#### FINAL

## CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN - PART A REPORT FOR UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS 48 & 49 FACILITY ID #9-089054 BUILDING 1175 FORT STEWART, GEORGIA

**Prepared for:** 

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers - Savannah District and Fort Stewart Directorate of Public Works Under Contract Number DACA21-95-D-0022 Delivery Order 0024

Prepared by:

Science Applications International Corporation 800 Oak Ridge Turnpike Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831

December 1998

98-160PS(doc-48&49-4si)/091898

\*

)

)

)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## <u>Page</u>

LI	IST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	v
I.	PLAN CERTIFICATION	
1.	A. UST Owner/Operator Certification	1
	B Registered Professional Engineer on Professional Cooleniet Contification	.1
	B. Registered Professional Engineer or Professional Geologist Certification	.1
II.		.2
	A. Initial Abatement	.2
	B. Free Product Removal	2
	C. Tank History	3
	D. Initial Site Characterization	3
	1. Regulated Substance Released	3
	2. Source(s) of Contamination	3
	3. Local Water Resources	4
	a. Groundwater Pollution Susceptibility Area	4
	b. Public and Non-Public Water Supplies	4
	c. Surface Water Supplies and Sewers	- -
	4. Impacted Environmental Media	1
	a. Soil Impacted	4
	b. Groundwater Impacted	5
	c. Surface Water Impacted	6
	d. Point of Withdrawal Impacted	6
	5. Other Geologic/Hydrogeologic Data	6
	a. Depth to Groundwater	6
	b. Groundwater Flow Direction	6
	c. Hydraulic Gradient	6
	d. Geophysical Province	6
	e. Unique Geologic/Hydrogeological Conditions	6
	6. Corrective Action Completed or In-Progress	б
	a. Underground Storage Tank System Closure	6
	b. Excavation and Treatment/Disposal of Backfill Materials and Native Soils	7
	7. Site Ranking	7
	8. Conclusions and Recommendations	7
	a. No Further Action Required	7
	b. Monitoring Only	7
	c. CAP-B	1
***		
ш.	MONITORING ONLY PLAN	\$
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<
	<ul> <li>B. Period/Frequency of Monitoring and Reporting</li></ul>	1
		6
		1
	E. Scenarios for Site Closure or CAP-Part B	÷

.

5/98

2

IV.	SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN	8
	2. Groundwater.	
	a. Free Product	
	b. Dissolved Phase	
	3. Surface Water	
	B. Proposed Investigation of Vadose Zone and Aquifer Characteristics	9
V.	PUBLIC NOTICE	10
VI.	CLAIM FOR REIMBURSEMENT	10

## List of Appendices

<b>APPENDI</b>	X I: REPORT FIGURES	I-1			
Figure 1.	Location Map of USTs 48 & 49, Fort Stewart, Liberty County, Georgia	I-3			
Figure 2.	Figure 2. Site Plan for the USTs 48 & 49, Building 1175 Site Investigation				
Figure 3.	Map Showing Public and Private Drinking Water Sources and Surface Water				
	Bodies at Fort Stewart, Liberty County, Georgia	I-5			
Figure 4.	Soil Quality Map of the USTs 48 & 49, Building 1175 Site	I-7			
Figure 5.	Groundwater Quality Map of the USTs 48 & 49, Building 1175 Site	I-9			
Figure 6.	Potentiometric Surface Map of the USTs 48 & 49, Building 1175 Site	I-11			
Figure 7.	UST System Closure Sampling Locations at the USTs 48 & 49, Building 1175 Site	I-12			
Figure 8.	Proposed Additional Boring/Monitoring Well Locations	I-13			
Figure 9.	Tax Map	I-14			
APPENDIX	X II: REPORT TABLES	II-1			
Table 1.	Free Product Removal	II-3			
Table 2a.	Soil Analytical Results (Volatile Organic Compounds)	II-4			
Table 2b.	Soil Analytical Results (Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons)				
Table 3a.	Groundwater Analytical Results (Volatile Organic Compounds)	II-6			
Table 3b.	Groundwater Analytical Results (Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons)	II-6			
Table 4.	Groundwater Elevations	II-7			
Table 5a.	UST System Closure - Soil Analytical Results (Volatile Organic Compounds)	H-8			
Table 5b.	UST System Closure - Soil Analytical Results (Polynuclear Aromatic				
	Hydrocarbons)	II-9			
Table 6a.	UST System Closure - Groundwater Analytical Results (Volatile Organic				
	Compounds)	II-10			
Table 6b.	UST System Closure - Groundwater Analytical Results (Polynuclear				
	Aromatic Hydrocarbons)	II-10			

. •...

-

....

5/98

APPENDIX III:	WATER RESOURCES SURVEY DOCUMENTATION
APPENDIX IV:	SOIL BORING LOGS IV-1
APPENDIX V:	SOIL LABORATORY RESULTS V-1
APPENDIX VI:	ALTERNATE THRESHOLD LEVEL (ATL) CALCULATIONS
APPENDIX VII:	MONITORING WELL DETAILS
APPENDIX VIII:	GROUNDWATER LABORATORY RESULTS
APPENDIX IX:	CONTAMINATED SOIL DISPOSAL MANIFESTS IX-1
APPENDIX X:	SITE RANKING FORM
APPENDIX XI:	COPIES OF PUBLIC NOTIFICATION LETTERS AND CERTIFIED RECEIPTS OR NEWSPAPER NOTICE

## Attachments

A	TECHNICAL APPROACH	Α	-1
B	REFERENCES	B	3-1

.

## List of Abbreviations and Acronyms

ACE	Anderson Columbia Environmental, Inc.
ACL	alternate concentration limit
AMSL	above mean sea level
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
ATL	alternate threshold level
BDL	below detection limit
BGS	below ground surface
BTEX	benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene
CAP	Corrective Action Plan
DPW	Directorate of Public Works
DRO	diesel-range organics
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
GA EPD	Georgia Environmental Protection Division
GRO	gasoline-range organics
ID	inside diameter
IDW	investigation-derived waste
MCL	maximum contaminant levels

Ŷ

.

ţ,

•

N/A	not applicable
NRC	no regulatory criteria
ÓVM	organic vapor meter
РАН	polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon
PID	photoionization detection
PVC	polyvinyl chloride
7	Science Applications International Corporation
SVOC	semivolatile organic compound
ТРН	total petroleum hydrocarbon
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
UST	underground storage tank
USTMP	
	Underground Storage Tank Management Program
VOC	volatile organic compound

٠.

# **CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN PART A**

 Facility Name: USTs 48 & 49, Building 1175
 Street Address: Tilman Avenue and W. 4th Street

 Facility ID: 9-089054
 City: Fort Stewart
 County: Liberty
 Zip Code: 31314

 Latitude: 31°51′41″
 Longitude: 81°37′01″

 Submitted by UST Owner/Operator:
 Brongrad by Consultant/

Submitted by UST Owner/Operator:	Prepared by Consultant/Contractor:		
Name: Thomas C. Fry/ Environmental Branch	Name: Patricia A. Stoll		
Company: U.S. Army/HQ 3d, Inf. Div (Mech)	Company: SAIC		
Address: DPW ENRD ENV. Br. (Fry)	Address: P.O. Box 2502		
1557 Frank Cochran Drive			
City: Fort Stewart State: GA	City: Oak Ridge State: TN		
Zip Code: 31314-4928	Zip Code: 37831		
Telephone: (912) 767-1078	Telephone: (423) 481-8791		

#### I. PLAN CERTIFICATION:

#### A. UST Owner/Operator Certification

I hereby certify that the information contained in this plan and in all the attachments is true, accurate, and the plan satisfies all criteria and requirements of rule 391-3-15-09 of the Georgia Rules for Underground Storage Tank Management.

Name: THOMAS C. Name: THOMAS C. FRY Signature: On Day of H Swonon Date: 12/22/98

### B. Registered Professional Engineer or Professional Geologist Certification

I hereby certify that I have directed and supervised the field work and preparation of this plan, in accordance with State Rules and Regulations. As a registered professional geologist and/or professional engineer, I certify that I am a qualified groundwater professional, as defined by the Georgia State Board of Professional Geologists. All of the information and laboratory data in this plan and in all of the attachments are true, accurate, complete, and in accordance with applicable State Rules and Regulations.

Name: Patricia A. Stoll Signature: 12 Date:



CAPA.FORM 98-160P(doc-48&49-4si)091898

YES

NO

Х

General: READ THE GUIDANCE DOCUMENT FOR CAP PART-A BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM. FAILURE TO READ THE GUIDANCE DOCUMENT WILL MOST LIKELY RESULT IN PREPARATION OF AN UNACCEPTABLE REPORT. All text, figures, and tables requested in their respective sections should be prepared strictly in accordance with the Georgia EPD CAP-A guidance document. Please fill out this form as provided. Do not change the size of the fields or alter the placement of each section on each page.

(Appendix I: All Report Figures) (Appendix II: All Report Tables)

### II. INITIAL RESPONSE REPORT

#### A. Initial Abatement

Were initial abatement actions initiated? If Yes, please summarize. If No, please explain why not.

Actions were not required to abate imminent hazards and/or emergency conditions at the USTs 48 & 49 site. Therefore, contaminant migration and release prevention, fire and vapor migration, or emergency free product removal was not performed prior to, or during, the removal of USTs 48 & 49.

#### **B.** Free Product Removal

(Table 1: Summary of Free Product Removal – must include Free Product thickness in each well in which it was detected, and volume of product removed)

Free Product Detected?	YES	NO	X
If Yes, please summarize free product recovery efforts.	·,		

Continuing free product recovery proposed?	YES	NO	X
If yes, please indicate the method and frequency of removal.			

3/22/95

#### C. Tank History

List current and former UST's operated at site based on owner/operator knowledge consistent with EPA 7530-1 Form). Systems must be illustrated on Figure 2 (Site Plan), as described in section D below.

#### CURRENT UST SYSTEMS (if applicable)

<u>Tank ID Number</u> N/A	<u>Capacity (gal)</u> N/A	<u>Substance</u> <u>Stored</u> N/A	<u>Age (yrs)</u> N/A	<u>Meets 1998 Upgrade</u> <u>Standards (Yes/No)</u> N/A
	FORMER UST	<u> SYSTEMS (if</u>	applicable)	
<u>Tank ID Number</u> 48	<u>Capacity (gal)</u> 5000	Substance S Gasolin		ate Removed 3/22/95

Diesel

#### D. Initial Site Characterization

49

(Figure 1: Vicinity/Location Map) (Figure 2: Site Plan)

1. Regulated Substance Released (gasoline, diesel, used oil, etc.): gasoline, diesel Discuss how this determination was made and circumstances of discovery.

5000

Characterization of petroleum-related contamination at the site was initiated during UST system closure activities on March 22, 1995, by Anderson Columbia Environmental, Inc (ACE). The ancillary piping was closed in-place due to the fact that it was covered with 12 inches of high strength concrete. After removal of the tanks, four soil samples and one groundwater sample were collected from the tank pit (Figure 7). In several soil samples, the method detection limit for benzene exceeded applicable soil threshold levels. Other soil contaminant concentrations were below applicable soil threshold levels. The groundwater concentrations for BTEX were below their respective MCLs.

As requested by GA EPD, additional closure sampling was performed in June 1997. Seven soil samples were collected from the tank pit, along the piping lines, and the dispenser island. One groundwater sample was collected from the tank pit. Soil sample 48-S2-4.75 contained 0.388 mg/kg of benzene, 0.517 mg/kg of SVOCs, and 282 mg/kg of TPH. Other soil contaminant concentrations were below applicable soil threshold levels. The groundwater concentrations for BTEX were below their respective MCLs.

2. Source(s) of Contamination: <u>Unknown; piping leakage or tank overflow suspected</u> Discuss how this determination was made.

A detailed schematic diagram illustrating the former USTs 48 & 49 and ancillary piping as configured during operation is presented in Figure 2. However, during removal activities by ACE, no holes in the tank were reported. Therefore, the source of contamination is believed to have been leakage and/or tank overflow.

#### Local Water Resources

(Figure 3: Quadrangle Map – Public and Private drinking water and surface water) (Appendix III: Water resources survey documentation, including, but not limited to: USGS database search, interview forms, and documentation of field survey)

а.	Site located in high/average	$\mathbf{X}$	OR low	groundwater pollution
	susceptibility area?			

b.	Water Supplies within applicable radii?	YES	Х	NO
	If yes,		1100	
	<i>i.</i> Nearest public water supply located within:		1100	)feet
	ii. Nearest down-gradient public water supply lo within:	ocated	7500	) feet
	iii. Nearest non-public water supply located within:	-	>2,64	0 feet
	iv. Nearest down-gradient non-public water supply lo within:	cated	>2,64	40 feet
с.	Surface Water Bodies and sewers:			
	i. Nearest surface water located within		900	feet
	ii. Nearest down-gradient surface water located withi	in -	3500	) feet
	iii. Nearest storm or sanitary sewer located within:	-	40	 feet
	iv. Depth to bottom of sewer at a point nearest the plu	ume _	est. 4	6 feet

#### 4. <u>Impacted Environmental Media</u>

#### a. Soil Impacted

(Table 2: Soil Analysis Results) (Figure 4: Soil Quality Map) (Appendix IV: Soil Boring Logs) (Appendix V: Soil Laboratory Reports) (Appendix VI: ATL Calculations, if applicable)

#### Provide a brief discussion of soil sampling.

4

Continuous soil cores were collected at 2.0-foot intervals during the installation of five boreholes. Field headspace gas analyses were performed on each sample to determine the organic vapor concentration. Two soil samples were selected from each borehole for laboratory chemical analysis of BTEX, TPH, and polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH). In boreholes where organic vapors were detected, one sample was collected from the 2.0-foot interval where the highest vapor concentration was recorded, and the other from the deepest 2.0-foot interval with the lowest concentration. If organic vapors were not detected, one sample was collected from the 2.0-foot interval nearest the midpoint of the boring, and the other from the 2.0-foot interval located immediately above the water table. Refer to Attachment A for complete documentation of the technical approach implemented during this investigation.

3.

i. Soil contamination above applicable threshold levels?

YES NO X If yes, indicate highest concentrations in soil along with locations and depths detected.

- *ii. ATLs calculated?* YES <u>NO X</u> If yes, present ATLs.
- iii. If ATL's calculated, is soil contamination above ATL's?

YES NO N/A X

b. Groundwater Impacted (Table 3: Groundwater Analysis Results) (Figure 5: Groundwater Quality Map) (Appendix VII: Monitoring Well Details) (Appendix VIII: Groundwater Laboratory Results)

Provide a brief discussion of groundwater sampling.

At each borehole location, except the vertical profile boring, one groundwater sample was collected from the water table to approximately 5.0 feet below the water table using a direct-push sampling device. At the vertical profile location (79-05), soil samples were collected every 5 feet below the water table until several soil sample intervals indicated a headspace gas measurement of zero. Chemical parameters for groundwater samples submitted for laboratory analysis included BTEX and PAH. Refer to Attachment A for complete documentation of the technical approach used to collect groundwater samples.

i. Groundwater contamination above MCLs? YES X NO ii. Groundwater contamination above In-Stream Water Quality Standards?

If yes, indicate highest concentrations in groundwater along with the locations.

Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes were detected in borings 79-01 at 940  $\mu$ g/L, 15,600  $\mu$ g/L, 3980  $\mu$ g/L, and 23,800  $\mu$ g/L, respectively. All of these concentrations exceeded their respective MCLs. Benzene was also detected in borings 79-02, 79-03, and 79-04 at concentrations exceeding the MCL, but less than the concentration in 79-01.

- c. Surface Water Impacted? YES NO X If Yes, indicate concentration(s) of surface water sample(s) taken from the surface water body/bodies impacted.
- d. Point of Withdrawal Impacted? YES NO N/A X If Yes, indicate concentration(s) of water sample(s) taken from withdrawal point(s).

### 5. Other Geologic/Hydrogeologic Data

a. Depth to Groundwater (ft BTOC):	6.15 to 6.87	(Table 4: Groundwater Elevations)
b. Groundwater Flow Direction:	northwest	(Figure 6: Potentiometric Surface Map)
c. Hydraulic Gradient	0.0063 ft/ft	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
d. Geophysical Province:	coastal plain	
e Unique geologic/hydrological c	onditions T	he Uawthorn Formation acts as a

e. Unique geologic/hydrological conditions: The Hawthorn Formation acts as a confining unit between the surficial and Floridan aquifers

6. <u>Corrective Action Completed or In-Progress</u> (if applicable) (Table 5: UST System Closure Sampling) (Figure 7: UST System Closure Sampling) (Appendix IX: Contaminated Soil Disposal Manifests)

> a. Underground Storage Tank (UST) System Closure: N/A If applicable, summarize UST system closure activities conducted.

> > 6

ACE removed USTs 48 & 49 on March 22, 1995. The UST piping was drained into the tank, and all gasoline and diesel were subsequently removed using a vacuum truck and/or compressor-driven barrel vacuum device. A backhoe was used to excavate down to the tank top. All lines were capped except the fill and vent. After the tank atmosphere was tested with a combustible gas indicator, all accessible tank openings were capped and the tanks were lifted from the excavation pit. The ancillary piping was closed in-place due to the fact that it was covered with 12 inches of high strength concrete. In-place closure consisted of purging the lines and grouting the ends at the tanks and dispensers.

b. Excavation and Treatment/Disposal of Backfill Materials and Native Soils Check one: No UST removal performed Returned to UST excavation Excavated soils treated or disposal off site X If soils were excavated, summarize excavation and treatment/disposal activities:

It should be noted that all contaminated soil removed during the entire project (i.e., all USTs removed under contract with ACE, to include clean and nonclean closures) was tested in accordance with the disposal facility requirements and transported to Kedesh, Inc., Highway 84, Ludowici, GA, 31316. The Installation has records of all manifests and weight tickets for this project. However, site/UST-specific information is not available.

7.	En	<u>Ranking:</u> vironmental Site Sensitivity Score: 2500 opendix X: Site Ranking Form)	
8. <u>Co</u>		sions and Recommendations mplete applicable section below, one section only	
	a.	No Further Action Required (if applicable) (provide justification)	N/A
	b.	Monitoring Only (if applicable)	NY/A
	D.	(provide justification)	N/A <u>X</u>
	c.	CAP-B (if applicable) (provide justification)	N/A
	tive MCLs and		

the horizontal extent of groundwater contamination was not determined

7

during the CAP-Part A investigation.

### III. MONITORING ONLY PLAN (if applicable):

N/A X\_\_\_\_

- A. Monitoring points
- B. Period/Frequency of monitoring and reporting
- C. Monitoring Parameters
- D. Milestone Schedule
- E. Scenarios for site closure or CAP-Part B

### IV. SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN (if applicable): (Figure 8: Proposed additional boring/monitoring well location)

N/A \_\_\_\_\_

### A. Proposed Investigation of Horizontal and Vertical Extent of Contamination In:

1. Soil

N/A

Six soil borings will be installed, two downgradient, one upgradient, two side gradient, and one in the area of highest CAP-Part A contamination. Two soil samples will be collected from each borehole and analyzed for BTEX, PAH, TPH-diesel-range organics (DRO), and TPH-gasoline-range organics (GRO).

A underground utility line is located approximately 40 feet to the northeast of the former tank pit. Groundwater is not flowing in the direction of this line, thus sampling along and adjacent to this line is not recommended at this time.

#### 2. Groundwater

a. Free Product

N/A

Each of the six soil borings will be converted to a monitoring well. The wells will be screened across the water table with 3 feet of screen above the water table in order to detect the presence of free product. All monitoring wells will be completed flush with the ground surface.

b. Dissolved phase

One groundwater sample will be collected from each monitoring well and analyzed for BTEX and PAH. The vertical extent of contamination was determined during the CAP-Part A investigation. Soil samples were collected up to 34.0 feet BGS in 79-05 and all contaminant concentrations were below applicable soil threshold levels. Therefore, based on the analytical results obtained from boring 79-05, additional vertical delineation of the site is not required.

3. Surface Water

Surface Water

B. Proposed Investigation of Vadose Zone And Aquifer Characteristics:

A geotechnical soil sample was collected during the CAP-Part A investigation and analyzed for permeability, porosity, grain size distribution, moisture content, bulk density, specific gravity, and total organic carbon (Tables V-A and VI-A). During the CAP-Part B investigation, the groundwater samples will be analyzed for dissolved iron and the soil samples will be analyzed for TPH-DRO and TPH-GRO. A slug test will be performed in three of the monitoring wells to determine the saturated horizontal hydraulic conductivity. This information will be utilized in the fate and transport modeling or remediation system design.

N/A X

NA

### V. PUBLIC NOTICE

(Figure 9. Tax Map) (Appendix XI: Copies of public notification letters & certified return receipts or newspaper notice if approved)

USTs 48 & 49, Building 1175 is located within the confines of Fort Stewart Military Reservation, a federal facility. The U.S. Government owns all of the property contiguous to the site. The Fort Stewart Directorate of Public Works (DPW) has complied with the public notice requirements defined by Georgia Environmental Protection Division (GA EPD) guidance by publishing an announcement in the *Savannah Morning News* on July 19 and 26, 1998.

VI. CLAIM FOR REIMBURSEMENT (for GUST Trust Fund sites only): N/A X (Appendix XII: GUST Trust Fund Reimbursement Application and Claim for reimbursement)

Fort Stewart is a federally owned facility and has funded the investigation for the USTs 48 & 49 site, Building 1175, Facility ID #9-089054, using Environmental Restoration Account Funds. Application for Georgia Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund reimbursement is not being pursued at this time.



)

## **APPENDIX I**

## **REPORT FIGURES**

## THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

,

Fort Stewart UST CAP-A Report USTs 48 & 49, Building 1175, Facility ID #9-089054



Figure 1. Location Map of Fort Stewart, Liberty County, Georgia



Figure 2. Site Plan for the USTs 48 & 49, Building 1175 Site Investigation



### THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ł



### THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



Sec.

## THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



Figure 6. Potentiometric Surface Map of the USTS 48 & 49, Building 1175 Site



I-12

Fort Stewart UST CAP-A Report USTs 48 & 49, Building 1175, Facility ID #9-089054



Figure 8. Proposed Additional Boring/Monitoring Well Locations

.4

No tax map is available for Fort Stewart Military Reservation, which is a government owned facility.

Figure 9. Tax Map

( (Ć

)

## **APPENDIX II**

## **REPORT TABLES**

•

(

## THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
	Monitoring Well Number: N/A											
Date of Measurement	Groundwater Elev. (ft AMSL)	Product Thickness (ft)	Corrected Water Elev. (ft AMSL)	Product Removed (gal)								
, , ,,, _,	, I	No Free Product	Detected									
			TOTAL	NONE								

### TABLE 1: FREE PRODUCT REMOVAL

	Monitoring Well Number: N/A											
Date of Measurement	Groundwater Elev. (ft AMSL)	Product Thickness (ft)	Corrected Water Elev. (ft AMSL)	Product Removed (gal)								
		(~°).										
			·									
		No Free Product	Detected	L								
	1	to Free Frounder										
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
			TOTAL	NONE								

NOTE:

AMSL Above mean sea level.

Sample	Sample ID	Depth (fl.BGS)	Date Sampled	Benzene (mg/kg)	Toluene (mg/kg)	Ethyl- benzene (mg/kg)	Xylenes (mg/kg)	Total BTEX (mg/kg)	TPH-DRO (mg/kg)	TPH-GRO (mg/kg)
79-01	790111	0.0 - 2.00	05/12/98	0.0043 U	0.0043 U	0.0043 U	0.13 U	0.1429		1.09 U
79-01	790121	2.0 - 3.6	05/12/98	0.0022 U	0.007 =	0.0022 U	0.0065 U	0.0179	1.6 U	1.09 U
79-02	790211	2.0 - 3.3	05/12/98	0.0022 U	0.0022 U	0.0022 U	0.0066 U	0.0132	0.52 Ú	1.1 U
79-02	790221	0.0 -2.0	05/12/98	0.0022 U	0.0103 =	0.0022 U	0.0067 U	0.0214	0.45 U	1.11 U
79-03	790321	0.2 - 2.9	05/12/98	0.0022 U	0.0022 U	0.0022 U	0.0064 U	0.013	0.37 UJ	1.08 U
79-04	790411	0.0 - 2.0	05/12/98	0.0022 U	0.0102 =	0.0022 U	0.0066 U	0.0212	7 =	0.0916 J
79-04	790421	2.0 - 2.9	05/12/98	0.0044 U	0.0044 U	0.0044 U	0.0133 U	0.0265	0.3 U	1.11 U
79-05	790511	2.0 - 4.0	05/12/98	0.0044 U	0.0044 U	0.0044 U	0.0132 U	0.0264	0.86 U	1.1 U
79-05	790521	4.0 -6.0	05/12/98	0.0026 U	0.0046 =	0.0024 J	0.0077 J	0.173	8.6 =	0.116 J
79-05	790531	10.0 - 12.0	05/12/98	0.0026 U	0.005 =	0.0026 U	0.0079 U	0.0181	0.71 U	0.0644 J
79-05	790541	16.0 - 18.0	05/12/98	0.0026 U	0.0036 =	0.0026 U	0.0079 U	0.0167	1.6 U	0.0766 J
79-05	790551	24.0 - 26.0	05/12/98	0.0049 U	0.0098 =	0.0049 U	0.0147 U	0.0343	1.4 R	1.23 U
79-05	790561	30.0 -32.0	05/12/98	0.0033 U	0.0033 U	0.0033 U	0.0098 U	0.0197	0.6 U	1.32 U
79-05	790571	32.0 - 34.0	05/12/98	0.0047 U	0.0047 U	0.0047 U	0.0141 U	0.0282	1.3 R	1.18 U
	Applicable Standards <sup>1</sup>			0.008	6.000	10	700	NRC	NRC	NRC

#### TABLE 2a: SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS (VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS)

#### NOTES:

# Field work was conducted prior to the new CAP-A guidance published in May 1998, thus the new analytical methods were not used.

- 1 Georgia Department of Natural Resources Applicable Soil Threshold Levels (Table A, Column 2)
- BGS Below ground surface
- BTEX Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene
- DRO Diesel Range Organics
- GRO Gasoline Range Organics
- NRC No regulatory criteria
- TPH Total petroleum hydrocarbon

#### Laboratory Qualifiers

- U Indicates that the compound was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit
- UJ Indicates that the compound was not detected above an approximated sample quantitation limit
- J Indicates that the value for the compound was an estimated value.
- = Indicates that the compound was detected at the concentration reported.

(POLYNUCLEAR AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS) TABLE 2b: SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS

	Total PAHs (me/kg)	3.087	1.118	0.464 J	0.279.J	0.483 J	9.511 ==	QN	1.485	3.354	QN	7.2644	1.351	QN	QN	NRC
	ənəlynəq (i,f,g) oznəd	0.282 J					0.891 =			0.263 J		0.19 J				NRC
	indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	0.297 J					= 797 =			0.26 J		0.195 J				NRC
	benzo (a) pyrene	0.438 =	0.239 J			0.181 J	1.24 =		0.291 J	0.425 J		0.337 J				NRC
	່ງຈະມະດີ (þ) ປົມດາສາເມືອນອ	0.758 =	0.432 =	0.257 J	0.279 J	0.302 J	1.88 =		0.477 =	0.635 =		0,41 J				NRC
ng/kg)	ομιλιετία	0.552 J	0.252 J	0.207 J			141		0.296 J	0.505 J		0.66 =	0.234 J			NRC
Detected PAH Compounds (mg/kg)	benzo (a) anthracene						1.0 =		0.187 J	0.374 J		0.485 =				NRC
d PAH Cor	bλterie	0.48 =	0.195 1				1.48 =		0.234 J	0.569 1		1.57 =	0.498 =			NRC
Detecte	ปัตวารการการ	0.28 J					0.823 =			0.323 J		1,19 =	0.341 J			NRC
	ອກອວຣາປາກຮ											0.185 J				NRC
	ອກອາຢາກຄູກອາດປ											1.87 =	0.278 J			NRC
ſ	fluorene											0.111 J				NRC
	әпэіұліңдғпеә											0.061 J				NRC
	Date Sampled	05/12/98	05/12/98	05/12/98	05/12/98	05/12/98	05/12/98	05/12/98	05/12/98	05/12/98	05/12/98	05/12/98	05/12/98	05/12/98	05/12/98	
	Depth (ft BGS)	0.0-2.0	2.0 - 3.6	2.0-3.3	0.0 - 2.0	0.2 - 2.9	0.0 - 2:0	2.0 - 2.9	2.0 - 4.0	4.0 - 6.0	10.0 - 12.0	16.0 - 18.0	24.0 - 26.0	30.0-32.0	32.0 - 34.0	Applicable Standards <sup>1</sup>
	Sample ID	11106/	790121	790211	790221	790321	790411	790421	790511	790521	790531	790541	790551	790561	790571	Applicable
	а <u>Б</u>	10-62	10-62	79-02	79-02	79-03	79-04	73-04	79-05	79-05	79-05	79-05	79-05	79-05	79-05	

NOTES:

Field work was conducted prior to the new CAP-A guidance published in May 1998, thus the new analytical methods were not used. Georgia Department of Natural Resources Applicable Soil Threshold Levels (Table A, Column 2) ND - Not detected; refer to Appendix V, Table V-A, for complete list of PAH results

Below ground surface Not applicable

BGS N/A PAH

Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon

Laboratory Qualifiers 

Indicates that the compound was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit Indicates that the compound was not detected above an approximated sample quantitation limit

Indicates that the value for the compound was an estimated value Indicates that the compound was detected at the concentration reported

(VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS)												
Sample Location	Sample ID	Depth (ft BGS)	Date Sampled	Benzene (µg/l)	Toluene (µg/l)	Ethyl - benzene (µg/l)	Xylenes (µg/l)	Total BTEX (µg/l)				
79-01	790112	0.0 - 8.0	05/12/98	940 =	15600 =	3980 =	23800 J	44320				
79-02	790212	0.0 ~ 8.0	05/12/98	188 =	196 =	197 =	1140 =	1721				
79-03	790312	0.0 - 8.0	05/12/98	5.7 =	39.5 =	10.3 =	49.2 =	104.7				
79-04	790412	0.0 - 8.0	05/12/98	7.6 =	37.9 =	8.1 =	27.7 =	81.3				
	Applicabl	e Standards	I-	5	700	1000	10000	NRC				

# TABLE 3a: GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS

#### (VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS)

#### TABLE 3b: GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS (POLYNUCLEAR AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS)

				Det	ected PAH Co	mpounds (µ	ıg/l)	
Sample Location	Sample ID	Depth (ft BGS)	Date Sampled	naphthalene	fluoranthene	pyrene	benzo (b) fluoranthene	Total PAH (µg/l)
79-01	790112	0.0 - 8.0	05/12/98	616.0 =	1.0 J	1.9 J		618.9
79-02	790212	0.0 - 8.0	05/12/98	46.0 =				46
79-03	790312	0.0 - 8.0	05/12/98					ND
79-04	790412	0.0 - 8.0	05/12/98		1.0 J	2.2 J	3.1 J	6.3
	Applicable Standards <sup>1</sup>			NRC	NRC	NRC	NRC	NRC

NOTE:

Field work was conducted prior to the new CAP-A guidance published in May 1998, thus the new analytical methods were not used.

- 1 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency maximum contaminant level
- BTEX Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene
- BGS Below ground surface
- N/A Not applicable
- NRC No regulatory criteria
- PAH Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon

Laboratory Qualifiers

- U Indicates the compound was not detected at the concentration reported
- UJ Indicates that the compound was not detected above an approximated sample quantitation limit
- J Indicates the value for the compound is an estimated value
- = Indicates the compound was detected at the concentration reported

.

Well Number	Date Measured	Ground Surface Elev. (ft MSL)	Top of Casing Elev. (ft MSL)	Depth of Screened Interval (ft BGS)	Depth of Free Product (ft BTOC)	Water Depth (ft BTOC)	Product Thickness (ft)	Specific Gravity Adjustment	Corrected Groundwater Elev. (ft MSL)
79-01	05/13/98	83.99	86.84	0.0 - 8.0	N/A	6.45	N/A	N/A	79.36
79-02	05/13/98	83.95	86.37	0.0 - 8.0	N/A	6.87	N/A	N/A	79.36
<b>79-0</b> 3	05/13/98	83.87	86.94	0.0 - 10.0	N/A	6.15	N/A	N/A	79.54
79-04	05/13/98	83.90	86.79	0.0 - 8.0	N/A	6.15	N/A	N/A	79.61

### **TABLE 4: GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS**

NOTE:

MSL Mean sea level

BGS Below ground surface

Below top of casing Not applicable BTOC

N/A

					Ethyl-		Total		ТРН	TPH
Sample	Depth	Date	Benzene	Toluene	benzene	Xylenes	BTEX	TPH	DRO	GRO
Location	(ft BGS)	Sampled	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)
48-49-N.W.	N/A	03/21/95	0.00103 U	0.00186 =	0.00103 U	5.88 =	5.8839	61.4 =	N/A	N/A
48-49-E.W.	N/A	03/21/95	0.00102 U	0.0025 =	0.00102 U	4.09 =	4.0945	51.1 =	N/A	N/A
48-49-S.W.	N/A	03/21/95	0.255 U	2.75 =	3.98 =	46.2 =	53.185	259 =	N/A	N/A
48-49-W.W.	N/A	03/21/95	0.252 U	2,49 =	2.76 =	34.3 =	39.802	327 =	N/A	N/A
48-S1	N/A	06/11/97	0.0024 U	0.0024 U	0.0024 U	0.0072 U	ND	N/A	N/A	0.6 U
48-S2-4.75	N/A	06/12/97	0.388 =	0.51 =	0.837 =	4.61 =	6.345	N/A	N/A	109 =
49-S1	N/A	06/11/97	0.0058 U	0.0058 U	0.0058 U	0.017 U	ND	N/A	12 U	N/A
48-PIPE	N/A	06/11/97	0.0012 U	0.0012 U	0.0012 U	0.0037 U	ND	N/A	N/A	0.12 U
49-PIPE	N/A	06/11/97	0.0011 U	0.0011 U	0.0011 U	0.0033 U	ND	N/A	H U	0.11 U
1175-DISP1	N/A	06/11/97	0.0022 U	0.0022 U	0.0022 U	0.0067 U	ND	N/A	11 U	0.56 U
1175-DISP2	N/A	06/11/97	0.0022 U	0.0022 U	0.0022 U	0.0067 U	ND	N/A	11 U	0.56 U
Applicable St	andards <sup>2</sup>		0.008	6	10	700	NRC	NRC	NRC	NRC

#### TABLE 5a: UST SYSTEM CLOSURES<sup>1</sup> - SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS (VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS)

NOTE:

Underground storage tank system closure performed by Anderson Columbia Environmental, Inc. (1996) 1 2

Georgia Department of Natural Resources Applicable Soil Threshold Levels (Table A, Column 2)

3 Not applicable; the health-based threshold level is exceeded only if free product exists

BDL Below detection limit

BGS Below ground surface

BTEX Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene

NRC No regulatory criteria.

PAH Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon.

Laboratory Qualifiers

U Indicates the compound was not detected at the concentration reported

ÚJ Indicates that the compound was not detected above an approximated sample quantitation limit

J Indicates the value for the compound is an estimated value

----Indicates the compound was detected at the concentration reported

					Do	tected PA	H Compo	ounds (mg/kg)		
Sample Location	Depth (ft BGS)	Date Sampled	Napthalene	2-Methylnaphthalene	Accnaphthene	Fluorenc	Phenanthrene	Anthracene	Fluoranthene	Pyrene
48-49-N.W.	N/A	03/21/95					0.83 =	0.83 =	1.2 =	1.77 =
48-49-E.W.	N/A	03/21/95								
48-49-S.W.	N/A	03/21/95	8.98 =				3.45 =	3.45 =	5.98 =	6.5 =
48-49-W.W	N/A	03/21/95	16 =		2,19 =	2.29 =	4.12 =	4.12 =	7.59 =	7.41 =
48-S1	N/A	06/11/97								·
48-S2-4,75	N/A	06/12/97	1.21 =	2.62 =						
49-S1	N/A	06/11/97								
48-PIPE	N/A	06/11/97								
49-PIPE	N/A	06/11/97					1.07 =		0.87 =	1.16 =
1175-DISP1	N/A	06/11/97								
1175-DISP2	N/A	06/12/97								·····
Applicable Sta	ndards <sup>2</sup>		NRC	NRC	NRC	NRC	NRC	NRC	NRC	NRC

# TABLE 5b: UST SYSTEM CLOSURE<sup>1</sup> - SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS (POLYNUCLEAR AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS)

					Detecte	d PAH Co	mpounds	(mg/kg)			
Sample Location	Depth (ft BGS)	Date Sampled	Benzo (a) anthracene	Chrysene	Benzo (b) fluoranthene	Benzo (k) fluoranthene	Benzo (a) pyrene	Indo (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	Dibenz (a,h) Anthracene	Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	Total PAHs (mg/kg)
48-49-N.W.	N/A	03/21/95	1.68 =	1.68 =	3.28 =	3.28 =	2.05 =	1.33 =	1.33 =	2.88 =	22.13 =
48-49-E.W.	N/A	03/21/95									ND
48-49-S.W.	N/A	03/21/95	2.85 =	2.85 =	2.45 =	2.45 =	6.55 ≠			2.22 =	47.73 =
48-49-W.W	N/A	03/21/95	3.83 =	3.83 =	3.36 ==	3.36 =	8.3 =			2.77 =	69.17 =
48-S1	N/A	06/11/97									ND
48-S2-4.75	N/A	06/12/97									1.21 =
49-S1	N/A	06/11/97								_	ND
48-PIPE	N/A	06/11/97									ND
49-PIPE	N/A	06/11/97	0.41 =	0.48 =	0.41 =		0.37 =				4.77 =
1175-DISP1	N/A	06/11/97									ND
1175-DISP2	N/A	06/12/97									ND
Applicable Sta	ndards <sup>2</sup>		NRC	NRC.	NRC	NRC	NRC	NRC	NRC	NRC	NRC

Same notes and laboratory qualifiers as Table 5a

	1				<u> </u>	·····	
Sample Location	Depth (ft BGS)	Date Sampled	Benzene (mg/L)	Toluene (mg/L)	Ethyl - benzene (mg/L)	Xylenes (mg/L)	Total BTEX (mg/L)
48-49-G.W	N/A	03/21/95	0.1 U	0.46 =	0.23 =	1.205 =	1.995
49-GRW	N/A	06/12/97	0.748 =	2.94 =	2.6 =	15 =	21.288
Applicable Standards <sup>2</sup>		5	700	1000	10000	NRC	

#### TABLE 6a: UST SYSTEM CLOSURE<sup>1</sup> - GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS (VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS)

# TABLE 6b: UST SYSTEM CLOSURE<sup>1</sup> - GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS (POLYNUCLEAR ANALYTICAL RESULTS)

			Dete	Detected PAH Compounds (µg/L)						
Sample Location	Depth (ft BGS)	Date Sampled	Napthalene			Total PAHs (µg/L)				
48-49-G.W.	N/A	03/21/95	3.13 =			3.13				
49-GRW	N/A	06/12/97				NR				
Applica	able Stand	lards <sup>2</sup>	NRC			NRC				

NOTE:

- Underground storage tank system closure performed by Anderson Columbia Environmental, Inc. (1996)
   U.S. Environmental Protection Agency maximum contaminant levels
- BGS Below ground surface
- BTEX Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene
- N/A Not applicable
- ND Not detected
- NR Not required; PAH analysis was not requested for these samples
- NRC No regulatory criteria.

#### Laboratory Qualifiers

- U Indicates the compound was not detected at the concentration reported
- UJ Indicates that the compound was not detected above an approximated sample quantitation limit
- J Indicates the value for the compound is an estimated value
- = Indicates the compound was detected at the concentration reported



 $C_{\rm c}$ 

) )

ē.

### **APPENDIX III**

# WATER RESOURCES SURVEY DOCUMENTATION

ĺ

### THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

#### WATER RESOURCES SURVEY DOCUMENTATION

#### 1.0 LOCAL WATER RESOURCES

As required by the GA EPD UST CAP-Part A guidance, a water resource survey documenting information for public and non-public water supply wells, surface water bodies, underground utilities, and potential receptors was conducted for the Fort Stewart UST investigation sites. The information presented in this appendix provides the supporting documentation for Section II.D.3 of the CAP-Part A Form.

#### 1.1 WATER SUPPLY WELL SURVEY

The water supply well survey was conducted using the following GA EPD guidelines/requirements:

- Fort Stewart is located in an area of average or higher groundwater pollution susceptibility.
- Locate all public supply wells as defined by GA EPD that exist within 2 miles of the investigation sites.
- Locate all non-public supply wells that exist within 0.5 miles of the investigation sites.
- Locate all supply wells nearest the investigation sites.
- Locate all wells downgradient of the investigation sites.

A total of seven groundwater supply wells are located within a 2-mile radius of the Fort Stewart garrison area. Six of these wells are located within the confines of the garrison area. The other well is located at Wright Army Airfield, approximately 1.2 miles northeast of the garrison area. All of the groundwater supply wells are classified as public wells that supply water to Fort Stewart for drinking and nondrinking purposes. These wells are approximately 450 feet deep and draw groundwater from the Principal Artesian (also known as the Floridan) aquifer. Chlorine and fluoride are added into the groundwater at the well heads prior to being pumped into storage tanks and/or water towers, according to Fort Stewart DPW personnel. The location of these wells, along with a 500-foot radius drawn around each well, is shown in Figure 3.

#### **1.2 SURFACE WATER BODIES**

Surface water(s) in the State of Georgia, as defined by Rules and Regulations for Water Quality Control, Chapter 391-3-6, shall mean any and all rivers, streams, creeks, branches, lakes, reservoirs, ponds, drainage systems, springs producing 100,000 gallons per day, and all other bodies of surface water, natural or artificial, lying within or forming part of the boundaries of the State, which are not entirely confined and retained completely upon the property of a single individual, partnership, or corporation. The surface water body survey was conducted using the following GA EPD guidelines/requirements:

- surface water bodies that exist within 1 mile of the investigation sites,
- all surface water bodies nearest the investigation sites if these bodies lie outside the 1-mile radius of concern,

- all surface water bodies downgradient of the investigation sites, and
- the storm and sanitary sewers adjacent to the investigation sites.

Several surface water bodies are located within a 1-mile radius of the Fort Stewart garrison area. These are shown in Figure 3 and include Mill Creek, Taylors Creek, Peacock Creek, Childpen's Pond, and two unnamed ponds. Mill Creek extends along the western side of the garrison area and flows into Taylors Creek located approximately 0.75 miles northwest of the garrison area. Taylors Creek then flows northward approximately 3.5 miles to its confluence with Canoochee Creek. Peacock Creek originates near the east corner of the garrison area and flows southward from the garrison. Mill Creek, Taylors Creek, and Peacock Creek all have natural streambeds and exhibit perennial flow.

Childpen's Pond is located at the northwest end of the garrison area. The two unnamed ponds are located at the northwest end of the facility golf course in the vicinity of Childpen's Pond. All of the ponds are isolated water bodies that are relatively small in size, measuring less than 500 feet in diameter.

Typically, surface water run-off from the UST site moves over the existing concrete and asphalt cover to the Fort Stewart storm water drainage system. Since petroleum contamination at the sites primarily impacts surficial groundwater, the surface water run-off pathway is not a viable contaminant transport mechanism because of the concrete acting as a barrier and the location of the nearest surface water body.

#### 2.0 POTENTIAL RECEPTOR SURVEY SUMMARY OF THE USTS 48 & 49 SITE

A field potential receptor survey was conducted for the USTS 48 & 49 site in May 1998. The site and adjacent areas were surveyed for locations of surface water bodies, utility lines, and basements. Basements do not exist in the buildings adjacent to the site. Additional information, provided by DPW, was used to determine the location of the nearest public and non-public water supply wells and downgradient surface water bodies not located during the field survey.

#### 2.1 Water Supply Wells Near the USTS 48 & 49

The USTS 48 & 49 site is located approximately 1110 feet southwest (side gradient) of Well #2. Therefore, the USTS 48 & 49 site is classified as being located greater than 500 feet to a withdrawal point. The nearest downgradient water supply well is Well #8. This well is located 7500 feet northwest (downgradient) of the USTS 48 & 49 site.

#### 2.2 Surface Water Bodies Near the USTS 48 & 49 Site

At the closest point, an unnamed tributary that flows into Mill Creek is located approximately 9000 feet southwest of the USTs 48 & 49 site. In the direction of groundwater flow, this tributary is located approximately 3500 feet northwest of the USTS 48 & 49 site. Based on the distances between the UST and the nearest surface water body, the site is classified as being located greater than 500 feet to a downgradient surface water body.

#### 2.3 Underground Utilities near the USTs 48 & 49 Site

An industrial wastewater sewer line is located 40 feet to the northeast of the site. The depth of this line is estimated to be approximately 4 to 6 feet BGS.



(

) Ì

# **APPENDIX IV**

# SOIL BORING LOGS

(

(

## THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

PROJEC	T: Fort S	HTRW DRIL		Ledbetter			HOLE NUMBER 79-6 SHEET I OF 1
ELEV. (A)	DEPTH (B)	DESCRIPTION OF MATERIALS (C)	FIELD SCREENING RESULTS	GEOTECH SAMPLE OR CORE BOX	SAMP	YTICAL LE NO. F)	REMARKS (G)
	1	CONCRETE sandy sitt (ML), 5% fine grained sand, soft, dry, dark brown (104R 3/3) with bands of silty	12.6ppm		Sail Sample	111065	
	2	SAND (SM), some fine grained some medium grained, subrounded, dry, soft, very Pale brown (10727/3)	] 21.7ppm		Soil Sample Sai		V WET BELOW 3.6 FT BOS
	• • • •						Pushed to 8.0 ft Bos to set temporary piezometer Collected groundwater sample 790112 from temporary piezometer screened at .0 to 8.0 ft BGS

		HTRW DRI	LLING LOG	, ·		HOLE NUMBER 79-02
OJECT	: Fort S	tewart USTs	INSPECTOR K	. LEDBETT		SHEET 1 OF 1
LEV. (A)	DEPTH (B)	DESCRIPTION OF MATERIALS (C)	FIELD SCREENING RESULTS	GEOTECH SAMPLE OR CORE BOX	ANALYTICAL SAMPLE NO. (F)	REMARKS: (G)
		CONCRETE				
		sandy SILT (ML), 10% sour Finegrained, Firm to soft dry, dark brown (10 yzz,			Soil Sample 790221	
		silty SAND (sm), 10% silt, very fine to fine grained dry, very pale brown (10 YR 8/2) grading to Silt (mL), soft, wet, blac (10 YR 2/1)	· 0.0ppm		Soil Sample 790211	∑, WET BELOW = 3.3 FT BG S
	*					Pushed to 8.0 ft Bas to set temporary Plezometer
	•					Collected groundwater sample 790212 From temporary piezometer screened at . Off to 8.0ft BGS
	η 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
	8   					
	10					



		HTRW DRILLI				HOLE NUMBER 79.04
ROJECT	T: Fort S	tewart USTs IN	SPECTOR K	LEDBETTER		SHEET 1 OF 1
(A)	depth (B)	DESCRIPTION OF MATERIALS (C)	FIELD SCREENING RESULTS	GEOTECH SAMPLE OR CORE BOX	ANALYTICAL SAMPLE NO. (F)	REMARKS (Ö)
		CONCRETE				-
	=	Sandy SILT (ML), 10% fine grained scord, soft, dry, brown (10724/3) mottled with very pale brown (109R 7/3)			Soil Sample 790411	
	2	Silty SAND (SM), 10% silt fine to very fine grained dry soft, very pale brown (104R 8/2)				
	3.	SILT (ML) Firm, dry, black (10 YR 2/1)			Soil Sample 790421	∑. Wet Below 2.9 Ft BGS
	•				5	Pushed to 8.0 Ft Bas to set temporary
	5.					Plezometer
						Collected groundwater sample 790412 from temporary piezometer screened at 0.0 to
	•					8.0F+B65
	, IIII 1111					
	,					
	10					

		HTRW DRILI	ING LOG	·····		HOLE NUMBER 79.05
PROJEC	T: Fort S	tewart USTs 1 DESCRIPTION OF MATERIALS	NSPECTOR B.	Moeller GEOTECH	ANALYTICAL	SHEET 1 OF 4 REMARKS
(A)	(B)	(C)	SCREENING RESULTS	SAMPLE OR CORE BOX	SAMPLE NO. (F)	<u>(</u> G)
		Concrete SAND, fine to medium grained, dry, (2.5 yBZ:5/2) Very dusky red	Оррт			Ran 4.0, Rec. 4.0
	• 	with mottles	Dppm		Soil Sample 790511	▼ Wet below HDFT BGS
gare	5	Same as above, wet	0.4ppm		Soil Sample 790521	= 4.0FT BGS Ran 4.0, Rec 4.0
	**************************************		Oppm			
	,,,,,,,,	No Recovery	N/A			Ran 4.0 Rec. 2.0

[		HTRW DRIL	LINGLOG			HOLE NUMBER 79-05	5
PROJEC	T: Fort St	ewart USTs	INSPECTOR 3	Moeller		SHEET 2 OF 4	1
ELEV. (A)	DEPTH (B)	DESCRIPTION OF MATERIALS (C)	FIELD SCREENING RESULTS	GEOTECH SAMPLE OR CORE BOX	ANALYTICAL SAMPLE NO.	REMARKS (G)	
	=====================================	SAND, medium to very coarse grained, wet, (5YR3/2)dark reddish brown	Oppm		Soil Sample 790531		
	3 1111 13 14 14	No Recovery	N/A	- -		Ran H.D, Rec O.H	
		SAND. fine to	А/И				
		medium grained, with silt, wet; (5YR4/4) reddish brown	ZO:Zppm		Soil Sample 790541	Ran 4.0, Rec. 2.0	
	19 20		N/A			Heaving Sand's -Augered to 24FT BGS	

morro		HTRW DRILL				HOLE NUMBER 79-05
PROJECT ELEV. (A)	C: Fort St DEPTH (B)	ewart USTs II DESCRIPTION OF MATERIALS (C)	NSPECTOR B. FIELD SCREENING RESULTS	Moeller GEOTECH SAMPLE OR CORE BOX	ANALYTICAL SAMPLE NO.	SHEET 3 OF 4 REMARKS (G)
	21	No Recovery	N/A		(F)	
	24  25 26	Sandy CLAY, wet, (gley 1 6/1) greenish gray	Oppm		Soil Sample 790551	Ran Z.D, Rec. 7.0
		SAND	N/A			Augered to 3DFT B65 due to heaving Sands

		HTRW DRILL	ING LOG			HOLE NUMBER 79-05	
			ispector B.	Moeller		SHEET 4 OF 4	4
ELEV. (A)	DEPTH (B)	DESCRIPTION OF MATERIALS (C)	FIELD SCREENING RESULTS	GEOTECH SAMPLE OR CORE BOX	ANALYTICAL SAMPLE NO. (F)	REMARKS (Ġ)	
	31		Oppm		Soil Sample 790561	Ram Z.O Rec. Z.O	
	и 1111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111	SAND, coarse grained with some silt, wet, (gley 16/1) greenish gray	Oppm		Soil Sample 790571	Ran Z.O Rec. Z.O End of drilling	
	35   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1					End of drilling at 34.0FT BGS	
	37						
	37 37 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						

١

)

1

(° ) (

v

# **APPENDIX V**

# SOIL LABORATORY REPORTS

### THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

.

	TABLE V	TABLE V-A. SUMMARY OF SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS	RY OF SOII	ANALYT	<b>ICAL RESU</b>	STIC		
Station:	Georgia UST	10-62	79-01	79-02	79-02	79-03	79-04	70.07
Sample ID:	Corrective	790111	790121	790211	790221	125097	790411	10-01
Sample Interval:	Action	0.0' - 2.0'	2.0' -3.6'	2.0' - 3.3'	0.0' -2.0'	0.21 -2.01	10, - 20,	125001
Collection Date:	Levels for Soil <sup>1</sup>	12-May-98	12-Mav-98	12-Mav-98	12-Mav-98	12-Mav-98	12-Mav-98	17_May_08
Units:	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mø/ko)	(mo/ka)	(ma/ka)
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS				ò	<i>i</i> <u>a</u>		(ie,e)	( <u>116, 16)</u>
Benzene	0.008	0.0043 U	0.0022 U	0.0022 U	0.0022 U	0.0022 U	0.0022 11	0 0044 11
Toluene	9.	0.0043 U	0.0077 =	0.0022 U	0.0103 =	0.0022 U	0.0102 =	0 0044 11
Ethylbenzene	10	0.0043 U	0.0022 U	0.0022 U	0.0022 U	0.0022 U	0.0022 U	
Xylenes, Total	700	0.013 U	0.0065 U	0.0066 U	0.0067 U	0.0064 U	0.0066 U	
POLYNUCLEAR AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS	<b>YDROCARBONS</b>							
2-Chloronaphthalene	NRC	0.361 U	0.362 U	0.366 U	0.37 U	0.358 U	0.35 U	0.368 U
Acenaphthene	NRC	0.361 U	0.362 U	0.366 U	0.37 U	0.358 U	0.35 U	0.368 U
Acenaphthylene	NRC	0.361 U	0.362 U	0.366 U	0.37 U	0.358 U	0.35 U	0.368 U
Anthracene	NRC	0.361 U	0.362 U	0.366 U	0.37 U	0.358 U	0.35 U	0.368 U
Benzo(a)anthracene	NRC	0.361 J	0.362 U	0.366 U	0.37 U	0.358 U	= 0.1	0.368 11
Benzo(a)pyrene	NRC	0.438 =	0.239 J	0.366 U	0.37 U	0.181 J	12.4 =	0.368 U
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	NRC	0.758 =	0.432 =	0.257 J	0.37 J	0.302.J	1.88 =	0.368 U
Benzo(g,h,t)perylene	NRC	0.282 J	0.362 U	0.366 U	0.37 U	0.358 U	0.891 =	0.368 U
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	NRC	0.361 U	0.362 U	0.366 U	0.37 U	0.358 U	0.35 U	0.368 U
Chrysene	NRC	0.552 J	0.362 J	0.207 J	0.37 U	0.358 U	1.4 J	0.368 U
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	NRC	0.361 U	0.362 U	0.366 U	0.37 U	0.358 U	0.35 U	0.368 U
r luoranthene	NRC		0.362 U	0.366 U	0.37 U	0.358 U	0.823 =	0.368 U
Fluorene	NRC	0.361 U	0.362 U	0.366 U	0.37 U	0.358 U	0.35 U	0.368 U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	NRC		0.362 U	0.366 U	0.37 U	0.358 U	-797 =	0.368 U
Naphthalene	NRC	0.361 U	0.362 U	0.366 U	0.37 U	0.358 U	0.35 U	0.368 U
Prenantirene	NRC	0.361 U	0.362 U	0.366 U	0.37 Ù	0.358 U	0.35 U	0.368 U
Pyrene	NRC	0.48 =	0.195 J	0.366 U	0.37 U	0.358 U	1.48 =	0.368 U
<b>OTHER ANALYTES</b>								
Lead	.		3.7 =		4.5 =	1.9 =		3.3
TPH-Diesel Range Organics	Ĩ		1.6 U	0.52 U	0.45 U	0.37 UJ	= 1	0.3 U
1PH-Gasoline Range Organics	**	U 60.I	1.09 U	1.1 U	U [1.1	1.08 U	0.0916 J	1.11 U
<u>NULE:</u> Field work was conducted mior to the nom	to the new CAD'A mid	; Ladaildun anna	1000	-				

Field work was conducted prior to the new CAP-A guidance published in May 1998, thus the new analytical methods were not used. Georgia Department of Natural Resources Applicable Soil Threshold Levels (Table A, Column 2)

No regulatory criteria NRC

QA/QC samples were collected. The laboratory data sheets have been included in this appendix, but the results are not summarized. Laboratory Qualifiers

 $\square$ 

Indicates that the compound was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit

Indicates that the compound was not detected above an approximated sample quantitation limit Indicates that the value for the compound was an estimated value. . Бъ

Indicates that the compound was detected at the concentration reported.

ĺ

C 4 - 15	3011 V	TAB	TABLE V-A. Continued	Intinued				
Station:	Georgia USI	cn-6/	<b>cn-</b> 6/	<b>cn-</b> 6/	2062	<b>CU-6</b> 2	79-05	79-05
Sample ID:	Corrective	790511	790521	790531	790541	790551	790561	790571
Sample Interval:	Action	2.0' - 4.0'	4.0' -6.0'	10.0' - 12.0'	16.0' - 18.0'	24.0'- 26.0'	30.0' -32.0'	32.0' -34.0'
<b>Collection Date:</b>	Levels for Soil <sup>1</sup>	12-May-98	12-May-98	12-May-98	12-May-98	12-May-98	12-May-98	12-May-98
Units:	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS		)	) /	)	ò	) ,	ò	ò
Benzene	0.008	0.0044 U	0.0026 U	0.0026 U	0.0026 U	0.0049 U	0.0033 U	0.0047 U
Toluene	9	0.0044 U	0.0046 =	0,005 =	0.0036 =	0.0098 =		
Ethylbenzene	10	0.0044 U	0.0024 J	0.0026 U	0.0026 U	0.0049 U		
Xylenes, Total	700	0.0132 U	0.0077 J	0.0079 U	0.0079 U	0.0147 U	0.0098 U	0.0141 U
POLYNUCLEAR AROMATIC HYDROCARB	C HYDROCARBONS							
2-Chloronaphthalene	NRC	0.36 U	0.434 U	0.397 U	0.434 U	0.409 U	0.427 U	0.388 U
Acenaphthene	NRC	0.36 U	0.434 U	0.397 U	0.434 U	0.409 U	0.427 U	0.388 U
Acenaphthylene	NRC	0.36 U	0.434 U	0.397 U	0.0614 J	0.409 U	0.427 U	0.388 U
Anthracene	NRC	0.36 U	0.434 U	0.397 U	0.185 J	0.409 U	0.427 U	0.388 U
Benzo(a)anthracene	NRC	0.187 J	0.374. J	0.397 U	0.485 =	0.409 U	0.427 U	0.388 U
Benzo(a)pyrene	NRC	0.291 J	0.425 J	0.397 U	0.337 J	0.409 U	0.427 U	0.388 U
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	NRC	0.477 =	0.635 =	0.397 U	0.41 J	0.409 U	0.427 U	0.388 U
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	NRC	0.36 U	0.263 J	0.397 U	0.19 J	0.409 U	0.427 U	0.388 U
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	NRC	0.36 U	0.434 U	0.397 U	0.434 U	0.409 U	0.427 U	0.388 U
Chrysene	NRC		0.505 J	0.397 U	0.66 =	0.234 J	0.427 U	0.388 U
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	NRC		0.434 U		0.434 U		0.427 U	0.388 U
Fluoranthene	NRC		0.323 J	0.397 U	1.19 =	0.341 J	0.427 U	0.388 U
Fluorene	NRC	0.36 U	0.434 U	0.397 U	0.111 J	0.409 U	0.427 U	0.388° U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	NRC	0.36 U	0.26 J	0.397 U	0.195 J	0.409 U	0.427 U	0.388 U
Naphthalene	NRC	0.36 U	0.434 U	0.397 U	0.434 U	0.409 U	0.427 U	0.388 U
Phenanthrene	NRC	0.36 U	0.434 U	0.397 U	1.87 =	0.278 J	0.427 U	0.388 U
Pyrene	NRC	0.234 J	0.569 J	0.397 U	1.57 =	0.498 =	0.427 U	0.388 U
<b>OTHER ANALYTES</b>								
Lead	NRC	3.5 =						
TPH-Diesel Range Organics	NRC	0.86 U	8.6 =	0.71 U	1.6 U	1.4 R	0.6 U	1.3 R
TPH-Gasoline Range Organics		U L.I	0.116 J	0.0644 J	0.0766 J	1.23 U	1.32 U	1.18 U
<u>NOTE:</u>								
Field work was conducted prior to the new CAP-A guidance published in May 1998, thus the new analytical methods were not used.	orior to the new CAP-A gui	dance published	l in May 1998,	thus the new a	nalytical metho	ds were not use	d.	
	Georgia Department of Natural Resources Applicable Soil Threshold Levels (Table A, Column 2)	cable Soil Thres!	hold Levels (T	ible A, Column	2)			
NKC No regulatory criteria 04/00 samples were collected. The Jahoratory	ria. ed The lahoratom, data shëet	s have heen inclu	ided in this and	andiv hui tha n	data cheate have heen included in this amandir. hut the recults are not cummurized	posinom		
Laboratory Qualifiers	inne finninger art me		da sun mass					
U Indicates that the compound was not d	ompound was not detected ab	letected above the reported sample quantitation limit	sample quantit	ation limit				
UJ Indicates that the co	Indicates that the compound was not detected above an approximated sample quantitation limit	ove an approxim	iated sample qu	antitation limit				
J Indicates that the va	Indicates that the value for the compound was an estimated value.	ı estimated value						
= Indicates that the co	indicates that the compound was detected at the concentration reported	concentration rel	ported.					

)

**V-**4

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSI	S DATA SHEET	EPA SAMPLE NO.
	Contract: NA	790111
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL Sample wt/vol: 10.0 (g/mL) G	SAS No.: NA SDG Lab Sample ID Lab File ID:	
* Moisture: not dec. 8 GC Column: J&W DB-624 (PID) TD	Date Received: Date Analyzed: mm) Dilution Soil Aliquot V	05/22/98 Factor: 2.0
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/K	G Q A
71-43-2Benzene 108-88-3Toluene 100-41-4Ethylbenzene 1330-20-7Xylenes (total)		$\begin{array}{c cccc} 4.3 & U & U \\ 4.3 & U & U \\ 4.3 & U & \\ 13.0 & U & \\ \end{array}$

DATA VALIDATION COPY pun 716/98

FORM I VOA



RUM 7/7/98

FORM I SV-1

OLM03.0

FORM 1 Science Applications13-MAY-1998 SAMPLE NO. SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Lab Name: GENERAL EN	GINEERING LABOR C	ontract : NA	790111
Lab Code: NA	Conn Ma	 	
Matrix: (soil/water)			No.: FS40205
Sample wt/vol:	20.0.4.4.5	Lab Sample ID:	9805395-14
	30.0 (g/mL) G	Lab Pile ID:	SD5005
	LOW	Date Received:	05/13/98
<pre>% Moisture: 8</pre>	decanted: $(Y/N)$ N	Date Extracted:	
Concentrated Extract	Volume: 1.00 (mL		
Injection Volume:	1.0(uL)		
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N)	N pH: 7.0	Dilution Factor	: 5.0
CASINO		CONCENTRATION INTER	

CAS NO. COMPOUND (ug/L or ug/Kg) MG/KG Q Qual-Diesel Range Organics\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ 15.4 B J-PØ3

DATA VALIDATION 77798 COPY

• \*

IA VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYS	IS DATA SHEET			
		7	90111	
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR	Contract: NA	I		- )
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA	SAS No.: NA	SDG No.:	F\$4020\$	<sup>س</sup> غانوري
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	Lab Sa	ample ID: 9805	395-14	
Sample wt/vol: 5.0 (g/mL) G	Lab F.	ile ID: 3J30	49	
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date 1	Received: 05/1	3/98	
% Moisture: not dec. 8	Date .	Analyzed: 05/2	1/98	
GC Column: J&W DB-624 (FID) ID: 0.53	(mm)	Dilution Fact	or: 1.0	
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil	Aliquot Volume	-	(uL
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATI (ug/L or ug	ON UNITS: /Kg) UG/KG	Q	Qual
Gasoline Rang	ge Organics	1090	u	и
·				

Run 716/98

\_

# DATA VALIDATION COPY

FORM I VOA
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYS	SIS DATA SHEET EPA SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL Sample wt/vol: 10.0 (g/mL) G	1 <del></del>
<pre>% Moisture: not dec. 8 GC Column: J&amp;W DB-624(PID) ID: 0.53 Soil Extract Volume:(ml) CAS NO. COMPOUND</pre>	Date Received: 05/13/98 Date Analyzed: 05/23/98 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0 Soil Aliquot Volume:(uf CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG Q
71-43-2Benzene 108-88-3Toluene 100-41-4Ethylbenzene 1330-20-7Xylenes (total)	2.2 U U

FORM I VOA

(



FORM I SV-1

OLM03.0

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSI	Science Applications13-MAY-1998 SAMPLE NO. S DATA SHEET
Lab Name GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Co	Dtract: NA
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA Si	AS NO.: NA SDG NO.: FS4023S
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9805399-03
Sample wt/vol: 30.4 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: 5C50031
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 05/13/98
<pre>% Moisture: 8 decanted: (Y/N) N</pre>	Date Extracted:05/18/98
Concentrated Extract Volume: 1.00(mL)	Date Analyzed: 05/23/98
Injection Volume: 1.0(uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: 7.0	
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) MG/KG Q

Diesel Range Organics	1.6 JB	u	FØI, FOL

LA VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET	EPA	SAMPL	E NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Contract: NA		790121	
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA SAS No.: NA SDO	S No.:	FS402	' J 3s
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL Lab Sample II	): 980	5399-03	3
Sample wt/vol: 5.0 (g/mL) G Lab File ID: Level: (low/med) LOW	AK1(	9	
& Moisture Date Received	: 05/1	.3/98	
Date Analyzed	: 05/2	5/98	
GC Column: J&W DB-624(FID) ID: 0.53 (mm) Dilutio Soil Extract Volume:(uL) Soil Direct	n Fact	or: 1.	0
Soil Aliquot	Volume	:	(uL
CAS NO. COMPOUND CONCENTRATION UNITS (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/	: KG	Q	
Gasoline Range Organics	1090	υ	u

FORM I VOA

.

93

Sample ID: 9805399-03			Client	D: 79	0121		
Contract: SAIC00598	Lab Code:	GEL	Case N	0.:	SAS	No.:	
Matrix: SOIL	Date Received:	5/13/98	Level:	LOW			
% Solids: 92.00							
	acentration Units	C Qual	<u>M</u> I	DL.	Instrument ID	Analytical Run	
39-92-1 Lead	3.7 mg/kg		P 0.10	)	TJA61 Trace ICPAES	980519-1	=
	Clari	ty Before:	— <u> </u>		Texture:		
Color Before:		-					
Color Before: Color After:		ty After:			Artifacts:		

1A VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSI	IS DATA SHEET
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR	Contract: NA
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA	SAS No.: NA SDG No.: FS4021S
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9805396-04
Sample wt/vol: 10.0 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: 2J4055
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 05/13/98
% Moisture: not dec. 9	Date Analyzed: 05/22/98
GC Column: J&W DB-624(PID) ID: 0.53	(mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(ml)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(uL
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG Q Qual
71-43-2Benzene 108-88-3Toluene 100-41-4Ethylbenzene 1330-20-7Xylenes (total	$ \begin{array}{c} 2.2 \\ 2.2 \\ 0 \\ 2.2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0$

DATA VALIDATION COPY

FORM I VOA

Run Atzzla8



Run 7/22/98

OLM03.0

FORM I SV-1

FORM 1 Science Applications13-MAY-1998 SAMPLE NO. SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Contract: NA 790211 Lab Code: NA Case No : NA SAS No.: NA SDG No.: FS4021S Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL Lab Sample ID: 9805396-04 Sample wt/vol: 30.9 (g/mL) G Lab File ID: 5D40014 Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 05/13/98 % Moisture: 9 decanted: (Y/N) N Date Extracted:05/19/98 Concentrated Extract Volume: 1.00(mL) Date Analyzed: 05/28/98 Injection Volume: 1.0(uL) Dilution Factor: 1.0 GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: 7.0 CONCENTRATION UNITS: CAS NO.

COMPOUND (ug/L or ug/Kg) MG/KG 0 -----Diesel Range Organics\_ 0.52 JB DATA VALIDATION COPY

LA VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS D	ATA SHEET EPA SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Con	
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA SA	S NO.: NA SDG NO.: FS4021S
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9805396-04
Sample wt/vol: 5.0 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: 3J4011
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 05/13/98
<pre>% Moisture: not dec. 9</pre>	Date Analyzed: 05/22/98
GC Column: J&W DB-624(FID) ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(uL
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG Q Qual
Gasoline Range Org	
DATA V	ALIDATION H22/98

COPY

FORM I VOA

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYS	IS DATA SHEET	EPA SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR		790221
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	SAS No.: NA SDG	No.: F\$40235
Sample wt/vol: 10.0 (g/mL) G	Lab Sample ID:	9805399-02
Level: (low/med) LOW	Lab File ID:	
<pre>% Moisture: not dec. 10</pre>	Date Received:	
GC Column: J&W DB-624(PID) ID: 0.53	Date Analyzed:	
Soil Extract Volume:(ml)	Soil Aliquot Vo	Factor: 1.0
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG	
71-43-2Benzene 108-88-3Toluene 100-41-4Ethylbenzene 1330-20-7Xylenes (total	)	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
		<u> </u>

.

FORM I VOA

~

\_



EPA SAMPLE NO.

Lab Name: GENERAL EN	GINEER ING LABOR	790221
Lah Cala wa		Tact: NA 790221
Matrix: (soil/water)	Case No.: NA SAS	NO.: NA SDG NO.: FS4023S
	SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9805399-02
Sample wt/vol:	30.0 (g/mL) G	
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Lab File ID: 1U410
% Moisture: 10		Date Received: 05/13/98
	decanted: (Y/N) N	Date Extracted:05/19/98
Concentrated Extract	Volume: 1.00(mL)	
Injection Volume:	1.0(uL)	Date Analyzed: 05/21/98
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N)		Dilution Factor: 1.0
	N pH: 7.0	

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG	Q
208-96-8 83-32-9 86-73-7 85-01-8 120-12-7 206-44-0 129-00-0 56-55-3 218-01-9 205-99-2 207-08-9 50-32-8 193-39-5		Dene	370       U       U         370       U       J         370       U       J <td< td=""></td<>

FORM I SV-1

OLM03.0

FORM 1 S SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA	cience Applications13-MAY-1998 SAMPLE NO. SHEET
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Contract	790221
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA SAS No.	NA SDG No.: FS4023S
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9805399-02
Sample wt/vol: 30.2 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: 5C50030
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 05/13/98
% Moisture: 10 decanted: (Y/N) N	Date Extracted:05/18/98
Concentrated Extract Volume: 1.00(mL)	Date Analyzed: 05/23/98
Injection Volume: 1.0(uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: 7.0	
	TRATION UNITS: or ug/Kg) MG/KG Q
Diesel Range Organics	0.45 JB U FOI, FOL

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYS	IS DATA SHEET
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR	Contract: NA 790221
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA	SAS No.: NA SDG No.: F540235
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9805399-02
Sample wt/vol: 5.0 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: AK108
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 05/13/98
% Moisture: not dec. 10	Date Analyzed: 05/25/98
GC Column: J&W DB-624(FID) ID: 0.53	(mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(uL
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG Q
Gasoline Range	Organics1110 U V

.

FORM I VOA

-

	Form 1	: Inor	ganic	Analy	ses Da	ata Sheet		_	
DG No.: FS4023S	f *				Μ	ethod Typ	e: Total Metals		
Sample ID: 9805399-	02				CI	lient ID: 79	00221		
Contract: SAIC00598 Lab Code:		ode:	GEL		Case No.:		SAS	No.:	
Matrix: SOIL % Solids: 90.00	Date R	eceived:	5/13/9	8	Le	evel: LOW	7		
CAS No. Analyte	Concentration	Units	с	Qual	M	DL	Instrument ID	Analytical Run	 
7439-92-1 ,Lead	4.5	mg/kg			P	0.10	TJA61 Trace ICPAES	980519-1	
Color Before:		Clari	ity Bef	ore:			Texture:		 
		Clari	ity Aft	er:			Artifacts:		

IA VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYS	EPA SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR	Contract: NA 790321
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA	SAS No.: NA SDG No.: FS4022S
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9805398-17
Sample wt/vol: 10.0 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: 2J5033
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 05/13/98
<pre>% Moisture: not dec. 7</pre>	Date Analyzed: 05/23/98
GC Column: J&W DB-624(PID) ID: 0.53	(mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(ml)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(uI
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG Q
71-43-2Benzene 108-88-3Toluene 100-41-4Ethylbenzene 1330-20-7Xylenes (total	$ \begin{array}{c} 2.2 \\ 2.2 \\ 0 \\ 2.2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0$

FORM I VOA

V-23

2 Mars SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET EPA SAMPLE NO. Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Contract: NA 790321 Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA SAS No .: NA SDG No.: FS4022S Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL Lab Sample ID: 9805398-17 Sample wt/vol: 30.0 (g/mL) G Lab File ID: 1V411 Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 05/13/98 % Moisture: 7 decanted: (Y/N) N Date Extracted:05/18/98 Concentrated Extract Volume: 1.00(mL) Date Analyzed: 05/28/98 Injection Volume: 1.0(uL) Dilution Factor: 1.0 GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: 7.0 CONCENTRATION UNITS: CAS NO. COMPOUND (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG 0 91-20-3-----naphthalene 31-58-7-----2-chloronaphthalene 358 U 208-96-8----acenaphthylene 358 U 83-32-9----acenaphthène 358 U 86-73-7----fluorene 85-01-8-----phenanthrene\_ 358 U 120-12-7----anthracene 358 U 358 U 206-44-0----fluoranthene 129-00-0-----pyrene 56-55-3-----benzo (a) anthracene 358 U 358 U 218-01-9-----chrysene 358 U 205-99-2----benzo (b) fluoranthene 358 0

FORM I SV-1

207-08-9-----benzo(k)fluoranthene

193-39-5-----indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene

53-70-3-----dibenz (a, h) anthracene\_ 191-24-2-----benzo(g,h,i)perylene\_

50-32-8-----benzo(a)pyrene

OLM03.0

358 U

302 JJ 358 U

181 J

358 U 358 U 358 17

SEMIVOLATI	FORM 1 S LE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA	Science Applications13-MAY-1998 SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL EN	GINEERING LABOR Contract	790321
Lab Code: NA	Case No.: NA SAS No.	: NA SDG No.: FS4022S
Matrix: (soil/water)	SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9805398-17
Sample wt/vol:	30.0 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: 5D20019
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date Received: 05/13/98
<pre>% Moisture: 7</pre>	decanted: (Y/N) N	Date Extracted:05/19/98
Concentrated Extract	Volume: 1.00(mL)	Date Analyzed: 05/28/98
Injection Volume:	1.0 (uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N)	N pH: 7.0	
CAS NO.		NTRATION UNITS: or ug/Kg) MG/KG Q
	Diesel Range Organics_	0.37 JB UJ FQ1, FQ6

IA VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHE	EPA SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Contract: 1	NA 790321
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA SAS NA SAS NO.: NA SAS NA S	NA SDG No.: FS4022S ab Sample ID: 9805398-17
Level: (low/med) LOW Da Da	ab File ID: 3K1014 ate Received: 05/13/98
Da GC Column: J&W DB-624(FID) ID: 0.53 (mm) Soil Extract Volume: (mr)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
CAS NO. COMPOUND CONCENTR	ATION UNITS: ug/Kg) UG/KG Q
Gasoline Range Organics	1080 U U

FORM I VOA

•.

•

-

-----

÷

G No.: FS40228	*				М	ethod Tyj	pe: Total Metals	
Sample ID: 9805398-1	7				C	ient ID: 7	90321	
Contract: SAIC00598	Lab C	ode:	GEL		C	use No.:	SAS	No.:
Matrix: SOIL % Solids: 93.00	Date R	eceived:	5/13/9	98	Le	vel: LOV	Ŷ	
					-			Analytical
AS No. Analyte	Concentration	Units	<u>c</u>	Qual	M	DL	Instrument ID	Run
AS No. Analyte 139-92-1 Lead	Concentration 1.9	Units mg/kg	<u>c</u>	Qual	$\frac{M}{P}$	DL 0.10	Instrument ID TJA61 Trace ICPAES	•
								Run

1A VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA	EPA SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Contrac	t: NA 790411 )
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA SAS No	.: NA SDG No.: FS4021S
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9805396-03
Sample wt/vol: 10.0 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: 2J4035
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 05/13/98
% Moisture: not dec. 9	Date Analyzed: 05/22/98
GC Column: J&W DB-624(PID) ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(ml)	Soil Aliquot Volume: (uL
CAS NO. COMPOUND CONCLUZION	ENTRATION UNITS: Lor ug/Kg) UG/KG Q Qual.
71-43-2Benzene 108-88-3Toluene 100-41-4Ethylbenzene 1330-20-7Xylenes (total)	$ \begin{array}{c} 2.2 \\ 10.2 \\ 2.2 \\ 0 \\ 6.6 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0$
	Run Alzzlas

DATA VALIDATION COPY

FORM I VOA



ALW H22/98

FORM I SV-1

OLM03.0



FORM 1

 COMPOUND	(ug/L or ug/Kg)	MG/KG	Q	Qua	. 1
 Diesel Range (	Drganics	7.0 1	   	<u>Qua</u>	Fof

DATA VALIDATION COPY

KUM 7/22/98

IA VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYS	IS DATA SHEET
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR	Contract: NA 790411
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA	SAS No.: NA SDG No.: FS40215
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9805396-03
Sample wt/vol: 5.0 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: 3J4010
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 05/13/98
<pre>% Moisture: not dec. 6</pre>	Date Analyzed: 05/22/98
GC Column: J&W DB-624(FID) ID: 0.53	(mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(uL
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG Q Quef
Gasoline Range	

DATA VALIDATION COPY

Run 7/22/98

FORM I VOA

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYS	IS DATA SHEET EPA SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR	Contract: NA 790421
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	SAS NO.: NA SDG NO.: FS4023S
Sample wt/vol: 10.0 (g/mL) G	Lab Sample ID: 9805399-04 Lab File ID: 2J6014
Level: (low/med) LOW % Moisture: not dec. 10	Date Received: 05/13/98
GC Column: J&W DB-624(PID) ID: 0.53	(mm) Dilution Factor: 2.0
Soil Extract Volume:(ml)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(uL
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG Q
71-43-2Benzene 108-88-3Toluene 100-41-4Ethylbenzene 1330-20-7Xylenes (total)	4.4 U U 4.4 U 4.4 U 13.3 U

FORM I VOA

~

-

-



FORM I SV-1

OLMO3.0

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA	cience Applications13-MAY-1998 SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Contract	790421
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA SAS No.	: NA SDG No. : FS4023S
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9805399-04
Sample wt/vol: 30.5 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: 5C50032
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 05/13/98
<pre>% Moisture: 10 decanted: (Y/N) N</pre>	Date Extracted:05/18/98
Concentrated Extract Volume: 1.00(mL)	Date Analyzed: 05/23/98
Injection Volume: 1.0(uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: 7.0	
	NTRATION UNITS: or ug/Kg) MG/KG Q
Diesel Range Organics	0.30 JB U FOI, FOG

۰.

.

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYS	SIS DATA SHEET
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR	Contract: NA
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA	SAS No.: NA SDG No.: FS4023S
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9805399-04
Sample wt/vol: 5.0 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: AK1010
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 05/13/98
% Moisture: not dec. 10	Date Analyzed: 05/25/98
GC Column: J&W DB-624(FID) ID: 0.53	(mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(uL
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG Q
Gasoline Rang	e Organics 1110 U U

FORM I VOA

SDG No.	: FS4	023S
---------	-------	------

-

Method Type: Total Metals

Sample ID: 980539	-04		Client ID:	790421	
Contract: SAIC005	8 Lab Code:	GEL	Case No.:	SAS	No.:
Matrix: SOIL	Date Received	<b>1:</b> 5/13/98	Level: LO	w <sup>.</sup>	
% Solids: 90.00	]				
AS No. Analyte	Concentration Units	s C Qual	M DL	Instrument ID	Analytical Run
439-92-1 Lead	3.3 mg/kg	g g	P 0.10	TJA61 Trace ICPAES	980519-1
Color Before:	Cla	rity Before:		Téxture:	
Color After:	Cla	rity After:		Artifacts:	

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYS	SIS DATA SHEET	A SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR	Contract: NA	790511
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA	SAS NO.: NA SDG NO.	: FS40235
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 98	
Sample wt/vol: 10.0 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: 2J	
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 05	/13/98
* Moisture: not dec. 9	Date Analyzed: 05	
GC Column: J&W DB-624(PID) ID: 0.53	(mm) Dilution Fa	
Soil Extract Volume:(ml)	Soil Aliquot Volu	
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG	Q
71-43-2Benzene 108-88-3Toluene 100-41-4Ethylbenzene 133C-20-7Xylenes (total	4.	4 U 4 U 2 U

FORM I VOA

÷

ĺ



OLM03.0

FORM I SV-1

FORM 1 SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA	Science Applications13-MAY-1998 SAMPLE NO.
DEMICODATINE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA	A SHEET
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Contract	790511
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA SAS No.	: NA SDG No.: FS4023S
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9805399-01
Sample wt/vol: 30.3 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: 5C50029
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 05/13/98
% Moisture: 9 decanted: (Y/N) N	Date Extracted:05/18/98
Concentrated Extract Volume: 1.00(mL)	Date Analyzed: 05/23/98
Injection Volume: 1.0 (uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: 7.0	
CONCE	NTRATION UNTTS

\*

4

CAS NO.	COMPOUND		or ug/Kg)		Q		
	Diesel Range On	rganics		.0 .	.86 JB	IL	FØI, FOG

-

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSI	S DATA SHEET
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR	Contract: NA 790511
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA	SAS No.: NA SDG No.: FS4023S
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9805399-01
Sample wt/vol: 5.0 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: AK107
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 05/13/98
% Moisture: not dec. 9	Date Analyzed: 05/25/98
GC Column: J&W DB-624(FID) ID: 0.53	(mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume: (uL
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG Q
Gasoline Range	Organics 1100 U U

2

~

----

-

÷	Form 1: Inor	ganic Anal	yses Data She	et	
DG No.: F\$4023S	~ ~		Method Ty	pe: Total Metals	
Sample ID: 9805399-01			Client ID:	790511	
Contract: SAIC00598	Lab Code:	GEL	Case No.:	SAS	No.:
Matrix: SOIL	Date Received	: 5/13/98	Level: LO	W	
% Solids: 91.00					
AS No. Analyte Co	ncentration Units	C Qual	M DL	Instrument ID	Analytical Run
439-92-1 Lead	3.5 mg/kg		P 0.10	TJA61 Trace ICPAES	980519-1
Color Before:	Clar	ity Before:	·····	Texture:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Color After:	Clar	ity After:	Artifacts:		
Comments:					

\_\_\_\_

IA VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYS	EPA SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR	
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA	SAS No.: NA SDG No.: FS4021S
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9805396-01
Sample wt/vol: 10.0 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: 2J4034
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 05/13/98
% Moisture: not dec. 24	Date Analyzed: 05/22/98
GC Column: J&W DB-624(PID) ID: 0.53	(mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(ml)	Soil Aliquot Volume: (uL
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG Q
71-43-2Benzene 108-88-3Toluene 100-41-4Ethylbenzene 1330-20-7Xylenes (total	$ \begin{array}{c} 2.6 \\ 4.6 \\ 2.4 \\ J \\ 7.7 \\ J \end{array} $
DATA	A VALIDATION Run A VALIDATION

DATA VALIDATION COPY

FORM I VOA

۰.

V-42

-



Rum 7/22/98

FORM I SV-1

OLMO3 0

FORM 1 Science Applications13-MAY-1998 SAMPLE NO. SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Contract: NA 790521 Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA SAS NO .: NA SDG No.: FS4021S Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL Lab Sample ID: 9805396-01 Sample wt/vol: 30.6 (g/mL) G Lab File ID: 5D40036 Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 05/13/98 \* Moisture: 24 decanted: (Y/N) N Date Extracted:05/19/98 Concentrated Extract Volume: 1.00(mL) Date Analyzed: 05/29/98 Injection Volume: 1.0(uL) Dilution Factor: 3.0 GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) NpH: 7.0 

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(ug/L or ug/Kg) MG/KG	'n	Ð	^
	Diesel Range		<u>.</u> В	Qua =	L- FØ8
			h	1	

DATA VALIDATION COPY Run Hzzl98
1A VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET	EPA SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Contract: NA	790521
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA SAS No.: NA SDG	No.: FS4021S
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL Lab Sample ID:	
Sample wt/vol: 5.0 (g/mL) G Lab File ID:	
Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received:	05/13/98
<pre>% Moisture: not dec. 24 Date Analyzed:</pre>	05/21/98
GC COLUMN, JEW DD COA(HED) TD C DE C	Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL) Soil Aliquot V	
CAS NO. COMPOUND CONCENTRATION UNITS:	
Gasoline Range Organics	116 J J
	Run 7/22/98

DATA VALIDATION COPY

FORM I VOA

V-45

NA.

LA VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYS	IS DATA SHEET	
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR	Contract: NA 790531	)
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA	SAS No.: NA SDG No.: FS4021S	
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9805396-05	
Sample wt/vol: 10.0 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: 2J4037	
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 05/13/98	
% Moisture: not dec. 24	Date Analyzed: 05/22/98	
GC Column: J&W DB-624 (PID) ID: 0.53	(mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0	
Soil Extract Volume:(ml)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(u	L
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG Q Qua	f.
71-43-2Benzene 108-88-3Toluene 100-41-4Ethylbenzene 1330-20-7Xylenes (total	2.6 U U E 5.0 2.6 U U U 2.6 U U U 7.9 U U	×

DATA VALIDATION

FORM I VOA

-

÷

Ŋ

Run 7/22/98

-

)



FORM I SV-1

OLM03.0

FORM 1 Science Applications13-MAY-1998 SAMPLE NO. SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINBERING LABOR Contract: NA 790531 Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA SAS No.: NA SDG No.: FS4021S Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL Lab Sample ID: 9805396-05 Sample wt/vol: 30.1 (g/mL) G Lab File ID: 5D40015 Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 05/13/98 & Moisture: 18 decanted: (Y/N) N Date Extracted:05/19/98 Concentrated Extract Volume: 1.00(mL) Date Analyzed: 05/28/98 Injection Volume: 1.0(uL) Dilution Factor: 1.0 GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: 7.0 CONCENTRATION UNITS: CAS NO. COMPOUND (ug/L or ug/Kg) MG/KG Q

Diesel Range Organics 0.71 JB U-FØLF

DATA VALIDATION COPY W-FØIJFØ6 Run ) 7/22/98

1A VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYS	IS DATA SHEET	EPA SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR		790531
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA	SAS No.: NA SDG	No.: FS4021S
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	Lab Sample ID	9805396-05
Sample wt/vol: 5.0 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID:	
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received:	
% Moisture: not dec. 18	Date Analyzed:	
GC Column: J&W DB-624(FID) ID: 0.53		Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil Aliquot V	
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/K	G Qual
Gasoline Range	organics	64.4 J J
DATA	A VALIDATION	Run 7/22/98

DATA VALIDATION COPY

FORM I VOA

(

V-49

la Volàtile organics analysis data sheet	EPA SAMPLE NO
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Contract: NA	790541
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA SAS No.: NA	SDG No.: FS4021S
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL Lab	Sample ID: 9805396-02
Sample wt/vol: 10.0 (g/mL) G Lab	File ID: 2J4032
Level: (low/med) LOW Date	Received: 05/13/98
% Moisture: not dec. 24 Date	Analyzed: 05/22/98
GC Column: J&W DB-624 (PID) ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(ml) Soil	Aliquot Volume:(uL
CAS NO. COMPOUND CONCENTRAT	ION UNITS: g/kg) UG/kg Q Quof
71-43-2Benzene 108-88-3Toluene 100-41-4Ethylbenzene 1330-20-7Xylenes (total)	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	Kun 7/22/98

## DATA VALIDATION COPY

FORM I VOA

`

-



Rum 7/22/98

FORM I SV-1

OLM03.0

FORM 1 Science Applications13-MAY-1998 SAMPLE NO. SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Contract: NA 790541 Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA SAS No : NA SDG No.: FS4021S Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL Lab Sample ID: 9805396-02 Sample wt/vol: 30.9 (g/mL) G Lab File ID: SD40042 Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 05/13/98 \* Moisture: 24 decanted: (Y/N) NDate Extracted:05/19/98 Concentrated Extract Volume: 1.00(mL) Date Analyzed: 05/29/98 Injection Volume: 1.0(uL) Dilution Factor: 1.0 GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: 7.0 -X: 1 U-FØ1,FØ6 1 21/22 RLM 7/22/98 CONCENTRATION UNITS: CAS NO. COMPOUND (ug/L or ug/Kg) MG/KG -----Diesel Range Organics\_

DATA VALIDATION

COPY

1.6 JB

V-52

LA VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET	EPA SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Contract: NA	790541
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA SAS No.: NA	SDG No.: FS4021S
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL Lab Samp	le ID: 9805396-02
Sample wt/vol: 5.0 (g/mL) G Lab File	
Level: (low/med) LOW Date Rec	eived: 05/13/98
* Mojeturo, not dog 04	lyzed: 05/21/98
GC COLUMN, ISW DR COA(RID) TO COME	lution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Melawa	quot Volume:(uL
CAS NO. COMPOUND CONCENTRATION (ug/L or ug/Kg	UNITS: ) UG/KG Q Quel
Gasoline Range Organics	76.6 J J
	Run 7/22/98

# DATA VALIDATION COPY

FORM I VOA

VOLATIL	LA ORGANICS ANALYS	IS DATA SHEET		EPA SAMPI	E NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL EN Lab Code: NA Matrix: (soil/water) Sample wt/vol: Level: (low/med) % Moisture: not dec. GC Column: J&W DB-624	GINEERING LABOR Case No.: NA SOIL 5.0 (g/ml) G LOW 19 4(PID) ID: 0.53	Contract: NA SAS No.: NA Lab Samp Lab File Date Rec Date Ana	ole ID: ID: eived: lyzed:	790551 No.: FS402 9805395-2 2J406 05/13/98 05/21/98 Factor: 1.	0 <i>s</i> 0
Soil Extract Volume: CAS NO. 71-43-2 108-88-3 100-41-4 1330-20-7	COMPOUND	Soil Alic CONCENTRATION U (ug/L or ug/Kg)	quot Vo	lume:	Qual. d d ū u-Føl,Før

--

кил 716' )

<del>...</del>.

DATA VALIDATION COPY

FORM I VOA



RLM 717/98

OLM03.0

FORM I SV-1

V-55

FORM T SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA	Science Applications13-MAY-1998 SAMPLE NO
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Contract	790551
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA SAS No.	NA SDG No.: FS40205
Matrix: (soil/water) SCIL	Lab Sample ID: 9805395-20
Sample wt/vol: 30.4 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: 5D30017
Level: (low/med) Low	Date Received: 05/13/98
* Moisture: 19 decanted: (Y/N) N	Date Extracted:05/18/98
Concentrated Extract Volume: 1.00(mL)	Date Analyzed: 05/27/98
Injection Volume: 1.0(uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: 7.0	
CAS NO. COMPOUND (ug/L	NTRATION UNITS: or ug/kg) MG/kg Q Quel.
Diesel Range Organics	$\frac{1.4}{JB} R - F \phi(, F \phi 6, P \phi 3)$

DATA VALIDATION Run COPY 7/7/98

÷ ....

LA VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYS	SIS DATA SHEET
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR	Contract: NA
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA	SAS NO.: NA SDG NO.: FS4020S
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9805395-20
Sample wt/vol: 5.0 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: 3J3043
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 05/13/98
% Moisture: not dec. 19	Date Analyzed: 05/21/98
GC Column: J&W DB-624(FID) ID: 0.53	(mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(u_
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG Q Qual
Gasoline Rang	ge Organics 1230 U U
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

pun 416198

-

# DATA VALIDATION COPY

FORM I VOA

~

; (

LA VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS	EPA SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR	Contract: NA 790561
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA	SAS NO.: NA SDG NO.: FS4021S
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9805396-06
Sample wt/vol: 10.0 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: 2J4038
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 05/13/98
% Moisture: not dec. 39	Date Analyzed: 05/22/98
GC Column: J&W DB-624 (PID) ID: 0.53 (	mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(ml)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(uL
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG Q Qual
71-43-2Benzene 108-88-3Toluene 100-41-4Ethylbenzene 1330-20-7Xylenes (total)	3.3 U 3.3 U

Alorde )

\*

COPY

DATA VALIDATION

FORM I VOA

.

V-58



Rum 7/22/98

FORM I SV-1

OLM03-0

FORM 1 SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET Science Applications13-MAY-1998 SAMPLE NO. Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Contract: NA 790561 Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA SAS No.: NA SDG No.: FS4021S Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL Lab Sample ID: 9805396-06 Sample wt/vol: 30.4 (g/mL) G Lab File ID: 5D40018 Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 05/13/98 \* Moisture: 24 decanted: (Y/N) N Date Extracted:05/19/98 Concentrated Extract Volume: 1.00(mL) Date Analyzed: 05/28/98 Injection Volume: 1.0(uL) Dilution Factor: 1.0 GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: 7.0 CONCENTRATION UNITS: CAS NO. COMPOUND (ug/L or ug/Kg) MG/KG Q

-----Diesel Range Organics\_

Qual-U-FØI,FØG Kun Hzzlag

DATA VALIDATION COPY

0.60 JB

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYS	SIS DATA SHEET	EPA SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Lab Code: NA Case No NA	Contract: NA	790561
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	SAS NO.: NA SDG Lab Sample ID:	No.: FS4021S
Level: (low/med) LOW	Lab File ID:	3J4016
<pre>% Moisture: not dec. 24 GC Column: J&amp;W DB-624(FID) ID: 0.53 Coil =</pre>	Date Received: Date Analyzed:	05/13/98 05/22/98
SOII Extract Volume:(uL)		Factor: 1.0
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG	
Gasoline Range	Organics	Qual.
דא רו		
DAI	A VALIDATION	7/22/98

ĺ

FORM I VOA

<u>`</u>

Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABORContract: NA790571Lab Code: NACase No.: NASAS No.: NASDG No.: FS4020SMatrix: (soil/water) SOILLab Sample ID: 9805395-19Sample wt/vol:10.0 (g/mL) GLab File ID: 2J5022Level:LowDate DesciondSDG (minimized)	
Matrix: (soil/water) SOILLab Sample ID: 9805395-19Sample wt/vol:10.0 (g/mL) GLab File ID:2J5022	)
Matrix: (soil/water) SOILLab Sample ID: 9805395-19Sample wt/vol:10.0 (g/mL) GLab File ID:2J5022	with
Sample wt/vol: 10.0 (g/mL) G Lab File ID: 2J5022	
Level: (lost/mod) tot	
Date Received: 05/13/98	
<pre>% Moisture: not dec. 15 Date Analyzed: 05/22/98</pre>	
GC Column: J&W DB-624 (PID) ID: 0.53 (mm) Dilution Factor: 2.0	
Soil Extract Volume: (ml) Soil Alignot Volume	IL
CAS NO. COMPOUND CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG Q Qua	٦.
71-43-2Benzene       4.7 U       U         108-88-3Toluene       4.7 U       U         100-41-4Ethylbenzene       4.7 U       U         1330-20-7Xylenes (total)       14.1 U       U	

DATA VALIDATION COPY

FORM I VOA

.

pun 7161)

-

,



RLM 717198

OLM03.0

V-63

FORM I SV-1

FORM 1 Science Applications13-MAY-1998 SAMPLE NO. SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Contract: NA 790571 Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA SAS No.: NA SDG No.: FS4020S Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL Lab Sample ID: 9805395-19 Sample wt/vol: 30.5 (g/mL) G Lab File ID: 5D30016 Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 05/13/98 % Moisture: 15 decanted: (Y/N) N Date Extracted:05/18/98 Concentrated Extract Volume: 1.00(mL) Date Analyzed: 05/27/98 Injection Volume: 1.0(uL) Dilution Factor: 1.0 GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: 7.0 CONCENTRATION UNITS: CAS NO. COMPOUND (ug/L or ug/Kg) MG/KG

 $\frac{(lg/l of lg/kg) MG/kg}{1.3 JB} R-FØI, FØ6, PØ3$ 

DATA VALIDATION Run COPY 7/7(98

÷ \*

LA VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET					·		
Lab Nat	ne: GENERAL EN	GINEERING LABOR	Contract:	NA	79	0571	
Lab Coo	le: NA	Case No.: NA	SAS No.:	NA SDG	No.: F	S4:0205	3
Matrix	: (soil/water)	SOIL		Lab Sample ID	: 98053:	95-19	
Sample	wt/vol:	5.0 (g/mL) G		Lab File ID:	3J304	2	
Level:	(low/med)	LOW	:	Date Received	: 05/13	/98	
% Moist	cure: not dec.	. 15		Date Analyzed	: 05/21	/98	
GC Colu	umn: J&W DB-62	24(FID) ID: 0.53	(mm)	Dilution	n Facto:	r: 1.0	)
Soil E	ktract Volume:	:(uL)		Soil Aliquot	Volume:	<u></u>	(uL
	CAS NO.	COMPOUND		TRATION UNITS or ug/Kg} UG/3		Q	Qual.
-	·····	Gasoline Rang	ge Organics		1180	J	И
•							

RLM 716/98

\_

## DATA VALIDATION COPY

FORM I VOA

×

~

PROJECT: Fort Stewart LOCATION OF PROJECT: CAP Part A DESCRIPTION OF SOIL: Brown Silty Sand TESTED BY: B.J. Vance



JOB NO.: <u>98066</u> SAMPLE NO: <u>790331</u> DEPTH OF SAMPLE: <u>8-10ft.</u> DATE OF TESTING: <u>6/8/98</u>

W = 1.55974  $W_W = W - W_S = 0.24870$  $W_S = Y_d V = 1.3110$ 

V = 0.01293  $V_{W} = W_{W}/Y_{W} = 0.0040$   $V_{S} = W_{S}/G_{S}*Y_{W} = 0.0080$   $V_{G} = V - (V_{S} + V_{W}) = 0.00090$  $V_{V} = V_{G} + V_{W} \quad 0.0049$ 

MEASUREMENTS (	OF TUB	<u>E/CAN</u>
HEIGHT=	21.1	cm
DIAMETER=	4.7	cm

#### CALCULATED VOLUME OF TUBE/CAN

V= 366.07 cm<sup>3</sup> 0.01293 ft<sup>3</sup>

MOISTURE CONTENT					
M <sub>CWS</sub> =	37.77	g	M <sub>C</sub> =	15.38	g
M <sub>CDS</sub> =	34.20	g.	M <sub>S</sub> =	18.82	g
M <sub>W</sub> =	3.57	g	₩ =	19.0	%

Wet Density, Y <sub>m</sub> = V	V/V
Dry Density, $Y_d = W_s / V$ or	$Y_{d} = Y_{m} / (1 + w)$
double check	$Y_{d} = Y_{m} / (1 + w)$
$Y_d = W_c / V$	Y <sub>m</sub> = 120.63 lbs/ft <sup>3</sup>
Y <sub>d</sub> = 101.40 lbs/ft <sup>3</sup>	Y <sub>d</sub> = 101.40 lbs/ft <sup>3</sup>
Void Ratio, e = V <sub>v</sub> /V e = 0.6070	s
Porosity, n = V <sub>V</sub> /V n = 0.38	Specific Gravity = 2.61

Degree of Saturation,  $S = V_W/V_V$ S = 0.8157

> CATLIN Engineers and Scientists Geotechnical Laboratories

#### GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS-SIEVE (ASTM D422)

Project Sur- c	Job No
Location of Project Case Pract A	Sample No
Description of Soil	Depth of Sample 5-10 Boring No
Tested By BU	Date of Testing

Sample preparation procedures outlined in ASTM D421 and D2217.

Nominal diameter of largest particle

No. 10 sieve No. 4 sieve 3/4 in.

Approximate minimum Wt. of sample, g
200
500
1500

 Weight of sample used,  $M_{w} = 573.57g$ 
 $M_{obs}$   $M_c$   $M_w$   $M_a$  w %  $M_{wr}$   $M_a$  

 G77.55 I/3.73 I/3.73 I/3.73 I/3.73 I/3.73 I/3.73 

Sieve analysis and grain shape

ିM<sub>ଙ୍କ</sub>

Sieve no.	Diam. (mm)	Wt. retained	% retained	Σ% retained	% passing
3"					
2"			·		
1 1/2 "					
3/4"					
3/8*				<i></i>	
#4					
#10		7.01	1.24	1.24	78.76
#20		25.82	4.58	583	94.17
#40		21.26	3.78	9.61	90.39
#60		<del>88</del> .36	15.70	25.31	74.68
#140		378.30	67.22	92.53	7.47
#200		12.20	2.17	94.70	530
pan		29-80	5.29	99.99	0.01
	*	562.80			

% retained = (Wt. retained/W<sub>s</sub>) · 100

% passing =  $100 - \Sigma$  % retained.

#### PERMEABILITY TEST ANALYSIS (ASTM D5084)

Project :	Fort Stewart
Location of Project :	CAP Part A

Description of Soil : Brown Silty Sand

Sample Type (Undisturbed or Remolded) Standard Proctor:

Maximim Dry Density: \_\_\_\_\_ pcf
Optimum Moisture Content: \_\_\_\_\_%

#### **Sample Permeation:**

	De-Aired Water		
% Saturation:	100	%	
Cell Pressure:	65	 psi	
Lower Pressure:	61	 psi	
Upper Pressure:	60	_ pai	
Gradient:	11.17	-	

Job # :	98066
Date of Testing:	7/13-14/98
Tested by:	CA
Boring # :	
Sample #:	790331
Sample Depth :	8-10'
•	·

 % Sample Compaction:
 %

 Sample Dry Density:
 pcf

 Sample Moisture Content:
 %

 Sample Wet Density:
 pcf

Sample Dimensions		
	Before	After
Length (cm)	6.30	5.10
Diameter (cm)	4.70	4.90
Water Content (%)	17.9	18.7
Weight (g)	215.4	213.5

**Constant Head Calculation:** 

#### $K = [V(t_1, t_2) LR_T]/[P_BAt] (cm/sec)$

$V(t_1,t_2) = Volume of flow from t_1 t_1$	o t <sub>2</sub> (cm <sup>2</sup> )		
L = Length of Sample =	<u>6.30</u> cm		
$A = Area of Sample = t = t_2 - t_1 (sec)$	17.35 cm <sup>2</sup>		
Pn = Bias Pressure = 1	_psi x 70.37 cm/psi <sub>(cm - H2O)</sub> _	70.37	cm
<b>R<sub>T</sub></b> = Temperature correction =	0.931		

<b>t</b> 2 (min)	t <sub>1</sub> (min)	(t <sub>2</sub> - t <sub>1</sub> )*60 (sec)	V (cm <sup>2</sup> )	[LR <sub>T</sub> ]/[P <sub>B</sub> A] (cm <sup>3</sup> )	K (cm/sec)
1185	1140	2700	5	4.80E-03	8.90E-06
1205	1185	1200	2.4	4.80E-03	9.61E-06
1235	1205	1800	3.3	4.80E-03	8.81E-06
1250	1235	900	1.3	4.80E-03	6.94E-06

Kavg = 8.56E-06 cm/sec

CATLIN Engineers and Scientists Geotechnical Laboratories

Description         Construction         Construction </th <th>Science Andrew Control Control</th> <th>r Ia Enphorie: Onn ed Company Componition</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>(</th> <th>1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1</th> <th></th> <th>Ŷ</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	Science Andrew Control	r Ia Enphorie: Onn ed Company Componition				(	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		Ŷ					
RECUESTED         RECUESTED         Ludion           Et: Perty Stall         Et: Perty Stall         Lumbor	kige Tumpite, Oak Ridge, TN-37 T Monart	331 (423) 481-46	00		£	AIN	OF C	USTC	DY R	ECOR	۵			COC NO.: (3/ 1/ 1/ 1/ 1/
If: Party Stall     If: Ori-0331 didentific_200     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall       If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall       If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall       If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall       If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall       If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall       If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall       If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall       If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall       If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall       If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall       If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall       If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party Stall     If: Party	I RAME: FOR STOWARD	PCD5	A UST Inves	tigation			F	REOU	ESTED P	ARAMET	ERS			LABORATORY NAME:
Br. Party Soll     Party Soll       Municipal Soll     Party Soll       Municipal Soll     Party Soll       Solution Solutin Solution Solution Solution Solution Solution Solution So	CT NUMBER: 01-0331-04	9305-200											 4. 	ueneral Engineering Laboratory
Printer Name         Printer Name           S/12/Prist         1100           S/12/Prist         1120           Printer Name         Printer Name           Printer Name         Printer Name           Printer Name         Printer Name           S/12/Prist         1100           Printer Name         Printer Name           Printer Name         Printer Name <td< th=""><th>CT MANAGER: Patty Stol</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>·</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>jot</th><th></th><th>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</th><th></th><th> :als</th><th>LABORATORY ADDRESS: 2040 Savage Raod Charleston, SC 29417</th></td<>	CT MANAGER: Patty Stol				·				jot		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		 :als	LABORATORY ADDRESS: 2040 Savage Raod Charleston, SC 29417
Municipation     Lun (Du Lum (PU)       Bios contracted     mon contracted     monocontracted       Bios contracted     monocontracted     monocontracted       S / 1/3 PG/6     173 D/S     5: 11     17       S / 1/3 PG/6     133 A/O     5: 11     17     17       S / 1/3 PG/6     133 A/O     5: 11     17     17       S / 1/3 PG/6     133 A/O     5: 11     17     17       S / 1/3 PG/6     105     11     11     12       S / 1/3 PG/6     101/5     11     11     12       S / 1/3 PG/6     101/5     11     11     12       S / 1/3 PG/6     101/5     11     12     12       S / 1/3 PG/6     101/5     12     12     12       S / 1/3 PG/6     101/5     12     12     12       S / 1/3 PG/6     101/5     12     12     12       S / 1/3 PG/6     10	r (Signature)	(Printed Na	amel ,	-			peel	beal	'pże'				 IV /#4	
Bue control         The control         Marca         RAT         R<	Ī	-5		Whey					,H9T				 obtea	PHONE NO: (803) 556-8171
5/13/96     10.20     5×11     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/11/96     13.05     1     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/11/96     13.40     1     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/11/96     13.40     1     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/11/96     13.40     1     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/12/96     10/5     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/12/96     10/5     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/12/96     10/5     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/12/96     10/5     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/12/96     10/5     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/12/96     10     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/12/97     10     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/12/96     10     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/12/97     10     1     1     1 <t< td=""><td></td><td>cted</td><td>┝─┼</td><td>Matrix</td><td>HA9</td><td>BTEX</td><td></td><td></td><td>,нач</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td> 30 .OV</td><td>OBSERVATIONS, COMMENTS, SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS</td></t<>		cted	┝─┼	Matrix	HA9	BTEX			,нач				 30 .OV	OBSERVATIONS, COMMENTS, SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS
5/11/96 1330 5/11/96 1335 5/11/96 1335 5/11/96 1335 5/12/96 1235 5/12/96 1235 5/12/96 110 5/12/96 110 1/1/0 Date/Time RECEVED BY: Date/Time RECEVED BY: Date/Time	2		_	50: )		-							10	
5/11/19% 130% 5/12/19% 134/0 10 5/12/19% 100% 5/12/19% 100% 5/12/19% 100% 5/12/19% 100% 5/12/19% 100% 5/12/19% 100 5/12/19% 100% 5/12/19% 100% 5/12/19% 100% 5/12/19% 100% 5/12/19% 100% 5/12/19% 100% 5/12/19% 100% 5/12/19% 100% 11/10%	5	_	000										1	
5/11/4%     1340     11     12     1340       5/12/4%     1005     11     11     10     10       5/12/4%     1153     11     11     11     11     10       5/12/4%     1153     11     11     11     11     10       5/12/4%     106     11     11     11     11     10       5/12/4%     101     11     11     11     11     11       5/12/4%     110     11     11     11     11     11       5/12/4%     110     11     11     11     11     11       5/12/4%     1100     11     11     11     11     11       5/12/4%     1100     11     11     11     11     11       5/12/4%     1100     11     11     11     11     11       5/12/4%     1100     11     11     11     11     11       1     11     11     11     11     11     11       1     11     11     11     11     11     11       1     11     11     11     11     11     11       1     11     11     11     11     11 <t< td=""><td>01 5/11</td><td>1 86</td><td>505</td><td></td><td></td><td>7</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>20</td><td></td></t<>	01 5/11	1 86	505			7							20	
5/1/3     7/3     105     1     1     1     1     1       5/13     7     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/13     7     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/13     7     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/13     7     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/13     7     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/13     7     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/13     7     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/13     7     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/13     7     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/13     7     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/13     1     1     2     1     1     1     1       5/13     1     1     2     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1     1 <td>1 2/1</td> <td>~</td> <td>70</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>9</td> <td></td>	1 2/1	~	70										9	
5/13/97     1235     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/13/97     11/5     1     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/13/97     11/0     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/13/97     11/0     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/13/97     1/15     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/13/97     1/2     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/13/97     1     1     3     1     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/13/97     1     3     3     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/13/97     1     3     3     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1	12/12		50			-							5	
5/12/17-6     11/5     1     1     1     1     1       5/12/17-6     11/10     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/12/17-6     11/10     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/12/17-6     11/15     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/12/17-6     1/15     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/12/17-6     1/15     1     1     1     1     1     1       5/12/17-6     1/25     V     1     1     1     1     1       1     1/2     1/2     V     1     1     1     1       1     1/2     1/2     V     1     1     1     1       1     1/2     V     Number     1     1     1       1     1	ells 1+		X										n	
5/12/97&     10/15     11/0     11/0     11/0     10/15       5/12/97&     11/0     11/0     11/0     11/0     10/2       5/12/97&     12/2     11/0     11/0     11/0     10/2       5/12/97     12/2     10/2     11/0     10/2       5/12/97     10/2     10/2     10/2     10/2       5/12/97     10/2     10/2     10/2     10/2       5/12/97     10/2     10/2     10/2     10/2       10/2     10/2     10/2     10/2     10/2       10/2     10/2     10/2     10/2     10/2       11/1     0     0     10/2     10/2       11/1     0     0     10/2     10/2       11/1     0     0     10/2       11/1     0     0     10/2       11/1     0     0     10/2       11/1     0     0     10/2       11/1     0     0     10/2       11/1     0     0     10/2       11/1     0     0     10/2       11/1     0     0     10/2       11/1     0     0     10/2       11/2     0     10/2       11/2	10115 1 4	_	30										D	
5/12/75     110     110     110     110     110       5/12/75     1/5     1     1     1     1     1       5/12/75     1/5     1     1     1     1     1       5/12/75     1/5     1     1     1     1     1       5/12/75     1/5     1     1     1     1     1       5/12/75     1     1     2     1     1     1       5/12/75     1     1     2     1     1     1       5/12/75     1     1     2     1     1     1       5/12/75     1     1     2     1     1     1       5/12/75     1     1     2     1     1     1       5     1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1	<u>ci/s 1</u>		જ										<u>)</u>	
5/12/748     1/25     1     1     1     1     1       5/12/748     1/25     1     1     1     1     2       5/12/748     1     2/25     1     1     2       5/12/748     1     2/25     1     1     2       5/12/748     1     2/25     1     2       1     Date/Time     RECEIVED BY:     Date/Time     TOTAL NUMBER OF CONTAINERS:       1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1     1     1     1       1     1     1	19/5		0										n a	
3/12/176     1/15     1/1     1/15     1/1 <td< td=""><td>111 5/13</td><td>_</td><td>20</td><td></td><td></td><td>+</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td></td<>	111 5/13	_	20			+							1	
5/12/76     10.30     11     10     10       5/12/76     13.32     11     11     10     10       VUM. QU. 5/13/96     RECEIVED BY:     Date/Time     TOTAL NUMBER OF CONTAINERS:       VUM. QU. 5/13/97     COMPANY NAME:     Date/Time     TOTAL NUMBER OF CONTAINERS:       VUM. QU. 5/13/97     COMPANY NAME:     Date/Time     TOTAL NUMBER OF CONTAINERS:       VUM. Date/Time     RELINQUISHED BY:     Date/Time     TOTAL NUMBER OF CONTAINERS:       VUM. ComPany NAME:     Date/Time     RELINQUISHED BY:     Date/Time       Date/Time     RELINQUISHED BY:     Date/Time     Cooler ID:       VUM. Total     COMPANY NAME:     Date/Time     Cooler ID:       Date/Time     RELINQUISHED BY:     Date/Time       Date/Time     RELINQUISHED BY:     Date/Time       ComPany NAME:     Date/Time     ComPany NAME:       VI     ComPany NAME:     Date/Time       Date/Time     RECEIVED BY:     Date/Time	10/5 110		5										ปก	
5/13/36     13:30     V     Date/Time     RECEIVED BY:     Date/Time     TOTAL NUMBER OF CONTAINERS:       V.M. L. S/13/76     COMPANY NAME:     Date/Time     TOTAL NUMBER OF CONTAINERS:     Cooler ID:       V.M. E. S/13/76     COMPANY NAME:     Date/Time     Cooler ID:     Cooler ID:       V.M. F. Southany NAME:     Date/Time     Date/Time     Date/Time       Date/Time     RELINQUISHED BY:     Date/Time     Date/Time       Date/Time     RELINQUISHED BY:     Date/Time     Cooler ID:       Date/Time     RELINQUISHED BY:     Date/Time       Date/Time     RECEIVED BY:     Date/Time       Date/Time     RECEIVED BY:     Date/Time	231 51	_	જ										1	
Date/Time     RECEIVED BY:     Date/Time     TOTAL NUMBER OF CONTAINERS:       1     1     1     1     1       1	12/12			->									) D	
I// Company Name:     Cooler ID:       Date/Time     Rel.INQUISHED BY:       Date/Time     Rel.INQUISHED BY:       I// FO     ComPany Name:       I// FO     ComPany Name:       Date/Time     RECEIVED BY:	Len Ber	Date/Time 5/13/94		) BY;		Ö	te/Time	ł_	TAL NUN	ABER OF	CONTA	INERS:		ooler Temperature: 4/6' C
Date/Time     RELINQUISHED BY:       Image: Solution of the second	-	1140	I	Y NAME:				ů	öler (D;	<u> </u>	ÌŽ	d	<u>LL</u>	EDEX NUMBER:
C Date/Time RECEIVED BY:	Louter-	Date/Time	RELINQUE	SHED BY:		ő	te/Time						-	
: Date/Time RECEIVED BY: COMPANY NAME:	Ĵ	34		r name:				··.						
	VISHED BY:	Date/Time	RECEIVED	BY;	-		te/Time					•		
	IY NAME:		COMPANY	NAME:										

V-69

Science Applications International Corporation	н Ал Етраук-Охмед Сопрату И Сарогијан				Ú	\$ }		20(F)	$\hat{\mathbf{n}}$				
800 Oak Ridge Turnpike, Oak Ridge, TW 37831 (423) 481-4600	37831 (423) 481-	4600		징	AIN	OF C	USTC	DY H	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD	Q			COC NO.: (S Y 1) // COC
PROJECT NAME: Fort Stewart New CAP Part A UST Investigation	New CAP Par	t A UST Inves	ugation				REQU	ESTED F	REQUESTED PARAMETERS	TERS			LABORATORY NAME:
PROJECT NUMBER: 01-0331-04-9805-200	04-9905-200											 	General Engineering Laboratory
									<u>-</u>				LABORATORY ADDRESS.
PROJECT MANAGER: Patty Stoll						P	þ	301 ,b				 :slaiV	coro bavage naoo Charleston, SC 29417
Sampler (Signature)	đ	_			Of	0' ריי 0	ا 1, 1.ea	66J .)				 \#elt1	PHONE NO: (803) 556-8171
Maura Dum	Lay Lay	Laura ru	haimn		х' GI	990 , 990 ,	н <b>ат</b> , нат ,	Hat,				 io8 la	
Sample ID Date		Time Collected	Matrix	ЭТВ НАЧ	TOC TOC	<u> </u>		ная				 , oN	OBSERVATIONS, COMMENTS, SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS
1011/2 (6/201	64	OH21	1 1.00									5	
11/5 6,10002	13	06.1										, n	
(e052)	5/12/98 1	115			-							বি	
5/		QH11										5	
15 1020 BE	1 9×1/41	1310										Q	
2112 1E0001	956	15'35			1	1						9	
24	192	1025			-	-						5	
11/5 10201E	2 20	1555			ł							(Ġ	
790511 5/12	126	(C)				-						<u>त</u>	
21	9 2 PI CI	915										9	
190121 5/1	12 194 IC	1000										9	
2/5 (CHOBE	198	81	$\rightarrow$									0	
	) <u> 86/c</u> .	930											
REDINGUISHED BY:	Date/Time		) BY:			Date/Time		ITAL NU	TOTAL NUMBER OF CONTAINERS:	F CONT	AINERS		Cooler Temperature:
COMPANY NAME: 1	チン	<u> </u>	COMPANY NAME:		<u> </u>		ບິ	Coolar ID:	Ħ	3	3		FEDEX NUMBER:
REGENERAY: LOUNE	Date/Time		RELINQUISHED BY:			Date/Time							
COMPANYHAME	5	COMPANY NAME:	Y NAME:		1								
RELINQUISHED BY:	Date/Time	RECEIVED BY:	) BY:			Date/Time							
COMPANY NAME:	·	COMPANY NAME	Y NAME:										



)

.

### **APPENDIX VI**

### ALTERNATE THRESHOLD LEVEL (ATL) CALCULATIONS

#### THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Calculations of alternate threshold levels are not required at this time for the USTS 48 & 49 site. However, the geotechnical data collected during the CAP-Part A investigation are presented in Table VI-A.

	79-0
Sample ID	790331
Depth Interval (ft BGS)	8.0 - 10.0
Grain size analysis - % Fines	5
Grain size analysis - % Sand	95
Grain size analysis - % Gravel	0
Liquid Limit	NP
Plastic Limit	NP
Plasticity Index	NP
Natural Moisture Content (%)	19.0
Permeability (cm/sec)	8.56 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>
Porosity	0.38
Specific Gravity	2.61

### VI-A. Geotechnical Results for Soil Samples Collected at the USTS 48 & 49 Site

NP = Nonplastic.

1

#### THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



a contractive section of the section

) ) )

### APPENDIX VII

## MONITORING WELL DETAILS

#### THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
.

Monitoring wells were not installed as part of the CAP-Part A investigation. Temporary piezometers were installed at the USTs 48 & 49 site. Refer to Figures 4 and 5 (Appendix I) for locations.

34

### THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



) )

### APPENDIX VIII

# **GROUNDWATER LABORATORY RESULTS**

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Station:		In Stream	79-01	79-02	79-03	79-04
Sample ID:	Federal	Water Quality	790112	790212	790312	790412
<b>Collection Date:</b>	SDWA MCLs <sup>1</sup>	Standards <sup>2</sup>	12-May-98	12-May-98	12-May-98	12-May-98
Units:	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/Ĺ)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)
VOLATILE ORGANIC C	OMPOUNDS					
Benzene	5	71.28	940 =	188 =	5.7 =	7.6 =
Toluene	1000	200,000	15600 =	196 =	39.5 =	37.9 =
Ethylbenzene	700	28,718	3980 =	197 =	10.3 =	8.1 =
Xylenes, Total	10000	-	23800 J	1140 =	49.2 =	27.7 =
POLYNUCLEAR AROMA	ATIC HYDROCAL	RBONS				
2-Chloronaphthalene		-	20 U	20 U	20 U	20 U
Acenaphthene			20 U	20 U	20 U	20 U
Acenaphthylene			20 U	20 U	20 U	20 U
Anthracene		110,000	20 U	20 U	20 U	20 U
Benzo(a)anthracene		0.0311	20 U	20 U	20 U	20 U
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.2	0.0311	20 U	20 U	20 U	20 U
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		-	-20 U	20 U	20 U	3.1 J
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		_	20 U	20 U	20 U	20 U
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		0.0311	20 U	20 U	20 U	20 U
Chrysene		0.0311	20 U	20 U	20 U	20 U
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene		0.0311	20 U	20 U	20 U	20 U
Fluoranthene		370	1 J	20 U	20 U	1 J
Fluorene		14,000	20 Ü	20 U	20 U	20 U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		0.0311	20 U	20 U	20 U	20 U
Naphthalene		-	616 =	46 =	20 U	20 U
Phenanthrene			20 U	20 U	20 U	20 U
Pyrene	10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-1	11,000	1.9 J	20 U	20 U	2.2 J

#### TABLE VIII-A. SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS

NOTES:

2

Field work was conducted prior to the new CAP-A guidance published in May 1998, thus the new analytical methods were not used.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency maximum contaminant level

GA EPD water quality standards (Chapter 391-03-6.03)

Bold values exceed MCLs

QA/QC samples were collected. The laboratory data sheets have been included in this appendix, but the results are not summarized. Laboratory Qualifiers

U Indicates the compound was not detected at the concentration reported

UJ Indicates that the compound was not detected above an approximated sample quantitation limit

J Indicates the value for the compound is an estimated value

= Indicates the compound was detected at the concentration reported

## THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

-

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYS	IS DATA SHEET
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR	Contract: NA 790112
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA	SAS NO.: NA SDG No.: FS4018W
Matrix: (soil/water) GROUNDH20	Lab Sample ID: 9805390-06
Sample wt/vol: 10.00 (g/ml) ML	
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 05/13/98
% Moisture: not dec.	Date Analyzed: 05/21/98
GC Column: J&W DB-624(PID) ID: 0.53	(mm) Dilution Factor: 100.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(uL
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
71-43-2Benzene 108-88-3Toluene 100-41-4Ethylbenzene 1330-20-7Xylenes (total	$ \begin{array}{c} 940 \\ 15600 \\ \hline \\ 3980 \\ \hline \\ 23800 \\ \hline \\ P \\ J \\ M \\ 0 \\ 8 \\ M \\ 0 \\ M \\ 0 \\ M \\ M$

FORM I VOA

.

VIII-5

1B

COMPOUND

CAS NO.

EPA SAMPLE NO.

1

Lab Name: GENERAL EN	GINEERING LABOR Contract	: NA	790112
Lab Code: NA	Case No.: NA SAS No.	NA SDG N	No.: FS4017W
Matrix: (soil/water)	GROUNDH2O	Lab Sample ID:	9805388-11
Sample wt/vol:	250.0 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID:	2U408
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date Received:	05/13/98
% Moisture:	decanted: (Y/N)	Date Extracted:	05/14/98
Concentrated Extract	Volume: 0.50(mL)	Date Analyzed:	05/21/98
Injection Volume:	1.0(uL)	Dilution Factor	: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N)	N pH: 7.0		

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L

91-20-3naphthalene         91-58-72-chloronaphthalene         209-96-8acenaphthylene         83-32-9acenaphthene         85-01-8fluorene         85-01-8	$ \begin{array}{c}     (010 \\                                   $	
--	--	--



OLM03.0

FORM I SV-1

66

COPY	S DATA SHEET EPA SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR (	Contract: NA 790212
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA	SAS NO.: NA SDG NO.: FS4018W
Matrix: (soil/water) GROUNDH20	Lab Sample ID: 9805390-05
Sample wt/vol: 10.00 (g/ml) ML	Lab File ID: 2J3020
Level: (low/med) LOW	
% Moisture: not dec.	Date Received: 05/13/98
	Date Analyzed: 05/20/98
	mm) Dilution Factor: 10.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(uL
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
71-43-2Benzene 108-88-3Toluene 100-41-4Ethylbenzene 1330-20-7Xylenes (total)	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

FORM I VOA

1B SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA	EPA SAMPLE NC.
Lab Name GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Contract	
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA SAS No.	: NA SDG No.: FS4017W
Matrix: (soil/water) GROUNDH20	Lab Sample ID: 9805388-09
Sample wt/vol: 250.0 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: 2U323
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 05/13/98
<pre>% Moisture: decanted: (Y/N)</pre>	Date Extracted:05/14/98
Concentrated Extract Volume: 0.50(mL)	
	·
Injection Volume: 1.0 (uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: 7.0	
	NTRATION UNITS: or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
91-20-3naphthalene         91-58-72-chloronaphthalene         209-96-8acenaphthylene         83-32-9acenaphthene         83-32-9acenaphthene         86-73-7fluorene         85-01-8phenanthrene         120-12-7anthracene         206-44-0fluoranthene         129-00-0	20.0 U 20.0 U 20.0 U 20.0 U 20.0 U 20.0 U 20.0 U 20.0 U 20.0 U 20.0 U

OLM03.0

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET	EPA SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Contract: NA	790312
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA SAS No.: NA	SDG No.: FS4018W
Matrixe (and ) (and ) (and )	Sample ID: 9805390-10
	File ID: 2J3040
Level: (low/med) LOW Dat	e Received: 05/13/98
& Moisting and I	e Analyzed: 05/21/98
GC Column: J&W DB-624(PID) ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL) Soi	l Aliquot Volume:(uL
CAS NO. COMPOUND CONCENTRATION (ug/L or u	TION UNITS: 1g/Kg) UG/L Q
71-43-2Benzene 108-88-3Toluene 100-41-4Ethylbenzene 1330-20-7Xylenes (total)	$ \begin{array}{c} 5.7 \\ 39.5 \\ 10.3 \\ 49.2 \\ \end{array} $

FORM I VOA

1B SEMIVOLÀTILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA	EPA SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Contract	: NA 790312
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA SAS No.	ر نو
Matrix: (soil/water) GROUNDH20	Lab Sample ID: 9805387-17
Sample wt/vol: 250.0 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: 1U215
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 05/13/98
<pre>% Moisture: decanted: (Y/N)</pre>	Date Extracted:05/14/98
Concentrated Extract Volume: 0.50(mL)	Date Analyzed: 05/19/98
Injection Volume: 1.0(uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: 7.0	
	NTRATION UNITS: or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
91-20-3naphthalene         91-58-72-chloronaphthalene         208-96-8acenaphthylene         83-32-9acenaphthene         86-73-7acenaphthene         86-73-7acenaphthene         201-8acenaphthene         201-12-7anthracene         206-44-0fluoranthene         129-00-0	20.0 U         20.0 U

207-08-9----benzo(k)fluoranthene

ŧ

20.0 U

20.0 U 20.0 U 20.0 U 20.0 U 20.0 U

69

COPY	SIS DATA SHEET EPA SAMPLE NO
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR	
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA Matrix: (soil/water) GROUNDH2O	SAS NO.: NA SDG NO.: FS4018W
	Lab Sample ID: 9805390-04
Sample wt/vol: 10.00 (g/ml) ML	Lab File ID: 2J3010
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 05/13/98
% Moisture: not dec.	Date Analyzed: 05/20/98
GC Column: J&W DB-624 (PID) ID: 0.53	(mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume: (uI
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
71-43-2Benzene 108-88-3Toluene 100-41-4Ethylbenzene 1330-20-7Xylenes (total	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

FORM I VOA

ĺ

1B SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA	EPA SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Contract	790412
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA SAS No.	.: NA SDG NO.: FS4017W
Matrix: (soil/water) GROUNDH20	Lab Sample ID: 9805388-10
Sample wt/vol: 250.0 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: 2U407
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 05/13/98
<pre>% Moisture: decanted: (Y/N)</pre>	Date Extracted:05/14/98
Concentrated Extract Volume: 0.50(mL)	Date Analyzed: 05/21/98
Injection Volume: 1.0(uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: 7.0	
CAS NO. COMPOUND (ug/L	ENTRATION UNITS: or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
91-20-3naphthalene 91-58-72-chloronaphthalene 209-96-8acenaphthylene 83-32-9acenaphthene 86-73-7fluorene 85-01-8phenanthrene 120-12-7anthracene 206-44-0fluoranthene 129-00-0pyrene 56-55-3benzo (a) anthracene 218-01-9benzo (b) fluoranthene 205-99-2benzo (k) fluoranthene 50-32-8benzo (a) pyrene 193-39-5benzo (a, h) anthracene 191-24-2benzo (g, h, i) perylene	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

FORM I SV-1

`

OLM03.0

72

j.	An Employee Onned Conpary						10	10/01						
occors Appuezional International Corporations 800 Date Ridge Turinpline, Oak Ridge, TN 37831 (423) 481-4600	7831  423  481-460	0		Ч	CHAIN OF		JSTO	CUSTODY RECORD	CORI	~				COC NO.: GAR (2 3/2)
PROJECT NAME: Fort Stewart New CAP Part A UST Investigation	New CAP Part A	UST Investig	Jation				REOUR	REQUESTED PARAMETERS	BAMET					
	9405					F							T	General Engineering Laboratory
PROJECT NUMBER: 01-0331-04-9305-200	4-9305-200												-	
PROJECT MANAGER: B St.					. <u>.</u>			;				<u> </u>		LABORATORY ADDRESS: 2040 Savara Band
						1		. TOC						Charleston, SC 29417
Sampter (Signaturg)	(Printed Name)	me]				read	psa	bse.					_	
	Lawa	Lunde	~			1080		1 'нал			. <u> </u>			PHONE NO: (803) 556-8171
Q	1 Lime	·	Matrix	X318 HA9	BTEX,	,HA9 ,HA9	. ,ная	. ,ная					to .of	OBSERVATIONS, COMMENTS, SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS
61/5 CIE001	PT6 170	$\overline{O}$	U-Mer	0			1000000						1	No Provession Almo
545-7-1-5001	1-1 9-11	35		4									19	
6/10 CI10 0	1.14	1635		ন									<u>त</u>	
10 5	15	<i>00</i>		3									<u>វ</u>	
0413 51	X=11 86 CI	0		ন									<u>ति</u>	
740012 5/10	116 1000	Q		5									n	
6110	$\mathbf{X}$	5		a									2	
15 6160		0		<i>с</i> 6									a	
11.9 51	_	2		لر ا									С	
5 5	1.18	5	<u>~ v</u>	<u>1</u>									R	
2/3	198 1335	Ϋ́	• 0	2									<u>त</u>	
15/	1/12/1000	Ç	.0	$\tilde{\sigma}$									n d	
2	11/14 15:25	ير ا		đ									5	
WHET IN DUISHED BY:	Date/Time	RECEIVED BY:	3Y:		<u>م</u>	Date/Time		TOTAL NUMBER OF CONTAINERS:	IBER OF	CONTA	INERS:			Cooler Temperature: $H^D \mathbb{C}$
COMPANY NAME: 1 5771	52	COMPANY NAM	NAME:				ő	Cooler ID:	#	7	3	_	u	FEDEX NUMBER:
REGENED BY REAL	Date/Time	RELINQUISHED BY:	HED BY:		å	Date/Time	_							
COMPANYAME	116	COMPANY NAM	NAME:		<del></del>									
RELINQUISHED BY:	Date/Time	RECEIVED BY:	14	•	- Da	Date/Time								
COMPANY NAME:		COMPANY NAME:	NAME:		·									

VIII-13

Science Applications Internal	Po As Exployee-Ouned Company thomal Corporation	ر مولياً				e".	~	0	Ъ.					
800 Oak Ridge Turnplie, Dak Ridge, TN 37831 (423) 481-4600	Ridge, TN 37831 (42.	3) 481-4600		D.	CHAIN OF	DF CI	ISTO	CUSTODY RECORD	CORL	~				COC NO.: GARAAS
PROJECT NAME: Fort Stewart New CAP Part A UST Investigation	t Stewart New CA	Part A UST	Investigation				REQUE	REQUESTED PARAMETERS	RAMETE	RS F				LABORATORY NAME:
PROJECT NUMBER: 01-0331-04-9305-200	11-0331-04- <del>3</del> 305-	200											<u>-</u>	General Engineering Laboratory
PROJECT MANAGER: Patty Stol	Patty Stoll							201			•			LABORATORY ADDRESS: 2040 Savage Raod Charleston, SC 29417
Sampler (Signature)	Ē	Printed Name)				peel		'pear						
tour allum	Dery L	aura Li	umley		о <u>ч</u> о ":	ово,	HeT HeT	I ,HST					Bottle	PHONE NO: (803) 556-8171
Sample ID	Data Collected	Time Collected	ed Matrix	CETT8 HA9		,HA9	,ная	r AH,			,		toof	OBSERVATIONS, COMMENTS, SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS
	$\mathbb{Z}$	Seal	wher	ব্চ									10	
10201	Ē			Z									d	
	=[	! *	<i>&gt;</i>	đ									ർ	
1010101	121	Shell	Ř			-							d	namery descent for the second s
	2/11/2	1350				-							6	
	ŧ	h										Π		مین این این این این این این این این این ا
110101	Ξ	975											1	
04000	Ī	1455			-								ন	
	Ξ	5101			-								5	
10001		1415											d d	
01101	3	Re											5	
	2  El	0561				1							n	
16,409-	84/e//5	1010	->										19	
RELINQUISHED BY:	00.15/13/94	<u> </u>	RECEIVED BY:			Date/Time	1	TOTAL NUMBER OF CONTAINERS:	BER OF	CONTAI	NERS:		-	Cooler Temperature: $4^{c}C$
L H	b D		COMPANY NAME:				Coo	Cooler ID: \mu	$\mathcal{A}$	40			Ë.	FEDEX NUMBER:
REFEIVED BY:	Long Date	Date/Time RELI	RELINQUISHED BY:		Ğ	Date/Time								
COMPANY NAME:	×		COMPANY NAME:		T									
RELINQUISHED BY:	Date/Time	1	RECEIVED BY:	.	Da	Date/Time	<del></del> -							
COMPANY NAME		CON	COMPANY NAME:		<u></u>									· · ·

)

## THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

~

( ( ( •

) 'n ) )

### APPENDIX IX

# EXCAVATION OF CONTAMINATED SOIL AND SUPPORTING MANIFESTS

C

### THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

All contaminated soil removed during the entire project (i.e., all USTs removed under contract with ACE, to include clean and non-clean closures) was tested and transported to Kedesh, Inc., Highway 84, Ludowici, GA 31316. The Installation has records of all manifests and weight tickets for this project and provided copies to GA EPD USTMP in September 1998. However, site/UST specific information is not available.

I certify that the above information is true and accurate.

Name:	Thomas C. Fry
Title:	Chief, Environmental Branch
Signatu	re: Douglas H Susana
Date:	12/22/98

### THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

٢

94

( - )

### **APPENDIX X**

## SITE RANKING FORM

•

#### THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

,

### SITE RANKING FORM

Facility Na	me: <u>USTs 48 &amp; 49, B</u>	uildin	g 1175		Ran	ked by: P. S	toll		
County:	Liberty Facility I	D #:_9	-089054		Date	Ranked: 7/29	9/98		
SOIL CONT									
Max (As	Total PAHs – B. Maximum Concentration found on the site (Assume <0.660 mg/kg if only gasoline was stored on site)				Total Benzene - Maximum Concentration found on the				
Was	stored on site)				$\boxtimes$	<u>&lt;</u> 0.005 mg/kg	=	0	
	<u>&lt;</u> 0.660 mg/kg	=	0			>0.00505 mg/k	:g =	1	
	>0.66 - 1 mg/kg	=	10			>0.05 - 1 mg/kg	=	10	
$\boxtimes$	>1 - 10 mg/kg	=	25			>1 - 10 mg/kg		25	
	>10 mg/kg	=	50			>10 - 50 mg/kg		40	
						>50 mg/kg	=	50	
	th to Groundwater = below land surface)								
	>50' bls =	1							
	>25' - 50' bls =	2							
	>10' - 25' bis =	5							
$\boxtimes$	<u>≤</u> 10' bls =	10							
ill in the bl	anks: (A. <u>25</u> ) +	(в	<u>)</u> ) = ( <u>0</u> )	x (C. <u>1</u>	<u>io</u> ) =	(D. <u>0</u> )			
ROUNDW		N							
liquio	Product (Nonaqueous- I hydrocarbons; See Gu lefinition of "sheen").	phase Iidelin	es	F.	Maxir (One	lved Benzene - num Concentration a well must be located			
$\boxtimes$	No free product = (	)				release.)		•	
	Sheen - 1/8" = 2	250				<u>&lt;</u> 5 μg/L		= 0	
	>1/8" - 6" = 5	i00				>5 - 100 µg/L		= 5	
	>6" - 1ft. = 1	,000,				>100 - 1,000 µg/L		= 50	
	For every additional		add another			>1,000 - 10,000 µg		= 100	
	100 points = <u>1,000 +</u>					>10,000 µg/L		= 250	
ill in the bla	ınks: (E. <u>0</u> ) + (	F. <u>50</u>	)_) = (G. <u>5</u>	<u>0)</u>					

ĺ

.

# POTENTIAL RECEPTORS (MUST BE FIELD-VERIFIED)

Distance from nearest contaminant plume boundary to the nearest downgradient and hydraulically connected Point of Withdrawal for water supply. If the point of withdrawal is not hydraulically connected, evidence as outlined in the CAP-A guidance document MUST be presented to substantiate this claim.

H.	Public Water Supply	١.	Non-Public Water Supply
*	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c } & Impacted & = 2000 \\ \hline & \leq 500' & = 500 \\ \hline & >500' - \frac{1}{4} & mi & = 25 \\ \hline & \frac{1}{4} & mi - 1 & mi & = 10 \\ \hline & >1 & mi - 2 & mi & = 2 \\ \hline & > 2 & mi & = 0 \\ \hline & For lower susceptibility areas only: \\ \hline & >1 & mi & = 0 \\ \hline & Note: If site is in lower susceptibility area, \\ * For justification that withdrawal point is not I \\ \end{array} $	do not hydraul	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c } & \text{Impacted} & = & 1000 \\ \hline & \leq 100' & = & 500 \\ \hline & >100' - 500' & = & 25 \\ \hline & >500' - \frac{1}{4} \text{ mi} & = & 5 \\ \hline & >\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \text{ mi} & = & 2 \\ \hline & >\frac{1}{2} \text{ mi} & = & 0 \\ \hline & \text{For lower susceptibility areas only:} \\ \hline & >\frac{1}{4} \text{ mi} & = & 0 \\ \hline & \text{tuse the shaded areas.} \\ \hline & \text{ically connected, see page X-5.} \end{array} $
J.	Distance from nearest Contaminant Plume boundary to downgradient Surface Waters <b>OR UTILITY TRENCHES &amp; VAULTS</b> (a utility trench may be omitted from ranking if its inver elevation is more than 5 feet above the water $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	t	Distance from any Free Product to basements and crawl spaces $\begin{vmatrix} &   \text{Impacted} &= 500 \\ & <500' &= 50 \\ & >500' - 1,000' &= 5 \\ & >1,000' \text{ or } &= 0 \\ & \text{no free product.} \end{vmatrix}$
Fill in	the blanks: (H. <u>0</u> ) + (l. <u>0</u> ) + (J. <u>5</u>	0_) +	(K. <u>0</u> ) = L. <u>50</u>
	(G. <u>5</u>	50_) x	(L. <u>50</u> ) = M. <u>2500</u>
	(M. <u>25</u>	5 <u>00</u> )+	(D. <u>0</u> ) = N. <u>2500</u>
P.	SUSCEPTIBILITY AREA MULTIPLIER         If site is located in a Low Ground-Wa         All other sites = 1	ter Poll	ution Susceptibility Area = $0.5$
-			
Q.	EXPLOSION HAZARD Have any explosive petroleum vapors, possil subsurface structure (e.g., utility trenches, ba	bly orig	inating from this release, been detected in any its, vaults, crawl spaces, etc.)?

- Yes = 200,000
- No = 0

Fill in the blanks:  $(N. 2500) \times (P. 1) = (2500) + (Q. 0)$ 

= 2500

## ENVIRONMENTAL SENSITIVITY SCORE

#### OTHER GEOLOGIC AND HYDROLOGIC DATA

The following information is presented to provide supplemental information to Section II.D.5 of the CAP-Part A form and provides detailed information relating to the geologic and hydrogeologic conditions at Fort Stewart to support determinations of groundwater flow pathway(s) or direction(s) and contaminant transport.

#### 1.0 REGIONAL AND LOCAL GEOLOGY

Fort Stewart is located within the coastal plain physiographic province. This province is typified by nine southeastward dipping strata that increase in thickness from 0 feet at the fall line located approximately 350 miles inland from the Atlantic coast, to approximately 4,200 feet at the coast. State geologic records describe a probable petroleum exploration well (the No. 1 Jelks-Rogers) located in the region as encountering crystalline basement rocks at a depth of 4254 feet BGS. This well provides the most complete record for Cretaceous, Tertiary, and Quaternary sedimentary strata in the region.

The Cretaceous section was found to be approximately 1,970 feet thick and dominated by clastics. The Tertiary section was found to be approximately 2,170 feet thick and dominated by limestone with a 175-foot thick cap of dark green phosphatic clay. This clay is regionally extensive and is known as the Hawthorn Group. The interval from approximately 110 feet to the surface is Quaternary in age and composed primarily of sand with interbeds of clay or silt. This section is undifferentiated into separate formations (Metcalf & Eddy 1996).

State geologic records contain information regarding a well drilled in October 1942, 1.8 miles north of Flemington at Liberty Field of Camp Stewart (now known as Fort Stewart). This well is believed to be an artesian well located approximately one-quarter mile north of the runway at Wright Army Airfield within the Fort Stewart Military Reservation. The log for this well describes a 410-foot section, the lowermost 110 feet of which consisted predominantly of limestone sediments, above which 245 feet of dark green phosphatic clay typical of the Hawthorn Group was encountered. The uppermost portion of the section was found to be Quaternary age interbedded sands and clays. The top 15 feet of these sediments were described as sandy clay (Metcalf & Eddy 1996).

The surface soil located throughout the Fort Stewart garrison area consists of Stilson loamy sand. The surface layer of this soil is typically dark grayish brown loamy sand measuring approximately 6 inches in depth. The surface layer is underlain by material consisting of pale yellow loamy sand and extends to a depth of approximately 29 inches. The subsoil is dominantly sandy clay loam and extends to a depth of 72 inches or more (Metcalf & Eddy 1996).

#### 2.0 REGIONAL AND LOCAL HYDROGEOLOGY

The hydrogeology in the vicinity of Fort Stewart is dominated by two aquifers referred to as the Principal Artesian and the surficial aquifers. The Principal Artesian aquifer is the lowermost hydrologic unit and is regionally extensive from South Carolina through Georgia, Alabama, and most of Florida. Known elsewhere as the Floridan, this aquifer is composed primarily of Tertiary age limestone, including the Bug Island Formation, the Ocala Group, and the Suwannee Limestone. These formations are approximately 800 feet thick, and groundwater from this aquifer is used primarily for drinking water (Arora 1984).

The uppermost hydrologic unit is the surficial aquifer, which consists of widely varying amounts of sand and clay ranging from 55 to 150 feet in thickness. This aquifer is primarily used for domestic lawn and agricultural irrigation. The top of the water table ranges from approximately 2 to 10 feet BGS (Geraghty and Miller 1993). The base of the aquifer corresponds to the top of the underlying dense clay of the Hawthorn Group. The Hawthorn Group was not encountered during drilling at this site, but is believed to be located at 40 to 50 feet BGS, thus the effective aquifer thickness would be approximately 35 to 45 feet. Soil surveys for Liberty and Long Counties describe the occurrence of a perched water table within the Stilson loamy sands present within Fort Stewart (Looper 1980).

The confining layer for the Principal Artesian aquifer is the phosphatic clay of the Hawthorn Group and ranges in thickness from 15 to 90 feet. The vertical hydraulic conductivity of this confining unit is on the order of  $10^{-8}$  cm/sec. There are minor occurrences of aquifer material within the Hawthorn Group; however, they have limited utilization (Miller 1990). The Hawthorn Group has been divided into three formations: Cooswhatchie Formation, Markshead Formation, and the Parachula Formation, which are listed from youngest to oldest.

The Coosawhatchie Formation is composed predominantly of clay, but also has sandy clay, argillaceous sand, and phosphorite units. The formation is approximately 170 feet thick in the Savannah Georgia area. This unit disconformably overlies the Markshead Formation and is distinguished from the underlying unit by dark phosphatic clays or phosphorite in the lower part and fine-grained sand in the upper part.

The Marshead Formation is approximately 70 feet thick in the Savannah Georgia area and consists of light colored phosphatic, slightly dolomitic, argillacerous sand to fine-grained sandy clay with scattered beds of dolostone, limestone, and siliceous, and dolomitic and less calcareous.

The Parachula Formation consists of sand, clay, limestone, and dolomite, and is approximately 10 feet thick in the Savannah Georgia area. The Parachula Formation generally overlies the Suwannee Limestone in Georgia.

C

)

Ĵ
## APPENDIX XI

# **PUBLIC NOTIFICATION**

C

 STATE OF GEORGIA
 Affidavit of Publication

 CHATHAM COUNTY
 Savannah Morning News

 Personnally appeared before me,
 Joan T. Jenkins

 known, who being sworn, deposes and says:

That he is the <u>Classified Adv Sunv</u> of Southeastern Newspapers Corporation, a Georgia corporation, doing business in Chatham County, Georgia, under the trade name of Savannah Morning News/Savannah Evening Press, a daily newspaper published in said county;

That he is authorized to make affidavits of publication on behalf of said published corporation;

That said newspaper is of general circulation in said county and in the area adjacent thereto;

That he has reviewed the regular editions of the Savannah Morning News/Savannah Evening Press, published on 7-19, 1998, 1-26, 1998, ...., 1998, and finds

that the following Advertisement, to-wit:



appeared in each of said editions.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this X & day 199 or )ulu

tary Public, Chatham County, Myorgia

LILLIE D. LANG Notary Public, Chatham County, Ga. My Commission Expires Apr. 8, 2001

Form 121 rev.

XI-3



(

)

# ATTACHMENT A

# **TECHNICAL APPROACH**

#### **TECHNICAL APPROACH**

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The overall objective of this project is to provide the engineering services required to produce Corrective Action Plans (CAPs) for the subject UST sites. These reports will conform to the site closure requirements of a CAP-Part A for sites in Georgia. The field investigations necessary to support the report preparation included the installation of temporary piezometers, soil borings, and associated sampling of soil and groundwater. Upon completion of the field investigations, a CAP-Part A will be prepared to meet Georgia Environmental Protection Division (GA EPD), Fort Stewart, and the USACE-Savannah requirements.

#### 2.0 FIELD ACTIVITIES

The following sections detail the methodologies used for geoprobe drilling, sampling, and piezometer installation. A geologist from SAIC was on site at all times during operations. No drilling activities were undertaken until all utility clearances and permits had been obtained from Fort Stewart's utility personnel.

#### 2.1 Subsurface Soil Sampling

#### 2.1.1 Geoprobe Drilling

The geoprobe method was used during the project for the collection of soil samples. During all geoprobe drilling, soil samples were collected continuously on 4.0-foot centers from the ground surface to the bottom of the borehole. The total depth of each borehole was dictated by the depth where the water table was encountered.

#### 2.1.2 Sample Collection

Soil samples for chemical analyses were collected from boreholes using 4.0-foot macro-core samplers. Upon retrieval of the sampling device, the soil core was split into two 2.0-foot sections using a stainless steel knife. A portion of each 2.0-foot section was collected for possible laboratory analysis. The remaining portion of each 2.0-foot section was used for field measurements.

Samples designated for possible laboratory analysis were collected from the section using a stainless steel spoon. The spoon was run lengthwise down the core to collect a sample representative of the entire core section. The portion of the sample designated for volatile organic analyses was placed into laboratory sample containers first, followed by placement of the remaining portion of the sample into the containers designated for volatile organic analyses were filled so that minimal headspace was present in the containers. Headspace gas concentration measurements were made using a field organic vapor meter (OVM). Initially, soil from each 2.0-foot interval was placed into a glass jar, leaving some air space, and covered with aluminum foil to create an air-tight seal. The sample was allowed to volatilize for a minimum of 15 minutes. The sealed jar was punctured with the OVM probe and headspace gas drawn until the meter reading was stable. The concentration of the headspace gas was recorded to the nearest 0.1 part per million.

Immediately after collecting each sample and completing bottle label information, each potential analytical sample container was placed into an ice-filled cooler to ensure preservation. A clean split-barrel sampling device was used to collect soil core from each interval of the project boreholes. Information regarding the criteria for selection of soil samples for off-site shipment to a laboratory for chemical analysis is presented

in Section 3.1.3 of the project Work Plan. Soil samples, which were not selected for laboratory analysis, were disposed of as investigation-derived waste.

#### 2.2 Groundwater Sampling

#### 2.2.1 Groundwater Collection

Collection of groundwater samples from geoprobe boreholes installed during Preliminary Groundwater and CAP-Part A investigations was accomplished using a geoprobe sampler or from temporary piezometers. The geoprobe sampler is a probe that allows the collection of a groundwater sample from a discrete undisturbed depth interval in a soil boring. Temporary piezometers were constructed of 1.0-inch inside diameter (ID) polyvinyl chloride (PVC) casing with a 5-foot or 10-foot screened interval. These piezometers were installed in the open borehole following completion of all drilling activities.

Each soil borehole was advanced to the top of the water table using direct push methods. For each borehole, the geoprobe sampler was lowered to the bottom of the borehole and driven through the undisturbed soil to a depth of approximately 3.0 feet below the water table. The outer casing of the geoprobe sampler was retracted to expose the screen and allow groundwater to enter the chamber. In cases where the geoprobe sampler could not be driven or where groundwater recovery through the geoprobe sampler was poor, the groundwater sample was collected through the temporary piezometer.

Groundwater samples were collected using a peristaltic pump or a 0.75-inch diameter stainless steel bailer. The portion of the sample designated for volatile organic analysis was poured into laboratory sample containers first, followed by pouring of the remaining sample portion into containers designated for other types of chemical analyses. Sample containers designated for volatile organic analysis were filled so that no headspace was present in the containers.

#### 2.2.2 Field Measurements

Groundwater field measurements performed during the project included measurement of static groundwater level, pH, specific conductance, and temperature. Measurement of groundwater levels in soil boreholes was accomplished through the installation of temporary PVC piezometers. A summary of the procedures and criteria to be used for groundwater sample field measurements is presented in the following sections.

#### Static Groundwater Level

Static groundwater level measurements were made using an electronic water level indicator. Initially, the indicator probe was lowered into each temporary piezometer casing until the alarm sounded and/or the indicator light illuminated. The probe was withdrawn several feet and slowly lowered again until the groundwater surface was contacted as noted by the alarm and/or indicator light. Water level measurements were estimated to the nearest 0.01 foot based on the difference between the nearest probe cord mark to the top of the piezometer casing.

The distance between the top of casing and the surrounding ground surface was taken into account in measuring the water level to within 0.01 foot. The static water level measurement procedure was repeated two or three times to ensure that the water level measurements were consistent (plus or minus 0.01 foot). If this was the case, then the first measured level was recorded as the depth to groundwater. If this was not the case, the procedure was repeated until consistent readings were obtained from three consecutive measurements.

### pH, Specific Conductance, and Temperature

The pH, specific conductance, and temperature measurements were recorded for groundwater during groundwater sampling. The pH, temperature, and conductivity measurements were made using a combination meter designed to measure these parameters. A portion of each groundwater sample was retrieved from the PowerPunch sampler and poured into the collection cup. With the combination meter set in the pH mode, the meter electrode was swirled at a slow constant rate within the sample until the meter reading reached equilibrium. The sample pH was recorded to the nearest 0.1 pH unit. The pH measurement procedure was repeated, using a new sample each time, until the pH measurements were consistent (less than 0.2 pH units variation).

Upon completion of the pH measurement, conductivity and temperature measurements were made on a groundwater sample collected in the same manner as described above. With the combination meter set in the conductivity mode, the meter electrode was swirled at a slow constant rate within the sample until the meter reading reached equilibrium. Concurrently, a temperature probe was placed into the sample and allowed to reach equilibrium. The sample conductivity was recorded to the nearest 10 mmhos/cm and the temperature to the nearest 0.1° C. All recorded conductivity values were converted to conductance at 25° C. The conductivity and temperature measurement procedure was repeated a minimum of three times using a new sample each time, until the measurements are consistent (less than 10 percent variation for conductance and less than 0.5° C variation for temperatures).

## 2.3 Temporary Piezometer Installation

Following the collection of the groundwater sample, a 1.0-inch PVC piezometer, with a 5-foot or 10-foot screened section, was installed in the borehole to prevent the borehole from collapsing. These piezometers remained in the boreholes approximately 24-hours, after which time the static water level was measured.

#### 2.4 Borehole Abandonment

Once the static water level was measured, the temporary piezometers were removed and the boreholes were abandoned. Abandonment was conducted in a manner precluding any current or subsequent fluid media from entering or migrating within the subsurface environment along the axis or from the endpoint of the borehole. Abandonment was accomplished by filling the entire volume of the borehole with grout.

#### 2.5 Surveying

A topographic survey of the horizontal and vertical locations of all soil boreholes was conducted after completion of all field activities. The topographic survey was conducted by a surveyor registered in the state of Georgia.

The horizontal coordinates for each soil borehole were surveyed to the closest 1.0 foot and referenced to the State Plane Coordinate System. Ground elevations were surveyed to the closest 0.1 foot. Elevations were referenced to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1983.

#### 2.6 Decontamination Procedures

#### 2.6.1 Geoprobe Equipment

Decontamination of equipment used for the drilling of boreholes was conducted within the temporary decontamination pad constructed at the central staging area. The decontamination pad was constructed so that all decontamination liquids were contained from the surrounding environment and were recovered for disposal as investigation-derived waste (IDW). The entire geoprobe vehicle and equipment was decontaminated once it arrived on site and the geoprobe sampling equipment was decontaminated after completion of each soil borehole. The equipment was decontaminated by removing the caked soil material from the exterior of equipment using a rod and/or brush, steam cleaning the interior and exterior of equipment to air dry as long as possible, and wrapping or covering the equipment in plastic.

#### 2.6.2 Sampling Equipment

Decontamination of equipment used for soil sampling and collection of groundwater samples was conducted at the temporary decontamination area. Nondedicated equipment was decontaminated after each use. The sampling equipment was washed with potable water and phosphate-free detergent using various types of brushes required to remove particulate matter and surface films, followed by a potable water rinse, ASTM Type I or equivalent water rinse, isopropyl alcohol rinse, ASTM Type I or equivalent water rinse, allowed to air dry, and wrapped in plastic or aluminum foil.

In addition to the sampling equipment, field measurement instruments were also decontaminated between uses. Only those portions of each instrument that come into contact with potentially contaminated environmental media were decontaminated. Because of the delicate nature of these instruments, the decontamination procedure only involved initial rinsing of the instrument probes with ASTM Type I or equivalent water.

#### 2.7 Documentation of field activities

All information pertinent to sampling activities, including instrument calibration data, was recorded in field logbooks. The logbooks were bound and the pages consecutively numbered. Entries in the logbooks were made in black permanent ink and included, at a minimum, a description of all activities, individuals involved in drilling and sampling activities, date and time of drilling and sampling, weather conditions, any problems encountered, and all field measurements.

Sufficient information was recorded in the logbooks to permit reconstruction of all sampling activities. For a detailed description of all field documentation, see Section 4.5 of Attachment IV of the Work Plan.

#### 3.0 SAMPLE HANDLING AND ANALYSIS

#### 3.1 Analytical Program

Soil samples were screened for the presence of volatile vapors using a MiniRae organic vapor analyzer (PID). The MiniRae was calibrated daily using 100 parts per million isobutylene. The headspace of each sample was measured approximately 15 minutes after collection.

For sites where the UST had contained waste oil, soil samples were analyzed for BTEX by method SW846-8020, PAH by method SW846-8270, and TPH by method SW846-9073. Groundwater samples were analyzed for BTEX by method SW 846-8240 and PAH by method SW 846-8270. All samples were sent to General Engineering Laboratories, Charleston, South Carolina.

For sites where the UST had contained gasoline or diesel, soil samples were analyzed for BTEX by method SW 846-8020, PAH by method SW 846-8270, and TPH by method SW 846-8015 (modified). Groundwater samples were analyzed for BTEX by method SW 846-8240 and PAH by method SW 846-8270. TPH analysis included both GRO and DRO. All samples were sent to General Engineering Laboratories, Charleston, South Carolina.

Duplicate samples of soil and groundwater were collected throughout the project and represented approximately 10 percent of the total sample population. Rinsate blanks were collected to determine whether the sampling equipment was causing cross-contamination of the samples and represented approximately 5 percent of the total sample population. Duplicates and rinsates were submitted to General Engineering Laboratories, Charleston, South Carolina.

#### 3.2 Sample Containers, Preservation, and Holding Times

The soil sample containers, preservatives, and holding times are summarized in Table A-1. The groundwater sample containers, preservatives, and holding times are summarized in Table A-2.

#### 3.3 Sampling Packaging and Shipment

Each sample container was labeled, taped shut with electrical tape (except those containing samples designated for volatile organic analysis), and a initialed/dated custody seal was placed over the lid. Each sample bottle was placed into a separate plastic bag and sealed. The samples were placed upright in thermally insulated rigid-body coolers and surrounded by vermiculite to prevent breakage during shipment. In addition, samples were cooled to approximately 4° C with wet ice. These measures were taken to slow the decomposition and volatilization of contaminants during shipping and handling. The sample coolers were shipped to the analytical laboratory via courier service provided by the laboratory.

Analyte Group	Container	Minimum Sample Size	Preservative	Holding Time
BTEX	1-4 oz jar with Teflon <sup>®</sup> -lined cap (no headspace)	20 g	Cool, 4°C	14 d
TPH-GRO	use same container as BTEX	20 g	Cool, 4°C	14 d
PAHs	1-8 oz jar with Teflon <sup>®</sup> -lined cap	90 g	Cool, 4°C	14 d (extraction) 40 d (analysis)
TPH-DRO	use same container as PAHs	90 <u>g</u>	Cool, 4°C	14 d (extraction) 40 d (analysis)
ТРН	use same container as PAHs	90 <u>g</u>	Cool, 4°C	14 d (extraction) 40 d (analysis)
Metals (lead)	use same container as PAHs	20 g	Cool, 4°C	180 d

# Table A-1. Summary of Sample Containers, Preservation Techniques, and Holding Times for Soil Samples Collected During the Site Investigation

# Table A-2. Summary of Sample Containers, Preservation Techniques, and Holding Times for Groundwater Samples Collected During the Site Investigation

Analyte Group	Container	Minimum Sample Size	Preservative	Holding Time
BTEX	2 – 40 mL glass vials with Teflon <sup>®</sup> -lined septum (no headspace)	40 mL	Cool, 4°C HCl to pH < 2	14 d
PAHs	2 – 1L amber glass bottle with Teflon <sup>®</sup> -lined lid	1000 mL	Cool, 4°C	7 d (extraction) 40 d (analysis)

,

C ( •

## ATTACHMENT B

# REFERENCES

ĺ

4

- Anderson Columbia Environmental Inc., 1996. Closure Report, Waste Oil Tank, Building P430, Tank 261, Facility ID: 9-089118, Fort Stewart, Georgia, October.
- Arora, Ram, 1984. Hydrologic Evaluation for Underground Injection Control in the Coastal Plain of Georgia, Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Protection Division, Georgia Geological Survey.

Geraghy and Miller, 1993. RCRA Facility Investigation Work Plan, Fort Stewart, Georgia.

- Looper, Edward E., 1980. Soil Survey of Liberty and Long Counties, Georgia, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service.
- Metcalf and Eddy, 1996. Final Work Plan for RCRA Facility Investigation at Bulk Fuel Storage System, Wright Army Airfield, Fort Stewart, Georgia.
- Miller, James A., 1990. Groundwater Atlas of the United States, U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey, Hydrologic Inventory Atlas 730G.