

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

• -

Section	Page
1.0 INTRODUCTION 1.1 Location 1.2 Purpose and Scope 1.3 Applicable Regulations	1 1 4
2.0 GENERAL GEOLOGY 2.1 Geologic Units 2.2 Aquifers	9 9 9
3.0 PREVIOUS WORK (PHASE I)	11
4.0 INVESTIGATION METHODS 4.1 Drilling Procedures 4.2 Sampling Procedures 4.3 Decontamination	13 13 13 16
5.0 DESCRIPTION OF SOILS	17
6.0 DESCRIPTION OF CONTAMINATION FOUND 6.1 Soil Contamination 6.2 Ground-water Contamination 6.3 Creek	18 18 18 18
7.0 CONCLUSIONS	21
8.0 RECOMMENDATIONS	22
9.0 REFERENCES	23 ·
APPENDIX A Drilling Logs and HTW Boring Logs	A-1
APPENDIX B Chemical Test Data From Soil and Water Analyses	B-1
APPENDIX C Leak Test of Tanks and Piping, October 1990	C-1

# LIST OF FIGURES

.

<u>.</u>

- -. \_\_\_

----

- \*

Figure	<u>.</u>	Page
1	Location of Hunter Army Airfield	2
2	Site Photos	3
3	Location of Water Supply Wells	5
4	Geologic and Hydrologic Units	10
5	Location of Borings Drilled During the Previous Study (Phase I)	12
6	Location of Tanks, Piping, Borings, and Monitor Wells	14
7	Typical Monitor Well Construction	15

# LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>		Page
1	Information on Water Supply Wells at Hunter Army Airfield, Savannah, Georgia	6
2	Chemical Test Data for Soil Samples	19
3	Chemical Test Data for Water Samples	20

# PLATES

1.	Location Map
2.	General Site Plan
3.	Total BTEX in Soil Samples (PPM)
4.	TPH in Soil Samples (PPM)
5.	Approximate Area of Soil That is Contaminated
6.	Potentiometric Surface Map (Uppermost Aquifer)
7.	Benzene in Ground-water Samples (PPB)

# 1.0 INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Location

Hunter Army Airfield, which is located in Savannah, Georgia, is within the Coastal Plain Physiographic Province (see Figure 1). The installation covers over 5400 acres within Chatham County. The Tactical Equipment Shop Refueling Station (Building 1343) is located at Hunter Army Airfield near Tubb Street, approximately 1/3 mile southwest of Gate No. 1 on Wilson Boulavard (see Plate 1).

The facility consists of seven fuel dispensing islands, with each island having two dispensers. Mogas is pumped from two islands and diesel fuel is dispensed at the five other islands. The fuel is stored in a 6000 gallon mogas tank and a 30,000 gallon diesel fuel tank (see Figure 2 and Plate 2). The tanks are fiberglass coated steel and the piping is steel with protective coating. The system was installed without any corrosion protection.

#### 1.2 Purpose and Scope

The construction of the refueling station was completed in 1986; however, the station remained unused until 1989. Since the facility was put into operation in October of 1989, it has been plagued with problems caused by leaking fuel distribution lines. The system has failed to hold pressure on several occasions. Several pipe fittings and sections of piping have been replaced at the facility. During the repair operations, free product accumulated in the excavated holes. Attempts were made to recover as much fuel as possible before backfilling the holes and contaminated soils were also excavated and replaced with clean borrow. The piping appears to be deteriorating due to corrosion and some of the fittings are defective.

The Phase I study had found evidence of contamination and recommended the drilling of additional borings and the installation of ground-water monitoring wells. The purpose of the Phase II study was to determine the magnitude of the contamination, delineate its extent, and determine if remediation would be required at the site. This information is to be incorporated into design documents for replacement of all the fuel lines.

Six ground-water monitoring wells were installed and six auger borings were drilled. At least one soil sample from each hole was analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX). The small creek next to the site was also sampled at two locations.





3

PHOTO 2 - Refueling station, looking south

	Y ENGINEER DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEER SAVANNAH, GEORGIA	S	
	IOP REFUELING		ION
Phase II	SITE INVES SITE PHOTOS	TIGA	TION
HUNTER A. A. F.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		GEORGIA
DATE: APRIL 1801		PICUNE	2

Photos taken by W.H. Hughes, September 1990

## 1.3 Applicable Regulations

The regulations that are applicable to this study are EPA 40 CFR Part 280 and the Georgia EPD Rules for Underground Storage Tank Management Chapter 391-3-15. Georgia EPD has incorporated the EPA regulations into their own rules which outline some additional requirements.

The State of Georgia has identified action levels for soil and ground-water contamination. The action levels are dependent on the proximity of water supply wells to the site in question. Section 391-3-15-.09 states that at 'sites where public water wells owned by local, State, or Federal Governments exist within three (3) miles or privately owned drinking water wells exist within one-half (1/2) mile, the UST owner or operator will remediate soil contamination that exceeds 100 mg/kg (ppm) TPH or 20 mg/kg (ppm) total BTEX'. Ground-water contamination which is above the maximum contamination level (MCL's) for drinking water must also be remediated. Currently the State is looking mostly at the benzene level in the water and the current MCL is 5 ug/1 (ppb).

Action levels for sites that do not have supply wells within the distances stated above are less stringent. Also, if it can be demonstated that the supply wells are upgradient or are not hydraulically interconnected with the contamination, the action levels may be less stringent. The action levels for soils at these sites are 500 ppm TPH and 100 ppm BTEX. Ground-water contamination must be delineated and monitored and/or remediated as deemed necessary by the State. The application of the less stringent action levels must be approved by Georgia EPD.

Figure 3 shows the location of water supply wells at Hunter Army Airfield. Table 1 contains information on these wells. Well No. 2 is the closest public drinking water well and it is well within the three mile limit. However, it is cased to 250 feet and is 600 feet deep. Additional wells are present within the three mile limit (see Figure 3). A detailed water well survey was recently completed for the Hunter Army Airfield area during the completion of Corrective Action Plans (CAPs) at two sites that are located near the subject site. These surveys show that water supply wells within the three mile radius do not produce from the Surficial Aquifer (AT&E, 1992). Water supply wells produce from the Upper Floridan Aquifer which is separated from the Surficial Aquifer by two (2) confining units and the Upper Brunswick Aquifer. Therefore, the less stringent action levels, described above, appear to be applicable to this site.



# <u>TABLE 1</u> Information on Water Supply Wells at Hunter Army Airfield, Savannah, Georgia

# No. 1 Well: Building 711

Tank capacity		•	•	٠		•		•		100,000 gal
Pump capacity	•		•	•	.•	•	•			1300 GPM Type - Layne Turbine
Elect. Mtr									•	100 hp
Drilled										1941
Depth	•	•	•	•						550 ft
Diameter	•							•		12 in.
										250 ft
Pump setting										
Static level										
Dynamic level										

# No. 2 Well: Building 1205

Tank capacity	•	•						•			200,000 gal
Pump capacity	•	•	•		•		٠				1300 GPM Type - Layne Turbine
Elect. Mtr		•		•		•	•				100 hp
Drilled			•	•		•				•	1941
Depth											
Diameter		•		•						٠	12 in.
Cased to				•					•		250 ft
Pump setting	•		•	•			•	•			140 ft
Static level											108 ft
Dynamic level											

# No. 3 Well: Building 8455

Tank	capacity				٠				•			1,000 gal
Pump	capacity	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	30 GPM Type - 'Sta-rite 4' Submersible Pump
Drill												1951
Depth	i •							· .	•		•	360 ft
Diame												4 in.
Cased	-			•	٠	•		•				40 ft-Casing mdl 20P4E02E 1X76
Pump		•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	121 ft

# Notes:

- 1. Information was obtained from the HAAF Environmental Office during March of 1991.
- 2. See Figure 3 for well locations.

# <u>TABLE 1 (con't)</u> Information on Water Supply Wells at Hunter Army Airfield, Savannah, Georgia

No. 4 Well: Not in use, information unavailable.

No. 4A Well: Building 8581

Tank capacity									1.000 gal
Pump capacity		•			- •				80 GPM
Elect. Mtr		•							5 hp
Depth				•				•	300 ft
									4 in.
<b>A</b>									92 ft
Mtr Mfr	•	•	•				•		Holloshaft Mtr

No: 5 Well: Building 8641

Tank capacity	•		۰.	•					80 gal
Pump capacity									30 GPM TypeKenco Mdl 59A
									1955
Depth								•	380 ft
Diamèter									4 in.
Cased to									85 ft
Water Level		•	•	•	•				30 ft

No. 6 Well: Not in use, information unavailable.

No. 7 Well: Building 8703

Notes:

- Information was obtained from the HAAF Environmental Office during March of 1991.
- 2. See Figure 3 for well locations.

# TABLE 1 (Con't) Information on Water Supply Wells at Hunter Army Airfield, Savannah, Georgia

# No. 8 Well: Building 8632

Tank capacity . . . . . . . . . . 15,000 gal Pump capacity . . . . . . . . . 80 GPM Type - Layne Turbine . . . . . . . . . . . 7.5 hp Elect. Mtr Drilled Depth Diameter . . . . . . . . . . 8 in. Overflow . . . . . . . . . . . 107 ft Cased to Pump setting Static level . . . . . . . . . 80 ft Pump will produce . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 75 GPM

#### No. 9 Well: Building 8661

#### Notes:

- 1. Information was obtained from the HAAF Environmental Office during March of 1991.
- 2. See Figure 3 for well locations.

## 2.0 GENERAL GEOLOGY

#### 2.1 Geologic Units

Hunter Army Airfield is underlain by unconsolidated to semiconsolidated sands, silts, and clays which are Miocene to Holocene age (Clarke, et al., 1990). Deeper strata are mostly carbonates which are Eocene to Miocene age (see Figure 4). These sedimentary units generally strike southwest to northeast, parallel to the Fall Line, and gradually thicken towards the southeast.

Many geologic units, especially those younger than the Hawthorn Group, are present locally in this region. Units often pinch-out or grade laterally into other units of different lithology. The names of units are also different at various locations. Many studies have been conducted that involved the mapping and description of geologic units in coastal Georgia, South Carolina, and Florida. Formal stratigraphic nomenclature has been revised several times in geologic literature. Figure 4 was created using information from recent work done by Clarke, et al., 1990, and Huddlestun, 1989. It shows the units present at Hunter Army Airfield.

#### 2.2 Aquifers

The main source of ground water in the area is the Upper Floridan Aquifer which is composed mostly of limestone. Overlying secondary aquifers are the Upper Brunswick Aquifer and the Surficial Aquifer. These are composed of interlayered sand, silt, and clay with minor limestone. The three aquifers are separated by confining units which consist mostly of silty clay and phosphatic limestone and dolomite (Clark, et al., 1990). An additional aquifer, named the Lower Brunswick Aquifer, is present in Georgia, but it is absent in Chatham County.

The Surficial Aquifer is recharged by rainfall which infiltrates the overlying sandy soils. The water level in the Surficial Aquifer is effected strongly by the amount of precipitation. During the Phase II study, the water level was found at depths of 3.0 to 4.5 feet. Tidal effects also influence the water table in most of Chatham County, including the Hunter Army Airfield area (Clarke et al., 1990). Because this aquifer is unconfined, it is especially vulnerable to contamination.



# 3.0 PREVIOUS\_WORK\_(PHASE\_I)

During October of 1990, nine soil borings were drilled at the site (see Figure 5). Water samples were taken from four of the borings and soil samples from all of the holes. The soil samples for laboratory analysis were composited from selected depths from each boring. The piping and the two tanks were also tested for leaks using the Tracer Tight tank testing method. Analyses of the samples indicated contamination of ground water above the National Drinking Water Standards at Boring B-5. Contamination of the soil was detected also, but the levels were below corrective action levels. The tank and piping leak tests indicated possible leakage of mogas along the dispensing pipes. Also, diesel fuel was found at approximately 4.5' at one Tracer probe location. However, the diesel fuel did not contain any Tracer compounds. indicating that the presence of the fuel was due to prior leakage. Significant levels of TPH were found at many of the sampling locations. Tracer was not found in any of the samples near the tanks. The report indicated that the diesel tank did not contain a leak. The mogas tank contained about 1 inch of water which indicates a possible leak in the tank. A copy of the tank leak testing report by Tracer Research Corporation is included in Appendix C.



#### 4.0 INVESTIGATION METHODS

#### 4.1 Drilling Procedures

Prior to initiating work at the site, a site specific health and safety plan was prepared and approved by the District Safety Office. The plan included a work plan for the drilling of the monitor wells and borings. All work was done using Level D protection; however, Level C equipment was taken to the site.

Twelve holes were drilled during this investigation. The locations of the wells and borings can be found on Figure 6. Except for at one drilling location, the site is covered with either concrete or asphalt which is about 0.5 foot thick. A Failing 1500 drill rig and a 9-inch rock bit were used to penetrate this material at all locations prior to drilling the borings with a hand auger. The concrete and asphalt were underlain by large gravel.

During all drilling, top of hole readings were taken by a geologist using an organic vapor analyzer and a combustible gas indicator. These readings were taken about every foot and recorded on the HTW logs (Appendix A). Soils were field classified and described on site by a geologist. A 3 1/2° hand auger was used to drill the soil borings and a 5 1/2° hand auger was used for the monitoring wells. The larger diameter allowed approximately 2° of annular space around the 2° well screen for placement of filter sand. The sandy soil caved-in shortly after drilling reached the saturated zone. To keep the borehole open, 6° aluminum casing was advanced manually as the hole was deepened. The casing was then pulled in short intervals as the filter sand was placed around the well. A drawing that shows typical well construction is included as Figure 7. Well construction for each well is also shown on the drilling logs contained in Appendix A.

Well development was performed by removing a minimum of five well volumes using a 3-foot bailer. After all well construction was completed, the top of riser elevations were obtained by a District survey crew.

#### 4.2 Sampling Procedures

During drilling, soil samples were collected approximately every foot. The soil was placed in glass jars which were sealed with foil before being capped with lids. About 1/3 of the jar was left as headspace. A field headspace test was done on each sample using a Foxboro 128 Century organic vapor analyzer (OVA). The OVA was left in the 'survey mode' to obtain relative readings of total organic compounds present in the vapor. Readings were taken by removing the jar lid and





CORPS OF ENGINEERS SAVANNAH, GEORGIA
TAC SHOP REFUELING STATION (BUILDING 1343)
PHASE II SITE INVESTIGATION TYPICAL MONITOR WELL CONSTRUCTION

HUNTER A. A. F.

DATE: APRIL 1981

GEORGIA

7

FIGURE

then punching through the foil with the OVA probe. These values were recorded on the HTW logs (see Appendix A).

At least one sample from each hole was retained for lab analysis. The apparently most contaminated samples were chosen (based on OVA readings and odor). Samples for lab analysis were placed in coolers with ice. Chain-of-custody forms were completed after termination of the boring. Most of the samples were tested for both TPH (EPA method 418.1) and BTEX (EPA method 8020). All water samples were taken on March 14, 1991. The upgradient well was sampled first. The remaining wells were sampled starting with the apparently least contaminated well and ending with MW-6 which appeared to be the most contaminated. All sampling equipment was decontaminated between wells.

The sampling program included one QC and one QA sample for each of the following: TPH in soil, TPH in water, BTEX in soil, and BTEX in water. The QA samples were sent to the COE South Atlantic Division Laboratory. A rinsate sample was tested for TPH and several trip blanks were analysed for BTEX.

#### 4.3 Decontamination

Drilling and sampling equipment was decontaminated between each boring and sampling effort. The equipment was first rinsed off with ordinary tap water to remove major soil. This was followed by a wash using Liquinox soap, another rinse with tap water, a rinse with acetone, and a final rinse with distilled water. The equipment was then left to air dry.

## 5.0 DESCRIPTION OF SOILS

The soils encountered at the site were predominantly a tan to brown, fine to very fine, uniform, silty sand (SM). Poorly graded sand (SP), clayey sand (SC), and well graded sand (SW) were also found in some of the borings. The sand grains usually had a subangular shape and small gravel was found in a few of the sands. A few of the holes contained organics and a minor clay layers, especially Boring MW-4. A copy of all drilling logs is included in Appendix A. The soils were easily penetrated with a hand auger.

The water table was typically between 3.0 to 5.0 feet during drilling. Petroleum odors, when present, were strongest in soils at or just above the water level. After installation of the well screens, water levels were slightly higher in some wells. After all the wells had been developed and sampled, water levels were taken on April 23, 1991. A potentiometric map was produced (Plate 6) which indicates that the ground-water gradient is towards the south-southeast, which is also the direction of the small creek next to the site.

# 6.0 DESCRIPTION OF CONTAMINATION FOUND

#### 6.1 Soil Contamination

Many of the borings yielded soil samples which were contaminated. The majority of the high headspace readings were from samples immediately above and within the upper part of the saturated zone. Samples for laboratory analyses were taken from within that interval. Plates 3 and 4 show total TPH and BTEX in soil samples from the site. Plate 5 shows the approximate extent of soil contaminated above the State action levels for total BTEX and TPH. Table 2 lists the results of chemical testing on soil samples.

The OVA had a very fast response to odors emitted from MW-1 while the vapors from Boring A-4 resulted in a much slower and less sensitive reaction. However, both borings emitted strong odors. This sugests that the contamination in the vicinity of Boring A-4 is due to diesel fuel. The odor also smelled like 'old diesel fuel'. The contamination in MW-1 is probably mogas. It therefore appears that contamination has occurred due to release of both types of fuel at the site. The extent of the contamination east of A-4 has not been delineated (see Plate 5).

## 6.2 Ground-water Contamination

Water samples taken from wells at the site were fairly clear. As the wells were bailed, the water began to contain a lot of fine sediment. The water from wells MW-1, MW-3, and MW-6 had a very strong fuel odor. Water bailed from MW-6 also had a slight petroleum sheen on the surface. Water from MW-5 had a medium fuel odor and the sample from MW-4 had a slight fuel/organic odor. Water quality in the upgradient well appeared to be good. The water was clear and had no odor. The water level in this well was slightly higher than what was expected. No free product was encountered during this investigation.

Plate 7 shows that all the wells at the site, except for MW-2, had water samples with benzene levels greater than 5 ppb. However, since this is the present drinking water standard, less stringent action levels for ground water may be approved for this site by Georgia EPD. Data from additional water samples taken at the site can be found on Table 3.

#### 6.3 Creek

The creek, located just south of the site, was sampled at two locations which are shown on Plates 2 and 7. A soil and water sample were taken at both locations and tested for TPH. No contamination was found at the creek and both samples yielded laboratory test results below detection limits (see Tables 2 and 3).

Sample No.	l TPH mg/Kg	Benzene ug/Kg	Toluene ug/Kg	Ethyl Benzene ug/Kg	Xylenes ug/Kg	2 Total BTEX mg/Kg
MW-1-1	230	< 10000	280000	100000	590000	970
MW-1-2	54	< 5700	89000	30000	180000	299
MW-1-3	26	13000	260000	110000	590000	973
MW-1-4	68	76000	880000	360000	1700000	3016
MW-2-1	NA	< 6.5	< 6.5	< 6.5	< 6.5	NA
MW-3-1	37	< 5.7	< 5.7	< 5.7	< 5.7	NA
MW-3-2	55	2700	8500	< 250	10000	21.2
<b>MW-4</b> -1	NA	27	17	. < 5.7	13	0.057
MW-4-2	13	< 5.8	9.1	< 5.8	< 5.8	0.009
MW-5-1	12	. < 6.1	7.5	< 6.1	< 6.1	0.008
<b>A6-1(MW-6</b> )	NA	< 29	110	< 29	300	0.4
A6-1QC(MW-6)	NA	< 240	980	1300	9000	11.3
A6-2(MW-6)	2200	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
A6-2QC(MW-6)	520	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
A1-1	19	< 5.8	< 5.8	< 5.8	`< 5.8	NA
A2-1	NA	< 2300	33000	4300	< 2300	37.3
A2-2	100	< 5.7	6.2	< 5.7	< 5.7	0.006
A3-1	290	27	170	89	630	0.9
A4-1	380	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
A4-2	170	< 5.8	< 5.8	< 5.8	17	0.02
A5-1	<12	< 6.1	< 6.1	< 6.1	< 6.1	NA
A7-1	NA	< 6.0	24	< 6.0	< 6.0	0.02
S1-S	- <12	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
S2-S	<12	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

<u>TABLE 2</u> Chemical Test Data For Soil Samples Phase II Site Investigation At Building 1343 Hunter Army Airfield, Savannah, Georgia

.

1. Action level for State of Georgia is 100 mg/Kg for TPH analysis.

 Action level for State of Georgia is 20 mg/l for BTEX analysis. Values reported at < XX were not included in the summation of the 4 constituents to obtain total BTEX.

	• · · · ·				
Sample_No.	TPH mg/l_	1 Benzene ug/1_	Toluene ug/l_	Ethyl Benzene <u>ug/l</u> _	Xylenes <u>ug/l</u> _
MW1-A1	18	40000	60000	2700	23000
MW2 - A I	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.2
MW3-A1	46	3,6000	54000	3400	17000
MW4-A1	< 1.0	34	6.6	< 1.0	8.9
MW5-A1 MW5-A1 (QC)	< 1.0 < 1.0	21 13	2.0 67	< 1.0 14	< 1.0 120
MW-6-A1(A6)	22	13000	18000	730	6400
S1-W	< 1.0	NA	NA	NA	NA
S2-W	< 1.0	NA	NA	NA	NA
Rinsate	< 1.0	NA	NA	NA	NA
Trip Blank	NA	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0

<u>TABLE 3</u> Chemical Test Data For Water Samples Phase II Site Investigation At Building 1343 Hunter Army Airfield, Savannah, Georgia

1. The action level for drinking water in the State of Georgia is 5 ug/l benzene. Separate action levels for ground water have not been developed. Depending on the site, less less stringent levels may be applied. This is subject to Georgia EPD approval.

## 7.0 CONCLUSIONS

Due to several instances of leakage of fuel at the facility, both soil and ground-water contamination are present at the site. The contamination is above the Georgia action levels; however, the application of less stringent action levels at this site may be approved by the State. The Phase II Investigation found considerably more contamination than the Phase I Study. This is partially because many of the borings of Phase II were drilled closer to the potential source. However, it also appears that further leakage has occurred since October of 1990. Leakage has occurred from the piping at various locations due to corrosion and the presence of faulty fittings. The Tracer tank tightness report indicated a slight possibility that the mogas tank is leaking also.

The limits of the soil contamination do not appear to be far beyond the site boundaries and contamination has not reached the small creek area. The eastern extent of the soil contamination has not been fully defined. Ground-water contamination appears to be more wide-spread, with all monitoring wells, except the upgradient well, providing contaminated samples.

The less stringent action levels that are outlined in the Georgia UST Rules, Section 391-3-15-.09, Paragragh 2(b) may be approved for this site by Georgia EPD. These action levels are 100 ppm BTEX and 500 ppm TPH in soils. Ground-water contamination will need to be delineated further. Monitoring and/or remediation of the ground water will be required as deemed necessary by the State.

## 8.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the review of the information pertaining to prior pipeline leakage provided by DEH personnel at Hunter Army Airfield, the Phase I Investigation Report, and the findings of the Phase II Investigation, the Savannah District recommendations are as follows:

1. A copy of this report should be submitted to Georgia EPD. Based on the results of this investigation, the location of the site, the lack of ground water use from the Surficial Aquifer, and the depth of water supply wells near the site. there appears to be a good justification for the application of the less stringent corrective action levels that are stated in Paragraph 2(b), Section 391-3-15-.09 of the Geougia UST Rules. Without the approval of these less stringent levels, the standard action levels for Georgia are applicable at the site, due to the proximaty of water supply wells.

2. Interim remediation can be initiated during the excavation and replacement of the fuel lines. However, the execution of any work at the site must be in compliance with all applicable Federal. State, and local requirments. The delineation of the area that contains contaminated soil, included in this report, can be utilized to expand the excavation areas during piping excavation and replacement.

3. Additional borings east of Boring A-4 will be needed to fully define the limit of the soil contamination. Once corrective action levels have been completely defined for this site, additional monitoring wells can be located, as needed. A Corrective Action Plan (CAP) may be required by Georgia EPD. However, the Phase II report contains much of the information that is normally contained in a CAP.

4. To ensure that the tanks are sound, they should be tested using a method that is not affected by the high water table that is present at the site.

5. After the interim remediation has been completed, additional soil and water samples should be tested to determine the extent of contamination present at that point, if any. Depending on the results, a "monitor only" status may be approved by Georgia EPD.

# 9.0 REFERENCES

- Atlanta Testing and Engineering, Inc., 1992, Corrective Action Plan Hunter Army Airfield, Building 133 Area.
- Clarke, J.S., Hacke, C.M., and Peck, M.F., 1990, Geology and Groundwater Resources of the Coastal Area of Georgia, Georgia Geological Survey, Bulletin 113.
- Environmental Protection Agency, 1990, 40 CFR PART 280, Technical Standards and Corrective Action Requirements for Owners and Operators of Underground Storage Tanks (UST).
- Georgia Department of Natural Resources Environmental Protection Division, 1989, Rules for Underground Storage Tank Management, Chapter 391-3-15.
- Huddlestun, P.F., 1989, A Revision of the Lithostratigraphic Units of the Coastal Plain of Georgia, the Miocene through Holocene, Georgia Geological Survey, Bulletin 104.

RMT, Inc., 1990, Report on Phase I Site Investigation.

Tracer Research Corporation, 1990, Tracer Tight Leak Test of Two Underground Storage Tanks at Hunter Army Airfield, Georgia.





(

N .	
25	
1	•
<b>.</b>	[
* :	
1	· · · .
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	-
•	
T.P. 24.00	
1.1.	
RATT	TALION
STO	RAGE
/3	
_24	
rushed aggregate surface	
agregate Sur	
rusheu	
_20	
	16
///	16
52	~~~
U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT, S	SAVANNAH
CORPS OF ENGINEERS	
SAVANNAH, GEORGIA	ING STATION
(BUILDING 1343)	
PHASE II SITE INVEST	
GENERAL SITE INVEST	N
VIENCERNAL SIII EIM	
VENERAL GUL FLA	1
ER A.A.F.	GEORGIA









\_\_\_\_\_



# APPENDIX A

# DRILLING LOGS AND HTW BORING LOGS

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS USED ON HTW BORING LOGS

ODOR .	MOISTURE CONTENT
N - NONE	V.D VERY DAMP
T - TRACE	SAT - SATURATED
SL - SLIGHT	
M = MEDIUM	
ST - STRONG	······ ····· ···· ···· ···· ···· ···· ····
VS = VERY STRONG	

# COLOR

BK =	BLACK
------	-------

-

- BRN BROWN
- GRA GRAY
- ORG = ORANGE
- YLW = YELLOW
- DK DARK
  - LT = LIGHT

# MATERIAL

- GVL GRAVEL
- ASP ASPHALT
- CON CONCRETE


PROJE	CT:	TACTIC	AL EQUIP II SITE IN	WENT	SHOP	REFUE	LING	STATIO	N. BUILDING 13	43	BORING	NO	. м	<b>W-</b> 1
LOCAT	10N:	HUNTEI	RARMY	AIRFIEL	D, SAV	ANNAH	I, GEO	RGIA		E	LEVAI	ION:	23.5	34°
WATER	LE	VEL DUF	RING DR	ILLING	: 4	.0	2	24-HOU	JR WATER L	EVEL F	READIN	G:	3.2	
WEATH	HER:	CLOUDY	, 65•			-			DRILLER	HORACE	FULCH	IER		<u> </u>
REMAR	KS:	0.0 - 1. BY ASP	0 WAS C HALT AN	ONCRE	TE UN	IDERLA	IN		GEOLOGIST	: TONI	F. NICH	OLSO	N	
NO.		• DE			FOOT	CONTENT	NOI		OVA (ppm)	APLES Hi (pp	NU m)	AT	TOP	OF HOL:
SAMPLE	ODOR	FROM	T.)	DATE (1991)	BLOWS PER	MOISTURE C	CLASSIFICATION	COLOR	FIELD HEADSPACE ANALYSIS		PACE	LEL	02	OVA HNU (ppm)
	N	1.0	1.5	3/8	-	_≥ DAMP	ANAL	rsis	7.	<u>/.</u>				
<b></b>	SL	1.5	2.0	3/8	-	DAMP	700	<u> </u>		0	20.8 20.8			
	SL	2.0	2.5	3/8	-	DAMP	SM SM	TAN	1000+			0	20.8	80 70
	M	2.5	3.0	3/8	-	DAMP	SM	TAN				0	20.8	90
MW1-T	ST	3.0	3.5	3/8	-	V.D.	SM	TAN	1000+			0	20.8	90
M₩1-2	ST	3.5	4.0	3/8	-	WET	SM	TAN	1000 +			0	20.8	300
	ST	4.0	4.5	3/8	-	SAT.	SM	TAN				0	20.8	
M₩1-3	ST	4.5	5.0	3/8	-	SAT.	SM	TAN	1000 +			0	20.8	10 <b>00+</b>
<u> </u>	ST	5.0	5. <b>5</b>	3/8	-	SAT.	SM	TAN				0	20.8	-
M₩1-4	ST	5.5	6.0	3/8		SAT.	SM	TAN	1000 +			0	20.8	1000+
	ST	6.0	5. <b>5</b>	3/8	-	SAT.	SM	TAN				0	20.8	
					_									
<u> </u>										<u></u>				
	-+													
		·····							<u> </u>	••••••••				<u> </u>
										<u> </u>				
	<u> </u> †						-				<u>+</u>		•	<u> </u>
										· <u> </u>				
														<u> </u>
														<u> </u>
													<u> </u>	
								+						
									*					

CESAS-EN-GGH (MARCH 1991)

(

-

ĺ

	·		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					iole No. MW-2	2
DRILL	ING LO	G DN	SOUTH ATLANTIC	INSTALLA				SHEET 1	٦
I. PROJECT			P REFUELING STATION		R ARM			OF 1 SHEETS	4
2. LOCATIO	PH/	ASE II :	SITE INVESTIGATION	·			51/2" AUGER		┥
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		BUILDING 1343, SEE PLAN	12. MANU	FACTURER	s designa	TION OF DRILL	MSL	-
3. DRILLING		-	SAVANNAH DISTRICT		L NO. OF		HA Disturbed	ND AUGER	┥
4. HOLE NO and The n	tuniner)	an drawing	MW-2	<b></b>	en sample L'humber		<u>1</u> (ES ()	0	
5. NAME OF			HORACE FULCHER	<b></b>	ATION GRO		<u> </u>	_OW	
6. DIRECTIO	n of holi Tical, 🗔 in		DEG. FROM VERT.	16. DATE	HOLE	STA	3-12-91	MPLETED 3-12-91	
7. THICKNES	SS OF OVE	ROURCEN	8.0'		ATION TOP		25.38'	•	<u>,</u>
8. DEPTH D	RELED INT	o rock	0.0'	<b></b>	TURE OF I			A	4
9. TOTAL D	EPTH OF I	HOLE	8.0'			TONI	NICHOLSON, GE	OLOGIST	
ELEVATION	DEPTH	LEČEND	CLASSIFICATION OF MATERIAL	.S	WELL CONST- RUCTION	BOX OR SAMPLE NO,	REM. (Driking time, wa weathering, etc.	NRK'S Ner 1088, augth af , If signif (cant)	
			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•		······································		┫
			8" Manhole cover				DEPTH TO WA	TED	
25.38'	0		Asphait.				DURING DRILL		
24.98'		00	Graveland sand.						
		0.0	1		14 IA		WATER LEVEL		
		0.0.0	1				READING 24		
77 701							AFTER HOLE COMPLETED: 2	7.	
23.38'			SM		: []·			• * •	
		┇┇╏┇	Silty SAND, fine, very unifo	rm,					
22.38'			light gray. SC Clayey SAND, orange	tan			NOTE: SEE HT		
21.88'		<u>H////</u>	<u>finé, very damp.</u>				FOR MORE INF	URMATION.	
		+ 1 + 1	SM Silty SAND, orange tan, fin	-	Ξ				
	·		very uniform.	σ,			TOP OF WELL		ł
					<u>-</u> -	MW2-1	IS AT 2.0'. TO FILTER SAND		
		$\left  \right  $			. =		TOP OF BENT	DNITE IS AT	
			Gray.		: <u>-</u> : '	:	0.5'. SCREEN		
2	6	1111	Grdy.				TRILOC, Ø.Ø10	SEUT SIZE.	
	4								
					V,				
	ㅋ	<b>⊺</b> <u></u>							ļ
17.38'	8-	<u>T I I I I I</u>	BOTTOM OF HOLE AT 8.0'						_
	4								
			,						
	-		NOTE: SOILS VIS FIELD CLASSIFI						
			ACCORDANCE WI	TH THE					
	10		UNIFIED SOIL O SYSTEM.	LASSIF	ICATION				
	ゴ		9131EM.						
	7								
1	, <del>1</del>								
1	12 -								l
	-								
		-							ł
	-		A-4						Ī
		-	A-4						

-

ĺ

PROJE	CT:	TACTIC	al Equip II site in	MENT S VESTIG	SHOP	REFUE	LING S	STATIO	n, Building 134			MV	₹-2
LOCAT	ON:	HUNTER	ARMY A	VRFIELD	), SAV	ANNAH,	GEOR	IGIA		ELEVAT		25.	.38'
WATER	LEV	EL DUR	ING DRI	LLING	4.	5'	2	4-HOL	JR WATER LE	EVEL READING	G:	2.7'	
WEATH	ER:	CLEAR, 6	8*						DRILLER	HORACE FULCH	IER		
REMARI	(S:	0.0 - 2 By GRA	.0 ASPH/ VEL AND	NLT UN SAND	DERLA				GEOLOGIST	TONIF. NICH	OLSON	4	
					F	5			the second s	PLES			
Ŏ.			₽TH		FOOT	CONTENT	NO		OVA (ppm)	HNU (ppm)	AT	TOP	OF HOLE
لجبا	<b>~</b>	(F	T.)	8	PER		ΝÌ					GI	OVA /
SAMPLE NO.	odor			(1881)		<u> </u>			FIELD	FIELD	LEL	02	HNU
SA	°				MC	STL	<b>CLASSIFICATION</b>	- SR	HEADSPACE	HEADSPACE		<b>4</b>	(ppm)
		FROM	TO	DATE	BLOWS	MOISTURE	C	CoL	ANALYSIS	ANALYSIS	1.	1.	F F
	N	2.0	2.5	3/12	-	DAMP	SM	LT. CRA	0		0	20.8	0
	N	2.5	3.0	3/12	·	V.D.	SM	LT. GRA					
	N	3.0	3.5	3/12	-	V.D.	SC	ORG			Ì		
	N	3.5	<b>4</b> .Q	3/12	-	V.D.	SM	ORG TAN	0		0	2 <b>0.8</b>	0
	N	4.0	4.5	3/12	-	WET	SM	ORG TAN					
MW2-1	N	4.5	5.0	3/12	-	SAT.	SM	ORG TAN					
	N	5.0	5.5	3/12	-	SAT.	SM	ORG TAN	0		0	2 <b>0.8</b>	0
	N	5.5	6.0	3/12	-	SAT.	SM	GRA					<u></u>
	N	6.0	6.5	3/12	-	SAT.	SM	GRA					
	N	6.5	7.0	3/12	-	SAT.	SM	GRA					
	N	7.0	7.5	3/12	-	SAT.	SM	GRA	0		0	20.8	0
	<u>N</u>	7.5	8.0	3/12	-	SAT.	SM	GRA	; 				
													<u></u>
								L					
				<b> </b>					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	! 		<b>├  </b>	<u></u>
										· ·			
					-	<u>-</u>						┟───┤	
						<b>]</b>						┝	
	+					<b> </b>							
									·				
											<u> </u>		
													<b></b>
												┝╌╼╾┥	<u> </u>
	+										<u> </u>		
		_											

CESAS-EN-GGH (MARCH 1991)

· · · ·

-

ĺ

Ę



PROJE	CT:	TACTIC. PHASE	al Equip 11 site in	WENT :	SHOP ATION	REFUEL	ling s	TATIO	n, Building 134			M	N-3			
LOCATI	ON=	HUNTER	t ARMY /	WRFIELL	D, SAV	ANNAH,	GEOR	GIA		ELEVA		23.	.98'			
NATER	LE	EL DUR	ING DRI	LLING	= 4.	5'	2.	4-HOL	IR WATER LE	EVEL READIN	IG:	4.0'				
WEATH	ER:	CLEAR, 6	i <b>0</b> *			•			DRILLER	HORACE FULC	HER					
REMARK	<s:< td=""><td>0.0 - 2.0 By grav</td><td>) aspha /el and</td><td>LT UND</td><td>ERLAN</td><td>N</td><td></td><td></td><td>GEOLOGIST</td><td>TONIF. NICH</td><td>HOLSON</td><td>4.</td><td></td></s:<>	0.0 - 2.0 By grav	) aspha /el and	LT UND	ERLAN	N			GEOLOGIST	TONIF. NICH	HOLSON	4.				
i						5			SAN	IPLES						
ND.			PTH		FOOT	CONTENT	NOI		OVA (ppm)	HNU (ppm)	AT	TOP	OF HOL			
ш	ι κ	(F	T.)	61	PER	4	AT					GI	<u>0VA</u>			
SAMPLE NO.	ODOR			E (1891)	BLOWS P	MOISTURE	<b>CLASSIFICATION</b>	COLOR	FIELD HEADSPACE	FIELD HEADSPACE	LEL	°2	HNU (ppm)			
		FROM	TO	DATE	ВГО	ÖN	CLA	5 CO	ANALYSIS	ANALYSIS	1%	1.				
	SL.	2.0	2.5		0	20.8	9									
	10	2.5														
	N  2.5  3.0  3/11  -  DAMP  SM  BRN    ST  3.0  3.5  3/11  -  V.D.  SM  BRN  1000+											20.8	500			
	\$T	3.5	4.0	3/11	-	V.D.	SP	TAN			T					
	ST	4.0	4.5	3/11	-	WET	SP	TAN	1000+		1	20.8	600			
1-E##	ST	<del>4</del> .5	5.0	3/17	-	SAT.	SP	TAN								
M#3-2	ST	5.0	5.5	3/11	-	SAT.	SP	TAN	1000+		1	20.8	1000 -			
	ST	5.5	6.0	3/11	-	SAT.	SP	TAN								
	ST	6.0	6.5	3/11	-	SAT.	SP	TAN								
	ST	6.5	7.0	3/11	-	SAT.	SP	TAN								
	NØ -	7.0	7.5	3/11		SAT.	SP	TAN								
	M	7.5	8.0	3/17	-	SAT.	SP	TAN			<u> </u>					
							_				<u> </u>					
·											<b> </b>					
											<u> </u>					
											<u> </u>					
							-				<u> </u>	<b>  </b>				
				-						 						
							<u> </u>									
	<u></u>															
	-+								┼┈──┤							
										·····		<u>  </u>				
												•				

-

-

ĺ



PROJE	ст:	TACTIC PHASE	AL EQUIP II SITE IN	WENT S	SHOP	REFUEL	ING :	STATIO	N, BUILDING 134	13 B	IORING	NO.	M	N-4
LOCAT	ION:	HUNTER	R ARMY	ARFIEL	I, SAV	ANNAH,	. GEOF	RGIA		E	LEVAI	'ION:	22	2.80'
WATER	LE\	/EL DUF	ring dr	illing:	3.	8.	2	4-HOL	UR WATER LE	EVEL R	EADIN	G:	3.3'	
WEATH	ER:	CLEAR,	65*			-			DRILLER	HORACE	FULCH	ER		
REMARI	(S:								GEOLOGIST	: TON	F. NICH	olsoi	1	
	1				I s	5			SAN	IPLES				
NO.			PTH		F001	CONTENT	NO		OVA (ppm)	HN (pp)		AT	TOP	OF HOLE
ц	æ	(F	т.)	<b>E</b>	PER		AT						:Gl	OVA /
SAMPLE	ODOR			(1661) J	BLOWS P	MOISTURE	CLASSIFICATION	COLOR	FIELD HEADSPACE	FIEL		LEL	0 <sub>2</sub>	HNU (ppm)
		FROM	то	DATE	ц С	Į į	۲.	ğ	ANALYSIS	ANALY	212	1.	1.	, (ppine
	N	0.0	0.7	3/12		DRY	GRV	LT. GRA	3			0	20.8	8
	N	0.7	1.0	3/12		DAMP	SM	TAN	<u>_</u>			Ť	20.0	
	SL	1.0	1.5	3/12	-	DAMP	SM	TAN BRN	10			0	20.8	15
	SL	1,5	2.0	3/12	-	DAMP	SM	TAN				Ť		
MW4-1	SL	2.0	2.5	3/12	-	DAMP	SM	TAN BRN				0	20.8	
	SL	2.5	3.0	3/12	-	V.D.	SM	GRA	12					9
	SL	3.0	3.5	3/12	-	WET	SM	GRA						
MW4-2	SL	3.5	4.0	3/12	-	SAT.	SM	GRA				0	20.8	
	N	4.0	4.5	3/12	-	SAT.	SM	BRN	8					6
	N	4.5	5.0	3/12	-	SAT.	SM	BRN				0	20.8	
	N	5.0	5.5	3/12	-	SAT.	SC	DK. BRN						
	N	5.5	6.0	3/12	-	SAT.	SC	DK. BRN				0	20.8	2
	N	6.0	6,5	3/12	-	SAT.	SC	DK. BRN						
	<u>N</u>	6.5	7.0	3/12	-	SAT.	SM	LT. GRA						
	N	7.0	7.5	3/12	-	SAT.	SM	LT. GRA				0	20.8	2
		_												
										·				
				·					5	. ·				
	<del> </del>													
					<u></u>			l.						



• .

PROJEC	CT:		L EQUIPIN			REFUEL	ing s	TATION	I, BUILDING 134			MW	/-5
LOCATI	ON:	HUNTER	ARMY A	RFIELD	. SAV	ANNAH,	GEOR	GIA		ELEVAT		24	.05'
WATER	LEV	EL DUR	ING DRI	LLING	5.	5'	24	HOU	R WATER LE		; 	4.2'	
WEATH	ER:	CLEAR, 6	5*			-			DRILLER	HORACE FULCH	ER		
REMARK	(S:	0.0 - 1.5 BY GRAM	5 ASPHAL VEL AND		ERLAI	N			GEOLOGIST	TONI F. NICH	OLSON		
									SAM	PLES			Į
Ģ.		-DEF	PTH		FOOT	CONTENT	NO		OVA (ppm)	HNU (ppm)			OF HOLE
- щ	~	(F	T.)	2	PER		AT					GI	<u> 0VA</u> /
SAMPLE NO.	ODOR			(1991)	BLOWS PI	MOISTURE	CL ASSIFICATION	COLOR	FIELD HEADSPACE	FIELD HEADSPACE	LEL	°2	HNU (ppm)
0				DATE	2	8	A	5	ANALYSIS	ANALYSIS	1%	7.	
	SL	FROM 1.5	TO 2.0	□ 3/12		∑ DAMP	SM	BRN	20		0	7. 20.8	8
	3	2.0	2.5	3/12		DAMP	SM	DK. BRN	30			20.0	
	SL			<b>├───</b> ┼		<u>                                       </u>		LT.			0	20.8	12
	8	3.0	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										
	SL.	3.5	4.0	3/12	-	DAMP	SP		50		0	20.8	8
	т												
	T	4.5	5.0	3/12	-	V.D.	SM	DK. BRN			0	20.8	8
	T	5.0	5.5	3/12	-	WET	SM	DK. BRN	12				
M₩5-1	Т	5.5	6.0	3/12	-	SAT.	SM	DK. TAN			0	20.8	2
	Т	6.0	6.5	3/12	-	SAT.	SM	DK. TAN					
<u> </u>	Т	6.5	7.0	3/12	-	SAT.	SM	DK. TAN			0	20.8	2
 	T	7.0	7.5	3/12	-	SAT.	SM	DK. TAN DK.			<b> </b>		
ļ	T	7.5	8.0	3/12		SAT.	SM	TAN DK.			0	20.8	2
	Т	8.0	8.5	3/12	-	SAT.	SM	TAN_					
												ļ	
•	ļ					<b> </b>	· <b></b> ·		· · ·		<b> </b>		
ļ						<b> </b>		ļ			<u> </u>		
L				<b> </b>		<b> </b>		<b> </b>					
i 						ļ					<b> </b>		
						<b> </b>		ļ			<u> </u>		
	ļ					┟╌╌╾┥				 			
ļ	<u> </u>							ļ		 			
		water a second second						<b> </b>			+		
! 			L					ļ	L	L	<u> </u>		

(



PROJE	CT:	TACTICA PHASE I	l Equipi I site ini	IENT S	HOP I	REFUEL	ING S	TATION	I, BUILDING 134	3 BORING		MW (A	
LOCATI	ON:	HUNTER	ARMY A	RFIELD	, SAV	ANNAH,	GEOR	GIA:		ELEVAI		23.52	
WATER	LEV	EL DUR	NG DRI	LING	5.(	) <b>'</b>	24	HOU	R WATER LE	VEL READING	3:	3.3'	
WEATH	ER:	CLEAR, 6	5*			•			DRILLER: I	HORACE FULCH	ER		<u> </u>
REMAR	<s:< td=""><td>0.0 - 2.0 By Asph</td><td>D' WAS (</td><td>GRAV</td><td>te un El</td><td>IDERLA</td><td>И</td><td></td><td>GEOLOGIST</td><td>TONI F. NICH</td><td>OLSON</td><td>l </td><td></td></s:<>	0.0 - 2.0 By Asph	D' WAS (	GRAV	te un El	IDERLA	И		GEOLOGIST	TONI F. NICH	OLSON	l 	
						F			SAM	PLES	}		
<u>o</u>		. Def	Ϋ́́́Н		FOOT	CONTENT	No		OVA (ppm)	HNU (ppm)	AT '		of Hole
کے اندا		(F	т.)	2	PER		ATI				<u> </u>	GI	<u> AVO</u>
7	ODOR			(1991)		E E	FIC		FIELD	FIELD	LEL	0 <sub>2</sub>	HNU
SAMPLE NO.	ō				MS	STU STU	SSI	R	HEADSPACE	HEADSPACE		2	(ppm)
		FROM	то	DATE	BLOWS	MOISTURE	<b>CLASSIFICATION</b>	COLOR	ANALYSIS	ANALYSIS	1%	17.	
<u> </u>	SL	2.0	2.5	3/11	-	DAMP	SM	TAN	1000+		0	20.8	70
	M	2.5	3.0	3/11	-	DAMP	SM	YLW					
	M	3.0  3.5  3/11  -  DAMP  SM  TAN    3.0  3.5  3/11  -  DAMP  SM  TAN									0	20.8	300
	ST	3.5	4.0	3/11	-	DAMP	SM	YLW TAN					
	VS	4.0	4.5	3/11	-	V.D.	SM	TAN	1000 +		0	20.8	1000
A-6-1	VS	4.5	5.0	3/11	-	WET	SM	TAN			<u> </u>		
A-6-2	VS	5.0	5.5	3/11	-	SAT.	SM	TAN	1000 +		0	20.8	1000
	vs	5. <b>5</b>	6.0	3/12	-	SAT.	SM	TAN			<u> </u>		
	vs	6.0	6. <b>5</b>	3/12	-	SAT.	SM	TAN			<u> </u>		
	vs	6. <b>5</b>	7.0	3/12	-	SAT.	SM	TAN		<u> </u>	0	20.8	1000
	VS	7.0	7.5	3/12	-	SAT.	SM	TAN			<u> </u>		
	VS	7.5	8.0	3/12	-	SAT.	SM	TAN			0	20.8	1000
								ļ	} {		<b> </b>		
								<b> </b>		[ 	ļ	<u> </u>	
	<u> </u>		 					ļ			<b> </b>		·
										 	╂		<u> </u>
								<b> </b>		<u> </u>	+	+	
				<b>  </b>				<b> </b>	·			· <b> </b>	
			· · · · ·	<b> </b>							+	+	
		<u> </u>				1		<u> </u>				+	<u> </u>
				$\left  \right $						1	+		
	+			<u>├</u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		+		
	+	<u> </u>				<u> </u>		<u> </u>			<u></u>	╉╍╍╍	
<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<b> </b>				-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		+	
	+	-	I <u>.</u>					<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>	+	┨┈╼╼	
	<u> </u>	L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u></u>	<u> </u>	1		l	l

---

-



PROJE	CT:	TACTIC PHASE	AL EQUIP II SITE IN	MENT IVESTIC	SHOP SATION	REFUE	LING	STATIO	N, BUILDING 134	13	BORING	NO.	A	-1
LOCAT	ION:	HUNTER	R ARMY	ARFIEL	D, SAV	ANNAH	, GEOF	IGIA			ELEVAT		- 4	4.0' TOPO)
WATER	LE	VEL DUF	ring dri	ILLING	: 5.	0	2	4-HOL	JR WATER LE	EVEL	READIN	G:	N/A	
WEATH	ER۰	CLOUDY	. 65*			-			DRILLER	HORAC	E FULCH	IER		
REMARI	<s:< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>GEOLOGIST</td><td>: TON</td><td>IF. NICH</td><td>OLSO</td><td>4</td><td></td></s:<>								GEOLOGIST	: TON	IF. NICH	OLSO	4	
	1			1		-			SAM	IPLES				
ÖZ.		-DE	PTH		FOOT	CONTENT	N		OVA (ppm)		HNU opm)	AT	TOP	OF HOLE
		(F	T.)	Ξ	PER		I F		1			C	GI	OVA /
SAMPLE	ODOR			(1991)	BLOWS PI	MOISTURE	CLASSIFICATION	ĸ	FIELD HEADSPACE	Į.		LEL	02	HNU
			1	DATE	ō	Se	¥	COLOR				.,	.,	(ppm)
		FROM	TO		ā	Ž		Ō	ANALYSIS	ANA		7.	7.	
	N	0.0	0.5	3/8	-		ASP	<u> </u>						
	N	0.5	1.0	3/8	-		GVL					0	20.8	0
	N	1.0	1.5	3/8	-	DAMP	GVL SAND	TAN						
	N	1.5	2.0	3/8	-	DAMP	SW	YLW TAN				0	20.8	0
	N	2.0	2.5	3/8	-	DAMP	SW	YLW TAN						
	N⊧	2. <b>5</b>	3.0	3/8	-	DAMP	SW	YLW TAN				0	2 <b>0.8</b>	0
	N	3.0	3.5	378	-	DAMP	SM	BRN	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	SL	3.5	4.0	3/8	-	DAMP	SM	BRN				0	2 <b>0.8</b>	3
	SL	<b>4.Q</b>	4.5	3/8		DAMP	SM	BRN				0	2 <b>0.8</b>	7
	M	4.5	5.0	3/8	-	WET	SM	BRN	1000+			0	2 <b>0.8</b>	350
A-1-1	M	5.0	5.5	3/8	-	SAT.	SM	BRN	1000 +			0	20.8	150
	·			<b>-</b>										
				╞╾╼╾┥										
				<b> </b>										
										<u></u>				
							-							
	<u> </u>													
								-						

.



PROJE	CT:	TACTIC PHASE	AL EQUIP II SITE IN	MENT VESTK	SHOP SATION	REFUE	LING	БТАТЮ	N, BUILDING 134	BORING	NO.	- A·	-2			
LOCAT	10 <b>N</b> =	HUNTER	R'ARMY	ARFIEL	D, SAV	ANNAH	, GEOF	RGIA		ELEVAT	FION:		23.5' M TOPO)			
WATER	LE	VEL DUF	ring Dri	LLING	: 5.	0'	2	4-HOI	UR WATER LI	EVEL READIN	G:	N/A				
WEATH	IER:	CLEAR, (	65 <b>*</b>			-			DRILLER:	HORACE FULCH	ÆR					
REMAR	KS:	0.0 - 1 BY ASI	1.5' CONCE	RETE U	INDERL	AIN			GEOLOGIST	TONI F. NICH	olsoi	N				
SAMPLE NO.	ODOR	1	РТН 'Т.)   ТО	DATE (1991)	BLOWS PER FOOT	MOISTURE CONTENT	<b>CLASSIFICATION</b>	COLOR .	OVA (ppm) FIELD	IPLES HNU (ppm) FIELD HEADSPACE ANALYSIS		CGI	OF HOLE OVA / HNU (ppm)			
	M	1.5	2.0	3/11	-	DAMP	SM	BRN	300		0	20.8	15			
	M	2.0	2.0 2.5 3/11 - DAMP SM GRA													
	ST	2.5	2.5 3.0 3/11 - V.D. SM BRN 1000+ 0 20.8 4													
	M	2.5  3.0  3/11  -  V.D.  SM  BRN  1000+  O  20.8  4    3.0  3.5  3/11  -  V.D.  SM  BRN  0  20.8  4														
A-2-1	ST	<b>3.5</b>	4.0	3/11	-	V.D.	SM	BRN	1000+		0	20.8	70			
	ST	4.0	4.5	3/11	-	V.D.	SM	BRN								
	M	4.5	5.0	3/11	-	V.D.	SM	BRN	800		0	20.8	20			
	M+	5.0	5.5	3/11		WET	SM	BRN								
A-2-2	<b>M</b>	5.5	6.0	3/11	-	SAT.	SM	BRN	500		0	20.8	40			
·									·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
						·										
				· · · ·												
					· · ·				•							
												┝──┥				
						†						┝──┤				
								_								
			······													

Ţ

(

٠



PROJE	CT:		AL EQUIP II SITE IN				LING S	STATION	N, BUILDING 134	3 BORING	NO.	A-	-3
LOCAT	ion≠	HUNTER	e army /	WRFIEL	D, SAV	annah,	, GEOR	GIA		ELEVAT		<u> </u>	4.0'. TOPO)
WATER	LE\	EL DUR	RING DRI	LLING	: N/	'A	2	4-HOL	IR WATER LE	EVEL READIN	G:	N/A	
WEATH	ER:	CLEAR, O	65*	<u>-</u>		-			DRILLER:	HORACE FULCH	ier		
REMAR	KS: 1	0.0 - 2.0 AND SAN	D' WAS A	SPHAL	T UNDI	ERLAN 4.5	BY G	RAVEL	GEOLOGIST	TONI F. NICH	0LS01	1	
ON N		•	PTH		FOOT	CONTENT	NO		OVA (ppm)	PLES HNU (ppm)	AT	TOP	OF HOLE
SAMPLE	ODOR	(F	T.)	DATE (1991)	BLOWS PER	MOISTURE C	<b>CLASSIFICATION</b>	COLOR	FIELD HEADSPACE ANALYSIS	FIELD HEADSPACE ANALYSIS	LEL 7.	GI 0 <sub>2</sub> 7	<u>OVA</u> / HNU (p <b>pm)</b>
	SL.	2.0	TO 2.5	3/11	-	⊇ DAMP	SM	DARK	100		0	7. 20.8	200
	ST	2.5	3.0	3/11	-	DAMP	SM	DARK TAN					100
	ST	3.0	3.5	3/11	-	DAMP	SM	DARK TAN	1000+		0	20.8	100
	ST	3.5	4.0	3/11	-	DAMP	SM	DARK TAN					150
A-3-1	ST	4.0	4.5	3/11	-	WET	SM	DARK TAN	1000+		0	20.8	2 <b>00</b>
													\$84 <u>8</u> 1,



PROJE	CT:		AL EQUIP II SITE IN				LING S	STATIO	N, BUILDING 134	Ċ	BORING	NO.	A-	•4	
LOCAT	ion:	HUNTER	E ARMY	AIRFIEL	D, SAV	ANNAH	, geor	<b>IGIA</b>			ELEVAT		<u> </u>	1.0' TOPO)	
WATER	LE\	/EL DUR	ING DRI	ILLING	: 4.	5	2	4-HOL	JR WATER LE	EVEL	READING	3:	N/A		
WEATH	ER:	CLOUDY,	, 65*			-			DRILLER	HORAC	CE FULCH	ER		•	
REMARI	KS:	DIESEL (	DDOR. AU	GER RE	EFUSA	AT 4	-5'		GEOLOGIST	: TO	W F. NICH	OLSON	1		
NO.			?ТН Т.)		R FOOT	CONTENT	TION		OVA (ppm)		HNU Npm)		TOP	OF HOLE	
SAMPLE	ODOR	FROM	ТО	DATE (1991)	BLOWS PER	MOISTURE	<b>CLASSIFICATION</b>	COLOR	FIELD HEADSPACE ANALYSIS	HEAD	ELD DSPACE	LEL	1	<u>0VA</u> / HNU (ppm)	
	SL	1.7	2.0	3/8	-	DAMP	SM	BRN	30			0	20.8	0	
	SL	2.0	2.5	3/8	-	DAMP		BRN							
	M	2.5	3.0	3/8	-	DAMP	SM	BRN	120			0	20.8	15	
	M	3.0													
A-4-1	ST	3.5	4.0	3/8	-	DAMP	SM	BRN	1000 +			0	20.8	10	
A-4-2	ST	4.0	4.5	3/8	-	V.D.	SM	BRN	35			0	20.8	9	
				-		:									
				,											
								1			_				
	┝─┤			<u> </u>											
	┝──┥														
·	┝──┤									•					
												· · · · ·			
									<u></u>			<u> </u>			
	Ì														
				-											

CESAS-EN-GGH (MARCH 1991)

(

									Hole No. A-5	-
_					INSTALLAT	ON			SHEET 1 OF 1 SHEETS	1
_	DRILLIN	• - • •	G	SOUTH ATLANTIC	HUNTER 10. SIZE A			10 31/2" AUGEF		1
1,	PROJECT	TAC PH/	; shop Ase II s	REFUELING STATION ITE INVESTIGATION				OWN (TBM or MSL)		1
2.	LOCATION	_	- or Station					ON OF DRILL	MSL	-
Ļ	DRILLING A	GENEY			[				HAND AUGER	4
				AVANNAH DISTRICT	13. TOTAL BURDEI	NO. OF O	VER- S TAKEN	DISTURBED	UNDISTURBED	
	HOLE NO. and file nut	(1997) 		Me A-5			CORE BOXE			4
5.	NAME OF	DRILLER		HORACE FULCHER	15. ELEVA	TION GROU	ND WATER		COMPLETED	-
6.	DIRECTION		e Holined	DEG. FROM VERT.	16. DATE			<u>3-11-91</u>	3-11-91	-
Ļ	THICKNESS			5.5'		TION TOP		24.0' (FRO		<i>x</i>
	DEPTH DR			0.0'			SPECTOR			
_	TOTAL DE			5.5'			TONI N BOX OR	ICHOLSON,	REMARKS	
Γ		DEPTH	LECED	CLASSIFICATION OF MATERIA	LS	WELL CONST- RUCTION	SAMPLE NO.	(Delition fim	e, water loss, depth of 1, etc., if significant)	1
	•	<u> </u>	_ <u> </u>	4					1	+
		-	4							E
	24.0'	ø	<u> </u>			ł				F
	23.5'		<u> </u>	Asphalt.		4				E
	23.0	-	0 0	Gravel.		4		DEPTH TO	RILLING: 4.5'.	F
	23.0	-		Graveland silty sand (SM	/.	}				E
	22.0'	2-				4				F
		2-		SM Silty SAND, dark tan damp.	, fine,	4		NOTE: SEE	HTW LOG	F
	21.5'		<b>••••</b>	SP Poorly graded SAND, light	tan.			HOLE BAC	INFORMATION. KFILLED AFTER	E
			<u>]</u>	fine to medium, subangula	or.			COMPLETI	ON.	F
			<b>.</b>							E
	20.0'	4-		SM Silty SAND, fine, tan to b			L			F
			╡╎╎╎	wet to saturated.	10411,		A5-1			F
		-	31111							-E
	18.5'			BOTTOM OF HOLE AT 5.5'						F
		6-								E
			-			v				F
		-	4	NOTE: SOILS Y FIELD CLASS	IFIED IN	I				E
		Į	7	ACCORDANCE UNIFIED SOIL	WITH TH CLASS	IE (FICATIO	ON			F
		8-	4	SYSTEM.	-					E
			-							E
		-	4						-	F
			-							E
		10 -	-							F
I			4							E
		-	-							E
			4							F
		12 -	-							E
			E							F
		-	4							Ē
			Ξ	i	A-22					
		1	<u> </u>		_	و الکرد بنده و در ا				

PROJEC	CT:	TACTICA PHASE #	L EQUIPIN	ENT SI	HOP F	REFUEL	NG S	TATION	, BUILDING 1343	3 BORING	NO.	A-5	5
LOCATI	ON:	HUNTER	ARMY A	RFIELD	, SAV/	NNAH;	GEOR	GIA		ELEVAT		24 FROM	.0' TOPO)
WATER	LEV	el duri	NG DRI	LING	4.5	5	24	-HOU	R WATER LE	VEL READING	3: N	I/A	
WEATH	ER:	CLOUDY,	65*			- 	<u>.                                    </u>			HORACE FULCH	ER		
REMARK		0.0 - 2.0 BY GRAV			UND				GEOLOGIST	TONI F. NICH	DLSON		
ö		DEF	νTH		FOOT	CONTENT	N		SAM OVA (ppm)	PLES HNU (ppm)	AT	FOP (	OF HOLE
SAMPLE NO.	odor	(F	r.)	(1991)	PER	MOISTURE CO	<b>CLASSIFICATION</b>	~	FIELD	FIELD	C LEL	GI O <sub>2</sub>	OVA / HNU
SA			,		BLOWS	IST	ASS	COLOR		HEADSPACE	.,	.,	(ppm)
		FROM	то	DATE	ם	ом М	5		ANALYSIS	ANALYSIS	7.	7.	
	N	2.0	2.5	3/11	-	DAMP	SM	DARK TAN	0		0	20.8	1
	N	2.5	3.0	3/11	-	DAMP	SP	LT. TAN			<u> </u>		
	N	3.0	3 <b>.5</b>	3711	-	DAMP	SP	LT. TAN	0		0	20.8	0
	N	3.5	4.0	3/11	-	V.D.	SP	LT. TAN			<u> </u>		
	N	4.0	4.5	3/11	-	WET	SM	TAN BRN	110		0	20.8	4
A-5-1	N	<b>4.5</b>	5.0	3/11	-	SAT.	SM	TAN BRN	80	! 	0	20.8	12
	N	5.0	5 <b>.5</b>	3/11	-	SAT.	SM	TAN BRN	40	···	0	20.8	10
								ļ		ļ			
	ļ					ļ		<u> </u>			<u> </u>		
	ļ							ļ		·		<u> </u>	
	ļ							<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	ļ										+		·····
												<u> </u>	
	<del> </del>		<u> </u>	<b> </b>						<b> </b>	+	<u> </u>	
	·			<u> </u>	<u> </u>								
			ļ	<b> </b>		<del> </del>					+		i
				<u> </u>		<u> </u>		╉╼╼━			+		· · · ·
	╆		ļ	<u> </u>				+		<u> </u>	+		
				<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<b> </b>		<u> </u>		+		<u> </u>
		<u> </u>			ļ				<u> </u>	<u> </u>		+	<u> </u>
<b> </b>				1	<b> </b>	+		+					<u> </u>
<b></b>				+	 			+				+	
	+				<u> </u>	+		+					1
	+			+	<u> </u>	+	<u> </u>	1			1		-
	+				<u> </u>	+				1	1		

٠

(



Index  CLICATION:  HANTER ARMY ARFIELD, SAVANNAH, GEORGA  CLICATION:  FROM TOPOL    WATER LEVEL DURING DRILLING:  4.8'  24-HOUR WATER LEVEL READING:  N/A    WEATHER:  CLEAR, 65*  DRILLER:  HORACE FULCHER    REMARKS:  0.0 - 2.0 CONCRETE UNDERLAIN BY ASPHALT AND GRAVEL  GEOLOGIST:  TOP OF HOLE (ppm)    Y  Image: Strate Stra	PROJE		TAC	TICAL	EQUIPME	NT SHO	ip rei Ion	FUELIN	G STA	TION,	BUILDING 1343	BORING 1		A-7 	
WATER LEVEL DURING DRILLING:  4.8'  24-HOUR WATER LEVEL READING:  N/A    WEATHER:  CLEAR, 65*  DRILLER:  HORACE FULCHER    REMARKS:  0.0 - 2.0 CONCRETE UNDERLAIN BY ASPHALT AND GRAMEL  GEOLOGIST:  TON F. NCHOLSON    VI  -DEPTH  I  VI  N  SAMPLES  AT    VI  -DEPTH  I  VI  N  OVA  HNU (ppm)  AT  TOP OF  HOLE    VI  -DEPTH  I  VI  N  N  CGI  OVA /    VI  -DEPTH  I  VI  N  OV  HINU (ppm)  AT  OVA /    VI  -DEPTH  I  VI  N  OV  HINU (ppm)  AT  OVA /    VI  -DEPTH  I  VI  N  OV  HINU (ppm)  AT  OVA /    VI  -FROM  TO  C  SI  N  N  A  O  O  20.8  O    N  3.0  3.712  -  DAMP		LOCATION: HUNTER ARMY AIRFIELD, SAVANNAH, GEORGIA (FROM TOPO)													
WEATHER:  CLEAR, 65*  DRILLER:  HORACE  FULCHER    REMARKS:  0.0 - 2.0 CONCRETE  UNDERLAIN BY ASPHALT AND GRAVEL  GEOLOGIST:  TONIF.NECHOLSON    Image: Clear of the second secon		TELEPISE INTELEPISE													
REMARKS:  0.0 - 2.0 CONCRETE UNDERLAN ASPHALT AND GRAMEL  GEOLOGIST:  TON F. NICHOLSON    V  - DEPTH  V  V  - OVA (ppm)  HNU (ppm)  AT  TOP  FHOLE    V  - DEPTH  V  V  V  V  - OVA (ppm)  HNU (ppm)  AT  TOP  OVA /    V  V  V  V  V  V  V  - OVA /    V  V  V  V  V  V  V  - OVA /    V  V  V  V  V  V  V  - OVA /    V  V  V  V  V  V  V  - OVA /    V  V  V  V  V  V  V  - OVA /    V  V  V  V  V  V  V  - OVA /    V  V  V  V  V  V  - OVA  - OVA    V  V  V  V  V  OVA  - OVA								<u> </u>			DRILLER: H	ORACE FULCHE	R		
NCLINATION  BY ASPHALT AND GRAVEL  SAMPLES  AT TOP OF HOLE    02  - DEPTH  01  10  01  00  10  00/L  0/L				- 20	CONERE	TE UN	DERLA				GEOLOGIST:	TONI F. NICHO	LSON		
ON  DEPTH  DE	REMAN		BY	ASPH	ALT AND	GRAVE							AT T	OP 0	F HOLE
H  R  G  FI  FI <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>• • • • • •</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>100</td> <td>NTEN</td> <td>Z</td> <td>i</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				• • • • • •			100	NTEN	Z	i					
FROM  TO  Cl  C	ÖZ				ľ	ŝ		. 1	CATIC			FIELD			_
FROM  TO  Cl  C	MPLE	Bodo				60		TUR	SSIFU	R					(ppm)
N  2.0  2.5  3/12  -  DAMP  SM  BRN  O  I  O	SA		L	ROM	та	DATE	BLO	MOIS	CLA	5 C	<u></u>	ANALYSIS	╂────	┝──┽	
N  2.5  3.0  3/12  -  DAMP  SM  BRN		<u> </u>	╀╴				-	DAMP	SM	BRN	0		<u>                                     </u>	20.0	
N  3.0  3.5  3/12  -  V.D.  SM  BRN  0  20.8  0    N  3.5  4.0  3/12  -  V.D.  SM  BRN  4  0  20.8  0    N  3.5  4.0  3/12  -  V.D.  SM  BRN  4  0  20.8  0    N  4.0  4.5  3/12  -  V.D.  SM  BRN  4  0  20.8  0    A-7-1  N  4.5  5.0  3/12  -  WET  SM  BRN  4  0  20.8  0	<b> </b>		╂─		3.0	┝━━━ヤ	-	DAMP	SM	BRN	1	<b></b>	┼──	╂╼╼╼┥	
Ni  3.5  4.0  3/12  -  V.D.  SM  BRN  4  -  -  -  -  -  N  4.0  3.12  -  V.D.  SM  BRN  4  -  -  -  -  N  -  N  -  -  -  -  N  -  -  -  SM  BRN  -  -  -  -  -  -  N  -  -  -  N  BRN  BRN  -  -  -  -  -  N  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -	}	_+	╋			┢╍╍╍┥		V.D.	SM	BRN		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	20.8	0
N  4.0  4.5  3/12  -  V.D.  SM  BRN		╾╋╼╸	+-			3/12	ř -	V.D.	SM	BRN	4	<u> </u>	+		
A-7-1 N 4.5 5.0 3/12 - WET SM BRN 6 0 20.8 0			+-		4.5	3/12	-	V.D.	SM	BRN	<u> </u>		╉╼╼	+	
SAT. SM BRN 0		╾╼┾╾╼	-+-		5.0	3/12	-	WET	SM	BRI		<u></u>	+	20.8	0
	<b>A</b> -/-		+		5.5	3/12	-	SAT.	SM	BRI	N 6	<u></u>	+		<u></u>
		-+-	╈		†	1				<u> </u>		+	+	+	
			╈		<u> </u>				<u> </u>				-+	+	1
			╈												1
			-†-										-+	+	_
		+-	-†				<u> </u>						-+		
			-†				<u> </u>							1	
		+	-†							╺╼┾╼			-+		
		†	-							╺┼╸			-†		
		-+	-1										-		
		†					_		_+-	╾┿╼					
									-+-	-+-					
									╺╼╂╼	-+-					
										+-					
										+					
								╺╼╌┠╼╴		+					
										+					
				<u> </u>			<u>+</u> -								

ĺ

APPENDIX B

CHEMICAL TEST DATA FROM SOIL AND WATER SAMPLE ANALYSES

& ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

5102 LaRoche Avenue • Savannah, GA 31404 • (912) 354-7858 • Fax (912) 352-0165

LOG NO: 51-32015

Received: 08 MAR 91

Contract: DACA21-90-D0013

Ms. Toni Nicholson U.S. Army Engineer District, Savh P. O. Box 889 Savannah, Georgia 31402-0889

Project: Building 1343

#### REPORT OF RESULTS

#### Page 1

SAMPLED BY	:	MPLES	SEMISOLID SA	SOLID OR	DESCRIPTION	NO SAMPLE
Client					(3/8/91)	L5-1 MW-1-1
					(3/8/91)	
					(3/8/91)	
					(3/8/91)	
					(3/8/91)	15-5 A-1-1 (
32015-5	32015-4	32015-3	32015-2	32015-1		AMETER
19	68	26	54	230		roleum Hydrocark 418.1), mg/kg dw
<5.8	76000	13000	<5700	~10000	(8020)	natic Volatiles
<5.8	360000	110000		<10000		nzene, ug/kg dw
<5.8	880000	260000	30000	100000		hylbenzene, ug/k
<5.8	1700000		89000	280000	1	luene, ug/kg dw
86 Z		590000	180000	590000		lenes, ug/kg dw
00 4	81 Z	85 X	87 X	85 X		cent Solids, X

Laboratory locations in Savannah, GA . Mobile, AL . Tallahassee, FL . Deerfield Beach, FL

B-1



5102 LaRoche Avenue • Savannah, GA 31404 • (912) 354-7858 • Fax (912) 352-0165

LOG NO: 51-32015

Received: 08 MAR 91

Ms. Toni Nicholson U.S. Army Engineer District, Savh P. O. Box 889 Savannah, Georgia 31402-0889

Contract: DACA21-90-D0013

Project: Building 1343

### REPORT OF RESULTS

LOG NO	SAMPLE DECORTORIO		Page 2
32015-6	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION , SOLID OR SEN	ISOLID SAMPLES	SAMPLED BY
PARAMETER	A-4-2 (3/8/91)		Client
Petrolow		32015-6	
Benzene, u	Hydrocarbons (418.1), mg/kg dw Dlatiles (8020) 1g/kg dw	170	***** *********
Ethylbenze	ne. ug/kg dw	<5.8	
loiuene, u	lg/kg dw	<5.8	
Xylenes, u	g/kg dw	<5.8	
fcent Sol	ids, Z	17	
·		86 Z	

& ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

102 LaRoche Avenue • Savannah, GA 31404 • (912) 354-7858 • Fax (912) 352-0165

LOG NO: \$1-32015

Received: 08 MAR 91

Ms. Toni Nicholson U.S. Army Engineer District, Savh P. O. Box 889 Savannah, Georgia 31402-0889

Project: Building 1343

Contract: DACA21-90-D0013

#### REPORT OF RESULTS

Page 3

LOG NO SAMPLE DESCRIPTION , SOLID OR	
32015-7 A-4-1 (3/8/91)	Client
PARAMETER	32015-7
Petroleum Hydrocarbons (418.1), mg/kg dw Percent Solids, X	380 86 X

B-3

& ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

i102 LaRoche Avenue • Savannah, GA 31404 • (912) 354-7858 • Fax (912) 352-0165

LOG NO: \$1-32015

Received: 08 MAR 91

Ms. Toni Nicholson U.S. Army Engineer District, Savh P. O. Box 889 Savannah, Georgia 31402-0889

Project: Building 1343

Contract: DACA21-90-D0013

**REPORT OF RESULTS** 

Page 4

LOG NO	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION , QC REPORT	FOR SOLID/	SEMISOLID		SAMPLED BY
32015-8 32015-9 32015-10 32015-11	Method Blank-Soil Accuracy (Mean X Recovery)-Soi Precision (X RPD)-Soil Date Analyzed-Soil				Client
PARAMETER		32015-8	32015-9	32015-10	32015-11
troleum )	Hydrocarbons (418.1), mg/kg dw olatiles (8020)	<10	97 Z	7.2 %	03.18.91
azene, i		<5.0	105 X	3.8 X	03.21.91
	ene, ug/kg dw	<5.0			03.21.91
Toluene,		<5.0	122 X	3.3 Z	03.21.91
Yulanaa	ug/kg dw	<5.0			03.21.91

Methods: EPA SW-846.

White Steven

٦

B-4

15:08 DATE/IINE **DATE/TIME** 5 X REMANKS 3/8 DATE REPORT **NEQUESTED** Severals Okkien Si02 Lafloche Averse Sevenual, GA. 31401 Phisne: (812) 354-7850 **GINONAIS** PACK P NUSH UELINOUISI (ED DY: (SIGNATURE) **NEURIOUISI (ED DY: (SIGNATURE)** F. Zù LABOINTONY NEWANKS; •• 1 201 REOURDED ANALYSES SAVANNAH LABORATORIES AND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. . DATE/TIME DATE/TIME ANALYSIS REQUEST AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD 5 107 CUSIONY CUSIONY SEAL NO. SLLOG NO. . × × 0208 × Torie F. Nichon necemed IN: (SIGMATURE) DECEMED BY: (SIGNATURE) 1:314  $\succ$ × × NONACUEOUS MATRIX X × XILLAM SUDEUDA 944-567S thru mw-1-4 **JELEPI IONE** 10:00 MW-1-1-WM MW-1-4 Hrva A-4-2 1343 5.8 19 Toni Nicholson DATE/THE Ecologia tory anow tory ur (siculture) 3/ 112. 3MIL/3IVO • SAUPLE D Building 8h1 PROJECT NAME 889 1-1-MW A-4-1 A - I -A-4-A - I -Bux NELINOUISI LEO UY: (SIGNATURE) Dacon Altrog P. 0. CLIENT PROJECT MANAGER P.O. 110 12 100 13:00 cr:6 13:00 0 Ú 9:00 Jus€ 2 Start utG CLIENT AUDRESS CLIENT NAME 3/8/91 DAIÉ JOB 140. = 5 ٢ Ξ

ł

K.,

& ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

۲, –

5102 LaRoche Avenue • Savannah, GA 31404 • (912) 354-7858 • Fax (912) 352-0165

LOG NO: \$1-32035

Received: 11 MAR 91

Ms. Toni Nicholson U.S. Army Engineer District, Savh P. O. Box 889 Savannah, Georgia 31402-0889

Project: HAAF-1343

#### REPORT OF RESULTS

LOG NO	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	I, SOLID OR	SEMISOLID	SAMPLES		SAMPLED BY
32035-1	MW3-1 (3/11/91)					Client
32035-2	MW3-2 (3/11/91)					
32035-3	A2-2 (3/11/91)					
32035-4	A3-1 (3/11/91)					
32035-5	A5-1 (3/11/91)					
\RAMETER		32035-1	32035-2	32035-3	32035-4	32035-5
K troleum E		37	55	100	290	<12
	mg/kg dw					
	olatiles (8020)					
Benzene, u	ig/kg dw	<5.7	2700	<5.7	27	<6.1
Ethylbenze	ene, ug/kg dw	<5.7	<250	<5.7	89	<6.1
Toluene, u	ig/kg dw	<5.7	8500	6.2	170	<6.1
Xylenes, t		<5.7	10000	<5.7	630	<6.1
Percent Sol		87 X	81 Z	88 Z	85 X	82 Z

& ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

102 LaRoche Avenue • Savannah, GA 31404 • (912) 354-7858 • Fax (912) 352-0165

LOG NO: S1-32035

Received: 11 MAR 91

Ms. Toni Nicholson U.S. Army Engineer District, Savh P. O. Box 889 Savannah, Georgia 31402-0889

\*\*

١

Project: HAAF-1343

#### REPORT OF RESULTS

LOG NO	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION ,	SOLID OR	SEMISOLID	SAMPLES		SAMPLED BY
32035-6	A2-1 (3/11/91)					Client
32035-7	A6-1 (3/11/91)					
32035-8	A6-1 (QC) (3/11/91)					
PARAMETER				32035-6	32035-7	32035-8
Aromatic \						
	ug/kg dw			<2300	<29	<240
	zene, ug/kg dw			4300	. <29	1300
Luene,				33000	110	980
	ug/kg dw			<2300	300	9000
-	olids. Z			86 Z	87 Z	84 Z



& ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

i102 LaRoche Avenue • Savannah, GA 31404 • (912) 354-7858 • Fax (912) 352-0165

LOG NO: 51-32035

Received: 11 MAR 91

Ms. Toni Nicholson U.S. Army Engineer District, Savh P. O. Box 889 Savannah, Georgia 31402-0889

••

Project: HAAF-1343

#### REPORT OF RESULTS

LOG NO	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION , SOLID OR	SEMISOLID SAMPLES		SAMPLED BY
32035-10	A6-2 (3/11/91) A6-2 (QC) (3/11/91)			Client
PARAMETER		32035-9		
Petroleum H Percent Sol	ydrocarbons (418.1), ug/kg dw ids, %	2200 - 84 2	x 80 Z	

SL

### SAVANNAH LABORATORIES

& ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

5102 LaRoche Avenue • Savannah, GA 31404 • (912) 354-7858 • Fax (912) 352-0165

LOG NO: S1-32035

Received: 11 MAR 91

Ms. Toni Nicholson U.S. Army Engineer District, Savh P. O. Box 889 Savannah, Georgia 31402-0889

Project: HAAF-1343

#### REPORT OF RESULTS

Page 4

SAMPLED BY	D	SEMISOLI	FOR SOLID	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION , QC REPORT	LOG NO
Client				Method Blank-Soil Accuracy (Mean Z Recovery)-So Precision (Z RPD)-Soil Date Anlayzedf-Soil	32035-11 32035-12 32035-13 32035-14
32035-13 32035-14	2 3	32035-1	32035-11		PARAMETER
2.0 % 03.20.91	Z	101	<10	ydrocarbons (418.1), ug/kg dw latiles (8020)	
3.8 Z 03.25.91	z	105	<5.0		k enzene, u
03.25.91	-		<5.0	ne, ug/kg dw	
3.3 Z 03.25.91	z	122	<5.0		Toluene, u
03.25.91	-		<5.0		Xylenes, u

Methods: EPA SW-846.

.White Steven J. White

5 ervendi Dikisn 5 az LAlacie Averue 5 ernevi CA, 31401 1920ez (813) 354-7058	PAGE OF	[ 	HSnu	DATE REPORT REQUESTED	newniks										RELINDUSTIED DY: (SIGTATURE) DATE/TIME Torri F. Nichola 3/1/1/15/15	neurouisited by: (siG4ATUIE) DATE/TIME		EMARKS:
ERVICES, INC. ECORD	reoluned Analyses									-					DATE/IME RELINOUSTEC	DATE/UNE DELUZOUSIEC		35 LUNIOINIONY REMANKS
ORIES AND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. JEST AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD			N SOO	יא פ ישריי דרוקי	NON	XX	××	×	××	××	××	*	××	×	: (signature)	necened (17: (sickatulie)	LANORATONY USE ONLY	CUSIONY SEAL HO. SIL LOG HO.
SL SAVANNAH LABORAT ANALYSIS REQU	PROJECT NAME - 13 HAAF - 13	1616911011E	Sox 889 Savannah 6A 31402	CLIENT PROJECT MANAGER	SAMPLE 10	3/1/41/10:00 MW 3-1	3/11/51 10:30 MW 3-2	3/11/91 12:00 A3- 1	l; I	3/11/91 13:30 A3 - 1	3/11/91 1460 A5-1	3/11/41/14 20 A6-1	3/11/61/ 1430 A6-1 (QC).	1	RELINIOUSTIED BY: (SIGNATURE) DATE/TAKE RECEIVED BY: (SIGNATURE)	OATE/IBAE		nedeneti ran i vaou vrigur ur: Isicavi tunet 3 pyte/ime custour ci viitaci Velij 3: 3 veli no

đ

(

B-10

& ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

SAVANNAH LABORATORIES

,

sig h

102 LaRoche Avenue • Savannah, GA 31404 • (912) 354-7858 • Fax (912) 352-0165

LOG NO: \$1-32055

Received: 12 MAR 91

U.S. Army Engineer District, Savh P. O. Box 889 Savannah, Georgia 31402-0889

••

Mr. Toni Nicholson

Project: 1343 HAAF

Contract: DACA21-90-D0013

REPORT OF RESULTS

LOG NO	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION , SOLID O	R SEMISOLID SAMPLES		SAMPLED BY
32055-1 32055-2	MW5-1 (3/12/91) MW4-2 (3/12/91)			Client
PARAMETER		32055-1	32055-2	
	ydrocarbons (418.1), mg/kg dw latiles (8020)	12	13	
mzene, u	g/kg dw	<6.1	<5.8	
🔨 hylbenze	ne, ug/kg dw	<6.1	<5.8	
Toluene, u	g/kg dw	7.5	9.1	
Xylenes, u	g/kg dw	<6.1	<5.8	
Percent Sol	ida 7	82 7	86 Z	

& ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

.

5102 LaRoche Avenue • Savannah, GA 31404 • (912) 354-7858 • Fax (912) 352-0165

LOG NO: \$1-32055

Received: 12 MAR 91

Contract: DACA21-90-D0013

Mr. Toni Nicholson U.S. Army Engineer District, Savh P. O. Box 889 Savannah, Georgia 31402-0889

••

Project: 1343 HAAF

REPORT OF RESULTS

LOG NO	SAMPLE DESCRIPTIO	N,	SOLID	OR	SEMISOLID	SAMPLES		SAMPLED BY
32055-3	MW2-1 (3/12/91)		******					Client
32055-4	MW4-1 (3/12/91)							
32055-5	A7-1 (3/12/91)							
PARAMETER				*****	32055-3	32055-4	32055-5	
Aromatic V								
Benzene, ug/kg dw					<6.5	27	<6.0	
thylbenzene, ug/kg dw					<6.5	<5.7	<6.0	
oluene, ug/kg dw					<6.5	17	2.4	
Xylenes, ug/kg dw						<6.5	13	<6.0
Percent Solids, Z						77 <b>z</b>	88 Z	83 Z
**SAVANNAH LABORATORIES** & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

5102 LaRoche Avenue • Savannah, GA 31404 • (912) 354-7858 • Fax (912) 352-0165

LOG NO: S1-32055

Received: 12 MAR 91

Contract: DACA21-90-D0013

Mr. Toni Nicholson U.S. Army Engineer District, Savh P. O. Box 889 Savannah, Georgia 31402-0889

Project: 1343 HAAF

#### REPORT OF RESULTS

Page 3

SAMPLED BY	ID	SEMISOLI	T FOR SOLID	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION , QC REPORT	LOG NO					
Client	• • •		i1	32055-6 Method Blank-Soil 32055-7 Accuracy (Mean Z Recovery)-So 32055-8 Precision (Z RPD)-Soil 32055-9 Date Analyzed-Soil						
32055-8 32055-9	 -7	32055-	32055-6	Date Analyzed-Soll	PARAMETER					
2.0 % 03.20.91	z	101	<10	ydrocarbons (418.1), mg/kg dw latiles (8020)						
1.0 Z 03.25.91	z	97	<5.0	• •	enzene, u					
03.25.91			<5.0	ne, ug/kg dw						
1.6 Z 03.25.91	z	123	<5.0		Toluene, u					
03.25.91			<5.0 X		Xylenes, u					

Methods: EPA SW-846.

en A. Mhite Steven J. White

Serrendi Divition 5102 Linitud e Avenue Serrendi CA. 21401 Phone: (812) 254-7858	PAGE OF		DATE REPORT REQUESTED	UEHVUKS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				•			Torris F. Nuchol 3/13/13/33		EMATIKS:
SERVICES, INC. Y RECORD	LIE OLINED ANALYSES		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·												GHO. CHIOINTONY REMAIKS: 32055
NNAH LABORATORIES AND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. ANALYSIS REQUEST AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD		XIFT A	כן ש כ רולי ו יכוויינהבסח יכובסהג ייי	5	XXX	X	××××	×	X			DECERTED UT: (SIGNATURE)	necemed by: (sighature)	LAUOUATONY USE OFICY	CUSTODY SEAL ND. SLLD
SL SAVANNAH LABORATORIES / ANALYSIS REQUEST AN	PROJECT NAME 1343 HAAF	161.641011E 1 C. D	110	SAMPLE ID	MW 5 - 1	1-4~1	mw 4-2		1-1		•	DATE/IMAE DECEN	OATE/IME RECEN		MATURE 3 PLATE/IME CUSTONY 12-400 HIVOT 11-400 HIVOT
SL <sup>SAVA</sup>	P.O. 110.	CLIERT TIMAE CUENT ADDRESS CLENT ADDRESS	FIO. 887 JAUANAGA CLIENT FROJECT MANIAGEN TONIA	DATE TULE	3/12 9:00 ML	11 /0:00 MM	11 10:15 ML	" 10:45 A7-1	" 13:00 MW2-				L. Hacan	-	INCERTED FOILLANDINI INT ISICANTURE SPATELING

eg.

ð

B-14



# SAVANNAH LABORATORIES

& ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

5102 LaRoche Avenue • Savannah, GA 31404 • (912) 354-7858 • Fax (912) 352-0165

LOG NO: S1-32121

Received: 15 MAR 91

Contract: DACA21-90-D0013

Toni Nicholson U.S. Army Engineer District, Savh P. O. Box 889 Savannah, Georgia 31402-0889

Project: HAAF 1343

#### REPORT OF RESULTS

Page 1

LOG NO	SAMPLE	DESCRIPTION	, LIQUID	SAMPLES			SAMPLED BY
********		************		**			Client
32121-1		(3/14/91)					OTTenc
32121-2	MW2-A1	(3/14/91)					
32121-3	MW3-A1	(3/14/91)					
32121-4	MW4-A1	(3/14/91)					
32121-5	MW5-A1	(3/14/91)					
ARAMETER			32121-	1 32121-2	32121-3	32121-4	32121-5
	Aromatic	s (602/8020)					
Benzene,	ug/l		4000	0 <1.0	36000	34	21
Ethylben	zene, ug/1	1	270	0 <1.0	3400	<1.0	<1.0
Toluene,	ug/l		6000	0 <1.0	54000	6.6	2.0
Xylenes,	ug/1		2300	0 1.2	17000	8.9	<1.0
Petroleum	Hydrocarl	bons (418.1),	mg/l 1	8 <1.0	46	<1.0	<1.0

**SAVANNAH LABORATORIES** & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

5102 LaRoche Avenue • Savannah, GA 31404 • (912) 354-7858 • Fax (912) 352-0165

1

LOG NO: S1-32121

Received: 15 MAR 91

Contract: DACA21-90-D0013

Toni Nicholson U.S. Army Engineer District, Savh P. O. Box 889 Savannah, Georgia 31402-0889

Project: HAAF 1343

REPORT OF RESULTS

Page 2

LOG NO	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION , LIQUID SAMPLE	IS		SAMPLED BY
32121-6 32121-7	MW5-A1 (QC) (3/14/91) MW6-A1 (3/14/91)			Client
PARAMETER	** ************************************	32121-6	32121-7	****
Purgeable	Aromatics (602/8020)			
Benzene,	ug/1	13	13000	
Ethylben	zene, ug/l	14	730	
`oluene,	ug/l	67	18000	
ylenes,	ug/1	120	6400	
Petroleum	Hydrocarbons (418.1), mg/1	<1.0	22	
	*****			



12

.

# SAVANNAH LABORATORIES

& ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

5102 LaRoche Avenue • Savannah, GA 31404 • (912) 354-7858 • Fax (912) 352-0165

LOG NO: S1-32121

Received: 15 MAR 91

Contract: DACA21-90-D0013

Toni Nicholson U.S. Army Engineer District, Savh P. O. Box 889 Savannah, Georgia 31402-0889

Project: HAAF 1343

#### REPORT OF RESULTS

Page 3

LOG NO	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION , LIQUID SAMPLE	5		SAMPLED BY
32121-8 32121-9 32121-10	Rinsate (3/14/91) S1-W (3/14/91) S2-W (3/14/91)			Client
PARAMETER		32121-8	32121-9	32121-10
Petroleum H	iydrocarbons (418.1), mg/1	<1.0	<1.0	1.0

B-17 Laboratory locations in Savannah, GA • Mobile, AL • Tallahassee, FL • Deerfield Beach, FL



# SAVANNAH LABORATORIES

& ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

102 LaRoche Avenue • Savannah, GA 31404 • (912) 354-7858 • Fax (912) 352-0165

LOG NO: S1-32121

Received: 15 MAR 91

Contract: DACA21-90-D0013

U.S. Army Engineer District, Savh P. O. Box 889 Savannah, Georgia 31402-0889

••

Toni Nicholson

Project: HAAF 1343

REPORT OF RESULTS

Page 4

LOG NO	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION , LIQUID SAMPLES		SAMPLED BY
32121-11	Trip Blank		Client
PARAMETER		32121-11	
Purgeable	Aromatics (602/8020)		
Benzene,	ug/1	<1.0	
Ethylbenz	sene, ug/l	<1.0	
<b>"oluene</b> ,	ug/1	<1.0	
lenes,		<1.0	
X			

**SAVANNAH LABORATORIES** & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

5102 LaRoche Avenue • Savannah, GA 31404 • (912) 354-7858 • Fax (912) 352-0165

LOG NO: S1-32121

Received: 15 MAR 91

Contract: DACA21-90-D0013

Toni Nicholson U.S. Army Engineer District, Savh P. O. Box 889 Savannah, Georgia 31402-0889

Project: HAAF 1343

#### REPORT OF RESULTS

Page 5

LOG NO	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION , REPORT	FOR LIQUID S.	AMPLES		SAMPLED BY
32121-12 32121-13 32121-14 32121-15	Method Blank-Water Accuracy (Mean Z Recovery)-W Precision (Z RPD)-Water	vater	*****		Client
PARAMETER	Date Analyzed-Water	32121-12	32121-13	32121-14	32121-15
"urgeable	Aromatics (602/8020)				********
enzene,	ug/1	<1.0	119 X	2.5 X	03.26.91
hylbenz	ene, ug/1	<1.0			03.26.91
Toluene,	ug/1	<1.0	107 <b>Z</b>	2.8 7	03.26.91
Xylenes,	ug/1	<1.0			03.26.91
	Hydrocarbons (418.1), mg/1	<1.0	98 Z	14 Z	03.22.91
			********		

**SAVANNAH LABORATORIES** & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

5102 LaRoche Avenue • Savannah, GA 31404 • (912) 354-7858 • Fax (912) 352-0165

LOG NO: S1-32121

Received: 15 MAR 91

Contract: DACA21-90-D0013

Toni Nicholson U.S. Army Engineer District, Savh P. O. Box 889 Savannah, Georgia 31402-0889

••

Project: HAAF 1343

#### REPORT OF RESULTS

Page 6

LOG NO	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION , SOLID OR S	SEMISOLID SAMPLES	SAMPLED BY
32121-16 32121-17	S1-S (3/14/91) S2-S (3/14/91)		Client
PARAMETER		32121-16	32121-17
Petroleum Hy Percent Sola	vdrocarbons (418.1), mg/kg dw ids, Z	<12 81	<12 82

1

## SAVANNAH LABORATORIES

& ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

5102 LaRoche Avenue • Savannah, GA 31404 • (912) 354-7858 • Fax (912) 352-0165

LOG NO: S1-32121

Received: 15 MAR 91

U.S. Army Engineer District, Savh P. O. Box 889 Savannah, Georgia 31402-0889

Toni Nicholson

Project: HAAF 1343

Contract: DACA21-90-D0013

#### REPORT OF RESULTS

Page 7

LOG NO	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION , QC REPOR	T FOR SOLID	/SEMISOLID		SAMPLED BY
32121-18 32121-19 32121-20 32121-21	Method Blank-Soil Accuracy (Mean Z Recovery)-So Precision (Z RPD)-Soil Date Analyzed-Soil	il			Client
PARAMETER		32121-18	32121-19	32121-20	32121-21
`troleum	Hydrocarbons (418.1), mg/kg dw	<10	95 Z	3.2 X	03.27.91
Netho	ds: EPA SW-846 and 40 CFR Part	136.	********		

en J. White

Steven J.

0kkbr De Averue GA 31401 A 354-7858	PAGE OF		HSNU	DATE REPONT REQUESTED	REMARKS		•								DATE/TIME	DATE/TWAE			
Serimuli Division 5102 Infoche Avenue Serimuli CA 31401 Plema: [913 354-7858			2 		<u> </u>										RELIVENSIKED BY: (SIGAN JUNE)	neukoushed DY: (SkokaTure)		LADONATONY REMARKS:	
RVICES, INC. ECORD	REQUINED ANALYSES														DATE/TARE RE	DATE/TWE			-
NNAH LABORATORIES AND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. ANALYSIS REQUEST AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD	-		13	ミノカ ミロタ	 7	××	××	××	ХX	××	××	××	×	×	une)	UNE)	LABORATORY USE ONLY	SEAL NO. BLLOGNO.	
S AND ENVII AND CHAIN		35	XIFTAM AM 2U0		ION	X	X	X	)   X   ( )	X	×	×	X	X	RECEMED BY: (SIGNATURE)	necemed by: (skawlune)	3	LIACT CUSTODY SEAL NO.	1 ~1
ABORATORIE SIS REQUEST	НА	1ELEPHONE	1-1 -	Nich olson	SAUPLE 10	βl	ЪI	A (	91(96	АI	ЧI	ΑI		Blank	DATE/TME RE(	DATECTIME		Janerine au	=1
SL SAVANNAH LABORATO ANALYSIS REQU	5721 NAME		889	Toni		-2MM	-hmw	mw 5 -	1-5 MW	mw 3 -	- 1 MW	mw (2 ~	Rinsate	Trip Blo	lune)				
	JOB NO. P.O. NO.	CLIENT INNE	CLIENT ADORESS P. C. BOX	CLIENT PROJECT MANAGER	SAMPLUIG DATE TIME	3/14/21 9:20	3/14/41 9:40	3/14/2/ 10:00 M/W 5 -A1	3/14/91/10:30 MW 5- A1 (Q	3/14/91 11:15	3/14/91 1:00	3 14/41 1:30	3/14/91 1:00		RELINGUISI ED DY: (SKAMILINE)			RECEINED FOR LABORATORY BY: (SIGHATURE)	1 NAVALI 1 1 JULY

1

-:

B-22

Several Dykim \$102 Latadra Avena \$200 Aut GA 31401 Phone: (912) 354-7858	PAGE OF		DEMANKS	-			-						
NC.	MALYSES						 	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			RELEVATIONE) AF: (SIGHATUNE)		LUBOINTORY REMANKS:
L SERVICES, I DY RECORD	REOLURED ANALYSES	···								DATE/HME	DATE/TIME	٩٢X	32121
TORIES AND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. UEST AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD				X	XX	K X					RECEMED DY: (SIGNATURE)		CUSTOOT SEAL NO. SL LOG HO
BORATORIES AND S REQUEST AND C		2/2	PLE D						Г	ĺ	DATE/TIME RECENED DY		3/ BATE/TIME CUSTODY C 7/5/1 8:30 WIACT
SAVA	10. PROJECT NAME HAAF	1 A 1	51-01	5	152-W	5 3 - S				- ne	•		
	JOB NO.	CLENT NAME CLENT ADDRESS P.C.I. P. CLENT PROJECT MANAGEA SAMPLENG	DATE THE 3/14/91/12:30	11 13:30	11/12/	27: G1 11						-	RECERED FOR LADORIA TO

2

## APPENDIX C

#### TRACER RESEARCH CORPORATION LEAK TEST OF TANKS AND PIPING OCTOBER 1990

NOTE: From RMT, Inc., 1990, Phase I Site Investigation Report

## APPENDIX C

#### TRACER RESEARCH CORPORATION LEAK TEST OF TANKS AND PIPING OCTOBER 1990

2. T

ł

Tracer Research Corporation

## **PREPARED FOR:**

2.

RMT, Inc. P.O. Box 16778 Greenville, South Carolina 29606 (803) 234-9324

## Tracer Tight<sup>™</sup> LEAK TEST OF TWO UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS

HUNTER ARMY AIR FIELD SAVANNAH, GEORGIA

OCTOBER 1990

SUBMITTED BY: 11 16.11

TRACER RESEARCH CORPORATION

799HUNT.REP 1-90-799-T



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

**...** .

ŧ

INTRODUCTION	1
CONCEPT OF OPERATION AND IMPLEMENTATION	1
CRITERIA FOR CLASSIFICATION OF LEAKS	1
TESTING RESULTS	
CERTIFICATION	4
APPENDIX A: ANALYTICAL DATA	5
APPENDIX B: FIGURES	6

## **INTRODUCTION**

٤

Tracer Research Corporation (TRC) performed Tracer Tight<sup>™</sup> leak testing on two underground storage tanks at Hunter Army Air Field located in Savannah, Georgia. Tracer was added to the tanks on September 28, 1990 and testing was conducted October 11-12, 1990.

# CONCEPT OF OPERATION AND IMPLEMENTATION

The tracer leak detection method relies upon the addition of a highly volatile liquid chemical tracer to the fuel in the tank. If a leak occurs in the underground fuel system, fuel is released into the surrounding soil. The tracer escapes from the fuel by vaporization and disperses into the soil by molecular diffusion. Various means are used to sample the soil vapors in the immediate vicinity of the underground tanks and pipes. In this case, sampling was performed by driving probes into the ground in the vicinity of the tanks and pipes. Each probe has an effective detection radius of approximately 10 to 12 feet. This means that a given probe should detect a leak anywhere within the area described by the 10 foot radius around the probe. The tracer is placed in the tank at least two weeks prior to the probe sampling for this method to be effective. This process of leak detection by placing a liquid tracer in a liquid product followed by detection of the tracer underground in the vapor phase is protected under TRC patents.

# **CRITERIA FOR CLASSIFICATION OF LEAKS**

The following criteria are used for the classification of leaks when tracer is detected. LEAK STATUS

One NO LEAKAGE - Rate less than 0.005 gallons per hour.

Two <u>VAPOR LEAK</u> - Maximum tracer concentration less than 1 ug/L in soil vapor diminishing at depths below three feet. Total volatile hydrocarbon



concentrations less than 20,000 ug/L in soil vapor (if diesel is the only fuel present, substitute 100 ug/L in place of 20,000 ug/L).

Three <u>SMALL OR INTERMITTENT PRODUCT LEAK</u> less than 0.05 gph -Maximum tracer concentration less than 1 ug/L in soil vapor, sustaining or increasing at depths below three feet or to the top of the groundwater table. Hydrocarbon concentrations approximately equal to or greater than 20,000 ug/L in soil vapor (100 ug/L for diesel) sustaining or increasing below three feet. Distribution of elevated hydrocarbons is less than 200 square feet total area.

Four <u>SIGNIFICANT PRODUCT LEAK</u> 0.05 gph or greater - Maximum tracer concentration greater than 1 ug/L near source, increasing or sustaining concentration below three feet or to the top of the groundwater table. Hydrocarbon concentrations greater than 20,000 ug/L in soil vapor (100 ug/L for diesel) sustaining or increasing below three feet. Distribution of elevated hydrocarbons is equal to or greater than 200 square feet total area.

## TESTING RESULTS

Testing was performed on two underground storage tanks at Hunter AAF. The 30,000 gallon diesel tank was inoculated with DDM tracer. The 6,000 gallon gasoline tank was inoculated with BCF tracer. The final concentration of tracer in each tank was approximately 10 ppm.

A total of twenty-three samples were collected from sampling locations placed in the vicinity of the tanks and associated piping. Samples were collected at depths of 3-5 feet below grade in the vicinity of the tanks and 3 feet below grade near the piping. The samples were analyzed for BCF, DDM, 114B2, and total volatile petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHC). The analytical data is reported in Appendix A. Appendix B includes Figure 1 which shows the map view of the tank as well as the position of sampling locations.

No tracer was detected in any of the samples collected near the tanks. BCF was detected in several samples collected along the pipeline, however, this may be due to the presence of Halon 1211 (BCF) fire extinguishers located at each pump island. Diesel fuel was found at 4.5' at sampling location 6. The petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations in the-

Tracar Research Corporation



samples collected at this site ranged from non-detect (<0.04 ug/L) to 2,400,000 ug/L. Groundwater was observed between 4.5-6 feet below grade. One inch of water was detected in the 6,000 gallon tank. The tracer data indicates that the tanks do not leak. However, due to the high groundwater portions of both tanks may be underwater. If there are any leaks in the tanks the hydrostatic pressure does not allow the product or tracer to escape. The presence of water in the 6000 gallon tank indicates that there is a probable leak somewhere below the groundwater level.



## **CERTIFICATION**

## 1-90-799-T

Date: October 1990

Location:	Hunter Army Air Field
	Savannah, Georgia

<u>Tank</u>	<u>Capacity (gal)</u>	<b>Product</b>	Tracer	Leak Status
Tank 1	6,000	Gasoline	BCF	One*
Tank 2	30,000	Diesel	DDM	One

\* = presence of water in tank may indicate leak below groundwater level

Tracer Research Corporation certifies that the tank and pipe systems listed in the above table have been tested by means of Tracer Tight<sup>TM</sup>, which meets the criteria set forth in NFPA 329 for a precision leak test.

Submitted by:

Tracer Research Corporation

The following criteria are used for the classification of leaks when tracer is detected.

## LEAK

ſ

<u>STATUS</u>

One <u>NO LEAKAGE</u> - Rate less than 0.005 gallons per bour.

- Two <u>VAPOR LEAK</u> Maximum tracer concentration less than 1 ug/L in soil vapor diminishing at depths below three feet. Total volatile hydrocarbon concentrations less than 20,000 ug/L in soil vapor (if diesel is the only fuel present, substitute 100 ug/L in place of 20,000 ug/L).
- Three <u>SMALL OR INTERMITTENT PRODUCT LEAK</u> less than 0.05 gph -Maximum tracer concentration less than 1 ug/L in soil vapor, sustaining or increasing at depths below three feet or to the top of the groundwater table. Hydrocarbon concentrations approximately equal to or greater than 20,000 ug/L in soil vapor (100 ug/L for diesel) sustaining or increasing below three feet. Distribution of elevated hydrocarbons is less than 200 square feet total area.
- Four <u>SIGNIFICANT PRODUCT LEAK</u> 0.05 gph or greater Maximum tracer concentration greater than 1 ug/L near source, increasing or sustaining concentration below three feet or to the top of the groundwater table. Hydrocarbon concentrations greater than 20,000 ug/L in soil vapor (100 ug/L for diesel) sustaining or increasing below three feet. Distribution of elevated bydrocarbons is equal to or greater than 200 square feet total area.

#### Tracer Research Corporation



## APPENDIX A: ANALYTICAL DATA

t

RMT/HUNTER AAF/SAVANNAH, GEORGIA JOB#1-90-799-1 10-11-90
RMT/HUNTER AA 10-11-90

-

ŧ

VLVCI CEISN/ICINO.)

	BC1;	MOID	114152	TPHC
SAMPLI:	Ngu	lgu	Van	Vin
~	<0 (M()2	<0.00005	<0.00007	70.02
1-3'	0.002	<0 (XX)	<0.0001	0.089
<u>.</u>	100.0	<0:001	<0.000	5100
Ţ	<0 0KM4	<0.001	1000.0>	2900
5.	0 0002	<0.0005	<0.00007	1007
-5.	0 00014	< 0.00005	< 0.00007	6100
.1-9	< 0.001	<0.0001	<0.0001	00/1
7.5	<0.004	<0.000I	<0.001	34000
8-5'	<0.004	<b>1000</b> 0>	<0.0001	14000
.5.6	<0.0004	<0.0001	1000.0>	120000
10-5	<0.001	< 0.0003	<0.0004	2400000

1

: "

C-8

ر

Analyzed by: J. Cycly

.

1-662-06-1#1101		
KMT/IIIWTER AAF/SAVANNAII, GEORGIA JOB#1-90-799-T	10-12-90	VIVIC (EISN-ICINO.)

ĺ

(

١ .

	BC45	MOLO	114112	OHAI.
SAMPLE	urA	Van	ug	1151
2HX	<0.0005	1000.0>	5000.0×	H0'0>
. 1	0.03	< 0.0006	100:0>	2,34000
12.3	<0.002	< 0.0006	100'0>	2500
1	0 (0)5	< 0.0002	<0.0005	24(00)
.1.1	100.0>	< 0.0002	<0.0005	· 089
	0.01	< 0.0002	<0.0005	DIMMXIPI
6.3	0 003	<pre>\$0.0006</pre>	<0.001	340000
17.3	<0.002	<0.006	<0.001	DOMNE
1.8-2	<0.002	< 0.0006	<0.009	26000
1.6-3.	< 0.002	<0.006	100.0>	6
P10-3	<0.0005	1000.0 >	< 0.003	<0.08
P11-3	0.0006	< 0.0001	<0.0003	<0.08
12-3'	< 0.0005	1000:0>	<0.0003	<0.04
13-3	0 0006	<0:001	< 0.0003	<0.0<
E-F14	0.004	<0:001	< 0.0003	4100
UR	< 0.0005	<0.001	< 0.003	<0.0>

١

••

ł

くいい Å Analyzed by: J. Cook Presified by: 252

C-9

Treast Research Carparation

٠

#### Tracar Research Corporation

•

1

**.** .

.

## **APPENDIX B: FIGURES**

.

.

.



