DATA CONTRACTOR

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYS	IS DATA SHEET
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR	Contract: NA HN3101
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA	SAS NO.: NA SDG NO.: HA003S
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9804105-06
Sample wt/vol: 10.0 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: 1E3013
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 04/03/98
% Moisture: not dec. 7	Date Analyzed: 04/15/98
GC Column: J&W DB-624 (FID) ID: 0.53	(mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(uL
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG Q
Gasoline Range	Organics538 U V

.

1

1B SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

COMPOUND

CAS NO.

EPA SAMPLE NG.

Lab Name: GENERAL EN	GINEERING LABOR Contract	: NA HN3101
Lab Code: NA	Case No.: NA SAS No.	: NA SDG No.: HA003S
Matrix: (soil/water)	SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9804105-06
Sample wt/vol:	30.1 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: 20318
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date Received: 04/03/98
% Moisture: 7	decanted: (Y/N) N	Date Extracted:04/04/98
Concentrated Extract	Volume: 1.00(mL)	Date Analyzed: 04/09/98
Injection Volume:	1.0(uL)	Dilution Factor: 2.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N)	N pH: 7.0	

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG

1-20-3naphthalene	714	TT
1-58-72-chloronaphthalona	714	-
09-96-8acenaphthylene		-
3-32-9acepaphthene	714	
6-/3-7fluorene	714	
5-01-8phenanthrepo	714	-
20-12-7anthracene	714	U
06-44-0fluoranthene	714	U
29-00-0pyrene	1250	
6-55-3benzo(a) anthracene	994	
18-01-9chrysene	714	U
	714	Ū I
	714	-
07-08-9benzo (k) fluoranthene	714	1211
0-32-8benzo (a) pyrene	1320	0
3-39-5	714	TT
		17
91-24-2benzo (g,h,i) perylene	714	U
Statt Perficie	714	U

OLM03.0

. . .

 $[\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}]$

. .

֥ ·

1A VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYS	IS DATA SHEET
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR	Contract: NA HN3105
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA	SAS NO.: NA SDG NO.: HA003S
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9804105-07
Sample wt/vol: 10.0 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: 22509
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 04/03/98
<pre>% Moisture: not dec. 9</pre>	Date Analyzed: 04/17/98
GC Column: J&W DB-624 (PID) ID: 0.53	(mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(ml)	Soil Aliquot Volume: (uL
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG Q
71-43-2Benzene 108-88-3Toluene 100-41-4Ethylbenzene 1330-20-7Xylenes (total	$ \begin{array}{c} 2.2 \\ 4.3 \\ 2.2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0$

FORM I VOA

Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Cont	HN3105
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA SAS	No.: NA SDG No.: HADO3S
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9804105-07
Sample wt/vol: 30.1 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: 4B30047
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 04/03/98
% Moisture: 9 decanted: (Y/N) N	Date Extracted:04/07/98
Concentrated Extract Volume: 1.00(mL)	Date Analyzed: 04/10/98
Injection Volume: 1.0(uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: 7.0	
	DNCENTRATION UNITS: 1g/L or ug/Kg) MG/KG Q

------Diesel Range Organics______ 3.5 B > Føl, Føg

FORM I SV

) **

. 1

•

ст. Хол — 7

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYS	IS DATA SHEET
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR	Contract: NA HN3105
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA	SAS No.: NA SDG No.: HA003S
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9804105-07
Sample wt/vol: 10.0 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: 12505
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 04/03/98
% Moisture: not dec. 9	Date Analyzed: 04/17/98
GC Column: J&W DB-624(FID) ID: 0.53	(mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(uL
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG Q
Gasoline Range	e Organics 549 U V

* *	00
v	- 1 1
	22

EPA SAMPLE NO.

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET	EPA SAMPLE NO
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Contract: NA	HN3105
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA SAS No.: NA SDG N	No.: HADO3S
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL Lab Sample ID:	9804105-07
Sample wt/vol: 30.1 (g/mL) G Lab File ID:	20319
Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received:	04/03/98
% Moisture: 9 decanted: (Y/N) N Date Extracted:	:04/04/98
Concentrated Extract Volume: 1.00(mL) Date Analyzed:	04/09/98
Injection Volume: 1.0(uL) Dilution Factor	c: 2.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: 7.0	-

COMPOUND

CAS NO.

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG

91-20-3naphthalene	730	TT	
91-58-72-chloronaphthalene	730		
209-96-8acenaphthylene	730		
B3-32-9acenaphthene	730		.1
36-73-7fluorene	730	-	1
35-01-8phenanthrene	730		
20-12-7anthracene	730		
206-44-0fluoranthene	730		1
29-00-0pvrene	730		
6-55-3benzo (a) anthracene	730	-	
18-01-9chrvsene		-	
05-99-2benzo(b) fluoranthene	730		1
07-08-9benzo(k) fluoranthene	730		
0-32-8benzo (a) pyrene	730		
93-39-5indeno (1, 2, 3-cd) pyrene	522		
3-70-3dibenz(a,h) anthracene	730		
91-24-2 bonzo (a h i) anthracene	730		
.91-24-2benzo(g,h,i)perylene	730	U	1.

FORM I SV-1

	Hunter Army Airfield CAP-Part A Report Former Heating Oil Tanks A & B, Building 850
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYS	EPA SAMPLE NO
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR	Contract: NA
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA	SAS No.: NA SDG No.: HA003S
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9804105-08
Sample wt/vol: 10.0 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: 225010
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 04/03/98
% Moisture: not dec. 10	Date Analyzed: 04/17/98
GC Column: J&W DB-624 (PID) ID: 0.53	(mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(ml)	Soil Aliquot Volume: (uL
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG Q
71-43-2Benzene 108-88-3Toluene 100-41-4Ethylbenzene 1330-20-7Xylenes (total	2.2 U U 2.2 U 1 2.2 U 1 2.2 U 1 6.7 U

DATA V LICETICA CONY

FORM I VOA

.

1.1

SEMIVOLATI	LE ORGANICS ANALYS	Science Applications03-APR-199 15 DATA SHEET
Lab Name: GENERAL EN	GINEERING LABOR C	ontract: NA HN3110
Lab Code: NA	Case No.: NA	SAS Nc.: NA SDG No.: HA0035
Matrix: (soil/water)	SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9804105-08
Sample wt/vol:	30.5 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: 4B30048
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date Received: 04/03/98
% Moisture: 10	decanted: (Y/N) N	Date Extracted:04/07/98
Concentrated Extract	Volume: 1.00(m)	L) Date Analyzed: 04/10/98
Injection Volume:	1.0(uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N)	N pH: 7.0	
CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) MG/KG Q

2.6 B U For For

FORM I SV

IA VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYS	IS DATA SHEET
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR	Contract: NA HN3110
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA	SAS No.: NA SDG No.: HA003S
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9804105-08
Sample wt/vol: 10.0 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: 1E506
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 04/03/98
% Moisture: not dec. 10	Date Analyzed: 04/17/98
GC Column: J&W DB-624(FID) ID: 0.53	(mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(uL
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG Q
Gasoline Range	Organics556 U V

· /

EPA SAMPLE NO.

SEMIVOLATI	1B ILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DA	TA SHEET
Lab Name: GENERAL EN	IGINEERING LABOR Contra	ct: NA HN3110
Lab Code: NA	Case No.: NA SAS N	O.: NA SDG NO.: HA003S
Matrix: (soil/water)	SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9804105-08
Sample wt/vol:	30.1 (g/mL) G	
Level: (low/med)	LOW	
% Moisture: 10	decanted: (Y/N) N	Date Received: 04/03/98 Date Extracted:04/04/98
Concentrated Extract	Volume: 1.00(mL)	Date Analyzed: 04/09/98
Injection Volume:	1.0 (uL)	Dilution Factor: 2.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N)	N pH: 7.0	

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG Q
209-96-8 83-32-9 86-73-7 120-12-7 206-44-0 129-00-0 56-55-3 218-01-9 205-99-2 207-08-9 50-32-8 193-39-5 53-70-3	====hongo (alampti	ne 738 U 738 U 738 U 738 U 738 U 738 U 738 U 738 U 1120 1181 acene 1181 557 J anthene 738 U anthene 738 U e 1200 1200 cd) pyrene 738 U

FORM I SV-1

1

0			0
	FPA	SAMDI.F	NO

EPA SAMPLE NO.
Contract: NA HN4101
SAS No.: NA SDG No.: HA003S
Lab Sample ID: 9804105-16
Lab File ID: 2E5016
Date Received: 04/04/98
Date Analyzed: 04/17/98
(mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Aliquot Volume:(uL
CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG Q
$ \begin{array}{c} 2.2 \\ 2.2 \\ 2.2 \\ 0 \\ 6.5 \\ 0 \\ \end{array} $

DATA VILLATION COPY



SEMIVOLATI	FORM 1 LE ORGANICS ANALYSIS	Science Applications04-APR-1998 3A DATA SHEET	
Lab Name: GENERAL EN	GINEERING LABOR Cont	HN4101DL1 (
Lab Code: NA	Case No.: NA SAS	No.: NA SDG No.: HA003S	
Matrix: (soil/water)	SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9804105-16	
Sample wt/vol:	30.2 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: 4B30064	
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date Received: 04/04/98	
<pre>% Moisture: 8</pre>	decanted: (Y/N) N	Date Extracted:04/07/98	
Concentrated Extract	Volume: 1.00(mL)	Date Analyzed: 04/10/98	
Injection Volume:	1.0(uL)	Dilution Factor: 2.0	
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N)	N pH: 7.0		
CAS NO.		DNCENTRATION UNITS: 1g/L or ug/Kg) MG/KG Q	
	Diesel Range Organ:	1cs 9.6 B = FØI, F	\$8

÷

FORM I SV

IA VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSI	EPA SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR	Contract: NA HN4101
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA	SAS No.: NA SDG No.: HA0C3S
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9804105-16
Sample wt/vol: 10.0 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: 1E4012
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 04/04/98
% Moisture: not dec. 8	Date Analyzed: 04/16/98
GC Column: J&W DB-624 (FID) ID: 0.53	(mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(u)
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG Q
Gasoline Range	Organics543 U V

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS	S DATA SHEET
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Con	HN4101
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA SA	AS NO.: NA SDG NO.: HAUDIS
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9804105-16
Sample wt/vol: 30.0 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: 2Q112
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 04/04/98
% Moisture: 8 decanted: (Y/N) N	Date Extracted:04/16/98
Concentrated Extract Volume: 1.00(mL)	Date Analyzed: 04/20/98
Injection Volume: 1.0(uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: 7.0	
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG Q

91-20-3naphthalene	362	TT	N
91-58-72-chloronaphthalene	362		1
209-96-8acenaphthylene	362		
83-32-9acenaphthene			
86-73-7fluorene	362	0	
85-01-8phenanthrene	362		.*
120-12-7anthracene	36.7	J	12
206 44 0	362	U	lu
206-44-0fluoranthene	103	J	T
129-00-0pyrene	112	J	17
56-55-3benzo(a) anthracene	75.7		4
218-01-9chrysene	106	л	- od
205-99-2benzo(b)fluoranthene		U T	7 00
207-08-9benzo (k) fluoranthene	141	5	1 3
50-32-8benzo (a) pyrene	81.9	J	JU
93-39-5indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	89.2	J	J
3-70-3	67.7	J	J
3-70-3dibenz(a, h) anthracene	362	U	lũ
.91-24-2benzo (g,h,i) perylene	56.4	J	17

FORM I SV-1

i

IA VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET	EFA SAMPLE NU.
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Contract: NA	HN4105
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA SAS No.: NA	SDG No.: HA003S
Matrix: (scil/water) SOIL Lab S	Sample ID: 9804105-17
Sample wz/vol: 10.0 (g/mL) G Lab H	File ID: 225017
Level: (low/med) LOW Date	Received: 04/04/98
% Moisture: not dec. 7 Date	Analyzed: 04/17/98
GC Column: J&W DB-624 (PID) ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(ml) Soil	Aliquot Volume:(uL
CAS NO. COMPOUND CONCENTRATI	ION UNITS: g/Kg) UG/KG Q
71-43-2Benzene 108-88-3Toluene 100-41-4Ethylbenzene 1330-20-7Xylenes (total)	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

DATA VILICATION COTY

FORM I VOA

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) MG/KG	g Q
PC Cleanup: (Y/N)		Dilution Facto:	r: 1.0
njection Volume:	1.0(uL)		
oncentrated Extract	Volume: 1.00(m		
Moisture: 7	decanted: (Y/N) N		
evel: (low/med)	LOW	Date Received:	
Sample wt/vol:	30.2 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID:	
Matrix: (soil/water)	SOIL	Lab Sample ID:	9804105-17
Lab Code: NA	Case No.: NA	SAS No.: NA SDG	No.: HA003S
Lab Name: GENERAL EN	GINEERING LABOR (Contract: NA	HN4105

1

.

•

FORM I SV

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET EPA SAMPLE NU. Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Contract: NA HN4105 Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA SAS No.: NA SDG No .: HA003S Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL Lab Sample ID: 9804105-17 Sample wt/vol: 10.0 (g/mL) G Lab File ID: 1E4013 Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 04/04/98 % Moisture: not dec. 7 Date Analyzed: 04/16/98 GC Column: J&W DB-624(FID) ID: 0.53 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0 Soil Extract Volume: _____(uL) Soil Aliquot Volume: _____(uL CONCENTRATION UNITS: CAS NO. COMPOUND (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG Q -----Gasoline Range Organics_ V 538 U

AL

L

1B SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

Q

Lab Name: GENERAL EN	GINEERING LABOR Contract	: NA HN4105
Lab Code: NA	Case No.: NA SAS No.	: NA SDG No.: HA003S
Matrix: (soil/water)	SOIL	Lab Sample ID: 9804105-17
Sample wt/vol:	30.0 (g/mL) G	Lab File ID: 2Q113
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date Received: 04/04/98
% Moisture: 7	decanted: (Y/N) N	Date Extracted:04/16/98
Concentrated Extract	Volume: 1.00(mL)	Date Analyzed: 04/20/98
Injection Volume:	1.0(uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N)	N pH: 7.0	

CAS NO. COMPOUND

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG

91-20-3naphthalene	358	U U
91-58-72-chloronaphthalene	358	
209-96-8acenaphthylene		Ŭ
83-32-9acenaphthene	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ŭ 🗌
86-73-7fluorene	358	-
85-01-8phenanthrene	82.4	JJ
120-12-7anthracene	358	U U
206-44-0fluoranthene	228	
129-00-0pyrene	328	T
56-55-3benzo(a) anthracene	198	T
218-01-9chrvgene	313	T
205-99-2benzo(b) fluoranthene	232	
207-08-9benzo(k)fluoranthene	119	- U
50-32-8benzo(a)pyrene	172	J J Cd
193-39-5indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	111	- II
53-70-3dibenz(a b)anthraceno	358 0	4
191-24-2benzo(g,h,i)perylene	105	

OLM03.0

APPENDIX VI

ALTERNATE THRESHOLD LEVEL (ATL) CALCULATIONS

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Calculations of alternate threshold levels are not indicated for the Former Heating Oil Tanks (HOTs) A & B, Building 850 site because soil concentrations did not exceed the Georgia Environmental Protection Division (GA EPD) applicable soil threshold levels (i.e., Table A, column 2).

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

1.0 FATE AND TRANSPORT MODEL

Further evaluation of the groundwater at the Former Heating Oil Tanks A & B, Building 850 site is necessary because concentrations of benzene were above the maximum contaminant level (MCL) of 5.0 μ g/L (Appendix II, Table 3a). To assist in evaluating site conditions, simulation of fate and transport of benzene in the water table aquifer was conducted to determine the impact of existing site conditions on potential downgradient receptors. The following sections present the model selection, application, and results.

1.1 MODEL SELECTION

For the fate and transport analysis of this site, analytical model AT123D was selected. The model was chosen in accordance with Appendix I of the Georgia Department of Natural Resources (GA DNR) UST Corrective Action Plan (CAP)-Part B guidance document (GA DNR 1995). The following criteria were used in selecting the model:

- capability of treating adsorption, organic decay, and longitudinal and transverse dispersion;
- capability of calculating concentrations at extended times and distances;
- availability of codes;
- degree of code documentation;
- degree of code verification;
- adequate peer review; and
- conservative predictions.

The Analytical Transient 1-, 2-, 3-Dimensional Model, AT123D, meets all of the above criteria and was selected for performing fate and transport analysis for this site. AT123D is a well-known and commonly used analytical groundwater pollutant fate and transport model. This model computes the spatial-temporal concentration distribution of chemicals in the aquifer system and predicts the transient spread of a chemical plume through a groundwater aquifer. The fate and transport processes accounted for in AT123D are advection, dispersion, adsorption/retardation, and decay. This model can be used as a tool for estimating the dissolved concentration of a chemical in one, two, or three dimensions in the groundwater resulting from a mass release (either continuous or instant or depleting source) over a source area (i.e., point, line, area, or volume source).

1.2 MODEL APPLICATION

A two-dimensional calibrated transport model was developed using AT123D to predict benzene concentrations at receptor locations in the future. The model was calibrated by varying the release of benzene into the source and assuming that the source is constant. It was observed that a constant source of 68 μ g/hour produces a benzene peak concentration of 5.7 μ g/L in the groundwater, which matched the observed maximum concentration detected in groundwater collected from boring N-3 at the site in April 1998 (Appendix II, Table 3a). The model was applied to perform long-term simulation to predict the peak concentration at different distances downgradient from the source.

The aquifer was calculated to be 50 feet thick and corresponded to the water table down to the first confining clay member of the Hawthorne Group. The average water table level determined by field measurements at the site was 9.8 feet below ground surface (Appendix II, Table 4). Based on the

size of the tanks and their locations, the source area was estimated to have an area of 1,548 square feet (43 feet × 36 feet).

Undisturbed soil samples were not collected as part of this investigation. However, geotechnical soil samples at several sites located at HAAF were collected during other CAP-Part A Investigation activities (Appendix VI, Table VI-A). These samples were used to determine average geotechnical parameters which, combined with literature values presented in both the GA DNR UST CAP-Part A and B guidance documents, provided the input parameter values used in the model. Soil parameter values used were as follows: moisture content, 17.14 percent; soil type, silty sand; porosity, 0.51; specific gravity, 2.64; permeability, 1.92E-04 cm/second; total organic content, 3,105 mg/kg; and saturated hydraulic conductivity of 1.92E-04 cm/second. The average slope of the surface was determined to be 0.0068, and ground cover was sand. To be conservative, the model was run with the lowest biodegradation available in literature (Howard et al. 1991).

1.3 MODEL RESULTS

The results of the fate and transport model are illustrated in Figure VI-A. The model predicts that benzene will never reach the nearest receptor, which is Hunter Army Airfield public water supply Well 2 located 1,440 feet downgradient (southeast) from the source (Figure 3b, Appendix I).

Therefore, benzene found in the groundwater at the Former HOTs A & B, Building 850 site poses no threat to the potential receptor. Based on the results of the fate and transport model, further investigation activities are not recommended for this site.

TABLES

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ding 850	County
B, Buil	atham (
s A &	ny, Ch
Former HOTs A & B, Building 850	Hunter Army, Chatham County
Fon	H

TABLE VI-A. AVERAGE GEOTECHNICAL PARAMETERS¹

							Total			
						Moisture	Organic			
60				Sample	Classifi-	Content	Carbon	Specific		Permeability
1	Tank IDs	Facility ID	Sample ID	Depth	cation	(%)	(%)	Gravity	Porosity, n	(cm/s)
	A&B	NA	NA	2.0 to 4.0	SM	17.14	0.3105	2.64	0.51	1.92E-4

HOT = Heating Oil Tank. NOTE:

NA = Not applicable. SM = Silty sand. ¹The geotechnical parameters reported on this table represent an average value obtained by using the geotechnical data collected at eight separate sites located throughout Hunter Army Airfield.

Hunter Army Airfield CAP-Part A Report Former Heating Oil Tanks A & B, Building 850

Former HOTs A & B, Building 850 Hunter Army, Chatham County

TABLE VI-B. AT123D FATE AND TRANSPORT MODEL INPUT PARAMETERS AND OUTPUT VALUES FOR BENZENE AT THE FORMER HOTs A&B, BUILDING 850 SITE

MODEL INPUT PARAMETERS

 NO. OF POINTS IN X-DIRECTION
 9

 NO. OF POINTS IN Y-DIRECTION
 5

 NO. OF POINTS IN Z-DIRECTION
 1

 NO. OF POINTS IN Z-DIRECTION
 1

 NO. OF ROOTS: NO. OF SERIES TERMS
 400

 NO. OF BEGINNING TIME STEP
 180

 NO. OF ENDING TIME STEP
 400

 NO. OF TIME INTERVALS FOR PRINTED OUT SOLUTION
 12

 INSTANTANEOUS SOURCE CONTROL = 0 FOR INSTANT SOURCE
 1

 SOURCE CONDITION CONTROL = 0 FOR STEADY SOURCE
 0

 INTERMITTENT OUTPUT CONTROL = 0 NO SUCH OUTPUT
 1

 CASE CONTROL =1 THERMAL, = 2 FOR CHEMICAL, = 3 RAD
 2

MOLECULAR DIFFUSION MULTIPLY BY POROSITY (M**2/HR) 0.3530E-05 DECAY CONSTANT (PER HOUR) 0.4010E-04 BULK DENSITY OF THE SOIL (KG/M**3) 0.1300E+04 ACCURACY TOLERANCE FOR REACHING STEADY STATE 0.1000E-02 DENSITY OF WATER (KG/M**3) 0.1000E+04 TIME INTERVAL SIZE FOR THE DESIRED SOLUTION (HR) .. 0.7300E+03 DISCHARGE TIME (HR) 0.8760E+06 WASTE RELEASE RATE (KCAL/HR), (KG/HR), OR (CI/HR) . 0.6800E-07

DISTRIBUTION OF DISSOLVED CHEMICALS IN PPM AT 0.0000E+00 HRS (ADSORBED CHEMICAL CONC. = 0.2520E+00 * DISSOLVED CHEMICAL CONC.)

	Z =	0.00							
Y	0.	5.	X 10.	15.	20.	25.	30.	55.	439.
20.	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00
15.	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00
10.	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00
5.	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00
0.	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0.000E+00
					IN PPM AT				
(4	ADSORBED	CHEMICA	L CONC. =	0.2520E+00) * DISSOL	VED CHEM	ICAL CON	C.)	
	Z =	0.00							
			x						
Y	0.	5.	10.	15.	20.	25.	30.	55.	439.

 20.
 0.365E-07
 0.251E-07
 0.119E-07
 0.389E-08
 0.884E-09
 0.141E-09
 0.157E-10
 0.000E+00
 0.000E+00

 15.
 0.246E-05
 0.148E-05
 0.586E-06
 0.160E-06
 0.311E-07
 0.439E-08
 0.447E-09
 0.000E+00
 0.000E+00

 10.
 0.112E-03
 0.478E-04
 0.127E-04
 0.251E-05
 0.391E-06
 0.476E-07
 0.441E-08
 0.000E+00
 0.000E+00

 5.
 0.372E-02
 0.548E-03
 0.835E-04
 0.123E-04
 0.162E-05
 0.180E-06
 0.157E-07
 0.000E+00
 0.000E+00

 0.
 0.568E-02
 0.895E-03
 0.134E-03
 0.191E-04
 0.247E-05
 0.268E-06
 0.231E-07
 0.000E+00
 0.000E+00

DISTRIBUTION OF DISSOLVED CHEMICALS IN PPM AT 0.1394E+06 HRS (ADSORBED CHEMICAL CONC. = 0.2520E+00 * DISSOLVED CHEMICAL CONC.)

-

Z =	0.00

			X						
Y	0.	5.	10.	15.	20.	25.	30.	55.	439.
20.	0.430E-07	0.302E-07	0.149E-07	0.515E-08	0.127E-08	0.224E-09	0.284E-10	0.000E+00	0.000E+00
15.	0.258E-05	0.157E-05	0.643E-06	0.184E-06	0.385E-07	0.597E-08	0.687E-09	0.000E+00	0.000E+00
	2.422 C 2.52 14.4		2.4 4 1 2 2 2 4 4 4	1.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.	10 FT (2 F FF (2 F)		1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.000E+00	12404 2 0 CT 11 C
								0.000E+00	
0.	0.308E-02	0.898E-03	0.130E-03	0.199E-04	0.270E-05	0.318E-00	0.30/E-0/	0.000E+00	0.000E+00

DISTRIBUTION OF DISSOLVED CHEMICALS IN PPM AT 0.1482E+06 HRS (ADSORBED CHEMICAL CONC. = 0.2520E+00 * DISSOLVED CHEMICAL CONC.)

	Z =	0.00							
			х						
Y	0.	5.	10.	15.	20.	25.	30.	55.	439.
20.	0.489E-07	0.350E-07	0.178E-07	0.648E-08	0.171E-08	0.329E-09	0.467E-10	0.000E+00	0.000E+00
15.	0.268E-05	0.165E-05	0.690E-06	0.206E-06	0.456E-07	0.768E-08	0.983E-09	0.000E+00	0.000E+00
10.	0.114E-03	0.490E-04	0.134E-04	0.282E-05	0.491E-06	0.704E-07	0.811E-08	0.000E+00	0.000E+00
5.	0.372E-02	0.552E-03	0.856E-04	0.132E-04	0.192E-05	0.247E-06	0.267E-07	0.000E+00	0.000E+00
								0.000E+00	

TABLE VI-B (continued)

DISTRIBUTION OF DISSOLVED CHEMICALS IN PPM AT 0.1570E+06 HRS (ADSORBED CHEMICAL CONC. = 0.2520E+00 * DISSOLVED CHEMICAL CONC.)

	~	0.00								
Y	0.	5.	X 10.	15.	20.	25.	30.	55.	439.	
20.	0.541E-07	0.394E-07	0.206E-07	0.781E-08	0.218E-08	0.455E-09	0.711E-10	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	
15.	0.275E-05	0.171E-05	0.730E-06	0.224E-06	0.522E-07	0.943E-08	0.132E-08	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	
10.	0.114E-03	0.494E-04	0.136E-04	0.294E-05	0.531E-06	0.810E-07	0.102E-07	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	
5.	0.372E-02	0.553E-03	0.863E-04	0.135E-04	0.203E-05	0.277E-06	0.323E-07	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	
0.	0.569E-02	0.901E-03	0.138E-03	0.209E-04	0.304E-05	0.404E-06	0.465E-07	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	

DISTRIBUTION OF DISSOLVED CHEMICALS IN PPM AT 0.1657E+06 HRS (ADSORBED CHEMICAL CONC. = 0.2520E+00 * DISSOLVED CHEMICAL CONC.)

Z =	0.00
2-	0.00

Z = 0.00

Y	0.	5.	X 10.	15.	20.	25.	30.	55.	439.
20.	0.585E-07	0.433E-07	0.232E-07	0.910E-08	0.267E-08	0.596E-09	0.101E-09	0.000E+00	0.000E+00
15.	0.280E-05	0.176E-05	0.761E-06	0.240E-06	0.583E-07	0.112E-07	0.170E-08	0.000E+00	0.000E+00
10.	0.114E-03	0.496E-04	0.138E-04	0.302E-05	0.565E-06	0.906E-07	0.122E-07	0.000E+00	0.000E+00
5.	0.372E-02	0.553E-03	0.868E-04	0.138E-04	0.212E-05	0.302E-06	0.378E-07	0.000E+00	0.000E+00
0.	0.569E-02	0.902E-03	0.139E-03	0.212E-04	0.317E-05	0.439E-06	0.540E-07	0.000E+00	0.000E+00

DISTRIBUTION OF DISSOLVED CHEMICALS IN PPM AT 0.1745E+06 HRS (ADSORBED CHEMICAL CONC. = 0.2520E+00 * DISSOLVED CHEMICAL CONC.)

	00
Z =	

			X						
Y	0.	5.	10.	15.	20.	25.	30.	55.	439.
20.	0.623E-07	0.466E-07	0.254E-07	0.103E-07	0.316E-08	0.747E-09	0.137E-09	0.264E-15	0.000E+00
15.	0.285E-05	0.180E-05	0.786E-06	0.253E-06	0.636E-07	0.128E-07	0.209E-08	0.288E-14	0.000E+00
10.	0.115E-03	0.498E-04	0.139E-04	0.309E-05	0.592E-06	0.992E-07	0.142E-07	0.148E-13	0.000E+00
5.	0.372E-02	0.554E-03	0.871E-04	0.139E-04	0.219E-05	0.324E-06	0.430E-07	0.377E-13	0.000E+00
0.	0.569E-02	0.903E-03	0.139E-03	0.215E-04	0.326E-05	0.469E-06	0.610E-07	0.511E-13	0.000E+00

DISTRIBUTION OF DISSOLVED CHEMICALS IN PPM AT 0.1832E+06 HRS (ADSORBED CHEMICAL CONC. = 0.2520E+00 * DISSOLVED CHEMICAL CONC.)

	Z =	0.00							
Y	0.	5.	X 10.	15.	20.	25.	30.	55.	439.
20.	0.653E-07	0.494E-07	0.274E-07	0.114E-07	0.363E-08	0.902E-09	0.177E-09	0.911E-15	0.000E+00
15.	0.288E-05	0.183E-05	0.806E-06	0.264E-06	0.683E-07	0.144E-07	0.248E-08	0.922E-14	0.000E+00
10.	0.115E-03	0.499E-04	0.140E-04	0.314E-05	0.615E-06	0.107E-06	0.161E-07	0.453E-13	0.000E+00
5.	0.372E-02	0.554E-03	0.873E-04	0.141E-04	0.225E-05	0.343E-06	0.477E-07	0.113E-12	0.000E+00
0.	0.569E-02	0.903E-03	0.139E-03	0.216E-04	0.334E-05	0.494E-06	0.673E-07	0.152E-12	0.000E+00

DISTRIBUTION OF DISSOLVED CHEMICALS IN PPM AT 0.1920E+06 HRS (ADSORBED CHEMICAL CONC. = 0.2520E+00 * DISSOLVED CHEMICAL CONC.)

	Z =	0.00							
v	0	10.0	X	15	20	25	20		420
I	0,	5.	10.	15.	20.	25.	30.	55.	439.
20.	0.678E-07	0.517E-07	0.291E-07	0.123E-07	0.406E-08	0.106E-08	0.219E-09	0.223E-14	0.000E+00
15.	0.290E-05	0.185E-05	0.821E-06	0.273E-06	0.722E-07	0.157E-07	0.286E-08	0.211E-13	0.000E+00
10.	0.115E-03	0.500E-04	0.141E-04	0.318E-05	0.632E-06	0.113E-06	0.179E-07	0.988E-13	0.000E+00
5.	0.372E-02	0.554E-03	0.875E-04	0.142E-04	0.229E-05	0.358E-06	0.518E-07	0.241E-12	0.000E+00
0.	0.569E-02	0.904E-03	0.140E-03	0.218E-04	0.339E-05	0.514E-06	0.728E-07	0.323E-12	0.000E+00

DISTRIBUTION OF DISSOLVED CHEMICALS IN PPM AT 0.2008E+06 HRS (ADSORBED CHEMICAL CONC. = 0.2520E+00 * DISSOLVED CHEMICAL CONC.)

Z = 0.00

	-	0.00							
Y	0.	5,	X 10.	15.	20.	25.	30.	55.	439.
20.	0.698E-07	0.535E-07	0.304E-07	0.132E-07	0.445E-08	0.120E-08	0.262E-09	0.464E-14	0.000E+00
15.	0.291E-05	0.186E-05	0.832E-06	0.280E-06	0.754E-07	0.170E-07	0.322E-08	0.408E-13	0.000E+00
10.	0.115E-03	0.501E-04	0.141E-04	0.321E-05	0.646E-06	0.118E-06	0.194E-07	0.183E-12	0.000E+00
5.	0.372E-02	0.555E-03	0.876E-04	0.142E-04	0.232E-05	0.370E-06	0.554E-07	0.438E-12	0.000E+00
0.	0.569E-02	0.904E-03	0.140E-03	0.219E-04	0.343E-05	0.530E-06	0.775E-07	0.582E-12	0.000E+00

DISTRIBUTION OF DISSOLVED CHEMICALS IN PPM AT 0.2095E+06 HRS (ADSORBED CHEMICAL CONC. = 0.2520E+00 * DISSOLVED CHEMICAL CONC.)

Z = 0.00

	2	0.00								
Y	0.	5.	X 10.	15.	20.	25.	30.	55.	439.	
20.	0.713E-07	0.550E-07	0.316E-07	0.139E-07	0.480E-08	0.134E-08	0.306E-09	0.854E-14	0.000E+00	
15.	0.293E-05	0.187E-05	0.841E-06	0.285E-06	0.780E-07	0.180E-07	0.355E-08	0.704E-13	0.000E+00	
10.	0.115E-03	0.502E-04	0.142E-04	0.323E-05	0.657E-06	0.122E-06	0.207E-07	0.304E-12	0.000E+00	
5.	0.372E-02	0.555E-03	0.877E-04	0.143E-04	0.235E-05	0.379E-06	0.584E-07	0.711E-12	0.000E+00	
0.	0.569E-02	0.904E-03	0.140E-03	0.219E-04	0.347E-05	0.542E-06	0.815E-07	0.938E-12	0.000E+00	

DISTRIBUTION OF DISSOLVED CHEMICALS IN PPM AT 0.2183E+06 HRS (ADSORBED CHEMICAL CONC. = 0.2520E+00 * DISSOLVED CHEMICAL CONC.)

	Z =	0.00							
			х						
Y	0.	5.	10.	15.	20.	25.	30.	55.	439.
20.	0.725E-07	0.562E-07	0.325E-07	0.145E-07	0.511E-08	0.147E-08	0.347E-09	0.144E-13	0.000E+00
15.	0.293E-05	0.188E-05	0.847E-06	0.289E-06	0.802E-07	0.189E-07	0.384E-08	0.112E-12	0.000E+00
10.	0.115E-03	0.502E-04	0.142E-04	0.325E-05	0.666E-06	0.126E-06	0.219E-07	0.464E-12	0.000E+00
5.	0.373E-02	0.555E-03	0.877E-04	0.143E-04	0.237E-05	0.387E-06	0.610E-07	0.107E-11	0.000E+00
0.	0.569E-02	0.904E-03	0.140E-03	0.220E-04	0.349E-05	0.552E-06	0.848E-07	0.140E-11	0.000E+00

DISTRIBUTION OF DISSOLVED CHEMICALS IN PPM AT 0.2270E+06 HRS (ADSORBED CHEMICAL CONC. = 0.2520E+00 * DISSOLVED CHEMICAL CONC.)

	Z =	0.00	x						
Y	0.	5.	10.	15.	20.	25.	30.	55.	439.
20.	0.734E-07	0.571E-07	0.332E-07	0.149E-07	0.536E-08	0.158E-08	0.387E-09	0.227E-13	0.000E+00
15.	0.294E-05	0.189E-05	0.852E-06	0.292E-06	0.819E-07	0.196E-07	0.410E-08	0.166E-12	0.000E+00
10.	0.115E-03	0.502E-04	0.142E-04	0.326E-05	0.672E-06	0.128E-06	0.228E-07	0.667E-12	0.000E+00
			0.878E-04						
0.	0.569E-02	0.904E-03	0.140E-03	0.220E-04	0.351E-05	0.560E-06	0.874E-07	0.196E-11	0.000E+00

DISTRIBUTION OF DISSOLVED CHEMICALS IN PPM AT 0.2358E+06 HRS (ADSORBED CHEMICAL CONC. = 0.2520E+00 * DISSOLVED CHEMICAL CONC.)

v

Z = 0.00

			~							
Y	0.	5.	10.	15.	20.	25.	30.	55.	439.	
20.	0.741E-07	0.578E-07	0.338E-07	0.153E-07	0.558E-08	0.168E-08	0.423E-09	0.339E-13	0.000E+00	
15.	0.295E-05	0.189E-05	0.856E-06	0.295E-06	0.832E-07	0.202E-07	0.433E-08	0.235E-12	0.000E+00	
10.	0.115E-03	0.502E-04	0.142E-04	0.327E-05	0.677E-06	0.131E-06	0.236E-07	0.912E-12	0.000E+00	
5.	0.373E-02	0.555E-03	0.878E-04	0.144E-04	0.239E-05	0.397E-06	0.647E-07	0.202E-11	0.000E+00	
0.	0.569E-02	0.904E-03	0.140E-03	0.220E-04	0.352E-05	0.566E-06	0.896E-07	0.262E-11	0.000E+00	

DISTRIBUTION OF DISSOLVED CHEMICALS IN PPM AT 0.2446E+06 HRS (ADSORBED CHEMICAL CONC. = 0.2520E+00 * DISSOLVED CHEMICAL CONC.)

Z = 0.00

			х							
Y	0.	5.	10.	15.	20.	25.	30.	55.	439.	
20.	0.747E-07	0.583E-07	0.343E-07	0.157E-07	0.576E-08	0.176E-08	0.456E-09	0.481E-13	0.000E+00	
15.	0.295E-05	0.189E-05	0.858E-06	0.296E-06	0.843E-07	0.207E-07	0.452E-08	0.318E-12	0.000E+00	
10.	0.115E-03	0.502E-04	0.143E-04	0.328E-05	0.680E-06	0.132E-06	0.243E-07	0.120E-11	0.000E+00	
5.	0.373E-02	0.555E-03	0.878E-04	0.144E-04	0.240E-05	0.401E-06	0.661E-07	0.260E-11	0.000E+00	
0.	0.569E-02	0.904E-03	0.140E-03	0.220E-04	0.353E-05	0.570E-06	0.913E-07	0.336E-11	0.000E+00	

DISTRIBUTION OF DISSOLVED CHEMICALS IN PPM AT 0.2533E+06 HRS (ADSORBED CHEMICAL CONC. = 0.2520E+00 * DISSOLVED CHEMICAL CONC.)

	Z =	0.00							
			х						
Y	0.	5.	10.	15.	20.	25.	30.	55.	439.
20.	0.751E-07	0.587E-07	0.346E-07	0.159E-07	0.591E-08	0.183E-08	0.485E-09	0.655E-13	0.000E+00
									0.000E+00
									0.000E+00
									0.000E+00
									0.000E+00
· · ·	0.0074 04	012012 00				**** · * ****	1.00,000 CO.4.	real to start them.	

DISTRIBUTION OF DISSOLVED CHEMICALS IN PPM AT 0.2621E+06 HRS (ADSORBED CHEMICAL CONC. = 0.2520E+00 * DISSOLVED CHEMICAL CONC.)

	Ζ=	0.00	x						
Y	0.	5.	10.	15.	20.	25.	30.	55.	439.
20.	0.754E-07	0.590E-07	0.349E-07	0.161E-07	0.602E-08	0.189E-08	0.510E-09	0.860E-13	0.000E+00
15.	0.295E-05	0.190E-05	0.862E-06	0.299E-06	0.857E-07	0.214E-07	0.481E-08	0.522E-12	0.000E+00
10.	0.115E-03	0.502E-04	0.143E-04	0.329E-05	0.685E-06	0.135E-06	0.253E-07	0.186E-11	0.000E+00
5.	0.373E-02	0.555E-03	0.878E-04	0.144E-04	0.241E-05	0.406E-06	0.680E-07	0.393E-11	0.000E+00
0.	0.569E-02	0.904E-03	0.140E-03	0.221E-04	0.354E-05	0.576E-06	0.937E-07	0.503E-11	0.000E+00
					0.00 10 00	0.0,00-00	0.2571-07	0.0001-11	0.0001100

DISTRIBUTION OF DISSOLVED CHEMICALS IN PPM AT 0.2708E+06 HRS (ADSORBED CHEMICAL CONC. = 0.2520E+00 * DISSOLVED CHEMICAL CONC.)

7 -	0 00
2-	0.00

0.00

			х						
Y	0.	5.	10.	15.	20.	25.	30.	55.	439.
20.	0.756E-07	0.593E-07	0.351E-07	0.162E-07	0.612E-08	0.194E-08	0.532E-09	0.109E-12	0.000E+00
15.	0.295E-05	0.190E-05	0.863E-06	0.300E-06	0.862E-07	0.217E-07	0.492E-08	0.639E-12	0.000E+00
10.	0.115E-03	0.502E-04	0.143E-04	0.329E-05	0.686E-06	0.135E-06	0.256E-07	0.222E-11	0.000E+00
5.	0.373E-02	0.555E-03	0.878E-04	0.144E-04	0.241E-05	0.407E-06	0.687E-07	0.463E-11	0.000E+00
0.	0.569E-02	0.904E-03	0.140E-03	0.221E-04	0.355E-05	0.578E-06	0.945E-07	0.590E-11	0.000E+00

DISTRIBUTION OF DISSOLVED CHEMICALS IN PPM AT 0.2796E+06 HRS (ADSORBED CHEMICAL CONC. = 0.2520E+00 * DISSOLVED CHEMICAL CONC.)

Z = 0.00

Y	0.	5.	X 10.	15.	20.	25.	30.	55.	439.
20.	0.758E-07	0.594E-07	0.352E-07	0.164E-07	0.619E-08	0.198E-08	0.550E-09	0.135E-12	0.000E+00
15.	0.295E-05	0.190E-05	0.863E-06	0.300E-06	0.866E-07	0.219E-07	0.501E-08	0.762E-12	0.000E+00
10.	0.115E-03	0.503E-04	0.143E-04	0.329E-05	0.687E-06	0.136E-06	0.259E-07	0.259E-11	0.000E+00
5.	0.373E-02	0.555E-03	0.879E-04	0.144E-04	0.241E-05	0.408E-06	0.692E-07	0.534E-11	0.000E+00
0.	0.569E-02	0.904E-03	0.140E-03	0.221E-04	0.355E-05	0.579E-06	0.952E-07	0.678E-11	0.000E+00

STEADY STATE SOLUTION HAS NOT BEEN REACHED BEFORE FINAL SIMULATING TIME

DISTRIBUTION OF DISSOLVED CHEMICALS IN PPM AT 0.2884E+06 HRS (ADSORBED CHEMICAL CONC. = 0.2520E+00 * DISSOLVED CHEMICAL CONC.)

	Z =	0.00							
			X						
Y	0.	5.	10.	15.	20.	25.	30.	55.	439.
20	0 750F 07	0 5068 07	0 2548 07	0 1650 07	0 (050 00	0 2025 05	0.5000 00	0.1/07.10	0.000E+00
20.	0.7591-07	0.3906-07	0.3346-07	0.105E-07	0.025E-08	0.202E-08	0.300E-09	0.163E-12	0.000E+00
15.	0.295E-05	0.190E-05	0.864E-06	0.301E-06	0.868E-07	0.220E-07	0.508E-08	0.889E-12	0.000E+00
10.	0.115E-03	0.503E-04	0.143E-04	0.329E-05	0.688E-06	0.136E-06	0.261E-07	0.296E-11	0.000E+00
5.	0.373E-02	0.555E-03	0.879E-04	0.144E-04	0.241E-05	0.409E-06	0.696E-07	0.603E-11	0.000E+00
									0.000E+00

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

FIGURES

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK


APPENDIX VII

MONITORING WELL DETAILS

Monitoring wells were not installed as part of the Corrective Action Plan-Part A investigation. Temporary piezometers were installed at the Former Heating Oil Tanks (HOTs) A & B, Building 850 site. Refer to Appendix IV for temporary piezometer installation details.

APPENDIX VIII

GROUNDWATER LABORATORY RESULTS

98-216P(doc)/121798

VIII-1

.

Former HOTs A & B, Building 850 Hunter Army Airfield Chatham County, Facility ID: N/A

Location Sample ID Date Collected Depth (ft BGS)	Applicable Standards ¹	N-1 HN1200 04/06/98 10.0 to 14.0	N-2 HN2200 04/06/98 11.0 to 15.0	N-3 HN3200 04/03/98 10.5 to 14.5	N-4 HN4200 04/03/98 10.5 to 14.5
VOCs	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L
Benzene	5	2 U	2 UJ	5.7 =	2 U
Toluene	1,000	2 U	2.2 J	2 U	2 U
Ethylbenzene	700	2 U	24.4 J	8.7 =	2 U
Xylenes	10,000	6 U	21.3 J	5.9 J	6 U
PAHs	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L
2-Chloronaphthalene	NRC	9.9 UJ	10.9 R	10.5 U	10.3 UJ
Acenaphthene	NRC	9.9 UJ	25.1 J	10.5 U	10.3 UJ
Acenaphthylene	NRC	9.9 UJ	10.9 R	10.5 U	10.3 UJ
Anthracene	NRC	9.9 UJ	10.9 R	10.5 U	10.3 UJ
Benzo(a)anthracene	NRC	9.9 UJ	10.9 R	10.5 U	10.3 UJ
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.2	9.9 UJ	10.9 R	10.5 U	10.3 UJ
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	NRC	9.9 UJ	10.9 R	10.5 U	10.3 UJ
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	NRC	9.9 UJ	10.9 R	10.5 U	10.3 UJ
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	NRC	9.9 UJ	10.9 R	10.5 U	10.3 UJ
Chrysene	NRC	9.9 UJ	10.9 R	10.5 UJ	10.3 UJ
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	NRC	9.9 UJ	10.9 R	10.5 U	10.3 UJ
Fluoranthene	NRC	9.9 UJ	10.9 R	10.5 U	10.3 UJ
Fluorene	NRC	9.9 UJ	11.6 J	10.5 U	10.3 UJ
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	NRC	9.9 UJ	10.9 R	10.5 U	10.3 UJ
Naphthalene	NRC	9.9 UJ	37.6 J	10.5 U	10.6 J
Phenanthrene	NRC	9.9 UJ	7.6 J	10.5 U	10.3 UJ
Pyrene	NRC	9.9 UJ	10.9 R	10.5 U	10.3 UJ

TABLE VIII-A. SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS²

NOTE: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency maximum contaminant level.

²All field work and analytical sampling were performed prior to the release of the new Georgia Department of Natural Resources (GA DNR) Corrective Action Plan (CAP)-Part A Guidance (i.e., May 1998); therefore, the new analytical methods specified were not used.

BGS - Below ground surface. NRC - No regulatory criteria.

PAHs - Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons. VOCs - Volatile organic compounds.

Laboratory Qualifiers

U - Indicates the compound was not detected at the concentration reported.

J - Indicates the value for the compound is an estimated value.

UJ - Indicates the compound was not detected at the reported concentration and the concentration was estimated.

= - Indicates the compound was detected at the concentration reported.

R - Indicates the data for this compound were rejected. The rejected data are due to surrogate compound recoveries being zero. Re-extracted results confirmed that matrix interferences caused surrogate loss. Therefore, the non-detect laboratory qualifier (U) is not valid in this case, and the data for this compound are qualified as rejected (R).

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ν.

	800 Oak Rhige Tumpike, Dak Rhige, TN 37831 14:	(423) 481-4600		5	IAIN O	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD	TODY	RECO	ORD				COC NO.	COC NO .: 408984
PROJECT NAME: CAP - Hunter AFB - Part A	- Hunter AFB - P	art A		ŀF			QUESTE	REQUESTED PARAMETERS	AETERS				LABORATORY NAME:	VAME:
PROJECT NUMBER: 0019	019							_				-	General Engineering Laboratory	ring Laboratory
PROJECT MANAGER: Allison Balley	Allison Balley			-		-					_		LABORATORY ADDRESS: 2040 Savage Raod	ADDRESS: aod
				_				_		_		:elbiV	Charleston, SC 29417	29417
Sampler (Signature)	all N	(Prigted Name) Mitchell +	41									/ \ealmod !	PHONE NO: (803) 556-8171	3) 556-8171
Sample ID	Date Collected	Time Collected	Matrix	(378) HA9	GRO DRP DDT			-		~	-	ho . ol	DVA SCREENING	OBSERVATIONS, COMMENTS SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS
HTBØØ6	4/7/98	\$800	water	X								N	Aut	ATH. TYPER, DD
HNIZOO	4/6/98	1305	retar	×								1	NN	AUAUAUA
4072 NH	4/6/98	papi	water	X							5	n	NA	NA
0071 SH	.9	1500	water	X				90			33	N	NA	NA-
H0 C C 00	3	1520	ruater	×							N.	2	44	M.A.
4076 54		1550	when									2	NA	44
HG 4249		16 15	water									2	NA	NA
495301	-	5080	water	X							30	2	NA	NP
	4	9820	ware									N	. VN	UA
HGYXCOH	4	5480	1	V.								2	NA	AVA
HG5594	4	616	wate	X								2	NA	AN AN
C02C0H		0/0/	water									N	MA	NA
HATTAN	81 614	5701	water	ž								2	A N A	AM
HELINGUISHED BY	Per Dat	2	BECEIVED BY:	1.4	Dat	Date/Time	TOTAL	NUMBER	OF CON	TOTAL NUMBER OF CONTAINERS:	5: 26		Cooler Temperature:	1.0.1
COMPANY NAME:	ST CI		COMPANY NAME:	Z	1 7	1630	Cooler ID:	· .	63				FEDEX NUMBER	NA
RECEPTED BY: (De Cler	1	Sep.	Relinguished by;		Dat	Date/Time		,				1		
COMPANYNAME:		22	COMPANY NAME:		1									
RELINGUISHED BY: LA	L Par	Pate/Time RECEIVED BY:	ED BY:		Dat	Date/Time								
COMPANY NAME	- 1/6		COMPANY NAME:		—									

8

VIII-5

.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ā.

800 Oak Ridge Tumpile, Oak Ridge, TN 37631		(423) 481-4600		CHI	NIN OF	CUS.	TODY	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD	RD				COC NO.:	COC NO .: 40798 6
PROJECT NAME: CAP - Hunter AFB - Part A	P - Hunter AFB - Pa	art A				RE	DUESTED	REQUESTED PARAMETERS	ETERS			1	ABORATORY N	LABORATORY NAME:
PROJECT NUMBER: 0019	019			_							-	Ğ	eneral Enginee	ring Laboratory
PROJECT MANAGER: Allison Bailey	Allison Bailey												LABORATORY ADDRESS: 2040 Savage Raod Charleston, SC 29417	ADDRESS: aod 29417
Sampler (Signature)	en /	(Printed Name) Ulite Lucil Hall	I									siv \aelinoß 平	PHONE ND: (803) 666-8171	3) 656-8171
Sample ID	Date Collected	Time Collected	Matrix	Xata HA9 980	080 CBRO							to .ot	OVA	OBSERVATIONS, COMMENTS, SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS
HNIZGO	4/6/98	1345	weter	×								v N	AUA	21 - DC IHV30
HNZZØG	4 6 98	1446	water	×								0	NA	
H6.1246	4 6 38	1500	water	24	.39							n	4r	
HG 2200	4 6 98	1520	(aten	×								2	NA	
HG 3200	4/6/98	1556	retor	×								2	NA	Ð L
HG 4200	4/10/98	1615	weres	×								2	NA	81
												2	AN	a shape when
												H		
									1					
				940 C	令令	the t	ł							
			1		4	41.14					444			
									5. 8			\vdash		Ì
RELINQUISHED BY:	1 1 Date	Date/Time	BEGELVED BY:	10.0	Date	Date/Time	TOTAL N	TOTAL NUMBER OF CONTAINERS:	OF CONT	AINERS:	2	ပိ	Cooler Temperature:	ure: 4°C
COMPANY NAME:	All hours	1/1/19 COMP	COMPANY NAME:	TAN	1 1	5471	Cooler ID:	Cer i i	558	-	- 1	Ð		
RECEIVED BY: Loch	L.	Pate/Time RELIND	RELINQUISHED BY:		Date	Date/Time						ł		
COMPANY NAME.	- 13		COMPANY NAME:											
RELANDLYSHED BY: 1	S All	Pate/Time & RECEIVED BY:	ED BY:		Date	Date/Time								
COMPANY NAME	16	16Ur COMPA	COMPANY NAME:		-									

800 Oak Ridge Tumpite, Oak Ridge, TN 37831 (423) 481-4600	ek Ridge, TN 37831	(423) 481-4600		0	HAIN	I OF C	USTO	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD	COR	0			COC NO	CUC NO .: 40398 A
PROJECT NAME: CAP - Hunter AFB - Part A	AP - Hunter AFB -	Part A					REQU	REQUESTED PARAMETERS	RAMET	ERS			LABORATORY NAME:	Y NAME:
PROJECT NUMBER: 0019	0019			1									, General Engin	General Engineering Laboratory
PROJECT MANAGER: Allison Balley	: Allison Balley			-								_	LABORATORY ADDRESS: 2040 Savage Raod Charleston, SC 29417	r ADDRESS: Raod C 29417
Sampler (Signature)	1 fall	(Printed Name)	H. Hell				-4					-	Bottles/ Via	PHONE NO: (803) 556-8171
Sample ID	Date Collected	Time Collected	ted Matrix	NATEX HA9	GRO GRO	201			-			_	SCREENING	OBSERVATIONS, COMMENTS, SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS
HTBØØZ	4/3/98	\$946	rater	×					(1)	1				980401-10
44 12 \$\$\$	N	Ø741		\times			<u>deni</u>						42 2	TELESOFOST V
H4 2200	4/2/98	1520	(vales)	X							1.000 1.000 1.000			CI-HARABA DIMO
HH 1200	86/2/2	-	wat	2X							1250		2 NA	
0072 HH	4/2/98		a water	X	X65				1. 				2	
HH 5690	MM	No	water.	X						6	5. ¹ .		-tin 2	ORNULAR- B
HH 4 COO	N	0	water	7						20313			Z NA	1.1
dazs NH	4/3/98	9/11	water.	X								e la la	AN 2	1.1
	4	INIS	Soil	X	X				¥. \$	**			ndop tot 2	P
HA 1104 3	4/2/98	1425	Soil	X	X								20000	1
	86/2/6	1500	Soil	メメ	XX							N	2.20	11-
HH IIQ I	4/2/98	1605	Soil	が	トイ	<i>.</i>						N	1	2
1\$12 HH	4/3/98	\$\$\$Q	Soil	X	X								0	000 - 100 - 100 - 10
RELINGUISHED BY	tel Da	Date/Time Bec	RÉCEIVED BY:	0.0 0		Date/Time		TOTAL NUMBER OF CONTAINERS:	BER OF	CONTAI	NERS:		10	ature: 4°C
COMPANY NAME:	4		COMPANY NAME:	T		07070		Cooler ID:	4	252				ER:
REGRIVED BY: D	and the		RELINQUISHED BY:			Date/Time								
COMPANY NAME:	- { -	6	COMPANY NAME:				-							
RELINDUISHED AY:	hen y	Date/Time REC	RECEIVED BY:			Date/Time								
COMPANY NAME:		0	COMPANY NAME:		Γ									

VIII-9

L

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

C.

800 Osk Ridge Turnplas, Osk Ridge, TN 37831	Ridge, TN 37831 [41	(423) 481-4600		с С	IAIN C	F CU	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD	RECO	RD	2		COC NO	COC NO .: 403980
PROJECT NAME: CAP - Hunter AFB - Part A	- Hunter AFB - P	ert A.		-		-	REQUESTED PARAMETERS	PARAM	ETERS			LABORATORY NAME:	NAME:
PROJECT NUMBER: 0019	019								-			General Engine	General Engineering Laboratory
PROJECT MANAGER: Allison Bailey	Allison Bailey						_		_		:6]	LABORATORY ADDRESS: 2040 Savage Raod 5) Charleston, SC 29417	ADDRESS: Raod : 29417
sompler (Sygnature)	(W	Minted Name)	(a.l)						_		Bottles/ Via	_	03) 556-8171
Sample ID	Date Collected	Time Collected	Matria	RTEX HA9	10C GRO DRP	001					10.01	OVA	DBSERVATIONS, COMMENTS, SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS
HH 4200		54945	Water	×									DCAL N - N
HN3200	13/0	0/11	water	X							+	ALA	CO-901LOOL
1320		ある十十	water	X							+	AIR	
HH 3210	4/3/98	5060 1+	vater	X								A IN	Duplitate the
											+		10-12 · ~
												$\left \right $	
				N		1							
			ST.		19					ž ž			
T		V		T									
	V												
											-		
perturbated By	A Date	Time BECEN			Dat	Date/Time	TOTAL N	NUMBER C	TOTAL NUMBER OF CONTAINERS:	NERS:		し Cooler Temperature: し	ture: 4°C
COMPANY NAME	C T	1-2-	ME		t 2	1640	Cooler ID:	# 394	394			FEDEX NUMBER:	17 - 111
RECEMED DY: / LA COMPANY NAME:	DayerTime	1	REUNQUISHED BY: COMPANY NAME:		Dat	Date/Time							
Industen av. /	15	10			_	T							
Par with	12/th J	Last Se RECEIVED BY:) BY:		Dat	Date/Time							
COMPANYNAME:	1.12	COMPANY NAME:	Y NAME:		-								

VIII-11

6

Hunter Army Airfield CAP-Part A Report Former Heating Oil Tanks A & B, Building 850

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

- 750

and .

	000 1104 1776 1767 MI 1600 VAL 14000		CHA	CHAIN OF O	USTOD	CUSTODY RECORD	ORD			COC NO.	COC NO .: 4/04/98 13
FROME INAME: CAP - HUNTER AFB - Part A	A			ł	REQUESI	REQUESTED PARAMETERS	AETERS		ľ	LABORATORY NAME:	VAME:
PROJECT NUMBER: 0019			-						E	General Enginee	General Engineering Laboratory
PROJECT MANAGER: All'son Balley									:6	LABORATORY ADORESS: 2040 Savage Raod Charleston SC 20417	ADORESS: aod 20017
Sampler (Signature) (Print Print Pri	MITCHEW HAW	144							ulaiV Vialu		3) 556-8171
Date Collected	Time Collected	Metrix	XƏTB HA9 9RD	089		_			8 lo .o	OVA	OBSERVATIONS, COMMENTS,
2 4/3/	1615	when	D V	-				22.8	NN		SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS
12/1 4/3/	1135	wald	X						0	n4	C-IEVA680
9 4/5/	\$ShI	water	×		200				10	NH	
11, 07 64 11/00 11/00	1325	money	X						2	NA	11
121	1250	(state)	and the second						2	NA	in the
PUS 200			*								
HHS30S			X		5.0						
		1		8	1				́ж`		
		V		5/13	h he						
									-		
									-		
						с. 			T		
Preddo of And Indo	MB REGEIVED BY:	S S S	**	Date/Time		L NUMBER	FOTAL NUMBER OF CONTAINERS:	\sim	a	Cooler Temperature:	Job :=
VE:		COMPANY NAME:		10,50	Cooler ID:		#553		1	FEDEX NUMBER:	ket -
COMPANY NAME: COMPANY NAME: COMPAN	1	RELINQUISH BARE	4b)7	Date/Time	0				1		
	me BECEIVED BY:	D BY:		Date/Time	1.						
COMPANY NAME:	(EDO COMPAN	COMPANY NAME:			_						

VIII-13

8

2

Hunter	Army	A1	field	CA	P	Pari	A	Ke	port
		1.00		1.00	-	-			

Former	Heating	Oil	Tanks A	18	B.	Buil	ding	850
ronnor	manne	On	1 anno 1	1 00	1,	1. HIL		000

1A VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSI	EPA SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR	Contract: NA HN1200
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA	SAS No.: NA SDG No.: HA009W
Matrix: (soil/water) GROUNDH20	Lab Sample ID: 9804216-04
Sample wt/vol: 10.00 (g/ml) ML	Lab File ID: 2E307
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 04/08/98
% Moisture: not dec.	Date Analyzed: 04/15/98
GC Column: J&W DB-624 (PID) ID: 0.53	(mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(ml)	Soil Aliquot Volume: (uL
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
71-43-2Benzene 108-88-3Toluene 100-41-4Ethylbenzene 1330-20-7Xylenes (total)	2.0 U 2.0 U 2.0 U 2.0 U 6.0 U

1A

FORM I VOA

÷.

1B SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET	EPA SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Contract: NA	HN1200RE
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA SAS No.: NA	SDG No.: HA007W
Matrix: (soil/water) GROUNDH20 Lab Samp	ole ID: 9804129-13
Sample wt/vol: 1010 (g/mL) ML Lab File	ID: 7P513
Level: (low/med) LOW Date Rec	eived: 04/07/98
<pre>% Moisture: decanted: (Y/N) Date Ext</pre>	racted:04/16/98
Concentrated Extract Volume: 1.00(mL) Date Ana	lyzed: 04/17/98
Intection Walters and the	Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: 7.0	

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/I	3	Q		
91-58-7 208-96-8 83-32-9 86-73-7 85-01-8 120-12-7 206-44-0 129-00-0 56-55-3 218-01-9 205-99-2 205-99-2 207-08-9 50-32-8 53-70-3	naphthalene acenaphthalene acenaphthylen acenaphthene fluorene phenanthrene phenanthrene pyrene benzo (a) anthr benzo (b) fluor benzo (b) fluor benzo (c) fluor 	acene	99999999999999999999999999999999999999	ממממממממ	U U U U	

FORM I SV-1

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSI	S DATA SHEET
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR	Contract: NA HN2200
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA	SAS NO.: NA SDG NO.: HA009W
Matrix: (soil/water) GROUNDH20	Lab Sample ID: 9804216-05
Sample wt/vol: 10.00 (g/ml) ML	
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 04/08/98
% Moisture: not dec.	Date Analyzed: 04/15/98
GC Column: J&W DB-624 (PID) ID: 0.53	(mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(ml)	Soil Aliquot Volume: (uL
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
71-43-2Benzene 108-88-3Toluene 100-41-4Ethylbenzene 1330-20-7Xylenes (total)	2.0 U UJ GOI 2.2 P J GOI MOS 24.4 J GOI 21.3 P J GOI MOS

USE

FORM I VOA

.

•

.

SEMIVOLATII	1B LE ORGANICS ANALYSIS		SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL ENG	SINEERING LABOR CON	Hract: NA	12200
Lab Code: NA C	Case No.: NA SA	AS NO.: NA SDG NO.: H	HA007W
Matrix: (soil/water)	GROUNDH20	Lab Sample ID: 98041	129-14
Sample wt/vol:	920.0 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: 7P213	3
Level: (low/med)	LOW DATA	VALIDATO Neceived: 04/07	7/98
% Moisture:	decanted: (Y/N)	COPYDate Extracted:04/08	8/98
Concentrated Extract	Volume: 1.00(mL)	Date Analyzed: 04/14	/98
Injection Volume:	1.0(uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0	1
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N)	N pH: 7.0		
CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L	Q

91-20-3naphthalene	37.6	J	- 6-P4
91-58-72-chloronaphthalene	10.9	U K	
208-96-8acenaphthylene	10.91	U R	2 1
83-32-9acenaphthene	25.1	-	ř I
86-73-7fluorene	11.6		T I
85-01-8phenanthrene		J	ê li v
120-12-7anthracene		JR	
206-44-0fluoranthene	10.91	σ	2
129-00-0pyrene	10.91	20	
56-55-3benzo (a) anthracene	10.9	ון נ	
218-01-9chrvsene	10.9	ן ד	
205-99-2benzo(b) fluoranthene	10.9 1	j	
207-08-9benzo(k)fluoranthene	10.91	J	
50-32-8benzo (a) pyrene	10.91	ון ד	
193-39-5indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	10.91	5	- 1
53-70-3dibenz (a, h) anthracene	10.91	J II	
191-24-2benzo(g,h,i)perylene	10.9		1 11

FORM I SV-1

÷

	Former Heating Oil Tanks A & B, Building 8:
IA VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET	EPA SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Contract: NA	IIN3200
Lab Code: NA Case No.: NA SAS No.: NA	SDG No.: HA0C4W
Matrix: (soil/water) GROUNDH20 Lab	Sample ID: 9804106-03
Sample wt/vol: 10.00 (g/ml) ML Lab	File ID: 2D3010
Level: (low/med) LOW Date	Received: 04/03/98
% Moisture: not dec Date	Analyzed: 04/08/98
GC Column: J&W DB-624(PID) ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(ml) Soil	Aliquot Volume:(uL
CAS NO. COMPOUND CONCENTRATI	ION UNITS: g/Kg) UG/L Q
71-43-2Benzene 108-88-3Toluene 100-41-4Ethylbenzene 1330-20-7Xylenes (total)	$ \begin{array}{c} 5.7\\ 2.0\\ 8.7\\ 5.9\\ \hline J \end{array} $

x

.

Į,

Hunter Army Airfield CAP-Part A Report

		I office from the	Tanks A & B, Building 850
	1B ILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS	DATA SHEET	EPA SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL E	NGINEERING LABOR Cont	tract: NA	HN3200
Lab Code: NA	Case No.: NA SAS	S NO.: NA SDG	No.: HA004W
Matrix: (soil/water)	GROUNDH20	Lab Sample ID	9804106-06
	950.0 (g/mL) ML		
Level: (low/med)		Date Received	
% Moisture:	decanted: (Y/N)	Date Extracted	1:04/04/98
	Volume: 1.00(mL)		
	1.0(uL)		
CAS NO.	COMPOUND (ONCENTRATION UNITS: ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/I	Q
91-58-7	naphthalene 2-chloronaphthalen acenaphthylene acenaphthene		10.5 U U 10.5 U 10.5 U

FORM I SV-1

....

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET Lab Name: GENERAL ENGINEERING LABOR Contract: NA	EPA SAMPLE NO.
Dab code: NACase No.: NASAS No.: NAMatrix: (soil/water) GROUNDH2OLab SSample wt/vol:10.00 (g/ml) MLLab FLevel: (low/med)LOWDate% Moisture: not dec.DateGC Column: J&W DB-624 (PID)ID: 0.53 (mm)Soil Extract Volume:(ml)	Sample ID: 9804216-15 File ID: 2E3019 Received: 04/08/98 Analyzed: 04/15/98 Dilution Factor: 1.0
CAS NO. COMPOUND CONCENTRATIC	Aliquot Volume:(uL ON UNITS: /Kg) UG/L Q
71-43-2Benzene 108-88-3Toluene 100-41-4Ethylbenzene 1330-20-7Xylenes (total)	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

~



SEMIVOLATI	1B LE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA	EPA SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: GENERAL EN	GINEERING LABOR Contract	: NA HN4200
Lab Code: NA	Case No.: NA SAS No.	: NA SDG No.: HA005W
Matrix: (soil/water)	GROUNDH20	Lab Sample ID: 9804121-05
Sample wt/vol:	970.0 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: 7P317
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date Received: 04/04/98
% Moisture:	decanted: (Y/N)	Date Extracted:04/08/98
Concentrated Extract	Volume: 1.00(mL)	Date Analyzed: 04/15/98
Injection Volume:	1.0(uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N)	N pH: 7.0	
	CONCE	NTTONTINT THAT A

CAS NO. COMPOUND

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L

Q

91-20-3naphthalene	10.6	5 6
91-58-72-chloronaphthalene	10.3 U	UT O
208-96-8acenaphthylene	10.3 U	- 00 0
33-32-9acenaphthene	10.3 U	
6-73-7fluorene	10.3 U	
5-01-8phenanthrene	10.3 U	
20-12-7anthracene	10.3 U	
06-44-0fluoranthene	10.3 U	
29-00-0pyrene	10.3 U	
6-55-3benzo (a) anthracene	10.3 U	
18-01-9chrvsene	10.3 U	
05-99-2benzo(b)fluoranthene	10.3 U	
07-08-9benzo(k)fluoranthene	10.3 0	
0-32-8benzo(a)pyrene	10.3 U	
93-39-5indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	10.3 U	
3-70-3dibenz (a, h) anthracene	10.3 U	
91-24-2benzo(g,h,i)perylene	10.3 U	

use

FORM I SV-1

OLM03.0

APPENDIX IX

CONTAMINATED SOIL DISPOSAL MANIFESTS

à

All contaminated soil removed during the entire project [i.e., all underground storage tanks (USTs) removed under contract with Anderson Columbia Environmental, Inc., to include clean and non-clean closures] was tested in accordance with the disposal facility requirements and transported to Kedesh, Inc., Highway 84, Ludowici, GA 31316. The Installation has records of all manifests and weight tickets for this project. However, site-specific information is not available.

I certify that the above information is true and accurate. If the Georgia Environmental Protection Division (GA EPD), Underground Storage Tank Management Program (USTMP), would like copies of all manifests and weight tickets for the numerous UST removal contracts that we have conducted, we will gladly forward copies to the USTMP.

Name:	Thomas C. Fry
Title: _	Chief, Environmental Branch
Signatu	re: Thomas C. Fry
Date: _	03/05/99

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

i

APPENDIX X

SITE RANKING FORM

Hunter Army Airfield CAP-Part A Report

C. Allison Bailey

Former Heating Oil Tanks A & B, Building 850

12/7/98

	SITE RAINKING FORM							
Facility Name: Form	ner HOTs A & B, Building 850		Ranked by:	-				
County: Chatham	Facility ID #: N/A		Date Ranked:	4				
SOIL CONTAMINATI	<u>on</u>							
		В.	Total Benzene	-				

SITE PANKING FORM

A.	Total PAHs –
/	Maximum Concentration found on the site (Assume <0.660 mg/kg if only gasoline was stored on site)

	<u>≤</u> 0.660 mg/kg	=	0
	>0.66 - 1 mg/kg	=	10
\boxtimes	>1 - 10 mg/kg	=	25

>1 - 10 mg/kg 50

÷

2

- Β. Maximum Concentration found on the site 0 <0.005 mg/kg ÷ X 1 >0.005 - .05 mg/kg = 10 >0.05 - 1 mg/kg = 25 >1 - 10 mg/kg =
 - 40 >10 - 50 mg/kg
 - 50 >50 mg/kg Π =

Depth to Groundwater C. (bls = below land surface)

()

>50' bls = 1

>10 mg/kg

- >25' 50' bls =
- >10' 25' bls = 5
- = 10 <10' bls X

 $(A. 25) + (B. 0) = (25) \times (C. 10) = (D. 250)$ Fill in the blanks:

GROUNDWATER CONTAMINATION

- Dissolved Benzene -F. Free Product (Nonaqueous-phase E. Maximum Concentration at the site liquid hydrocarbons; See Guidelines (One well must be located at the source For definition of "sheen"). of the release.) No free product = 0 X <5 µg/L Sheen - 1/8" = 250 X >5 - 100 µg/L = 500 >1/8" - 6" >100 - 1,000 µg/L = 1,000 >6" - 1ft. >1,000 - 10,000 µg/L For every additional inch, add another >10,000 µg/L 1 100 points = 1,000 + 100 points
 - Fill in the blanks:
- (E. 0) + (F. 5) = (G. 5)

= 0

= 5

= 50

= 100

= 250

POTENTIAL RECEPTORS (MUST BE FIELD-VERIFIED)

Distance from nearest contaminant plume boundary to the nearest downgradient and hydraulically connected Point of Withdrawal for water supply. If the point of withdrawal is not hydraulically connected, evidence as outlined in the CAP-A guidance document MUST be presented to substantiate this claim.

н.	Public Water Supply					Ŀ.	I. Non-Public Water Supply					
	D Note:	14 mi >1 mi > 2 m wer sus >1 mi If site o: Pleas	- ¼ mi - 1 mi - 2 mi i ceptibility is in lowe	= = = are: = er si	0 as only: 0 u scepti l	bility are	ea, do no ologic and	t use t	Impacted <100' >100' - 500' >500' - ¼ mi >¼ - ½ mi >½ mi ower susceptibilit >¼ mi he shaded areas geologic Data," p	. = I.	5 2 0 eas only: 0	cation of
J.	bound OR UT trench	ary to d FILITY 1 may be ion is m Impac ≤500'	- 1,000'	ient ES & from 5 fee = =	Surface & VAUL o ranking et above 500 50	Waters TS (a ut g if its in	ility vert		Impacted <500' >500' - 1,000 >1,000' or no free produ	wl sp = = = =		
Fill in	the blar			+ (1	. 0)	+ (J	50)+	(K0) = L. <u>50</u>			
0.0464646) = M. <u>250</u>			
									<u>io)</u> = N. <u>500</u>			
Ρ.	SUSC	EPTIBI		EAN	IULTIP	LIER						
		If site	is locate	d in	a Low G	iround-V	Vater Pol	ution S	usceptibility Area	= 0.8	5	
	\boxtimes	All oth	ner sites :	= 1								
Q.	EXPL	OSION	HAZARD	2								
	Have a subsu	any exp rface sti	losive pe ructure (e	trole .g.,	um vap utility tre	ors, pos enches, l	sibly origi basemen	nating f ts, vaull	rom this release, ts, crawl spaces,	beer etc.)'	n detected in ?	any
		Yes	= 200,	000								
	\boxtimes	No	= 0									
Fill in	the bla	nks:	(N. <u>50</u>	0)	x (P	<u>1</u>) = (500)	+ (Q	0_)			
			= 500									
				1	ENVIRO	NMENT	AL SEN	SITIVIT	Y SCORE			

9/97
1.0 OTHER GEOLOGIC AND HYDROGEOLOGIC DATA

The following information is presented to provide supporting documentation to Appendix X (Site Ranking Form) of the Corrective Action Plan (CAP)-Part A Form and provides detailed information relating to the geologic and hydrogeologic conditions at Hunter Army Airfield (HAAF) to support determinations of groundwater flow pathway(s) or direction(s) and contaminant transport.

1.1 REGIONAL GEOLOGY

Southeast Georgia is located within the Coastal Plain Physiographic Province of the Southeast United States (Clark and Zisa 1976). In this region, the thickness of southeastward dipping, subsurface strata ranges from 0 feet at the fall line, located approximately 350 miles inland from the Atlantic coast, to approximately 4200 feet below land surface (BLS) at the coast. Herrick (1961) provides detailed lithologic descriptions of the stratigraphic units encountered during the installation of water and petroleum exploration wells in Chatham County. The well log of GGS Well 125, located on White Bluff Road, 700 feet west and 0.3 miles north of Buckhalter Road, Savannah, provides one of the more complete lithologic descriptions of upper Eocene, Miocene, and Pliocene to Recent sedimentary strata in Chatham County.

The upper Eocene (Ocala Limestone) section of GGS Well 125 is approximately 225 feet thick and dominated by light-gray to white, fossiliferous limestone. The Miocene section is approximately 250 feet thick and consists of limestone with a 160-feet-thick cap of dark green phosphatic clay. This clay is regionally extensive and is known to occupy the Coosawatchie Formation of the Hawthorn Group (Furlow 1969; Arora 1984; Huddlestun 1988). The interval from approximately 80 feet to the surface is Pliocene to Recent in age and composed primarily of sand interbedded with clay and silt. This section is occupied by the Satilla and Cypresshead Formations (Huddlestun 1988).

1.2 LOCAL GEOLOGY

HAAF is located within the Barrier Island Sequence District of the Coastal Plain Physiographic Province of the Southeast United States (Clark and Zisa 1976). The Barrier Island Sequence District in Chatham and Bryan Counties is characterized by the existence of several marine terraces (step-like topographic surfaces that decrease in elevation toward the coast). These marine terraces, and their associated deposits, are the results of sea level fluctuations that occurred during the Pleistocene Epoch. The surficial (Quaternary) deposits in Chatham and Bryan Counties, in decreasing elevation and age, are part of the Okefenokee, Wicomico, Penholoway, Pamlico, and Silver Bluff terrace complexes (Wilkes et al. 1974; GA DNR 1976; Huddlestun 1988).

HAAF, as well as most of Chatham County, is underlain by the Pleistocene Pamlico Terrace. The Pleistocene Satilla Formation (formerly known as the Pamlico Formation) consists of deposits of the Pamlico Terrace complex and other terrace complexes in the region (Huddlestun 1988). The Satilla Formation is a lithologically heterogeneous unit that consists of variably bedded to nonbedded sand and variably bedded silty to sandy clay. During the Pleistocene, these sand and clay deposits were formed in offshore and inner continental shelf, barrier island, and marsh/lagoonal-type environments (Huddlestun 1988). According to the Geologic Map of Georgia (GA DNR 1976), clay beds of marsh origin, which were deposited on the northwest side

of the former Pamlico Barrier Island complex, exist in the western quarter of HAAF. Very fineto coarse-grained sand deposits of barrier island origin are more common throughout the remaining areas of HAAF.

Based on the coring and sampling of unconsolidated strata at HAAF during the CAP-Part A investigations, it is concluded that all former heating oil tanks (HOTs) and underground storage tanks (USTs) were buried within the Satilla Formation, which is overlain by various soil types. Soil groups at HAAF include the Chipley, Leon, Ellabelle, Kershaw, Pelham, Albany, Wahee, and Ogeechee (Wilkes et al. 1974).

1.3 REGIONAL AND LOCAL HYDROGEOLOGY

The hydrogeology in the vicinity of HAAF is mostly influenced by two aquifer systems. These are referred to as the Principal (Floridan) Aquifer and the Surficial Aquifer (Miller 1990). The Principal Aquifer is the lowermost hydrologic unit and is regionally extensive from South Carolina through Georgia, Alabama, and most of Florida. Known elsewhere as the Floridan, this aquifer, approximately 800 feet in total thickness, is composed primarily of Tertiary age limestone including the Bug Island Formation, the Ocala Group, and the Suwannee Limestone. Groundwater from the Floridan is used primarily for drinking water (Arora 1984). According to Miller (1990), one of the largest cones of depression produced in the Upper Floridan Aquifer exists directly beneath Savannah, Georgia. Net water-level decline in the Floridan system, between the predevelopment period and 1980, exceeded 80 feet beneath Savannah. In addition, according to 1980 estimates, more than 500 million gallons of water per day were withdrawn from the Floridan for public and industrial use in southeast Georgia, more than any other region.

The confining layer for the Principal (Floridan) Aquifer is the phosphatic clay of the Hawthorn Group. There are minor occurrences of aquifer material within the Hawthorn Group; however, they have limited utilization (Miller 1990). The Surficial Aquifer overlies the Hawthorn confining unit.

The Surficial Aquifer consists of widely varying amounts of sand and clay, ranging from 55 to 150 feet in thickness, and is composed primarily of the Satilla and Cypresshead Formations in the Savannah vicinity (Arora 1984). This aquifer is primarily used for domestic lawn and agricultural irrigation. The top of the water table ranges from approximately 2 to 10 feet below ground level (Miller 1990). Groundwater in the Surficial Aquifer system is under unconfined, or water table, conditions. However, locally, thin clay beds create confined or semiconfined conditions, as is the case at HAAF where thin, surficial clay beds are present in the west quadrant (GA DNR 1976).

Groundwater encountered at all the UST/HOT investigation sites is part of the Surficial Aquifer system. Based on the fact that all public and non-public water supply wells draw water from the Principal (Floridan) Aquifer, and that the Hawthorn confining unit separates the Principal Floridan Aquifer from the Surficial Aquifer, it is concluded that there is no hydraulic interconnection between the HOT sites (and associated plumes, if applicable) and water supply withdrawal points (Figure X-A).

1.4 GEOLOGIC AND HYDROGEOLOGIC CONDITIONS AT THE FORMER HOTS A & B, BUILDING 850 SITE

According to Wilkes et al. (1974), the soil common in the area occupied by Building 850 consists of the Chipley-Urban land complex (Cuc). This complex contains 40 to 70 percent Chipley soils

and 20 to 40 percent Urban Land soils. The surface layer of this complex is very dark grayish brown to gray, with the underlying layer being olive brown to light yellowish brown mottled with gray. A seasonal high water table is 15 to 36 inches below the surface. In places, the soil profile has been altered due to the cutting, filling, and grading activities resulting from urban development (Wilkes et al. 1974).

During direct-push soil sampling events at the site, three major unconsolidated sediment types were encountered (Figure 4, Appendix I). These include: (1) native and non-native sand fill in the former tank pit; (2) organic-rich sand (OL/OH); and (3) brownish-yellow, fine-grained sandy clay.

A localized groundwater depression exists in the vicinity of the former excavation area (Figure 4, Appendix I). This depression is likely a result of the excavation fill flow properties in relation to the surrounding native soil. For the purpose of determining groundwater flow direction, the water level measured in the piezometer (N-2) installed in the excavation fill was not used. The exclusive use of piezometers installed in native soil to determine groundwater flow direction gives a more realistic flow direction across the site. Piezometers N-1, N-3, and N-4 were used to determine a flow direction to the southeast for the site.

Elimiter Straty Automatical Line Processing Former Memory Coll Facals: ArX 11, Society of Strategy Study Hunter Army Airfield CAP-Part A Report Former Heating Oil Tanks A & B, Building 850

FIGURES

98-216P(doc)/022499



31-102797-063



Ą

APPENDIX XI

COPIES OF PUBLIC NOTIFICATION LETTERS AND CERTIFIED RECEIPTS OR NEWSPAPAPER NOTICE

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

۰.

Public notification letters are not required for the Former Heating Oil Tanks (HOTs) A & B, Building 850 site because heating oil tanks are not regulated as defined by Georgia Department of Natural Resources (GA DNR) guidance.

APPENDIX XII

GUST TRUST FUND REIMBURSEMENT APPLICATION AND CLAIM FOR REIMBURSEMENT

The Hunter Army Airfield is a federally owned facility and has funded the investigation for the Former Heating Oil Tanks (HOTs) A & B, Building 850 site, which is unregulated as defined by Georgia Department of Natural Resources (GA DNR) guidance and has no Facility Identification Number, using Environmental Restoration Account funds. Application for Georgia Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund reimbursement is not being pursued at this time.

ATTACHMENT A

TECHNICAL APPROACH

Ā

A-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

1.0	INT	INTRODUCTION		
2.0	FIELD ACTIVITIES			A-5
	2.1	SUBSURFACE SOIL SAMPLING		
		2.1.1	Borehole Installation	A-5
		2.1.2	Sample Collection	A-5
	2.2	GROUNDWATER SAMPLING		
		2.2.1	Groundwater Collection	A-6
		2.2.2	Field Measurements	A-6
	2.3 TEMPORARY PIEZOMETER INSTALLATION			A-7
	2.4	4 BOREHOLE ABANDONMENT		
	2.5	SURV	EYING	A-8
	2.6	2.6 DECONTAMINATION PROCEDURES		
	2.7	INVESTIGATION-DERIVED WASTE MANAGEMENT A		
		2.7.1	Waste Collection and Containment	A-8
		2.7.2	Waste Characterization	A-8
		2.7.3	Waste Disposal	A-9
	2.8	8 DOCUMENTATION OF FIELD ACTIVITIES		
3.0	SAMPLE HANDLING AND ANALYSIS			
	3.1 ANALYTICAL PROGRAM			A-9
	3.2	SAMP	LE PACKAGING AND SHIPMENT	A-10

A-3

TECHNICAL APPROACH

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The overall objective of this project was to provide the services required to produce Corrective Action Plans (CAPs) for the subject heating oil tank (HOT) sites per the requirement of the Georgia Environmental Protection Division (EPD). The field activities included the installation of temporary piezometers, soil borings, and soil and groundwater sampling. Upon completion of the field activities, this CAP-Part A report was prepared to meet requirements of the Georgia EPD, Fort Stewart Directorate of Public Works (FS DPW), and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)-Savannah District.

2.0 FIELD ACTIVITIES

The following sections detail the methodologies used for direct-push sampling and piezometer installation. All boreholes were drilled and piezometers installed by R. E. Wright [Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC), Drilling Services Division], a drilling firm licensed in the state of Georgia. A geologist from SAIC, working under the direction of a registered professional engineer, was on site at all times. No drilling activities were undertaken until all utility clearances and permits were obtained from Hunter Army Airfield (HAAF) utility personnel.

2.1 SUBSURFACE SOIL SAMPLING

2.1.1 Borehole Installation

A truck-mounted direct-push Geoprobe was used for installation of soil boreholes. All sampling devices were pushed to required depths using 4.0- and 3.0-foot push rods. During all borehole drilling, 4.0-foot soil cores were collected continuously from ground surface to the top of the water table.

2.1.2 Sample Collection

Soil samples were collected from boreholes using a 4.0-foot acetate-lined, steel macrocoring device. Upon retrieval of the sampling device, the acetate liner containing the soil core was removed from the steel macrocoring device and removed from the core using a truck-mounted, acetate-liner cutting device. The exposed soil core was split into two 2.0-foot sections using a stainless steel knife. A portion of each 2.0-foot section was collected for possible laboratory analysis. The remaining portion of each 2.0-foot section was used for field measurements.

Samples designated for possible laboratory analysis were collected from the cores using a stainless steel spoon. Soil was collected from along the entire length of the core in order to collect a representative sample. The portion of the sample designated for volatile organic analyses was placed into laboratory sample containers first, followed by placement of the remaining portion of the sample into the containers designated for other types of analyses. Sample containers designated for volatile organic analyses were filled so that minimal headspace was present. Headspace gas concentration measurements were made using a field organic vapor meter (OVM). Initially, soil

from each 2.0-foot interval was placed into a glass jar, leaving some air space, and covered with aluminum foil to create an air-tight seal. The sample was allowed to volatilize for a minimum of 15 minutes. The sealed jar was punctured with the OVM probe and headspace gas drawn until the meter reading was stable. The concentration of the headspace gas was recorded to the nearest 0.1 parts per million (ppm).

Immediately following collection of each sample and completion of bottle label information, each potential analytical sample container was placed into an ice-filled cooler to ensure preservation. A clean acetate-lined, steel macrocore sampling device was used to collect soil core from each interval of the project boreholes. Information regarding the soil sample selection criteria for off-site shipment to a laboratory for chemical analysis is presented in Section 3.1.3 of the project Sampling and Analysis Plan. Soil samples, which were not selected for laboratory analysis, were disposed of as investigation-derived waste (IDW).

2.2 GROUNDWATER SAMPLING

2.2.1 Groundwater Collection

Collection of groundwater samples from soil boreholes was accomplished through the use of a 3.5-foot-long, 1.0-inch-diameter steel slotted screen encased in a 3.5-foot-long, 1.5-inch-diameter stainless steel sleeve attached to an expendable 1.5-inch length, 1.5-inch-diameter steel drive point. The entire device was pushed 5 feet below the water table. The 3.5-foot steel sleeve was subsequently raised 4.0 feet from the bottom while discarding the steel drive point and exposing the entire length of the screen to groundwater. By raising the steel sleeve 4.0 feet, the steel slotted screen was raised 0.5 feet from the bottom of the borehole. As a result, the groundwater was collected from a 4.0-foot interval. Water was brought to the surface using a peristaltic pump attached to a clean acetate tube, which was cut to desired length prior to sampling and discarded following each sampling event. Enough water was extracted for laboratory sample containment and for water quality parameters to be measured with a Horiba U-10. Following groundwater sample collection, subsurface sampling devices were removed from the borehole, and a temporary piezometer was installed. Temporary piezometers were constructed of 1.0-inch inside diameter (ID) polyvinyl chloride (PVC) casing with a 5-foot screened interval.

2.2.2 Field Measurements

Groundwater field measurements performed during the project included measurement of static groundwater level, pH, specific conductance, and temperature. Groundwater levels were measured inside the temporary PVC piezometers. A summary of the procedures and criteria to be used for groundwater field measurements is presented in the following sections.

Static Groundwater Level

Static groundwater level measurements were made using an electronic water-level indicator. Initially, the indicator probe was lowered into each temporary piezometer casing until the alarm sounded and/or the indicator light illuminated. The probe was withdrawn several feet and slowly lowered again until the groundwater surface was contacted as indicated by the alarm and/or light. Water-level measurements were estimated to the nearest 0.01 foot based on the difference between the nearest probe cord mark to the top of the piezometer casing.

The distance between the top of the casing and the surrounding ground surface was taken into account in measuring the water level to within 0.01 foot. The static water level measurement

procedure was repeated two or three times to ensure that the water level measurements were consistent (plus or minus 0.01 foot).

Free Product Thickness

Free product layer thickness measurements were collected at applicable sites using an electric interface probe. Initially, the interface probe was lowered into each temporary piezometer casing until the alarm sounded and/or the indicator light was illuminated. The probe was withdrawn and then slowly lowered again until the liquid surface was contacted as noted by the alarm and/or indicator light. The meter was lowered until the alarm indicated the water surface. The thickness of the product was determined by subtracting the measurement of the free product layer surface from the measurement of the water surface. The water/product level measurements were estimated to the nearest 0.01 foot based on the difference between the nearest probe cord mark to the top of the piezometer casing.

The free product measurement was repeated two or three times to ensure that the measurements were consistent (plus or minus 0.01 foot).

pH, Specific Conductance, and Temperature

The pH, specific conductance, and temperature measurements were recorded for groundwater during groundwater sampling. The pH, temperature, and conductivity measurements were made using a Horiba U-10 designed to measure these parameters. A portion of each groundwater sample was retrieved from the sampler and poured into the collection cup. With the combination meter set in the pH mode, the meter electrode was swirled at a slow, constant rate within the sample until the meter reading reached equilibrium. The sample pH was recorded to the nearest 0.1 pH unit.

Upon completion of the pH measurement, conductivity and temperature measurements were made on a groundwater sample collected in the same manner as described above. With the combination meter set in the conductivity mode, the meter electrode was swirled at a slow, constant rate until the meter reading reached equilibrium. Concurrently, a temperature probe was placed into the sample and allowed to reach equilibrium. The sample conductivity was recorded to the nearest 10 mS/cm and the temperature to the nearest 0.1°C. All recorded conductivity values were converted to conductance at 25°C.

2.3 TEMPORARY PIEZOMETER INSTALLATION

Following the collection of the groundwater sample, a 2-inch PVC piezometer, with a 5-foot screened section, was installed to prevent the borehole from collapsing. The piezometer remained in the borehole at least 24 hours, after which time the static water level was measured.

2.4 BOREHOLE ABANDONMENT

Once static water levels were measured, the temporary piezometers were removed, and the boreholes were abandoned. Abandonment was conducted in a manner preventing any current, or subsequent, fluid media from entering, or migrating within, the subsurface environment along the axis or from the endpoint of the borehole. Abandonment was accomplished by filling the entire volume of the borehole with bentonite powder.

Boreholes located in concrete-covered areas were capped with grout. After a 24-hour period, the abandoned borehole was checked for grout and bentonite settlement.

2.5 SURVEYING

A topographic survey of the horizontal and vertical locations of all soil boreholes was conducted after completion of field activities. The topographic survey was conducted by a surveyor registered in the state of Georgia.

The horizontal coordinates for each soil borehole were surveyed to the closest 1.0 foot and referenced to the State Plane Coordinate System. Ground elevations were surveyed to the closest 0.01 foot. Elevations were referenced to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1983.

2.6 DECONTAMINATION PROCEDURES

Decontamination of equipment used for soil and groundwater sampling was conducted at each investigation site. Non-dedicated equipment was decontaminated after each use. The direct-push sampling equipment was decontaminated by removing soil and other contaminants with potable water, phosphate-free detergent, and scrub brushes. This was followed by a potable water rinse, American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Type I or equivalent water rinse, methanol rinse, and ASTM Type I or equivalent water rinse. The sampling equipment was then allowed to air dry and was wrapped in plastic or aluminum foil.

In addition to the sampling equipment, field measurement instruments were also decontaminated between uses. Only those portions of each instrument that came into contact with environmental media were decontaminated. Because of the delicate nature of these instruments, the decontamination procedure only involved initial rinsing of the instrument probes with ASTM Type I or equivalent water.

2.7 INVESTIGATION-DERIVED WASTE MANAGEMENT

Soil cuttings obtained during the installation of each borehole, and water collected for the measurement of water quality parameters, were the only indigenous IDW generated during the project. Non-indigenous IDW included solid compactible trash, decontamination solutions, and sludges.

2.7.1 Waste Collection and Containment

All soil waste was contained in a 55-gallon U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) Specification 17C drums at the point of generation. At each site, water waste was contained in four 55-gallon DOT specification 17E drums. All containers were appropriately labeled with generation point information and transported to the Central Staging Area. Sanitary waste was placed in trash bags at the point of generation.

2.7.2 Waste Characterization

Soil IDW was characterized by collecting a representative soil aliquot from each drum and creating a single homogenized composite sample. The sample was analyzed for Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) analytes. Soil was considered

non-contaminated if the TCLP results were below the regulatory criteria, and the analytical results for the associated field samples indicated all of the following:

- benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX) and polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) concentrations below applicable Table A or B Threshold Levels as defined in Rules of Georgia Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Protection Division, rule 391-3-15-.09;
- total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) concentrations below 100 ppm; and
- total lead concentrations below 100 ppm.

Water IDW was characterized by collecting one sample from each drum. Each sample was analyzed for BTEX, pH, oil and grease, phenols, and TCLP metals.

2.7.3 Waste Disposal

All of the soil IDW was characterized as being non-contaminated and approved for disposal by FS DPW personnel. The soil was spread in an area designated by FS DPW personnel.

All of the water IDW was characterized as meeting the acceptance criteria of the FS Industrial Waste Treatment Plant (IWTP) and approved for disposal by FS DPW personnel at the IWTP.

2.8 DOCUMENTATION OF FIELD ACTIVITIES

All information pertinent to drilling and sampling activities, including instrument calibration data, was recorded in field logbooks. The logbooks were bound and the pages consecutively numbered. Entries in the logbooks were made in black permanent ink and included, at a minimum, a description of all activities, individuals involved in drilling and sampling activities, date and time of drilling and sampling, weather conditions, problems encountered, and field measurements. Lot numbers, manufacturers' names, and expiration dates of standard solutions used for field instrument calibration were also recorded. Sufficient information was recorded in the logbooks to permit reconstruction of direct-push and sampling activities.

3.0 SAMPLE HANDLING AND ANALYSIS

3.1 ANALYTICAL PROGRAM

Soil samples were screened for the presence of volatile vapors using a PhotoVac photoionization detector. The PhotoVac was calibrated daily using 100 ppm isobutylene. The headspace of each sample was measured approximately 15 minutes after collection.

Soil samples were analyzed for BTEX by method SW 846-8020, for PAH by method SW 846-8270, and for TPH by method SW 846-8015 (modified). TPH analysis included both gasoline-range organics and diesel-range organics. Groundwater samples were analyzed for BTEX by method SW 846-8240 and PAH by method SW 846-8270. The groundwater and soil sample containers, preservatives, and holding times are summarized in Table 1.1 of the Quality Assurance

Project Plan of the SAP (SAIC 1998). All samples were shipped to General Engineering Laboratories (GEL), Charleston, South Carolina.

Duplicate samples of soil and groundwater were collected throughout the project and represented approximately 10 percent of the total sample population. Rinsate blanks, which represented approximately 5 percent of the total sample population, were collected to detect sample cross-contamination. Duplicates and rinsates were submitted to GEL.

Split samples were collected in addition to the other quality control samples but were sent to the USACE quality assurance laboratory in Marietta, Georgia, as an independent quality check.

3.2 SAMPLE PACKAGING AND SHIPMENT

Each sample container was labeled and taped shut with electrical tape (except those containing samples designated for volatile organic analysis), and an initialed/dated custody seal was placed over the lid. Each sample bottle was placed into a separate plastic bag and sealed. The samples were placed upright in thermally insulated rigid-body coolers and surrounded by vermiculite to prevent breakage during shipment. In addition, samples were cooled to approximately 4°C with wet ice. These measures were taken to slow the decomposition and volatilization of contaminants during shipping and handling. The sample coolers were shipped to the analytical laboratory via courier service provided by the laboratory.

ATTACHMENT B

REFERENCES

- Anderson Columbia Environmental, Inc. (ACE), 1997. Field Report for Used Oil Tanks, Building 850, Tanks A & B, Hunter Army Airfield, Savannah, Georgia.
- Arora, Ram, 1984. Hydrologic Evaluation for Underground Injection Control in the Coastal Plain of Georgia, Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Protection Division, Georgia Geological Survey.
- Clark, W. Z. Jr., and Zisa, A. C., 1976. *Physiographic Map of Georgia*, Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Protection Division, Georgia Geologic Survey (reprinted 1988).
- City of Savannah Bureau of Water Operations, 1998. Personal communication with Michael Coon.
- City of Savannah Bureau of Sewer and Water Planning, 1998. Personal communication with Clay Rogers.
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1998. Watershed Environmental Profile, Ogeechee Coastal Dataset, July.
- Furlow, J. W., 1969. Stratigraphy and Economic Geology of the Eastern Chatham County Phosphate Deposit, Department of Mines and Mining, Division of Conservation, Georgia Geologic Survey, Bulletin 82.
- Fort Stewart Directorate of Public Works (FS DPW), 1998. Personal communication with Fort Stewart DPW Personnel.
- Georgia Department of Natural Resources (GA DNR), 1976. Geologic Map of Georgia, Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Protection Division, Georgia Geologic Survey (reprinted 1997).
- GA DNR, 1998a. Rules and Regulations for Water Quality Control, Chapter 391-3-6, Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Protection Division.
- GA DNR, 1998b. Underground Storage Tank Corrective Action Plan-Part A Guidance, Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Protection Division.
- Herrick, S. M., 1961. Wells Logs of the Coastal Plain of Georgia, Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Protection Division, Georgia Geologic Survey.
- Huddlestun, P. F., 1988. A Revision of the Lithostratigraphic Units of the Coastal Plain of Georgia, The Miocene through Holocene, Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Protection Division, Georgia Geologic Survey, Bulletin 104.
- Miller, J. A., 1990. Groundwater Atlas of the United States, Segment 6, U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey, Hydrologic Inventory Atlas 730G, 28 pp.
- Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC), 1995. Data Validation Guidelines for Analytical Data, Quality Assurance Technical Procedure TP-DM-300-7, Revision 1.

- SAIC, 1998. Sampling and Analysis Plan for the Corrective Action Plan-Part A Investigations for Former Underground Storage Tanks at Hunter Army Airfield, Georgia, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Savannah District, Contract No. DACA21-95-D-0022, Delivery Order 0019.
- SAIC, Sampling and Analysis Plan for the CAP A Investigation for former UST, Hunter Army Airfield, Georgia, March 1998.
- Trent, V. P., 1992. Groundwater Pollution Susceptibility Map of Georgia, Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Protection Division, Georgia Geologic Survey, Hydrologic Atlas 20.

United States Geological Survey (USGS), 1990. Water Use for the Ogeechee Coastal Watershed.

Wilkes, R. L., Johnson, J. H., Stoner, H. T., and Bacon, D. D., 1974. Soil Survey of Bryan and Chatham Counties, Georgia, U.S. Department of Agriculture Soil Conservation Service, 71 pp.