section 2.3.2, Page 2).			

Table 10 Determining the EHE Module Rating

DIRECTIONS:

- 1. From Tables 1–9, record the data element scores in the **Score** boxes to the right.
- 2. Add the **Score** boxes for each of the three factors and record this number in the **Value** boxes to the right.
- 3. Add the three **Value** boxes and record this number in the **EHE Module Total** box below.
- 4. Circle the appropriate range for the **EHE Module Total** below.
- 5. Circle the **EHE Module Rating** that corresponds to the range selected and record this value in the **EHE Module Rating** box found at the bottom of the table.

Note:

An alternative module rating may be assigned when a module letter rating is inappropriate. An alternative module rating is used when more information is needed to score one or more data elements, contamination at an MRS was previously addressed, or there is no reason to suspect contamination was ever present at an MRS.

	Source	Score	Value		
Explosive Hazard Factor Data Elements					
Munitions Type	Table 1	30	25		
Source of Hazard	Table 2	5	35		
Accessibility Factor Data Elemen	nts				
Location of Munitions	Table 3	25			
Ease of Access	Table 4	10	35		
Status of Property	Table 5	0			
Receptor Factor Data Elements					
Population Density	Table 6	5			
Population Near Hazard	Table 7	5	45		
Types of Activities/Structures	Table 8	5	15		
Ecological and/or Cultural Resources	Table 9	0			
EHE	MODULE	E TOTAL	85		
EHE Module Total	EHE	Module R	ating		
92 to 100		А			
82 to 91		В			
71 to 81		С			
60 to 70		D			
48 to 59		E			
38 to 47		F			
less than 38	G				
	E	valuation Pe	ending		
Alternative Module Ratings	No I	Longer Requ	uired		
	No Known or Suspected Explosive Hazard				
EHE MODULE RATING		В			

CHE Module: CWM Configuration Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are seven classifications of CWM configuration and their descriptions. Highlight the scores that correspond with <u>all</u> the CWM configurations known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Note: The terms *CWM/UXO*, *CWM/DMM*, *physical evidence*, and *historical evidence* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer

Classification	Description	Score
CWM, that are either UXO, or explosively configured damaged DMM	 The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are: CWM that are UXO (i.e., CWM/UXO) Explosively configured CWM that are DMM (i.e., CWM/DMM) that have been damaged. 	30
CWM mixed with UXO	 The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are undamaged CWM/DMM or CWM not configured as a munition that are commingled with conventional munitions that are UXO. 	25
CWM, explosive configuration that are undamaged DMM	 The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are explosively configured CWM/DMM that have not been damaged. 	20
CWM/DMM, not explosively configured or CWM, bulk container	 The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are: Nonexplosively configured CWM/DMM either damaged or undamaged Bulk CWM (e.g., ton container). 	15
CAIS K941 and CAIS K942	 The CWM/DMM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are CAIS K941-toxic gas set M-1 or CAIS K942-toxic gas set M- 2/E11. 	12
CAIS (chemical agent identification sets)	• CAIS, other than CAIS K941 and K942, are known or suspected of being present at the MRS.	10
Evidence of no CWM	 Following investigation, the physical evidence indicates that CWM are not present at the MRS, or the historical evidence indicates that CWM are not present at the MRS. 	0
CWM CONFIGURATION	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 30).	0

Per the RFI, only conventional munitions were used. There is no historical use of CWM at the MRS and no documentation of CWM use was found during the review of historical documents of FTSW-011-R-01. MD was recovered at some of these locations including a 57mm M70 APT projectile, M49 flare, frag pieces, fuzes, and other assorted MD components. EOD was contacted to remove and dispose of a 90mm M348 HEAT projectile, an AN-M57 250-pound GP bomb, and an 8-inch M106 HE projectile (CMS Report, Section 2.6.2, Page 5).

Tables 12 – 19 are intentionally omitted IAW Army Guidance.

Table 20 Determining the CHE Module Rating

	.	Source	Score	Value	
	CWM Hazard Factor Data Elemer	nts		-	
	CWM Configuration	Table 11	0	0	
the	Sources of CWM	Table 12		0	
	Accessibility Factor Data Elemer	nts			
ch rd	Location of CWM	Table 13			
kes	Ease of Access	Table 14			
	Status of Property	Table 15			
and IE	Receptor Factor Data Elements				
	Population Density	Table 16			
for	Population Near Hazard	Table 17			
W.	Types of Activities/Structures	Table 18			
i ng e	Ecological and/or Cultural Resources	Table 19			
ue in x	CHE MODULE TOTAL 0				
ble.	CHE Module Total	CHE	Module R	ating	
	92 to 100		А		
e ng is	82 to 91		В		
ile on is	71 to 81		С		
	60 to 70		D		
S was c	48 to 59		Е		
as	38 to 47		F		
	less than 38		G		
		Eva	luation Pene	ding	
	Alternative Module Ratings	No L	Longer Requ	lired	
		No Know	n or Suspec Hazard	ted CWM	
	CHE MODULE RATING	No Know	n or Suspeo Hazard	cted CWM	

DIRECTIONS:

- From Tables 11–19, record the data element scores in the Score boxes to the right.
- 2. Add the **Score** boxes for each of the three factors and record this number in the **Value** boxes to the right.
- Add the three Value boxes and record this number in the CHE Module Total box below.
- 4. Circle the appropriate range for the **CHE Module Total** below.
- Circle the CHE Module Rating that corresponds to the range selected and record this value in the CHE Module Rating box found at the bottom of the table.

Note:

An alternative module rating may be assigned when a module letter rating is inappropriate. An alternative module rating is used when more information is needed to score one or more data elements, contamination at an MRS was previously addressed, or there is no reason to suspect contamination was ever present at an MRS.

Table 21 HHE Module: Groundwater Data Element Table

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the **maximum concentrations** of all contaminants in the MRS's groundwater and their **comparison values** (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the **ratios** for each contaminant by dividing the **maximum concentration** by the **comparison value**. Determine the **CHF** by adding the contaminant **ratios** together, including any additional groundwater contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the **CHF**, use the **CHF Scale** to determine and record the **CHF Value**. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard present in the groundwater, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (µg/L)	Comparison Value (μg/L)	Ratios	
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios		
CHF > 100	H (High)		ontaminantl	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)	$CHF = \sum \frac{[Maximum Concentration of C]}{[Communication of C]}$		
2 > CHF	L (Low)	[Comparison Value for Conta	iminantj	
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the CHF Value</u> (maximum value = H).	from above in the box to the right		
	Migratory Pathw			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	y to the groundwater migratory pathway at the		
Classification		cription	Value	
Evident	moving toward, or has moved to a point of expos		Н	
Potential	Contamination in groundwater has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.			
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the groundwater to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).			
MIGRATORY	DIRECTIONS: Record the single high			
PATHWAY FACTOR	right (maximum value = H).			
	Receptor Fa	<u>actor</u> y to the groundwater receptors at the MRS.		
Classification	•		Value	
Classification	Description There is a threatened water supply well downgradient of the source and the groundwater is a current			
Identified	source of drinking water or source of water for other beneficial uses such as irrigation/agriculture (equivalent to Class I or IIA aquifer).			
Potential	There is no threatened water supply well downgradient of the source and the groundwater is currently or potentially usable for drinking water, irrigation, or agriculture (equivalent to Class I, IIA, or IIB aquifer).			
Limited	There is no potentially threatened water supply well downgradient of the source and the groundwater is not considered a potential source of drinking water and is of limited beneficial use (equivalent to Class IIIA or IIIB aquifer, or where perched aquifer exists only).			
RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single high</u> right (maximum value =	n<u>est value</u> from above in the box to the = H).		
	No Kno	wn or Suspected Groundwater MC Hazard		

Media not Sampled.

DIRECTIONS: Record compa record conce togethe use the	arison values (from Appendix B of the Pr ed on Table 27. Calculate and record the ntration by the comparison value . Dete er, including any additional surface water e CHF Scale to determine and record the	n Endpoint Data Element Table	nants can be maximum atios on the CHF, ed MC		
Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (μg/L)	Comparison Value (µg/L)	Ratios		
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios			
CHF > 100	H (High)	Maximum Concentration of Co	ntominantl		
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)	CHF = $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ [Maximum Concentration of Co	ontaminantj		
2 > CHF	L (Low)	[Comparison Value for Conta	minant]		
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the CHF Value</u> (maximum value = H).	from above in the box to the right			
DIRECTIONS: Highlig	<u>Migratory Pathw</u> ht the value that corresponds most closel	r <mark>ay Factor</mark> y to the surface water migratory pathway at	the MRS.		
Classification	Desc	cription	Value		
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the surface water is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.				
Potential	Contamination in surface water has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.				
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the surface water to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).				
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single high</u> right (maximum value =				
DIRECTIONS: Highlig	Receptor Father that corresponds most closel	<u>actor</u> y to the surface water receptors at the MRS.			
Classification	Desc	cription	Value		
Identified	Identified receptors have access to surface water	to which contamination has moved or can move.	Н		
Potential	Potential for receptors to have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can M				
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access or can move.	to surface water to which contamination has moved	L		
RECEPTOR FACTOR	RECEPTOR DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest value</u> from above in the box to				
	No Known or Suspected Su	rface Water (Human Endpoint) MC Hazard			
Media not Sampled.					

Media not Sampled.

	Table 23				
HH	HHE Module: Sediment – Human Endpoint Data Element Table				
values Table 2 concer togethe the CHI	HHE MODULE: Sediment – Human Endpoint Data Element Table <u>Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)</u> DIRECTIONS: Record the maximum concentrations of all contaminants in the MRS's sediment and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional sediment contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with human endpoints present in the sediment, select the box at the bottom of the table.				
Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (mg/kg)	Comparison Value (mg/kg)	Ratios		
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios			
CHF > 100	H (High)	Maximum Concentration of C	ontaminant]		
100 > CHF > 2	H (High) [Maximum Concentration of Content M (Medium) CHF = [Maximum Concentration of Content L (Low) [Comparison Value for Contant				
2 > CHF		-	annnantj		
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTORDIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value maximum value = H).from above in the box to the right					
HAZARDTACTOR					
	Migratory Pathw	vay Factor Iy to the sediment migratory pathway at the N	MRS.		
	<u>Migratory Pathw</u> It the value that corresponds most closel		MRS. Value		
DIRECTIONS: Highligh	<u>Migratory Pathw</u> In the value that corresponds most closel Des Analytical data or observable evidence indicates moving toward, or has moved to a point of expos	y to the sediment migratory pathway at the N cription that contamination in the sediment is present at, sure.			
DIRECTIONS: Highligh	Migratory Pathw In the value that corresponds most closel Des Analytical data or observable evidence indicates moving toward, or has moved to a point of expose Contamination in sediment has moved only sligh	y to the sediment migratory pathway at the N cription that contamination in the sediment is present at,	Value		
DIRECTIONS: Highligh Classification Evident	Migratory Pathw In the value that corresponds most closel Des Analytical data or observable evidence indicates moving toward, or has moved to a point of expos Contamination in sediment has moved only sligh but is not moving appreciably, or information is n Confined. Information indicates a low potential for contamir	y to the sediment migratory pathway at the N cription that contamination in the sediment is present at, sure. tly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move	Value H		
DIRECTIONS: Highligh Classification Evident Potential	Migratory Pathw In the value that corresponds most closel Des Analytical data or observable evidence indicates moving toward, or has moved to a point of expos Contamination in sediment has moved only sligh but is not moving appreciably, or information is n Confined. Information indicates a low potential for contamir potential point of exposure (possibly due to the p	y to the sediment migratory pathway at the N cription that contamination in the sediment is present at, sure. tly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move ot sufficient to make a determination of Evident or mant migration from the source via the sediment to a presence of geological structures or physical controls). hest value from above in the box to the	Value H		
DIRECTIONS: Highligh Classification Evident Potential Confined MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	Migratory Pathw In the value that corresponds most closel Des Analytical data or observable evidence indicates moving toward, or has moved to a point of expose Contamination in sediment has moved only sligh but is not moving appreciably, or information is n Confined. Information indicates a low potential for contamin potential point of exposure (possibly due to the p DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single high</u> right (maximum value = <u>Receptor F</u> Int the value that corresponds most closel	y to the sediment migratory pathway at the N cription that contamination in the sediment is present at, sure. ty beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move ot sufficient to make a determination of Evident or mant migration from the source via the sediment to a resence of geological structures or physical controls). hest value = H).	Value H		
DIRECTIONS: Highligh Classification Evident Potential Confined MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR DIRECTIONS: Highligh	Migratory Pathw In the value that corresponds most closel Des Analytical data or observable evidence indicates moving toward, or has moved to a point of expose Contamination in sediment has moved only sligh but is not moving appreciably, or information is n Confined. Information indicates a low potential for contamin potential point of exposure (possibly due to the p DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single high</u> right (maximum value = <u>Receptor F</u> Int the value that corresponds most closel	y to the sediment migratory pathway at the N cription that contamination in the sediment is present at, sure. ty beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move ot sufficient to make a determination of Evident or mant migration from the source via the sediment to a presence of geological structures or physical controls). hest value = H). actor by to the sediment receptors at the MRS. cription	Value H M L		
DIRECTIONS: Highligh Classification Evident Potential Confined MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR DIRECTIONS: Highligh Classification	Migratory Pathw In the value that corresponds most closel Des Analytical data or observable evidence indicates moving toward, or has moved to a point of expos Contamination in sediment has moved only sligh but is not moving appreciably, or information is n Confined. Information indicates a low potential for contamir potential point of exposure (possibly due to the p DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single high</u> right (maximum value = Meceptor Front the value that corresponds most closel Des Identified receptors have access to sediment to value	y to the sediment migratory pathway at the N cription that contamination in the sediment is present at, sure. ty beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move ot sufficient to make a determination of Evident or mant migration from the source via the sediment to a presence of geological structures or physical controls). hest value = H). actor by to the sediment receptors at the MRS. cription	Value H L Value		
DIRECTIONS: Highligh Classification Evident Potential Confined MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR DIRECTIONS: Highligh Classification Identified	Migratory Pathw In the value that corresponds most closel Des Analytical data or observable evidence indicates moving toward, or has moved to a point of expose Contamination in sediment has moved only sligh but is not moving appreciably, or information is n Confined. Information indicates a low potential for contamin potential point of exposure (possibly due to the p DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single high</u> right (maximum value = Meceptor Front the value that corresponds most closel Des Identified receptors have access to sediment to w Potential for receptors to have access to sediment	y to the sediment migratory pathway at the N cription that contamination in the sediment is present at, sure. Ity beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move ot sufficient to make a determination of Evident or mant migration from the source via the sediment to a presence of geological structures or physical controls). hest value from above in the box to the H). actor by to the sediment receptors at the MRS. cription which contamination has moved or can move.	Value H L Value H		
DIRECTIONS: Highligh Classification Evident Potential Confined MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR DIRECTIONS: Highligh Classification Identified Potential	Migratory Pathw In the value that corresponds most closel Desc Analytical data or observable evidence indicates moving toward, or has moved to a point of expose Contamination in sediment has moved only sligh but is not moving appreciably, or information is n Confined. Information indicates a low potential for contamin potential point of exposure (possibly due to the p DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single high</u> right (maximum value = Meceptor F Int the value that corresponds most closel Desc Identified receptors have access to sediment to v Potential for receptors to have access to sediment Little or no potential for receptors to have access	y to the sediment migratory pathway at the N cription that contamination in the sediment is present at, sure. Ity beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move ot sufficient to make a determination of Evident or nant migration from the source via the sediment to a presence of geological structures or physical controls). hest value from above in the box to the H). cription which contamination has moved or can move. to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move. to sediment to which contamination has moved or hest value from above in the box to to sediment to which contamination has moved or the sediment to which cont	Value H L Value H M		
DIRECTIONS: Highligh Classification Evident Potential Confined MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR DIRECTIONS: Highligh Classification Identified Potential Limited RECEPTOR	Migratory Pathw In the value that corresponds most closel Desc Analytical data or observable evidence indicates moving toward, or has moved to a point of expose Contamination in sediment has moved only sligh but is not moving appreciably, or information is n Confined. Information indicates a low potential for contamin potential point of exposure (possibly due to the p DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single high</u> right (maximum value = Meceptor F Int the value that corresponds most closel Desc Identified receptors have access to sediment to v Potential for receptors to have access to sediment Little or no potential for receptors to have access can move. DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single high</u> the right (maximum value)	y to the sediment migratory pathway at the N cription that contamination in the sediment is present at, sure. Ity beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move ot sufficient to make a determination of Evident or nant migration from the source via the sediment to a presence of geological structures or physical controls). hest value from above in the box to the H). cription which contamination has moved or can move. to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move. to sediment to which contamination has moved or hest value from above in the box to to sediment to which contamination has moved or the sediment to which cont	Value H L Value H M		

Table 24 HHE Module: Surface Water – Ecological Endpoint Data Element Table					
	•	•			
Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF) DIRECTIONS: Record the maximum concentrations of all contaminants in the MRS's surface water and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional surface water contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with ecological endpoints present in the surface water, select the box at the bottom of the table.					
Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (μg/L)	Comparison Value (µg/L)	Ratios		
		(M3)			
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum the Ratios			
CHF > 100	H (High)	Maximum Concentration of Co	ntominontl		
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)	$CHF = \sum \frac{[Maximum Concentration of Concentration]}{[Maximum Concentration]}$	ontaminantj		
2 > CHF	L (Low)	[Comparison Value for Conta	minant]		
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the CHF Value</u> (maximum value = H).	from above in the box to the right			
DIRECTIONS: Highligh	Migratory Pathw nt the value that corresponds most closel	a <mark>y Factor</mark> y to the surface water migratory pathway at t	he MRS.		
Classification	Des	cription	Value		
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the surface water is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.				
Potential	Contamination in surface water has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident M or Confined.				
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the surface water to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical L controls).				
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).				
DIRECTIONS: Highligh	Receptor Fa				
Classification Description Value					
Identified		to which contamination has moved or can move.	Value		
	Potential for receptors to have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can M				
Potential		water to which contamination has moved or can	Н		
Potential Limited	move.	water to which contamination has moved or can to surface water to which contamination has moved	Н		
	move. Little or no potential for receptors to have access or can move. DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single high</u> right (maximum value =	to surface water to which contamination has moved nest value from above in the box to the	H M		

Media not Sampled.

Table 25 HHE Module: Sediment – Ecological Endpoint Data Element Table **Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)** DIRECTIONS: Record the maximum concentrations of all contaminants in the MRS's sediment and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the **ratios** for each contaminant by dividing the **maximum** concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional sediment contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with ecological endpoints present in the sediment, select the box at the bottom of the table. Contaminant Maximum Concentration (mg/kg) Comparison Value (mg/kg) Ratios **CHF Scale CHF** Value Sum the Ratios CHF = **CHF** = **CHF** [Maximum Concentration of Contaminant] CHF > 100 H (High) 100 > CHF > 2 M (Medium) [Comparison Value for Contaminant] 2 > CHF L (Low) CONTAMINANT DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value from above in the box to the right **HAZARD FACTOR** (maximum value = H).Migratory Pathway Factor **DIRECTIONS:** Highlight the value that corresponds most closely to the sediment migratory pathway at the MRS. Classification Description Value Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the sediment is present at, **Evident** Н moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure. Contamination in sediment has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move Potential but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Μ Confined. Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the sediment to a Confined L potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls). MIGRATORY DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the **PATHWAY FACTOR** right (maximum value = H). **Receptor Factor DIRECTIONS:** Highlight the value that corresponds most closely to the sediment receptors at the MRS. Classification Value Description Identified receptors have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move. Identified Н Potential for receptors to have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move. Potential Μ Little or no potential for receptors to have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or Limited L can move. RECEPTOR **DIRECTIONS:** Record **the single highest value** from above in the box to the FACTOR right (maximum value = H). No Known or Suspected Sediment (Ecological Endpoint) MC Hazard

Media not Sampled.

Table 26 HHE Module: Surface Soil Data Element Table Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the maximum concentrations of all contaminants in the MRS's surface soil and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF by adding the contaminant ratios together, including any additional surface soil contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the CHF, use the CHF Scale to determine and record the CHF Value. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard present in the surface soil, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (mg/kg)	n (mg/kg) Comparison Value (mg/kg)	
Aluminum	6540	77000	.085
Antimony	.25	31	.008
Copper	27	3100	.009
Lead	61.4	400	.154
Zinc	41.8	23000	.002
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum the Ratios	.258
CHF > 100 100 > CHF > 2	H (High) M (Medium)	CHF = $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ [Maximum Concentration of Co	ontaminant]
2 > CHF	L (Low)	[Comparison Value for Conta	minant]
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the CHF Value</u> (maximum value = H		L

Migratory Pathway Factor

DIRECTIONS: Highlight the value that corresponds most closely to the surface soil migratory pathway at the MRS.

Classification	Description		
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the surface soil is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.	Н	
Potential	Contamination in surface soil has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.	М	
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the surface soil to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).	L	
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	М	

Receptor Factor

DIRECTIONS: Highlight the value that corresponds most closely to the surface soil receptors at the MRS.

Classification	Description		
Identified	Identified receptors have access to surface soil to which contamination has moved or can move.	Н	
Potential	Potential for receptors to have access to surface soil to which contamination has moved or can move.	М	
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to surface soil to which contamination has moved or can move.	L	
RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	М	
	No Known or Suspected Surface Soil MC Hazard		

MC Sampling Data can be found in the CS Report, Table 4-9, Page 90).

HHE Module: Supplemental Contaminant Hazard Factor Table

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Only use this table if there are more than five contaminants in any given medium present at the MRS. This is a supplemental table designed to hold information about contaminants that do not fit in the previous tables. Indicate the media in which these contaminants are present. Then record all contaminants, their maximum concentrations and their comparison values (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Calculate and record the ratio for each contaminant by dividing the maximum concentration by the comparison value. Determine the CHF for each medium on the appropriate media-specific tables.

Note: Do not add ratios from different media.

Media	Contaminant	Maximum Concentration	Comparison Value	Ratio

Determining the HHE Module Rating

DIRECTIONS:

- 1. Record the letter values (H, M, L) for the **Contaminant Hazard**, **Migration Pathway**, and **Receptor Factors** for the media (from Tables 21–26) in the corresponding boxes below.
- 2. Record the media's three-letter combinations in the **Three-Letter Combination** boxes below (three-letter combinations are arranged from Hs to Ms to Ls).
- 3. Using the **HHE Ratings** provided below, determine each media's rating (A–G) and record the letter in the corresponding **Media Rating** box below.

Media (Source)	Contaminant Hazard Factor Value	Migratory Pathway Factor Value	Receptor Factor Value	Three-Letter Combination (Hs-Ms-Ls)	Media Rating (A-G)
Groundwater (Table 21)					
Surface Water/Human Endpoint (Table 22)					
Sediment/Human Endpoint (Table 23)					
Surface Water/Ecological Endpoint (Table 24)					
Sediment/Ecological Endpoint (Table 25)					
Surface Soil (Table 26)	L	М	М	MML	E

DIRECTIONS (cont.):

4. Select the single highest Media Rating (A is highest; G is lowest) and enter the letter in the **HHE Module Rating** box.

Note:

An alternative module rating may be assigned when a module letter rating is inappropriate. An alternative module rating is used when more information is needed to score one or more media, contamination at an MRS was previously addressed, or there is no reason to suspect contamination was ever present at an MRS.

HHE MODULE RATING

HHE Ratings (for reference only)

Ε

	3,	
Combination	Rating	
ННН	А	
ННМ	В	
HHL	С	
НММ		
HML	D	
MMM		
HLL	E	
MML		
MLL	F	
LLL	G	
Alternative Module Ratings	Evaluation Pending	
Note: Surface soil sampling results were determined to not pose a risk to human	No Longer Required	
receptors.	No Known or Suspected MC Hazard	

Alternative 3 provides the most effective reduction in hazard associated with MEC and MC at the Site. Additionally, Alternative 3 results in the fewest long-term land use restrictions while still mitigating exposure to MEC and MC. MC sampling would require, at minimum, representative samples from the burial pit area after removal action is complete (CMS Report, Section 5.1, Page 16).

Table 29 MRS Priority

- **DIRECTIONS:** In the chart below, highlight the letter **rating** for each module recorded in Table 10 (EHE), Table 20 (CHE), and Table 28 (HHE). Highlight the corresponding numerical **priority** for each module. If information to determine the module rating is not available, choose the appropriate alternative module rating. The MRS Priority is the single highest priority; record this relative priority in the **MRS Priority or Alternative MRS Rating** at the bottom of the table.
- **Note:** An MRS assigned Priority 1 has the highest relative priority; an MRS assigned Priority 8 has the lowest relative priority. Only an MRS with CWM known or suspected to be present can be assigned Priority 1; an MRS that has CWM known or suspected to be present cannot be assigned Priority 8.

EHE Rating	Priority	CHE Rating	Priority	HHE Rating	Priority
		А	1		
А	2	В	2	A	2
В	3	С	3	В	3
С	4	D	4	С	4
D	5	E	5	D	5
E	6	F	6	E	6
F	7	G	7	F	7
G	8			G	8
Evaluation Pending		Evaluation Pending		Evaluation Pending	
No Longer Required		No Longer Required		No Longer Required	
No Known or Suspected Explosive Hazard		No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard		No Known or Suspected MC Hazard	
MRS PRIORITY or ALTERNATIVE MRS RATING				3	