APPENDICES

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Appendix A

Regulatory Correspondence

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Request for Early Input

Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Construction and Operation of the Child Development Center (CDC) V Fort George G. Meade, Maryland

All Interested Parties: The U.S. Army Garrison, Fort George G. Meade, Maryland (FMMD) is preparing an Environmental Assessment (EA) pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 United States Code Section 4321 et seq.), herein known as NEPA. The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is responsible for issuing regulations (40 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] 1500-1508) and implementing the provisions of NEPA. CEQ regulations, in turn, are supplemented by procedures adopted on an agency-specific basis. For the Department of the Army (DA), the pertinent regulations are contained in 32 CFR Part 651, Environmental Analysis of Army Actions, which specifically includes in its list of Army actions that normally require an EA [32 CFR 651.33 (c)] changes to established installation land use that generate impacts on the environment. An EA is intended to assist agency planning and decision-making. While required to assess environmental impacts and evaluate their significance, an EA is routinely used as a planning document to evaluate environmental impacts, develop alternatives and mitigation measures, and allow for agency and public participation (32 CFR 651.20). This EA will be developed pursuant to these laws and regulations. NEPA requires all Federal agencies to give appropriate consideration to potential environmental effects of proposed and alternative major actions in the planning and decision-making processes.

The purpose of the Proposed Action is to design, construct and operate a permanent, medium-size standard design CDC facility to provide childcare services to the families of active-duty military stationed at FMMD, as well as staff of tenants on post, military retirees, and other eligible units and agencies off post. The need for the Proposed Action is to help alleviate the deficit of childcare services available to eligible patrons. FMMD has four existing CDC's, but the demand for childcare exceeds the ability of these facilities to fully meet those needs.

The Proposed Action includes the design, construction and operation of a new, approximately 26,450 square foot CDC V facility at FMMD. The standard-design CDC would have for the children six weeks to five years of age. The medium CDC is a Center of Standardization (COS) standard floor plan. The building will be a single-story steel frame structure with brick masonry exterior walls and a standing seam metal hipped roof to match the Fort Meade Installation Planning Standards (IPS). Site design would include fenced outdoor play areas, stormwater management features, and parking for staff and patrons.

Under the No Action Alternative, the Proposed Action would not be implemented, and a new, permanent CDC would not be constructed on FMMD. The No Action Alternative does not address the shortage of childcare services, particularly infant and toddler care, which is the daycare category in the highest demand by most military families.

In accordance with 40 CFR 1500-1508, the Army invites you to provide early input on the Proposed Action to be considered in our analysis of each alternative in the forthcoming EA. This notice is being distributed to organizations known to have an interest in natural resource conditions at FMMD.

Additionally, once the draft EA is completed, your organization and the public will have an opportunity to review and provide comment during a 30-day review period, which will be announced in a notice published in local newspapers and on the FMMD website. All materials will be provided online on the FMMD website under Environmental Public Notices at the following link: <u>https://home.army.mil/meade/index.php/my-fort/all-services/environmental.</u> Additionally, printed copies of the draft EA will be made available at local libraries.

We appreciate your attention to this matter and request your review and written comment within 30 days of receipt of this letter. Should you require any additional information or have any questions, please contact the US Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District Project Manager, Ms. Connie Ramsey at <u>Connie.L.Ramsey@usace.army.mil</u>.

Enclosure 2: Contact List

Enclosure 2 – Stakeholder Contact List

Ms. Donna Buscemi Chief, Environmental Planning Division Office of Planning and Preliminary Engineering 707 N. Calvert Street Mail Stop C-301 Baltimore, MD 21202 DBuscemi@mdot.maryland.gov

Mr. Jason Dubow Manager, Resource Conservation and Management Maryland State Clearinghouse Maryland Office of Planning, Room 1104 301 West Preston Street Baltimore, MD 21201-2365 mdp.clearinghouse@maryland.gov

Ms. Genevieve La Rouche U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Chesapeake Bay Ecological Services Field Office 177 Admiral Cochrane Drive Annapolis, MD 21401 genevieve larouche@fws.gov

Mr. Phillip King United States Department of Agriculture 339 Busch's Frontage Road, Suite 301 Annapolis, MD 21409-5543 phillip.king@usda.gov

Ms. Lori Byrne Maryland Department of Natural Resources Wildlife and Heritage Service Tawes State Office Building 580 Taylor Avenue Annapolis, MD 21401 <u>LBYRNE@dnr.state.md.us</u> Ms. Carrie Traver Life Scientist Office of Communities, Tribes, & Environmental Assessment U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 3 1650 Arch Street - 3RA10 Philadelphia, PA 19103 215-814-2772 traver.carrie@epa.gov

Ms. Kathy Bishop Office of the Secretary Maryland Department of the Environment 1800 Washington Blvd. Baltimore, MD 21230 kathy.bishop@maryland.gov

Ms. Jennifer Greiner U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Patuxent Research Refuge National Wildlife Visitor Center 10901 Scarlet Tanager Loop Laurel, MD 20708-4027 Jennifer_Greiner@fws.gov

Maryland Dept. of Housing & Community Development Maryland Historical Trust Division of Historical and Cultural Programs ATTN: Elizabeth J. Cole 100 Community Place Crownsville, MD 21032-2023 beth.cole@maryland.gov

From:	Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
То:	kathy.bishop@maryland.gov
Cc:	Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
Subject:	Comments on a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland
Date:	Monday, September 18, 2023 8:43:29 AM
Attachments:	Request for Early Input FMMD CDC5 EA 18Sep23.pdf

Ms. Bishop,

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Planning Division is supporting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation of the U.S. Army's Child Development Center (CDC) Project at Fort George G. Meade in Maryland. On behalf of Fort Meade, we are writing to respectfully request any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and that should be evaluated in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

We are deeply committed to ensuring that your voice is heard, and your issues and concerns are addressed promptly. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Please direct any comments or questions you have to the undersigned below, or to: Connie Ramsey, Baltimore District Project Manager, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, <u>Connie.L.Ramsey@usace.army.mil</u>.

Thank you, Ariel

From:	Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
То:	DBuscemi@mdot.maryland.gov
Cc:	Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA);
Subject:	Comments on a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland
Date:	Monday, September 18, 2023 8:32:20 AM
Attachments:	Request for Early Input FMMD CDC5 EA 18Sep23.pdf

Ms. Buscemi,

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Planning Division is supporting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation of the U.S. Army's Child Development Center (CDC) Project at Fort George G. Meade in Maryland. On behalf of Fort Meade, we are writing to respectfully request any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and that should be evaluated in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

We are deeply committed to ensuring that your voice is heard, and your issues and concerns are addressed promptly. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Please direct any comments or questions you have to the undersigned below, or to: Connie Ramsey, Baltimore District Project Manager, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, <u>Connie.L.Ramsey@usace.army.mil</u>.

Thank you, Ariel

From:	Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
То:	LBYRNE@dnr.state.md.us
Cc:	Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA);
Subject:	Comments on a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland
Date:	Monday, September 18, 2023 8:38:07 AM
Attachments:	Request for Early Input FMMD CDC5 EA 18Sep23.pdf

Ms. Byrne,

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Planning Division is supporting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation of the U.S. Army's Child Development Center (CDC) Project at Fort George G. Meade in Maryland. On behalf of Fort Meade, we are writing to respectfully request any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and that should be evaluated in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

We are deeply committed to ensuring that your voice is heard, and your issues and concerns are addressed promptly. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Please direct any comments or questions you have to the undersigned below, or to: Connie Ramsey, Baltimore District Project Manager, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, <u>Connie.L.Ramsey@usace.army.mil</u>.

Thank you, Ariel

From:	Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
То:	Beth Cole - MHT
Cc:	Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
Subject:	Comments on a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland
Date:	Monday, September 18, 2023 8:44:46 AM
Attachments:	Request for Early Input FMMD CDC5 EA 18Sep23.pdf

Ms. Cole,

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Planning Division is supporting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation of the U.S. Army's Child Development Center (CDC) Project at Fort George G. Meade in Maryland. On behalf of Fort Meade, we are writing to respectfully request any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and that should be evaluated in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

We are deeply committed to ensuring that your voice is heard, and your issues and concerns are addressed promptly. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Please direct any comments or questions you have to the undersigned below, or to: Connie Ramsey, Baltimore District Project Manager, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, <u>Connie.L.Ramsey@usace.army.mil</u>.

Thank you, Ariel

From:	Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
То:	mdp.clearinghouse@maryland.gov
Cc:	Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA); il
Subject:	Comments on a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland
Date:	Monday, September 18, 2023 8:34:15 AM
Attachments:	Request for Early Input FMMD CDC5 EA 18Sep23.pdf

Mr. Dubow,

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Planning Division is supporting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation of the U.S. Army's Child Development Center (CDC) Project at Fort George G. Meade in Maryland. On behalf of Fort Meade, we are writing to respectfully request any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and that should be evaluated in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

We are deeply committed to ensuring that your voice is heard, and your issues and concerns are addressed promptly. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Please direct any comments or questions you have to the undersigned below, or to: Connie Ramsey, Baltimore District Project Manager, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, <u>Connie.L.Ramsey@usace.army.mil</u>.

Thank you, Ariel

From: To:	Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY CENAB (USA) Jennifer Greiner@fws.gov
Cc:	Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
Subject:	Comments on a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland
Date:	Monday, September 18, 2023 9:33:02 AM
Attachments:	Request for Early Input FMMD CDC5 EA 18Sep23.pdf

Ms. Greiner,

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Planning Division is supporting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation of the U.S. Army's Child Development Center (CDC) Project at Fort George G. Meade in Maryland. On behalf of Fort Meade, we are writing to respectfully request any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and that should be evaluated in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

We are deeply committed to ensuring that your voice is heard, and your issues and concerns are addressed promptly. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Please direct any comments or questions you have to the undersigned below, or to: Connie Ramsey, Baltimore District Project Manager, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, <u>Connie.L.Ramsey@usace.army.mil</u>.

Thank you, Ariel

From:	Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
То:	phillip.king@usda.gov
Cc:	Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
Subject:	Comments on a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland
Date:	Monday, September 18, 2023 8:36:18 AM
Attachments:	Request for Early Input FMMD CDC5 EA 18Sep23.pdf

Mr. King,

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Planning Division is supporting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation of the U.S. Army's Child Development Center (CDC) Project at Fort George G. Meade in Maryland. On behalf of Fort Meade, we are writing to respectfully request any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and that should be evaluated in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

We are deeply committed to ensuring that your voice is heard, and your issues and concerns are addressed promptly. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Please direct any comments or questions you have to the undersigned below, or to: Connie Ramsey, Baltimore District Project Manager, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, <u>Connie.L.Ramsey@usace.army.mil</u>.

Thank you, Ariel

From:	Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
То:	traver.carrie@epa.gov
Cc:	Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA);
Subject:	Comments on a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland
Date:	Monday, September 18, 2023 8:39:40 AM
Attachments:	Request for Early Input FMMD CDC5 EA 18Sep23.pdf

Ms. Traver,

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Planning Division is supporting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation of the U.S. Army's Child Development Center (CDC) Project at Fort George G. Meade in Maryland. On behalf of Fort Meade, we are writing to respectfully request any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and that should be evaluated in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

We are deeply committed to ensuring that your voice is heard, and your issues and concerns are addressed promptly. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Please direct any comments or questions you have to the undersigned below, or to: Connie Ramsey, Baltimore District Project Manager, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, <u>Connie.L.Ramsey@usace.army.mil</u>.

Thank you, Ariel

202303772



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY US ARMY INSTALLATION COMMAND HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES ARMY GARRISON 4551 LLEWELLYN AVENUE, SUITE 5000 FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-5115

September 12, 2023

Directorate of Public Works

Ms. Beth Cole Maryland Historical Trust 100 Community Place Crownsville, Maryland 21032-2023

The Maryland Historical Trust has determined that this undertaking will have no adverse effect on historic properties.

BY:

Army

ECEIN

EP 13 202

Date D

Dear Ms. Cole:

We are writing to you to initiate consultation under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) for a new undertaking at Fort Meade (FMMD), Anne Arundel County, Maryland (Enclosure1).

Description of the Undertaking: The purpose of the Proposed Action is to design, construct and operate a permanent, medium-size standard design Child Development Center (CDC) facility on FMMD to help alleviate the deficit of childcare services available to the families of active-duty military as well as staff of tenants on post, military retirees, and other eligible units and agencies off post. The total need of the family childcare program on FMMD is 1,200 spaces, where one space is comparable to providing daycare service to one child. FMMD has four existing CDC's, but the demand for childcare exceeds the ability of these facilities to fully meet those needs.

Area of Potential Effect (APE): The APE will be the limits of disturbance (LOD) for the construction of the new facility and those areas from which the new construction will be visible.

Identification of Historic Properties: The undertaking will not be located within the established Fort Meade Historic District or disturb any previously identified archaeological sites on the installation.

There are no buildings on FMMD that are listed in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). FMMD has five historic properties that have been determined eligible for listing in the NRHP. The historic architectural properties are the Fort Meade Historic District, three bridges/culverts built by German prisoners of war during WWII, and the water treatment plant (Building 8688). There are 13 contributing buildings in the Fort Meade Historic District (not including historic interwar privatized housing), none of which are within the APE.

There are 41 known archaeological sites on FMMD, but none are listed in the NRHP. All the sites have been evaluated for NRHP eligibility and only one site, 18AN1240, was found to be eligible. Thirty-three other sites have been evaluated for NRHP eligibility and were found ineligible. The remaining seven sites are historic cemeteries, which were evaluated in the 2007 Integrated Cultural Resources Management Plan (ICRMP) update and found to be ineligible for the NRHP, although they will be maintained due to the presence of buried human remains and recommended for avoidance. None of these sites are within the APE.

Determination of Effects: No historic properties have been identified within the APE; therefore, none will be affected.

In compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), Fort Meade is also completing an Environmental Assessment (EA).

Fort Meade is seeking your concurrence with our determination that no historic properties will be adversely affected by the proposed undertaking. We appreciate your feedback on this undertaking. If you have any questions or concerns, please contact Connie Ramsey, via email at Connie.L.Ramsey@usace.atmy.mil or via phone at 410-962-7833 or Jerald Glodek, via email at

Sincerely,



George B. Knight Environmental Division Chief

Enclosures



Beth Cole -MDP- <beth.cole@maryland.gov>

DLU/EUR

Comments on a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland

202303842

Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY CENAB (USA) < Ariel.B.Poirier@usace.army.mil>	Mon, Sep 18, 2023 at 8:44 AM
To: Beth Cole - MHT <beth.cole@maryland.gov> Cc: "Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)" <connie.l.ramsey@usace.army< td=""><td></td></connie.l.ramsey@usace.army<></beth.cole@maryland.gov>	
Ms. Cole, BY:	

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Planning Division is supporting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation of the U.S. Army's Child Development Center (CDC) Project at Fort George G. Meade in Maryland. On behalf of Fort Meade, we are writing to respectfully request any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and that should be evaluated in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

We are deeply committed to ensuring that your voice is heard, and your issues and concerns are addressed promptly. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Please direct any comments or questions you have to the undersigned below, or to:

Connie Ramsey, Baltimore District Project Manager, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Connic.L.Ramsey@usacc.army.mil.

Thank you,

Ariel

Ariel Poirier (she/her)

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

2 Hopkins Plaza

Baltimore, Maryland 21201

Office: 410.962.4993

Cell: 443.831.5670

The Maryland Historical Trust has determined that there are no historic properties affected by this undertaking.

From:	sylvia.mosser@maryland.gov
To:	Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA); Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
Cc:	sylvia.mosser@maryland.gov
Subject:	[Non-DoD Source] Acknowledgment of Clearinghouse Project: MD20230918-0767
Date:	Wednesday, September 20, 2023 4:55:26 PM

Hello Ms. Connie Ramsey & Ms. Ariel Poirier,

The following link includes the State Clearinghouse Review Process Acknowledgment letter for your project, Request for Early Input on Draft Environmental Assessment: Proposed Action Includes Design, Construction, and Operation of a New, +/- 26,450 Sq. Ft. Child Development Center Facility 6 Weeks to 5 Years Old at the U.S. Army Garrison, Fort George G. Meade, Maryland .

Click this link to view the acknowledgment letter, https://apps.planning.maryland.gov/EMIRC_Files/MD20230918-0767.zip. This is a 1 MB file.

Thank you.

Sylvia Mosser, Planner sylvia.mosser@maryland.gov 410-767-4487

Myra Barnes, Lead Clearinghouse Coordinator myra.barnes@maryland.gov

Please take our customer service survey.

From:	Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
То:	Sean McCain
Cc:	Andrew Glucksman; Nicholas Sutton
Subject:	FW: Section 7 Initial Coordination for a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland
Date:	Monday, October 30, 2023 1:00:43 PM
Attachments:	Species List Chesapeake Bay Ecological Services Field Office 20231017.pdf
	MA Consistency Letter Northern Long-eared Bat Rangewide Determination Key 2023-10-17.pdf
	Request for Early Input FMMD CDC5 EA 13Sep23.docx

For the admin record -

From: Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
Sent: Tuesday, October 17, 2023 3:30 PM
To: genevieve_larouche@fws.gov
Cc: Deeley, Sabrina M <sabrina_deeley@fws.gov>;

Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY

CENAB (USA) < Ariel. B. Poirier@usace.army.mil>

Subject: Section 7 Initial Coordination for a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland

Dear Ms. LaRouche,

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Planning Division is supporting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation of the U.S. Army's Child Development Center (CDC) Project at Fort George G. Meade in Maryland. On behalf of Fort Meade, we are writing to respectfully request any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and that should be evaluated in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

Attached with this email is the Official Species List for the Proposed Action area, as well as the results of the most recent dKey available for the Northern Long-Eared Bat (NLEB) available on the Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) website. We understand the Chesapeake Bay Ecological Services Field Office has been working with Fort Meade to conduct bat surveys over this past summer. We would welcome feedback on incorporating any of those results into the EA, as well as understanding how those results may inform any Conservation Measures that could be implemented for the Proposed Action.

We appreciate your time and consideration. Please let me know if there is any additional information that you need for this consultation.

Thank you,

Connie Ramsey, Biologist Acting Section Chief, Installation Support Branch Planning Division Baltimore District USACE 2 Hopkins Plaza Baltimore, MD 21201 Office: 410.962.7783 Cell: 443.310.2722



United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE Chesapeake Bay Ecological Services Field Office 177 Admiral Cochrane Drive Annapolis, MD 21401-7307 Phone: (410) 573-4599 Fax: (410) 266-9127



In Reply Refer To: Project code: 2023-0081200 Project Name: Fort Meade Child Development Center 5

Federal Nexus: yes Federal Action Agency (if applicable): Army

Subject: Technical assistance for 'Fort Meade Child Development Center 5'

Dear Connie Ramsey:

This letter records your determination using the Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) system provided to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) on October 17, 2023, for 'Fort Meade Child Development Center 5' (here forward, Project). This project has been assigned Project Code 2023-0081200 and all future correspondence should clearly reference this number. **Please carefully review this letter. Your Endangered Species Act (Act) requirements are not complete.**

Ensuring Accurate Determinations When Using IPaC

The Service developed the IPaC system and associated species' determination keys in accordance with the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (ESA; 87 Stat. 884, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) and based on a standing analysis. All information submitted by the Project proponent into IPaC must accurately represent the full scope and details of the Project. **Failure to accurately represent or implement the Project as detailed in IPaC or the Northern Long-eared Bat Rangewide Determination Key (Dkey), invalidates this letter.**

Determination for the Northern Long-Eared Bat

Based on your IPaC submission and the standing analysis for the Dkey, your project has reached the determination of "May Affect" the northern long-eared bat.

Next Steps

Your action may qualify for the Interim Consultation Framework for the northern long-eared bat. To determine if it qualifies, review the Interim Consultation Framework posted here <u>https://www.fws.gov/library/collections/interim-consultation-framework-northern-long-eared-bat</u>. If you

October 17, 2023

determine it meets the requirements of the Interim Consultation Framework, follow the procedures outlined there to complete section 7 consultation.

If your project does **not** meet the requirements of the Interim Consultation Framework, please contact the Chesapeake Bay Ecological Services Field Office for further coordination on this project. Further consultation or coordination with the Service is necessary for those species or designated critical habitats with a determination of "May Affect".

Other Species and Critical Habitat that May be Present in the Action Area

The IPaC-assisted determination for the northern long-eared bat does not apply to the following ESA-protected species and/or critical habitat that also may occur in your Action area:

Monarch Butterfly Danaus plexippus Candidate

You may coordinate with our Office to determine whether the Action may cause prohibited take of the species listed above.

Action Description

You provided to IPaC the following name and description for the subject Action.

1. Name

Fort Meade Child Development Center 5

2. Description

The following description was provided for the project 'Fort Meade Child Development Center 5':

Design, construct and operate a standard, medium-sized child development center to accommodate children on FMMD.



DETERMINATION KEY RESULT

Based on the answers provided, the proposed Action is consistent with a determination of "may affect" for the Endangered northern long-eared bat (*Myotis septentrionalis*).

QUALIFICATION INTERVIEW

1. Does the proposed project include, or is it reasonably certain to cause, intentional take of the northern long-eared bat or any other listed species?

Note: Intentional take is defined as take that is the intended result of a project. Intentional take could refer to research, direct species management, surveys, and/or studies that include intentional handling/encountering, harassment, collection, or capturing of any individual of a federally listed threatened, endangered or proposed species?

No

2. Do you have post-white nose syndrome occurrence data that indicates that northern longeared bats (NLEB) are likely to be present in the action area?

Bat occurrence data may include identification of NLEBs in hibernacula, capture of NLEBs, tracking of NLEBs to roost trees, or confirmed acoustic detections. With this question, we are looking for data that, for some reason, may have not yet been made available to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Yes

3. Does any component of the action involve construction or operation of wind turbines?

Note: For federal actions, answer 'yes' if the construction or operation of wind power facilities is either (1) part of the federal action or (2) would not occur but for a federal agency action (federal permit, funding, etc.). *No*

4. Is the proposed action authorized, permitted, licensed, funded, or being carried out by a Federal agency in whole or in part?

Yes

5. Is the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), or Federal Transit Administration (FTA) funding or authorizing the proposed action, in whole or in part?

No

6. Are you an employee of the federal action agency or have you been officially designated in writing by the agency as its designated non-federal representative for the purposes of Endangered Species Act Section 7 informal consultation per 50 CFR § 402.08?

Note: This key may be used for federal actions and for non-federal actions to facilitate section 7 consultation and to help determine whether an incidental take permit may be needed, respectively. This question is for information purposes only.

Yes

7. Is the lead federal action agency the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) or Federal Communications Commission (FCC)? Is the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) or Federal Communications Commission (FCC) funding or authorizing the proposed action, in whole or in part?

No

- 8. Is the lead federal action agency the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC)? *No*
- 9. Have you determined that your proposed action will have no effect on the northern longeared bat? Remember to consider the <u>effects of any activities</u> that would not occur but for the proposed action.

If you think that the northern long-eared bat may be affected by your project or if you would like assistance in deciding, answer "No" below and continue through the key. If you have determined that the northern long-eared bat does not occur in your project's action area and/or that your project will have no effects whatsoever on the species despite the potential for it to occur in the action area, you may make a "no effect" determination for the northern long-eared bat.

Note: Federal agencies (or their designated non-federal representatives) must consult with USFWS on federal agency actions that may affect listed species [50 CFR 402.14(a)]. Consultation is not required for actions that will not affect listed species or critical habitat. Therefore, this determination key will not provide a consistency or verification letter for actions that will not affect listed species. If you believe that the northern long-eared bat may be affected by your project or if you would like assistance in deciding, please answer "No" and continue through the key. Remember that this key addresses only effects to the northern long-eared bat. Consultation with USFWS would be required if your action may affect another listed species or critical habitat. The definition of <u>Effects of the Action</u> can be found here: <u>https://www.fws.gov/media/northern-long-eared-bat-assisted-determination-key-selected-definitions</u>

No

10. [Semantic] Is the action area located within 0.5 miles of a known northern long-eared bat hibernaculum?

Note: The map queried for this question contains proprietary information and cannot be displayed. If you need additional information, please contact your State wildlife agency.

Automatically answered No

11. Does the action area contain any caves (or associated sinkholes, fissures, or other karst features), mines, rocky outcroppings, or tunnels that could provide habitat for hibernating northern long-eared bats?

No

12. Is suitable summer habitat for the northern long-eared bat present within 1000 feet of project activities?

(If unsure, answer "Yes.")

Note: If there are trees within the action area that are of a sufficient size to be potential roosts for bats (i.e., live trees and/or snags \geq 3 inches (12.7 centimeter) dbh), answer "Yes". If unsure, additional information defining suitable summer habitat for the northern long-eared bat can be found at: <u>https://www.fws.gov/media/northern-long-eared-bat-assisted-determination-key-selected-definitions</u>

Yes

13. Will the action cause effects to a bridge?

No

- 14. Will the action result in effects to a culvert or tunnel? *No*
- 15. Does the action include the intentional exclusion of northern long-eared bats from a building or structure?

Note: Exclusion is conducted to deny bats' entry or reentry into a building. To be effective and to avoid harming bats, it should be done according to established standards. If your action includes bat exclusion and you are unsure whether northern long-eared bats are present, answer "Yes." Answer "No" if there are no signs of bat use in the building/structure. If unsure, contact your local U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services Ecological Services Field Office to help assess whether northern long-eared bats may be present. Contact a Nuisance Wildlife Control Operator (NWCO) for help in how to exclude bats from a structure safely without causing harm to the bats (to find a NWCO certified in bat standards, search the Internet using the search term "National Wildlife Control Operators Association bats"). Also see the White-Nose Syndrome Response Team's guide for bat control in structures

No

- 16. Does the action involve removal, modification, or maintenance of a human-made structure (barn, house, or other building) known or suspected to contain roosting bats?*No*
- 17. Will the action cause construction of one or more new roads open to the public?

For federal actions, answer 'yes' when the construction or operation of these facilities is either (1) part of the federal action or (2) would not occur but for an action taken by a federal agency (federal permit, funding, etc.).

No

18. Will the action include or cause any construction or other activity that is reasonably certain to increase average daily traffic on one or more existing roads?

Note: For federal actions, answer 'yes' when the construction or operation of these facilities is either (1) part of the federal action or (2) would not occur but for an action taken by a federal agency (federal permit, funding, etc.).

Yes

19. Will the increased vehicle traffic occur on any road that lies between any two areas of contiguous forest that are each greater than or equal to 10 acres in extent and are separated by less than 1,000 feet? Northern long-eared bats may cross a road by flying between forest patches that are up to 1,000 feet apart.

Note: "Contiguous forest" of 10 acres or more may includes areas where multiple forest patches are separated by less than 1,000 feet of non-forested area if the forested patches, added together, comprise at least 10 acres. *Yes*

20. For every 1,000 feet of new road that crosses between contiguous forest patches, will there be at least one place where bats could cross the road corridor by flying less than 33 feet (10 meters) between trees whose tops are at least 66 feet (20 meters) higher than the road surface?

Yes

- 21. Will the proposed action involve the creation of a new water-borne contaminant source (e.g., leachate pond pits containing chemicals that are not NSF/ANSI 60 compliant)? *No*
- 22. Will the proposed action involve the creation of a new point source discharge from a facility other than a water treatment plant or storm water system?

No

23. Will the action include drilling or blasting?

No

- 24. Will the action involve military training (e.g., smoke operations, obscurant operations, exploding munitions, artillery fire, range use, helicopter or fixed wing aircraft use)? *No*
- 25. Will the proposed action involve the use of herbicides or pesticides other than herbicides (e.g., fungicides, insecticides, or rodenticides)?

No

26. Will the action include or cause activities that are reasonably certain to cause chronic nighttime noise in suitable summer habitat for the northern long-eared bat? Chronic noise is noise that is continuous or occurs repeatedly again and again for a long time.

Note: Additional information defining suitable summer habitat for the northern long-eared bat can be found at: https://www.fws.gov/media/northern-long-eared-bat-assisted-determination-key-selected-definitions

No

27. Does the action include, or is it reasonably certain to cause, the use of artificial lighting within 1000 feet of suitable northern long-eared bat roosting habitat?

Note: Additional information defining suitable roosting habitat for the northern long-eared bat can be found at: https://www.fws.gov/media/northern-long-eared-bat-assisted-determination-key-selected-definitions *Yes*

28. Will the action use only downward-facing, full cut-off lens lights (with same intensity or less for replacement lighting) when installing new or replacing existing permanent lights? Or for those transportation agencies using the Backlight, Uplight, Glare (BUG) system developed by the Illuminating Engineering Society, will all three ratings (backlight, uplight, and glare) be as close to zero as is possible, with a priority of "uplight" of 0?

Yes

29. Will the action direct any temporary lighting away from suitable northern long-eared bat roosting habitat during the active season?

Note: Active season dates for northern long-eared bat can be found here: <u>https://www.fws.gov/media/inactive-season-dates-swarming-and-staging-areas.</u>

Yes

30. Will the action include tree cutting or other means of knocking down or bringing down trees, tree topping, or tree trimming?

Yes

31. Does the action include emergency cutting or trimming of hazard trees in order to remove an imminent threat to human safety or property? See hazard tree note at the bottom of the key for text that will be added to response letters

Note: A "hazard tree" is a tree that is an immediate threat to lives, public health and safety, or improved property and has a diameter breast height of six inches or greater.

No

- 32. Are any of the trees proposed for cutting or other means of knocking down, bringing down, topping, or trimming suitable for northern long-eared bat roosting (i.e., live trees and/or snags ≥3 inches dbh that have exfoliating bark, cracks, crevices, and/or cavities)? *Yes*
- 33. [Semantic] Does your project intersect a known sensitive area for the northern long-eared bat?

Note: The map queried for this question contains proprietary information and cannot be displayed. If you need additional information, please contact your <u>state agency or USFWS field office</u>

Automatically answered

Yes

PROJECT QUESTIONNAIRE

Enter the extent of the action area (in acres) from which trees will be removed - round up to the nearest tenth of an acre. For this question, include the entire area where tree removal will take place, even if some live or dead trees will be left standing.

6.5

In what extent of the area (in acres) will trees be cut, knocked down, or trimmed during the inactive (hibernation) season for northern long-eared bat? Note: Inactive Season dates for spring staging/fall swarming areas can be found here: https://www.fws.gov/media/inactive-season-dates-swarming-and-staging-areas

6.5

In what extent of the area (in acres) will trees be cut, knocked down, or trimmed during the <u>active</u> (non-hibernation) season for northern long-eared bat? **Note:** Inactive Season dates for spring staging/fall swarming areas can be found here: <u>https://www.fws.gov/media/inactive-season-dates-swarming-and-staging-areas</u>

0

Will all potential northern long-eared bat (NLEB) roost trees (trees \geq 3 inches diameter at breast height, dbh) be cut, knocked, or brought down from any portion of the action area greater than or equal to 0.1 acre? If all NLEB roost trees will be removed from multiple areas, select 'Yes' if the cumulative extent of those areas meets or exceeds 0.1 acre.

Yes

Enter the extent of the action area (in acres) from which all potential NLEB roost trees will be removed. If all NLEB roost trees will be removed from multiple areas, entire the total extent of those areas. Round up to the nearest tenth of an acre.

6.5

For the area from which all potential northern long-eared bat (NLEB) roost trees will be removed, on how many acres (round to the nearest tenth of an acre) will trees be allowed to regrow? Enter '0' if the entire area from which all potential NLEB roost trees are removed will be developed or otherwise converted to non-forest for the foreseeable future.

0

Will any snags (standing dead trees) \geq 3 inches dbh be left standing in the area(s) in which all northern long-eared bat roost trees will be cut, knocked down, or otherwise brought down?

No

Will all project activities by completed by April 1, 2024?

No

IPAC USER CONTACT INFORMATION

Agency:Army Corps of EngineersName:Connie RamseyAddress:2 Hopkins PlazaCity:BaltimoreState:MDZip:21201Emailconnie.l.ramsey@usace.army.milPhone:4109627783

LEAD AGENCY CONTACT INFORMATION

Lead Agency: Army

Name: Tyra Collins Email: Phone:



United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE Chesapeake Bay Ecological Services Field Office 177 Admiral Cochrane Drive Annapolis, MD 21401-7307 Phone: (410) 573-4599 Fax: (410) 266-9127



In Reply Refer To: Project Code: 2023-0081200 Project Name: Fort Meade Child Development Center 5 October 17, 2023

Subject: List of threatened and endangered species that may occur in your proposed project location or may be affected by your proposed project

To Whom It May Concern:

The enclosed species list identifies threatened, endangered, proposed, and candidate species, as well as proposed and final designated critical habitat, that may occur within the boundary of your proposed project and/or may be affected by your proposed project. The species list fulfills the requirements of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) under section 7(c) of the Endangered Species Act (Act) of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

New information based on updated surveys, changes in the abundance and distribution of species, changed habitat conditions, or other factors could change this list. Please feel free to contact us if you need more current information or assistance regarding the potential impacts to federally proposed, listed, and candidate species and federally designated and proposed critical habitat. Please note that under 50 CFR 402.12(e) of the regulations implementing section 7 of the Act, the accuracy of this species list should be verified after 90 days. This verification can be completed formally or informally as desired. The Service recommends that verification be completed by visiting the IPaC website at regular intervals during project planning and implementation for updates to species lists and information. An updated list may be requested through the IPaC system by completing the same process used to receive the enclosed list.

The purpose of the Act is to provide a means whereby threatened and endangered species and the ecosystems upon which they depend may be conserved. Under sections 7(a)(1) and 7(a)(2) of the Act and its implementing regulations (50 CFR 402 *et seq.*), Federal agencies are required to utilize their authorities to carry out programs for the conservation of threatened and endangered species and to determine whether projects may affect threatened and endangered species and/or designated critical habitat.

A Biological Assessment is required for construction projects (or other undertakings having similar physical impacts) that are major Federal actions significantly affecting the quality of the human environment as defined in the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2) (c)). For projects other than major construction activities, the Service suggests that a biological

evaluation similar to a Biological Assessment be prepared to determine whether the project may affect listed or proposed species and/or designated or proposed critical habitat. Recommended contents of a Biological Assessment are described at 50 CFR 402.12.

If a Federal agency determines, based on the Biological Assessment or biological evaluation, that listed species and/or designated critical habitat may be affected by the proposed project, the agency is required to consult with the Service pursuant to 50 CFR 402. In addition, the Service recommends that candidate species, proposed species and proposed critical habitat be addressed within the consultation. More information on the regulations and procedures for section 7 consultation, including the role of permit or license applicants, can be found in the "Endangered Species Consultation Handbook" at:

https://www.fws.gov/sites/default/files/documents/endangered-species-consultation-handbook.pdf

Migratory Birds: In addition to responsibilities to protect threatened and endangered species under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), there are additional responsibilities under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (BGEPA) to protect native birds from project-related impacts. Any activity, intentional or unintentional, resulting in take of migratory birds, including eagles, is prohibited unless otherwise permitted by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (50 C.F.R. Sec. 10.12 and 16 U.S.C. Sec. 668(a)). For more information regarding these Acts, see https://www.fws.gov/program/migratory-bird-permit/whatwe-do.

The MBTA has no provision for allowing take of migratory birds that may be unintentionally killed or injured by otherwise lawful activities. It is the responsibility of the project proponent to comply with these Acts by identifying potential impacts to migratory birds and eagles within applicable NEPA documents (when there is a federal nexus) or a Bird/Eagle Conservation Plan (when there is no federal nexus). Proponents should implement conservation measures to avoid or minimize the production of project-related stressors or minimize the exposure of birds and their resources to the project-related stressors. For more information on avian stressors and recommended conservation measures, see https://www.fws.gov/library/collections/threats-birds.

In addition to MBTA and BGEPA, Executive Order 13186: *Responsibilities of Federal Agencies to Protect Migratory Birds*, obligates all Federal agencies that engage in or authorize activities that might affect migratory birds, to minimize those effects and encourage conservation measures that will improve bird populations. Executive Order 13186 provides for the protection of both migratory birds and migratory bird habitat. For information regarding the implementation of Executive Order 13186, please visit https://www.fws.gov/partner/council-conservation-migratory-birds.

We appreciate your concern for threatened and endangered species. The Service encourages Federal agencies to include conservation of threatened and endangered species into their project planning to further the purposes of the Act. Please include the Consultation Code in the header of this letter with any request for consultation or correspondence about your project that you submit to our office.

Attachment(s):

- Official Species List
- USFWS National Wildlife Refuges and Fish Hatcheries
- Wetlands

OFFICIAL SPECIES LIST

This list is provided pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, and fulfills the requirement for Federal agencies to "request of the Secretary of the Interior information whether any species which is listed or proposed to be listed may be present in the area of a proposed action".

This species list is provided by:

Chesapeake Bay Ecological Services Field Office

177 Admiral Cochrane Drive Annapolis, MD 21401-7307 (410) 573-4599

PROJECT SUMMARY

Project Code:	2023-0081200	
Project Name:	Fort Meade Child Development Center 5	
Project Type:	Military Development	
Project Description:	Design, construct and operate a standard, medium-sized child	
	development center to accommodate	children on
	FMMD.	



Arundel County, Maryland
ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT SPECIES

There is a total of 2 threatened, endangered, or candidate species on this species list.

Species on this list should be considered in an effects analysis for your project and could include species that exist in another geographic area. For example, certain fish may appear on the species list because a project could affect downstream species.

IPaC does not display listed species or critical habitats under the sole jurisdiction of NOAA Fisheries¹, as USFWS does not have the authority to speak on behalf of NOAA and the Department of Commerce.

See the "Critical habitats" section below for those critical habitats that lie wholly or partially within your project area under this office's jurisdiction. Please contact the designated FWS office if you have questions.

1. <u>NOAA Fisheries</u>, also known as the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), is an office of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration within the Department of Commerce.

MAMMALS

NAME	STATUS
Northern Long-eared Bat <i>Myotis septentrionalis</i> No critical habitat has been designated for this species. Species profile: <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9045</u>	Endangered
INSECTS NAME	STATUS
Monarch Butterfly <i>Danaus plexippus</i> No critical habitat has been designated for this species. Species profile: <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9743</u>	Candidate

CRITICAL HABITATS

THERE ARE NO CRITICAL HABITATS WITHIN YOUR PROJECT AREA UNDER THIS OFFICE'S JURISDICTION.

YOU ARE STILL REQUIRED TO DETERMINE IF YOUR PROJECT(S) MAY HAVE EFFECTS ON ALL ABOVE LISTED SPECIES.

USFWS NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE LANDS AND FISH HATCHERIES

Any activity proposed on lands managed by the <u>National Wildlife Refuge</u> system must undergo a 'Compatibility Determination' conducted by the Refuge. Please contact the individual Refuges to discuss any questions or concerns.

THERE ARE NO REFUGE LANDS OR FISH HATCHERIES WITHIN YOUR PROJECT AREA.

WETLANDS

Impacts to <u>NWI wetlands</u> and other aquatic habitats may be subject to regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, or other State/Federal statutes.

For more information please contact the Regulatory Program of the local <u>U.S. Army Corps of</u> <u>Engineers District</u>.

Please note that the NWI data being shown may be out of date. We are currently working to update our NWI data set. We recommend you verify these results with a site visit to determine the actual extent of wetlands on site.

FRESHWATER POND

• <u>PUBHx</u>

IPAC USER CONTACT INFORMATION

Agency:Army Corps of EngineersName:Connie RamseyAddress:2 Hopkins PlazaCity:BaltimoreState:MDZip:21201Emailconnie.l.ramsey@usace.army.milPhone:4109627783

LEAD AGENCY CONTACT INFORMATION

Lead Agency: Army



From:	Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
То:	Andrew Glucksman
Cc:	Sean McCain; Nicholas Sutton
Subject:	FW: [Non-DoD Source] Re: Comments on a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland
Date:	Monday, November 20, 2023 12:06:27 PM
Attachments:	<u>2023.1457.aa.docx</u>

For Admin Record.

From: Lori Byrne -DNR- <lori.byrne@maryland.gov>

Sent: Friday, November 17, 2023 1:37 PM

To: Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY CENAB (USA) < Ariel.B.Poirier@usace.army.mil>

Cc: Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA) <Connie.L.Ramsey@usace.army.mil>; Katharine

McCarthy -DNR- <katharine.mccarthy@maryland.gov>; Dana L. Limpert -DNR-

<danal.limpert@maryland.gov>; Thompson, Julie <julie_thompson@fws.gov>

Subject: [Non-DoD Source] Re: Comments on a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland

Dear Ms. Ramsey,

Please see attached for our response to your review request. Thank you. Lori Byrne

dnr.maryland.gov	Lori A. Byrne Environmental Review Coordinator Wildlife and Heritage Service Department of Natural Resources 580 Taylor Avenue, E-1 Annapolis, MD 21401 410-260-8573 (office) 410-260-8596 (FAX) Iori.byrne@maryland.gov
------------------	--

On Mon, Sep 18, 2023 at 8:38 AM Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY CENAB (USA) <<u>Ariel.B.Poirier@usace.army.mil</u>> wrote:

Ms. Byrne,

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Planning Division is supporting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation of the U.S. Army's Child Development Center (CDC) Project at Fort George G. Meade in Maryland. On behalf of Fort Meade, we are writing to respectfully request any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and that should be evaluated in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

We are deeply committed to ensuring that your voice is heard, and your issues and concerns are addressed promptly. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Please direct any comments or questions you have to the undersigned below, or to: Connie Ramsey, Baltimore District Project Manager, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, <u>Connie.L.Ramsey@usace.army.mil</u>.

Thank you, Ariel

From:	Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
To:	Andrew Glucksman
Cc:	Sean McCain; Nicholas Sutton
Subject:	FW: [EXTERNAL] Section 7 Initial Coordination for a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland
Date:	Tuesday, November 21, 2023 11:30:05 AM

For incorporation into the Draft EA:

From: Deeley, Sabrina M <sabrina_deeley@fws.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 21, 2023 10:42 AM
To: Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA) <Connie.L.Ramsey@usace.army.mil>
Cc:

Subject: [Non-DoD Source] RE: [EXTERNAL] Section 7 Initial Coordination for a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland

Good morning,

Thank you for your email and our meeting earlier this month.

The DoD has the responsibility to work towards conservation, recovering species, and preserving and restoring habitats. The Endangered Species Act 7(a)1 states that federal agencies shall conserve *the ecosystems upon which endangered and threatened species depend may be conserved*. The Sikes Act stipulates that the DoD should develop a program, facilitated by INRMPs, for the *conservation and rehabilitation of natural resources on military installations*. Therefore, as we discussed, we recommend that the installation to the maximum extent practicable:

- Plans to avoid tree clearing in the spring/summer
- Minimizes the footprint of construction to preserve trees
- Plant native and pollinator-friendly species, use bioswales, etc.
- Use bird-friendly windows and minimum nighttime lighting

By avoiding clearing trees during the spring and summer, the installation can avoid direct impacts to reproductive bats and birds. Where possible, the installation should try to preserve or replace as many trees as possible. Northeastern bat communities have drastically changed in recent decades, as many species have undergone large population losses due to white-nose syndrome. The U.S. and Canada have lost three billion birds over the last 50 years. Climate change combined with habitat degradation and loss are threatening many formerly-common forest songbirds, such as the wood thrush (*Hylocichla mustelina*). The development and maintenance of larger forest stands may provide summer habitat (critical for reproduction) and/or migration corridors for any number of bats and remaining bird communities.

Though native species plantings, bioswales, and pollinator-friendly plantings, the installation can replace some habitat. Native vegetation can provide critical refugia for many species, such as the Monarch butterfly (Danaus plexippus). In urban and suburban landscapes, military installations can provide essential habitat for species, whether they are full-time residents or using the land as a stop-over on their migratory journeys. Forests and meadows provide food resources for many bird species and important stop-over habitat for migratory species.

Building new structures with bird-friendly windows and minimum nighttime lighting can reduce or eliminate negative impacts of new construction on birds. <u>Bird collisions with structures kills</u> almost 1 billion birds a year. <u>Nighttime lighting</u> can confuse and harm or kill migrating birds.

Best Management Practices and Recommendations:

- GSA standards (see Section 3.6.7)
- LEED credits
- <u>Best Management Practices DENIX (osd.mil)</u>
- <u>DoD Natural Resources Legacy Project bird fact sheets</u>
- <u>DoD Migratory bird legislation and policy 1 and 2</u>

Please let me know if we can provide any assistance.

Thank you, Sabrina

From: Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA) <<u>Connie.L.Ramsey@usace.army.mil</u>> Sent: Tuesday, October 17, 2023 3:30 PM

To: LaRouche, Genevieve <<u>Genevieve_LaRouche@fws.gov</u>>

Cc: Deeley, Sabrina M <<u>sabrina_deeley@fws.gov</u>>;

Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY

CENAB (USA) <<u>Ariel.B.Poirier@usace.army.mil</u>>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Section 7 Initial Coordination for a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Ms. LaRouche,

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Planning Division is supporting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation of the U.S. Army's Child Development Center (CDC) Project at Fort George G. Meade in Maryland. On behalf of Fort Meade, we are writing to respectfully request any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and that should be evaluated in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

Attached with this email is the Official Species List for the Proposed Action area, as well as the results of the most recent dKey available for the Northern Long-Eared Bat (NLEB) available on the Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) website. We understand the Chesapeake Bay Ecological Services Field Office has been working with Fort Meade to conduct bat surveys over this past summer. We would welcome feedback on incorporating any of those results into the EA, as well

as understanding how those results may inform any Conservation Measures that could be implemented for the Proposed Action.

We appreciate your time and consideration. Please let me know if there is any additional information that you need for this consultation.

Thank you,

Connie Ramsey, Biologist Acting Section Chief, Installation Support Branch Planning Division Baltimore District USACE 2 Hopkins Plaza Baltimore, MD 21201 Office: 410.962.7783 Cell: 443.310.2722

From:	Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
То:	Andrew Glucksman
Cc:	Sean McCain; Nicholas Sutton
Subject:	FW: Comments on a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland
Date:	Tuesday, October 31, 2023 1:19:46 PM
Attachments:	image003.png
	image004.png
	image005.png

Hi, Andrew. Comments for your consideration while we wait for FMMD to provide their comments. $\ensuremath{v/r}$

Connie

From: Willson, Matthew (he/him/his) <Willson.Matthew@epa.gov> Sent: Tuesday, October 31, 2023 12:47 PM

To: Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY CENAB (USA) <Ariel.B.Poirier@usace.army.mil>; Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA) <Connie.L.Ramsey@usace.army.mil>

Cc: Traver, Carrie <Traver.Carrie@epa.gov>; Witman, Timothy <witman.timothy@epa.gov>; Davis, Jamie <Davis.Jamie@epa.gov>;

Subject: [Non-DoD Source] FW: Comments on a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland

Dear Ms. Ramsey and Ms. Poirier:

Thank you for providing notice to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) that U.S. Army Garrison, Fort George G. Meade, Maryland (FMMD) is preparing an Environmental Assessment (EA or Study) for the Proposed Construction and Operation of a medium-size standard design Child Development Center (CDC) facility to provide childcare services to eligible families. The Proposed Action includes the design, construction and operation of a new, approximately 26,450 square foot CDC V facility in the northern section of FMMD. The medium CDC is a Center of Standardization (COS) standard floor plan. The building will be a single-story steel frame structure with brick masonry exterior walls and a standing seam metal hipped roof to match the Fort Meade Installation Planning Standards. Site design would include fenced outdoor play areas, stormwater management features, and parking for staff and patrons.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations implementing NEPA (40 CFR 1500-1508), we have the following comments for your consideration:

Alternatives

EPA recommends that FMMD evaluate a full range of reasonable alternatives that fulfill the project's purpose and need and suggests selection of an alternative that avoids and minimizes environmental impacts.

While the three current CDCs at FMMD are insufficient to support the needs of eligible patrons, the Proposed Action would site the CDC in a currently forested location. Reuse of existing site or sites that are already developed or disturbed would not only reduce expansion of impervious surfaces, but also retain the stormwater, water quality, carbon storage and sequestration, and habitat benefits of the existing vegetation. Therefore, EPA recommends fully evaluating alternative locations at FMMD to reduce impacts to resources, including water quality.

Likewise, we recommend evaluation of locations onsite at FMMD where colocation of the CDC may also allow some use of existing facilities such as parking, security, fuel storage, etc. to reduce impacts and costs.

Aquatic Resources and Water Quality

Given existing watershed degradation from development and stormwater, the EA should include a thorough discussion of potential water quality impacts of the Proposed Action and any alternatives. Based on Enclosure 1, the project is located near tributaries for both Midway Branch (HUC 021311050949) and Franklin Branch as well as the main stem of Franklin Branch, (HUC 021311050949). These streams are impaired for aquatic life use and specifically identified as priorities for restoration in the FMMD October 2020 Draft Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) for Stream Restoration.

The Draft PEA concluded that existing urbanization and development has caused unstable conditions in these and other streams at FMMD. It appears the proposed project would increase impervious coverage in proximity to the already-impaired unnamed tributary, remove vegetation, and likely add to the site urbanization and stormwater issues. As indicated above, we recommend evaluation of alternative sites. If development of the site identified in Enclosure 1 remains the Proposed Action, it will be critical to commit to robust measures to prevent water quality degradation early in the planning process.

EPA strongly supports sustainable building and site design. We suggest incorporating Low Impact Development (LID) and green infrastructure (GI) into site design to reduce runoff volume and improve water quality and encourage incorporation of LID principles in the early stages of the project, including in the conceptual layout. The fundamental principles of LID and GI are to maintain pre-development hydrology of the site and ensure that the project does not cause receiving waters to be adversely impacted by changes in runoff temperature, volume, duration, and rate. We support minimizing the construction of additional impervious area and/or limiting its effects. Examples of measures to reduce impacts include preservation of natural vegetation and forested buffers, use of pervious pavement, green roof installation, and rooftop stormwater collection and reuse. Guidance and resources for implementing green infrastructure practices and LID can be found at: <u>www.epa.gov/greeninfrastructure</u>; <u>https://www.epa.gov/nps/urban-runoff-low-impact-development</u>; and <u>http://www.bmpdatabase.org</u>

To effectively evaluate the potential for impacts to aquatic resource, the extent of streams and wetlands should be delineated and distance from limit of disturbance estimated the EA should outline specific measures to protect surface waters and the aquatic ecosystem, including best management practices (BMPs) to address both construction and post-construction stormwater management.

Spill management and prevention for the diesel fuel storage should also be addressed.

Biological Resources

As shown on Enclosure 1, the currently proposed location is on forested land. The EA should evaluate impacts on species, habitats, and ecosystems based on the existing and proposed conditions. Key to this assessment is a baseline assessment of vegetation and habitat, including characterizing the species, type, and approximate age of trees. The impact to each type of vegetative community should be estimated. We recommend supporting this discussion with the Forest Stand Delineation and Conservation Plan for Maryland's' Forest Conservation Act.

Direct, indirect, and cumulative effects to species should be evaluated. Potential impacts to biological resources from development and building operation may include mortality, noise, turbidity, lighting, pollution, and dispersal of invasive species. We recommend evaluating measures to reduce such impacts, including surveys, time of year restrictions, bird friendly design to reduce collisions and mortality, and lighting that minimizes impacts to species.

Connectivity of habitat should also be considered. We recommend that FMMD evaluate how alternatives support the management, long-term conservation, enhancement, protection, and restoration of year-round habitat, seasonal habitat, stopover habitat, wildlife corridors, watersheds, and other features and processes that promote habitat connectivity in accordance with the March 21, 2023, CEQ Guidance for Federal Departments and Agencies on Ecological Connectivity and Wildlife Corridors. (See https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/230318-Corridors-connectivity-guidance-memo-final-draft-formatted.pdf)

As indicated above, EPA recommends protecting and enhancing native vegetation where possible, including avoiding impacts to forest and riparian buffers. We recommend evaluating and committing to avoidance and mitigation measures.

<u>Air Quality</u>

Under the general conformity rule, reasonably direct and indirect foreseeable emissions associated with operational and construction activities, must be quantified and compared to the annual de minimis levels for those pollutants in nonattainment or maintenance. As Anne Arundel County (in the Baltimore MD Area) is currently in nonattainment for several standards including 8-hour ozone (1997), 8-hour ozone (2008), 8-hour ozone (2015), and sulfur dioxide (2010), EPA recommends that the EA

include a general conformity applicability analysis or determination according to the guidance provided in 40 CFR Part 93 (*Determining Conformity of General Federal Actions to State or Federal Implementation Plans*).

Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Climate Change

On January 9, 2023, Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) published interim guidance to assist federal agencies in assessing and disclosing climate change impacts during environmental reviews. CEQ developed this guidance in response to Executive Order (EO) 13990, Protecting Public Health and the Environment and Restoring Science to Tackle the Climate Crisis. CEQ indicated that agencies should use this interim guidance to inform the NEPA review for all new proposed actions. EPA recommends FMMD consider the interim guidance as appropriate, to ensure robust consideration of potential climate impacts, mitigation, and adaptation. Tools for estimating greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions can be found at *https://ceq.doe.gov/guidance/ghg-tools-and-resources.html*

Consistent with this guidance, EPA recommends that GHG generation from construction and operation of the Proposed Action be assessed. We suggest outlining mitigation measures and BMPs that will be implemented to reduce energy use and emissions, such as energy efficient lighting and heating and cooling systems, installation of roof solar panels, and green or cool roof installations.

We recommend that the EA consider how reasonably foreseeable effects of climate change could potentially influence resources in the project area, what impacts climate change may have on the Proposed Action, and specific measures that could promote climate resiliency.

Hazardous and Toxic Substances

The EA should identify any known contamination in the project areas, completed or ongoing remediation efforts, and additional studies that will be conducted. Overall, potential impacts from the proposed activities, or how such impacts may be avoided or minimized during construction should be clear.

Children's Health

Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks, requires each federal agency to identify and assess environmental health and safety risks to children. "Environmental health and safety risks" are defined as "risks to health or to safety that are attributable to products or substances that the child is likely to come in contact with or ingest." It is recommended when conducting assessments of environmental risks, the lead agency take into account health risks to children and infants from environmental hazards, discuss any cleanup standards, design or materials use that would address the expectation of exposure to children, this is especially important due to the proximity of several cleanup sites that are part of the Fort George G. Meade Superfund Site. Also please consider any potential impacts to children that may result from the Proposed Action, including construction, and any appropriate BMPs.

Communities and Traffic

As shown, a forested buffer >600 feet currently provides visual screening and noise attenuation between FMMD and the nearby residential properties to the east. Given this proximity, offsite impacts should be fully evaluated, including visual impacts, lighting, localized emissions, and noise impacts during operation and construction.

Environmental Justice

EO 14096, *Revitalizing Our Nation's Commitment to Environmental Justice for All*, (April 26, 2023) expands and deepens the directives and concepts outlined in EO 12898, *Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations*. EO 14096 directs federal agencies to identify, analyze, and address disproportionate and adverse human health and environmental effects and hazards of federal activities. EO 14096 also directs agencies to consider cumulative impacts of pollution and other burdens, such as climate change.

In accordance with these EOs, EPA recommends that the EA indicate whether there may be communities with potential Environmental Justice (EJ) concerns in the vicinity of the Proposed Action or alternatives, assess potential impacts to these communities (such as noise and traffic), and evaluate whether those impacts may be individually or cumulatively adverse. For screening, EPA recommends using census block group (the smallest geographical unit for which the U.S. Census Bureau publishes data) or more refined data as larger area (such as counties or cities) may dilute the presence of populations with potential EJ concerns. We recommend showing low income and minority census block groups in relation to the project area. EPA notes that certain populations, such as low-income and/or people of color populations, may face elevated susceptibility to impacts that may affect others less severely.

EPA recommends the use of its screening tool, EJSCREEN

(https://www.epa.gov/ejscreen) and other resources such as Promising Practices for EJ Methodologies in NEPA Reviews (www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2016-08/documents/nepa promising practices document 2016.pdf) to aid in identifying potential communities with EJ concerns that may be impacted by project activities. In addition to data regarding communities of color and low-income populations, the tool provides demographic data at the census block group level for linguistic isolation, education, and age, as well as EJ indices, which may enhance EJ-related analyses and outreach. We note that EPA's <u>EJSCREEN</u> indicates that several census block groups to the west of FMMD include high percentages of people of color. In addition, EJ Indices for ozone and air toxics cancer risk for several block groups in the vicinity are above the 80th percentile nationally and statewide, potentially indicating increased risk from ozone concentrations and inhalation of air toxics.

EPA recommends that FMMD conduct effective community outreach to inform the identification of impacts and vulnerabilities as well as identify opportunities for impact avoidance, minimization, and/or mitigation. EPA also encourages FMMD to work with community leaders to communicate project information and provide notices of public meetings, notices of informational events, and/or other related resources at visible, frequently visited community locations such as community centers or places of worship. We recommend detailing outreach that has been conducted or is planned in the Study.

Cultural Resources

We support early engagement with the State Historic Preservation Office to identify, avoid, and minimize potential adverse effects from the proposed activities on cultural resources. We recommend that the EA identify whether any investigations have or will be conducted for potential historic, archeological, or cultural resources in proximity to the project area and whether any impacts may occur to resources listed or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places. We recommend that the EA indicate the status of the consultation under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 as well as consultation with Native American Tribes.

Again, thank you for notifying us of the preparation of the EA. Please feel free to contact me if you wish to discuss any of the questions or comments. I would like to request a link to or copy of the Draft EA by email when it is available.

Matthew Willson

NEPA Specialist- Environmental Assessment Branch Office of Communities, Tribes and Environmental Assessment <u>EPA Region 3</u> Philadelphia, PA **f v** Phone: 215-814-5795 Email: <u>willson.matthew@epa.gov</u>

Pronouns: He/Him/His

From: Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY CENAB (USA) <<u>Ariel.B.Poirier@usace.army.mil</u>>
Sent: Monday, September 18, 2023 8:40 AM

To: Traver, Carrie
 Carrie
 Carrie
 Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
 Connie.L.Ramsey@usace.army.mil
 rebecca.j.marson.civ@army.mil

Subject: Comments on a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland

Caution: This email originated from outside EPA, please exercise additional caution when deciding whether to open attachments or click on provided links.

Ms. Traver,

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Planning Division is supporting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation of the U.S. Army's Child Development Center (CDC) Project at Fort George G. Meade in Maryland. On behalf of Fort Meade, we are writing to respectfully request any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and that should be evaluated in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

We are deeply committed to ensuring that your voice is heard, and your issues and concerns are addressed promptly. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Please direct any comments or questions you have to the undersigned below, or to: Connie Ramsey, Baltimore District Project Manager, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, <u>Connie.L.Ramsey@usace.army.mil</u>.

Thank you, Ariel



November 17, 2023

Ms. Connie Ramsey Baltimore District USACE 2 Hopkins Plaza Baltimore, MD 21201

RE: Environmental Review for Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade - Draft EA, Anne Arundel County, Maryland.

Dear Ms. Ramsey:

The Wildlife and Heritage Service has determined that this project site is within an area that contains habitat for the Northern Long-eared Bat (*Myotis septentrionalis*), which is listed as an endangered species by the State of Maryland and by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

In order to reduce loss of summer occupancy habitat for this species, we recommend that forest clearing and permanent forest loss be minimized to the greatest extent possible. To compensate for permanent forest loss on the project site, forest conservation/reforestation should be pursued off-site. As required by the Forest Conservation Act, the priority for reforestation should be the first two options provided by the Act: (1) establishing or enhancing forest buffers adjacent to intermittent and perennial streams and within the 100yr floodplains; (2) establishing or increasing existing forested corridors to connect existing forests within or adjacent to the site to facilitate wildlife movement. Conserving a portion of a forest that is comparable in stand composition and age would be preferred for maintaining Northern Long-eared Bay summer habitat. Also, there should be no forest removal/clearing (inclusive of branch trimming) during the summer time-of-year when this species is utilizing the forest. That time-of-year is considered to be May 1 – July 31 of any given year.

Projects that have the potential to impact forest habitat of 15 acres or more should also be screened by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Chesapeake Bay Field Office (CBFO) at: <u>https://www.fws.gov/office/chesapeake-bay-ecological-services/project-review.</u>

Please be sure to let us know if the limits of proposed disturbance or overall site boundaries change and we will provide you with an updated evaluation. Thank you for allowing us the opportunity to review this project. If you should have any further questions regarding this information, please contact me at <u>lori.byrne@maryland.gov</u> or at (410) 260-8573.

Sincerely,

don'a. Bym

Lori A. Byrne, Environmental Review Coordinator Wildlife and Heritage Service MD Dept. of Natural Resources

ER# 2023.1457.aa Cc: K. McCarthy, DNR J. Thompson, US FWS

From:	Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
To:	<u>dfrazier@astribe.com</u>
Cc:	; Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
Subject:	Comments on a Proposed Child Development Center Project on Fort Meade, Maryland
Date:	Tuesday, September 12, 2023 12:34:47 PM
Attachments:	Absentee Shawnee Tribal Initial Coordination CDC5.pdf

Mr. Frazier-Smith,

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Planning Division is supporting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation of the U.S. Army's Child Development Center (CDC) Project at Fort George G. Meade in Maryland. On behalf of Fort Meade, we are writing to respectfully request any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and that should be evaluated in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

We are deeply committed to ensuring that your voice is heard, and your issues and concerns are addressed promptly. We also understand the need to protect sacred and traditional knowledge and will ensure the utmost confidentiality and protection of that information. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Please direct any comments or questions you have to the undersigned below, or to:

Jerald Glodek, Cultural Resource Manager, Fort George G. Meade,

Thank you, Ariel



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY US ARMY INSTALLATION COMMAND HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES ARMY GARRISON 4551 LLEWELLYN AVENUE, SUITE 5000 FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-5115

September 12, 2023

Directorate of Public Works

Mr. Devon Frazier-Smith Tribal Historic Preservation Officer Absentee Shawnee Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma 2025 South Gordon Cooper Drive Shawnee, Oklahoma 74801

Dear Mr. Frazier-Smith,

The Army recognizes its responsibilities to maintain Government-to-Government relationship with all tribes affected by activities on Army Installations and our federal trust responsibility for those lands. In the interest of early and frequent communication under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended, pursuant to 36 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 800.3(f)(2) and as part of the Department of Defense's policy for Government-to-Government consultation with Native American tribes, I am writing to inform you that the Army is beginning the scoping process to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) for a new undertaking on Fort Meade, Maryland (FMMD), to include construction and operation of a new, approximately 26,450 square foot Child Development Center (CDC) facility to provide childcare services to families of active-duty military stationed at FMMD, as well as staff of tenants on post, military retirees, and other eligible units and agencies off post. This correspondence would serve to initiate consultation under Section 106 of the NHPA.

The Army will be preparing the EA under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 United States Code Section 4321 et seq.), the Council on Environmental Quality regulations implementing NEPA (40 CFR Parts 1500–1508), and the Army's regulations implementing NEPA (32 CFR Part 651). This project is in the early stages of planning. As soon as more detailed project information has been developed, formal tribal consultation will be initiated.

The purpose of this EA is to inform decision-makers, tribes, stakeholders, and the public of the potential environmental consequences and any associated mitigations, as applicable. Affected Native American tribes and interested persons, organizations, and agencies will have multiple opportunities to provide input on the proposed project. The following resources are evaluated in this EA: land use; airspace; noise; air quality; water resources; biological resources; cultural resources; geology, topography, and soils; hazardous and toxic materials and waste (HTMW); traffic and transportation; infrastructure and utilities; socioeconomics, environmental justice, and protection of children; and human health and safety.

At this early stage in the analysis, the geographic boundaries of the Area of Potential Effects (APE) for this undertaking are conservatively estimated to be the project boundary

and those areas from which the construction would be visible. The Army anticipates

the APE would include areas where the construction and operation of the facility may directly or indirectly cause changes in the character or use of historic properties.

As we are beginning the analysis of the above-referenced resource areas, I would like to invite your input on the anticipated APE for this undertaking. As discussed previously, more information about specific project plans will be available for review as they are developed to better assist in evaluating the impacts the proposed project may create. I understand that information that you provide on tribal religious or cultural items will be offered voluntarily in the spirit of assisting with our decision making for the project. Based on the available information regarding the proposed action, we welcome any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and should be evaluated in the EA.

Any information pertaining to whether this action has the potential to affect tribal trust, subsistence, and/or cultural resources or if tribal rights and/or any protected resources may be affected by this proposed action would be greatly appreciated. Any general comments you may have on the proposed action and proposed alternatives, including discussing possible actions that would benefit your tribe, would also be welcome. I would be happy to answer any questions you may have about the project at this stage. Feel free to connect with me about the project via the contact information listed below. All information provided will be treated with the utmost confidentiality and in accordance with your wishes of how and whether this information can be used. I am also interested in locating any official tribal histories or historical reference materials that are more accurate and/or preferred by your tribe.

Determinations on the Army's process to identify historic properties within the APE and evaluation and effects determinations made in accordance with Section 106 of the NHPA will be made in consultation with all affected Native American tribes, as well as the State Historic Preservation Offices, and the interested public.

If you have questions or concerns, or require further information, please feel free to contact our Cultural Resource Manager, Jerald Glodeck, at 4216 Roberts Avenue, Fort Meade, Maryland, 20755, by phone at

Sincerely,



George B. Knight Environmental Division Chief

Enclosure:



Ms. Orbaker,

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Planning Division is supporting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation of the U.S. Army's Child Development Center (CDC) Project at Fort George G. Meade in Maryland. On behalf of Fort Meade, we are writing to respectfully request any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and that should be evaluated in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

We are deeply committed to ensuring that your voice is heard, and your issues and concerns are addressed promptly. We also understand the need to protect sacred and traditional knowledge and will ensure the utmost confidentiality and protection of that information. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Please direct any comments or questions you have to the undersigned below, or to:

Jerald Glodek, Cultural Resource Manager, Fort George G. Meade,

Thank you, Ariel



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY US ARMY INSTALLATION COMMAND HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES ARMY GARRISON 4551 LLEWELLYN AVENUE, SUITE 5000 FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-5115

September 13, 2023

Directorate of Public Works

Ms. Tina Orbaker Alternative Federal Representative Cayuga Nation P.O. Box 803 Seneca Falls, NY 13148

Dear Ms. Orbaker,

The Army recognizes its responsibilities to maintain Government-to-Government relationship with all tribes affected by activities on Army Installations and our federal trust responsibility for those lands. In the interest of early and frequent communication under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended, pursuant to 36 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 800.3(f)(2) and as part of the Department of Defense's policy for Government-to-Government consultation with Native American tribes, I am writing to inform you that the Army is beginning the scoping process to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) for a new undertaking on Fort Meade, Maryland (FMMD), to include construction and operation of a new, approximately 26,450 square foot Child Development Center (CDC) facility to provide childcare services to families of active-duty military stationed at FMMD, as well as staff of tenants on post, military retirees, and other eligible units and agencies off post. This correspondence would serve to initiate consultation under Section 106 of the NHPA.

The Army will be preparing the EA under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 United States Code Section 4321 et seq.), the Council on Environmental Quality regulations implementing NEPA (40 CFR Parts 1500–1508), and the Army's regulations implementing NEPA (32 CFR Part 651). This project is in the early stages of planning. As soon as more detailed project information has been developed, formal tribal consultation will be initiated.

The purpose of this EA is to inform decision-makers, tribes, stakeholders, and the public of the potential environmental consequences and any associated mitigations, as applicable. Affected Native American tribes and interested persons, organizations, and agencies will have multiple opportunities to provide input on the proposed project. The following resources are evaluated in this EA: land use; airspace; noise; air quality; water resources; biological resources; cultural resources; geology, topography, and soils; hazardous and toxic materials and waste (HTMW); traffic and transportation; infrastructure and utilities; socioeconomics, environmental justice, and protection of children; and human health and safety.

At this early stage in the analysis, the geographic boundaries of the Area of Potential Effects (APE) for this undertaking are conservatively estimated to be the project boundary

and those areas from which the construction would be visible. The Army anticipates

the APE would include areas where the construction and operation of the facility may directly or indirectly cause changes in the character or use of historic properties.

As we are beginning the analysis of the above-referenced resource areas, I would like to invite your input on the anticipated APE for this undertaking. As discussed previously, more information about specific project plans will be available for review as they are developed to better assist in evaluating the impacts the proposed project may create. I understand that information that you provide on tribal religious or cultural items will be offered voluntarily in the spirit of assisting with our decision making for the project. Based on the available information regarding the proposed action, we welcome any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and should be evaluated in the EA.

Any information pertaining to whether this action has the potential to affect tribal trust, subsistence, and/or cultural resources or if tribal rights and/or any protected resources may be affected by this proposed action would be greatly appreciated. Any general comments you may have on the proposed action and proposed alternatives, including discussing possible actions that would benefit your tribe, would also be welcome. I would be happy to answer any questions you may have about the project at this stage. Feel free to connect with me about the project via the contact information listed below. All information provided will be treated with the utmost confidentiality and in accordance with your wishes of how and whether this information can be used. I am also interested in locating any official tribal histories or historical reference materials that are more accurate and/or preferred by your tribe.

Determinations on the Army's process to identify historic properties within the APE and evaluation and effects determinations made in accordance with Section 106 of the NHPA will be made in consultation with all affected Native American tribes, as well as the State Historic Preservation Offices, and the interested public.

If you have questions or concerns, or require further information, please feel free to contact our Cultural Resource Manager, Jerald Glodeck, at 4216 Roberts Avenue, Fort Meade, Maryland, 20755, by phone at

Sincerely,



George B. Knight Environmental Division Chief

Enclosure:



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY US ARMY INSTALLATION COMMAND HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES ARMY GARRISON 4551 LLEWELLYN AVENUE, SUITE 5000 FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-5115

September 12, 2023

Directorate of Public Works

Mr. Tim Twoguns Alternative Federal Representative Cayuga Nation P.O. Box 803 Seneca Falls, NY 13148

Dear Mr. Twoguns,

The Army recognizes its responsibilities to maintain Government-to-Government relationship with all tribes affected by activities on Army Installations and our federal trust responsibility for those lands. In the interest of early and frequent communication under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended, pursuant to 36 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 800.3(f)(2) and as part of the Department of Defense's policy for Government-to-Government consultation with Native American tribes, I am writing to inform you that the Army is beginning the scoping process to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) for a new undertaking on Fort Meade, Maryland (FMMD), to include construction and operation of a new, approximately 26,450 square foot Child Development Center (CDC) facility to provide childcare services to families of active-duty military stationed at FMMD, as well as staff of tenants on post, military retirees, and other eligible units and agencies off post. This correspondence would serve to initiate consultation under Section 106 of the NHPA.

The Army will be preparing the EA under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 United States Code Section 4321 et seq.), the Council on Environmental Quality regulations implementing NEPA (40 CFR Parts 1500–1508), and the Army's regulations implementing NEPA (32 CFR Part 651). This project is in the early stages of planning. As soon as more detailed project information has been developed, formal tribal consultation will be initiated.

The purpose of this EA is to inform decision-makers, tribes, stakeholders, and the public of the potential environmental consequences and any associated mitigations, as applicable. Affected Native American tribes and interested persons, organizations, and agencies will have multiple opportunities to provide input on the proposed project. The following resources are evaluated in this EA: land use; airspace; noise; air quality; water resources; biological resources; cultural resources; geology, topography, and soils; hazardous and toxic materials and waste (HTMW); traffic and transportation; infrastructure and utilities; socioeconomics, environmental justice, and protection of children; and human health and safety.

At this early stage in the analysis, the geographic boundaries of the Area of Potential Effects (APE) for this undertaking are conservatively estimated to be the project boundary

and those areas from which the construction would be visible. The Army anticipates

the APE would include areas where the construction and operation of the facility may directly or indirectly cause changes in the character or use of historic properties.

As we are beginning the analysis of the above-referenced resource areas, I would like to invite your input on the anticipated APE for this undertaking. As discussed previously, more information about specific project plans will be available for review as they are developed to better assist in evaluating the impacts the proposed project may create. I understand that information that you provide on tribal religious or cultural items will be offered voluntarily in the spirit of assisting with our decision making for the project. Based on the available information regarding the proposed action, we welcome any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and should be evaluated in the EA.

Any information pertaining to whether this action has the potential to affect tribal trust, subsistence, and/or cultural resources or if tribal rights and/or any protected resources may be affected by this proposed action would be greatly appreciated. Any general comments you may have on the proposed action and proposed alternatives, including discussing possible actions that would benefit your tribe, would also be welcome. I would be happy to answer any questions you may have about the project at this stage. Feel free to connect with me about the project via the contact information listed below. All information provided will be treated with the utmost confidentiality and in accordance with your wishes of how and whether this information can be used. I am also interested in locating any official tribal histories or historical reference materials that are more accurate and/or preferred by your tribe.

Determinations on the Army's process to identify historic properties within the APE and evaluation and effects determinations made in accordance with Section 106 of the NHPA will be made in consultation with all affected Native American tribes, as well as the State Historic Preservation Offices, and the interested public.

If you have questions or concerns, or require further information, please feel free to contact our Cultural Resource Manager, Jerald Glodeck, at 4216 Roberts Avenue, Fort Meade, Maryland, 20755, by phone at

Sincerely,



George B. Knight Environmental Division Chief

Enclosure:

From:	Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
То:	klucas@delawarenation-nsn.gov
Cc:	Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
Subject:	Comments on a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland
Date:	Tuesday, September 12, 2023 12:42:33 PM
Attachments:	Delaware Nation Tribal Initial Coordination CDC5.pdf

Ms. Lucas,

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Planning Division is supporting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation of the U.S. Army's Child Development Center (CDC) Project at Fort George G. Meade in Maryland. On behalf of Fort Meade, we are writing to respectfully request any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and that should be evaluated in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

We are deeply committed to ensuring that your voice is heard, and your issues and concerns are addressed promptly. We also understand the need to protect sacred and traditional knowledge and will ensure the utmost confidentiality and protection of that information. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Please direct any comments or questions you have to the undersigned below, or to:

Jerald Glodek, Cultural Resource Manager, Fort George G. Meade,

Thank you, Ariel



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY US ARMY INSTALLATION COMMAND HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES ARMY GARRISON 4551 LLEWELLYN AVENUE, SUITE 5000 FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-5115

September 12, 2023

Directorate of Public Works

Ms. Katelyn Lucas Historic Preservation Assistant Delaware Nation P.O. Box 825 Anadarko, Oklahoma 73005

Dear Ms. Lucas,

The Army recognizes its responsibilities to maintain Government-to-Government relationship with all tribes affected by activities on Army Installations and our federal trust responsibility for those lands. In the interest of early and frequent communication under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended, pursuant to 36 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 800.3(f)(2) and as part of the Department of Defense's policy for Government-to-Government consultation with Native American tribes, I am writing to inform you that the Army is beginning the scoping process to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) for a new undertaking on Fort Meade, Maryland (FMMD), to include construction and operation of a new, approximately 26,450 square foot Child Development Center (CDC) facility to provide childcare services to families of active-duty military stationed at FMMD, as well as staff of tenants on post, military retirees, and other eligible units and agencies off post. This correspondence would serve to initiate consultation under Section 106 of the NHPA.

The Army will be preparing the EA under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 United States Code Section 4321 et seq.), the Council on Environmental Quality regulations implementing NEPA (40 CFR Parts 1500–1508), and the Army's regulations implementing NEPA (32 CFR Part 651). This project is in the early stages of planning. As soon as more detailed project information has been developed, formal tribal consultation will be initiated.

The purpose of this EA is to inform decision-makers, tribes, stakeholders, and the public of the potential environmental consequences and any associated mitigations, as applicable. Affected Native American tribes and interested persons, organizations, and agencies will have multiple opportunities to provide input on the proposed project. The following resources are evaluated in this EA: land use; airspace; noise; air quality; water resources; biological resources; cultural resources; geology, topography, and soils; hazardous and toxic materials and waste (HTMW); traffic and transportation; infrastructure and utilities; socioeconomics, environmental justice, and protection of children; and human health and safety.

At this early stage in the analysis, the geographic boundaries of the Area of Potential Effects (APE) for this undertaking are conservatively estimated to be the project boundary

and those areas from which the construction would be visible. The Army anticipates

the APE would include areas where the construction and operation of the facility may directly or indirectly cause changes in the character or use of historic properties.

As we are beginning the analysis of the above-referenced resource areas, I would like to invite your input on the anticipated APE for this undertaking. As discussed previously, more information about specific project plans will be available for review as they are developed to better assist in evaluating the impacts the proposed project may create. I understand that information that you provide on tribal religious or cultural items will be offered voluntarily in the spirit of assisting with our decision making for the project. Based on the available information regarding the proposed action, we welcome any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and should be evaluated in the EA.

Any information pertaining to whether this action has the potential to affect tribal trust, subsistence, and/or cultural resources or if tribal rights and/or any protected resources may be affected by this proposed action would be greatly appreciated. Any general comments you may have on the proposed action and proposed alternatives, including discussing possible actions that would benefit your tribe, would also be welcome. I would be happy to answer any questions you may have about the project at this stage. Feel free to connect with me about the project via the contact information listed below. All information provided will be treated with the utmost confidentiality and in accordance with your wishes of how and whether this information can be used. I am also interested in locating any official tribal histories or historical reference materials that are more accurate and/or preferred by your tribe.

Determinations on the Army's process to identify historic properties within the APE and evaluation and effects determinations made in accordance with Section 106 of the NHPA will be made in consultation with all affected Native American tribes, as well as the State Historic Preservation Offices, and the interested public.

If you have questions or concerns, or require further information, please feel free to contact our Cultural Resource Manager, Jerald Glodeck, at 4216 Roberts Avenue, Fort Meade, Maryland, 20755, by phone at

Sincerely,



George B. Knight Environmental Division Chief

Enclosure:

From:	Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
То:	sbachor@delawaretribe.org
Cc:	Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA);
Subject:	Comments on a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland
Date:	Tuesday, September 12, 2023 12:45:01 PM
Attachments:	Delaware Tribe Tribal Initial Coordination CDC5.pdf

Ms. Bachor,

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Planning Division is supporting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation of the U.S. Army's Child Development Center (CDC) Project at Fort George G. Meade in Maryland. On behalf of Fort Meade, we are writing to respectfully request any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and that should be evaluated in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

We are deeply committed to ensuring that your voice is heard, and your issues and concerns are addressed promptly. We also understand the need to protect sacred and traditional knowledge and will ensure the utmost confidentiality and protection of that information. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Please direct any comments or questions you have to the undersigned below, or to:

Jerald Glodek, Cultural Resource Manager, Fort George G. Meade,

Thank you, Ariel



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY US ARMY INSTALLATION COMMAND HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES ARMY GARRISON 4551 LLEWELLYN AVENUE, SUITE 5000 FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-5115

September 12, 2023

Directorate of Public Works

Ms. Susan Bachor Deputy Director Tribal Historic Preservation Officer Delaware Tribe of Indians 126 University Circle Stroud Hall, Room 437 East Stroudsburg, PA 18301

Dear Ms. Bachor,

The Army recognizes its responsibilities to maintain Government-to-Government relationship with all tribes affected by activities on Army Installations and our federal trust responsibility for those lands. In the interest of early and frequent communication under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended, pursuant to 36 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 800.3(f)(2) and as part of the Department of Defense's policy for Government-to-Government consultation with Native American tribes, I am writing to inform you that the Army is beginning the scoping process to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) for a new undertaking on Fort Meade, Maryland (FMMD), to include construction and operation of a new, approximately 26,450 square foot Child Development Center (CDC) facility to provide childcare services to families of active-duty military stationed at FMMD, as well as staff of tenants on post, military retirees, and other eligible units and agencies off post. This correspondence would serve to initiate consultation under Section 106 of the NHPA.

The Army will be preparing the EA under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 United States Code Section 4321 et seq.), the Council on Environmental Quality regulations implementing NEPA (40 CFR Parts 1500–1508), and the Army's regulations implementing NEPA (32 CFR Part 651). This project is in the early stages of planning. As soon as more detailed project information has been developed, formal tribal consultation will be initiated.

The purpose of this EA is to inform decision-makers, tribes, stakeholders, and the public of the potential environmental consequences and any associated mitigations, as applicable. Affected Native American tribes and interested persons, organizations, and agencies will have multiple opportunities to provide input on the proposed project. The following resources are evaluated in this EA: land use; airspace; noise; air quality; water resources; biological resources; cultural resources; geology, topography, and soils; hazardous and toxic materials and waste (HTMW); traffic and transportation; infrastructure and utilities; socioeconomics, environmental justice, and protection of children; and human health and safety. At this early stage in the analysis, the geographic boundaries of the Area of Potential Effects (APE) for this undertaking are conservatively estimated to be the project boundary

and those areas from which the construction would be visible. The Army anticipates the APE would include areas where the construction and operation of the facility may directly or indirectly cause changes in the character or use of historic properties.

As we are beginning the analysis of the above-referenced resource areas, I would like to invite your input on the anticipated APE for this undertaking. As discussed previously, more information about specific project plans will be available for review as they are developed to better assist in evaluating the impacts the proposed project may create. I understand that information that you provide on tribal religious or cultural items will be offered voluntarily in the spirit of assisting with our decision making for the project. Based on the available information regarding the proposed action, we welcome any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and should be evaluated in the EA.

Any information pertaining to whether this action has the potential to affect tribal trust, subsistence, and/or cultural resources or if tribal rights and/or any protected resources may be affected by this proposed action would be greatly appreciated. Any general comments you may have on the proposed action and proposed alternatives, including discussing possible actions that would benefit your tribe, would also be welcome. I would be happy to answer any questions you may have about the project at this stage. Feel free to connect with me about the project via the contact information listed below. All information provided will be treated with the utmost confidentiality and in accordance with your wishes of how and whether this information can be used. I am also interested in locating any official tribal histories or historical reference materials that are more accurate and/or preferred by your tribe.

Determinations on the Army's process to identify historic properties within the APE and evaluation and effects determinations made in accordance with Section 106 of the NHPA will be made in consultation with all affected Native American tribes, as well as the State Historic Preservation Offices, and the interested public.

If you have questions or concerns, or require further information, please feel free to contact our Cultural Resource Manager, Jerald Glodeck, at 4216 Roberts Avenue, Fort Meade, Maryland, 20755, by phone at

Sincerely,

George B. Knight Environmental Division Chief

From:	Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
То:	pbarton@estoo.net
Cc:	Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA);
Subject:	Comments on a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland
Date:	Tuesday, September 12, 2023 12:47:46 PM
Attachments:	Eastern Shawnee Tribal Initial Coordination CDC5.pdf

Mr. Barton,

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Planning Division is supporting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation of the U.S. Army's Child Development Center (CDC) Project at Fort George G. Meade in Maryland. On behalf of Fort Meade, we are writing to respectfully request any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and that should be evaluated in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

We are deeply committed to ensuring that your voice is heard, and your issues and concerns are addressed promptly. We also understand the need to protect sacred and traditional knowledge and will ensure the utmost confidentiality and protection of that information. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Please direct any comments or questions you have to the undersigned below, or to:

Jerald Glodek, Cultural Resource Manager, Fort George G. Meade,

Thank you, Ariel



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY US ARMY INSTALLATION COMMAND HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES ARMY GARRISON 4551 LLEWELLYN AVENUE, SUITE 5000 FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-5115

September 12, 2023

Directorate of Public Works

Mr. Paul Barton Eastern Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma Tribal Historic Preservation Officer 70500 E. 128 Road Wyandotte, OK 74730

Dear Mr. Barton,

The Army recognizes its responsibilities to maintain Government-to-Government relationship with all tribes affected by activities on Army Installations and our federal trust responsibility for those lands. In the interest of early and frequent communication under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended, pursuant to 36 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 800.3(f)(2) and as part of the Department of Defense's policy for Government-to-Government consultation with Native American tribes, I am writing to inform you that the Army is beginning the scoping process to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) for a new undertaking on Fort Meade, Maryland (FMMD), to include construction and operation of a new, approximately 26,450 square foot Child Development Center (CDC) facility to provide childcare services to families of active-duty military stationed at FMMD, as well as staff of tenants on post, military retirees, and other eligible units and agencies off post. This correspondence would serve to initiate consultation under Section 106 of the NHPA.

The Army will be preparing the EA under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 United States Code Section 4321 et seq.), the Council on Environmental Quality regulations implementing NEPA (40 CFR Parts 1500–1508), and the Army's regulations implementing NEPA (32 CFR Part 651). This project is in the early stages of planning. As soon as more detailed project information has been developed, formal tribal consultation will be initiated.

The purpose of this EA is to inform decision-makers, tribes, stakeholders, and the public of the potential environmental consequences and any associated mitigations, as applicable. Affected Native American tribes and interested persons, organizations, and agencies will have multiple opportunities to provide input on the proposed project. The following resources are evaluated in this EA: land use; airspace; noise; air quality; water resources; biological resources; cultural resources; geology, topography, and soils; hazardous and toxic materials and waste (HTMW); traffic and transportation; infrastructure and utilities; socioeconomics, environmental justice, and protection of children; and human health and safety.

At this early stage in the analysis, the geographic boundaries of the Area of Potential Effects (APE) for this undertaking are conservatively estimated to be the project boundary

and those areas from which the construction would be visible. The Army anticipates

the APE would include areas where the construction and operation of the facility may directly or indirectly cause changes in the character or use of historic properties.

As we are beginning the analysis of the above-referenced resource areas, I would like to invite your input on the anticipated APE for this undertaking. As discussed previously, more information about specific project plans will be available for review as they are developed to better assist in evaluating the impacts the proposed project may create. I understand that information that you provide on tribal religious or cultural items will be offered voluntarily in the spirit of assisting with our decision making for the project. Based on the available information regarding the proposed action, we welcome any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and should be evaluated in the EA.

Any information pertaining to whether this action has the potential to affect tribal trust, subsistence, and/or cultural resources or if tribal rights and/or any protected resources may be affected by this proposed action would be greatly appreciated. Any general comments you may have on the proposed action and proposed alternatives, including discussing possible actions that would benefit your tribe, would also be welcome. I would be happy to answer any questions you may have about the project at this stage. Feel free to connect with me about the project via the contact information listed below. All information provided will be treated with the utmost confidentiality and in accordance with your wishes of how and whether this information can be used. I am also interested in locating any official tribal histories or historical reference materials that are more accurate and/or preferred by your tribe.

Determinations on the Army's process to identify historic properties within the APE and evaluation and effects determinations made in accordance with Section 106 of the NHPA will be made in consultation with all affected Native American tribes, as well as the State Historic Preservation Offices, and the interested public.

If you have questions or concerns, or require further information, please feel free to contact our Cultural Resource Manager, Jerald Glodeck, at 4216 Roberts Avenue, Fort Meade, Maryland, 20755, by phone at

Sincerely,



George B. Knight Environmental Division Chief

Enclosure:

From:	Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
То:	ononcomm@gmail.com
Cc:	Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA);
Subject:	Comments on a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland
Date:	Tuesday, September 12, 2023 12:56:11 PM
Attachments:	OnondagaTribal Initial Coordination CDC5.pdf

Mr. Gonyea,

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Planning Division is supporting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation of the U.S. Army's Child Development Center (CDC) Project at Fort George G. Meade in Maryland. On behalf of Fort Meade, we are writing to respectfully request any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and that should be evaluated in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

We are deeply committed to ensuring that your voice is heard, and your issues and concerns are addressed promptly. We also understand the need to protect sacred and traditional knowledge and will ensure the utmost confidentiality and protection of that information. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Please direct any comments or questions you have to the undersigned below, or to:

Jerald Glodek, Cultural Resource Manager, Fort George G. Meade,

Thank you, Ariel



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY US ARMY INSTALLATION COMMAND HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES ARMY GARRISON 4551 LLEWELLYN AVENUE, SUITE 5000 FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-5115

September 12, 2023

Directorate of Public Works

Mr. Tony Gonyea Onondaga Indian Nation Historic Preservation Office 4040 Route 11 Nedrow, NY 13120

Dear Mr. Gonyea,

The Army recognizes its responsibilities to maintain Government-to-Government relationship with all tribes affected by activities on Army Installations and our federal trust responsibility for those lands. In the interest of early and frequent communication under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended, pursuant to 36 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 800.3(f)(2) and as part of the Department of Defense's policy for Government-to-Government consultation with Native American tribes, I am writing to inform you that the Army is beginning the scoping process to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) for a new undertaking on Fort Meade, Maryland (FMMD), to include construction and operation of a new, approximately 26,450 square foot Child Development Center (CDC) facility to provide childcare services to families of active-duty military stationed at FMMD, as well as staff of tenants on post, military retirees, and other eligible units and agencies off post. This correspondence would serve to initiate consultation under Section 106 of the NHPA.

The Army will be preparing the EA under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 United States Code Section 4321 et seq.), the Council on Environmental Quality regulations implementing NEPA (40 CFR Parts 1500–1508), and the Army's regulations implementing NEPA (32 CFR Part 651). This project is in the early stages of planning. As soon as more detailed project information has been developed, formal tribal consultation will be initiated.

The purpose of this EA is to inform decision-makers, tribes, stakeholders, and the public of the potential environmental consequences and any associated mitigations, as applicable. Affected Native American tribes and interested persons, organizations, and agencies will have multiple opportunities to provide input on the proposed project. The following resources are evaluated in this EA: land use; airspace; noise; air quality; water resources; biological resources; cultural resources; geology, topography, and soils; hazardous and toxic materials and waste (HTMW); traffic and transportation; infrastructure and utilities; socioeconomics, environmental justice, and protection of children; and human health and safety.

At this early stage in the analysis, the geographic boundaries of the Area of Potential Effects (APE) for this undertaking are conservatively estimated to be the project boundary

and those areas from which the construction would be visible. The Army anticipates

the APE would include areas where the construction and operation of the facility may directly or indirectly cause changes in the character or use of historic properties.

As we are beginning the analysis of the above-referenced resource areas, I would like to invite your input on the anticipated APE for this undertaking. As discussed previously, more information about specific project plans will be available for review as they are developed to better assist in evaluating the impacts the proposed project may create. I understand that information that you provide on tribal religious or cultural items will be offered voluntarily in the spirit of assisting with our decision making for the project. Based on the available information regarding the proposed action, we welcome any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and should be evaluated in the EA.

Any information pertaining to whether this action has the potential to affect tribal trust, subsistence, and/or cultural resources or if tribal rights and/or any protected resources may be affected by this proposed action would be greatly appreciated. Any general comments you may have on the proposed action and proposed alternatives, including discussing possible actions that would benefit your tribe, would also be welcome. I would be happy to answer any questions you may have about the project at this stage. Feel free to connect with me about the project via the contact information listed below. All information provided will be treated with the utmost confidentiality and in accordance with your wishes of how and whether this information can be used. I am also interested in locating any official tribal histories or historical reference materials that are more accurate and/or preferred by your tribe.

Determinations on the Army's process to identify historic properties within the APE and evaluation and effects determinations made in accordance with Section 106 of the NHPA will be made in consultation with all affected Native American tribes, as well as the State Historic Preservation Offices, and the interested public.

If you have questions or concerns, or require further information, please feel free to contact our Cultural Resource Manager, Jerald Glodeck, at 4216 Roberts Avenue, Fort Meade, Maryland, 20755, by phone at

Sincerely,



George B. Knight Environmental Division Chief

Enclosure:
From:	Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
То:	Oneida THPO@oneidanation.org
Cc:	Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA);
Subject:	Comments on a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland
Date:	Wednesday, September 13, 2023 6:27:25 AM
Attachments:	Oneida Tribal Initial Coordination CDC5 1.pdf

Good morning,

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Planning Division is supporting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation of the U.S. Army's Child Development Center (CDC) Project at Fort George G. Meade in Maryland. On behalf of Fort Meade, we are writing to respectfully request any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and that should be evaluated in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

We are deeply committed to ensuring that your voice is heard, and your issues and concerns are addressed promptly. We also understand the need to protect sacred and traditional knowledge and will ensure the utmost confidentiality and protection of that information. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Please direct any comments or questions you have to the undersigned below, or to:

Jerald Glodek, Cultural Resource Manager, Fort George G. Meade,

Thank you, Ariel

From:	Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
То:	Bergevin, Jesse
Cc:	Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
Subject:	Comments on a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland
Date:	Tuesday, September 12, 2023 12:50:38 PM
Attachments:	Oneida Nation Tribal Initial Coordination CDC5.pdf

Mr. Bergevin,

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Planning Division is supporting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation of the U.S. Army's Child Development Center (CDC) Project at Fort George G. Meade in Maryland. On behalf of Fort Meade, we are writing to respectfully request any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and that should be evaluated in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

We are deeply committed to ensuring that your voice is heard, and your issues and concerns are addressed promptly. We also understand the need to protect sacred and traditional knowledge and will ensure the utmost confidentiality and protection of that information. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Please direct any comments or questions you have to the undersigned below, or to:

Jerald Glodek, Cultural Resource Manager, Fort George G. Meade,

Thank you, Ariel



September 12, 2023

Directorate of Public Works

Ms. Stacie Cutback Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin P.O. Box 365 Oneida WI, 54155

Dear Ms. Cutback,

The Army recognizes its responsibilities to maintain Government-to-Government relationship with all tribes affected by activities on Army Installations and our federal trust responsibility for those lands. In the interest of early and frequent communication under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended, pursuant to 36 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 800.3(f)(2) and as part of the Department of Defense's policy for Government-to-Government consultation with Native American tribes, I am writing to inform you that the Army is beginning the scoping process to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) for a new undertaking on Fort Meade, Maryland (FMMD), to include construction and operation of a new, approximately 26,450 square foot Child Development Center (CDC) facility to provide childcare services to families of active-duty military stationed at FMMD, as well as staff of tenants on post, military retirees, and other eligible units and agencies off post. This correspondence would serve to initiate consultation under Section 106 of the NHPA.

The Army will be preparing the EA under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 United States Code Section 4321 et seq.), the Council on Environmental Quality regulations implementing NEPA (40 CFR Parts 1500–1508), and the Army's regulations implementing NEPA (32 CFR Part 651). This project is in the early stages of planning. As soon as more detailed project information has been developed, formal tribal consultation will be initiated.

The purpose of this EA is to inform decision-makers, tribes, stakeholders, and the public of the potential environmental consequences and any associated mitigations, as applicable. Affected Native American tribes and interested persons, organizations, and agencies will have multiple opportunities to provide input on the proposed project. The following resources are evaluated in this EA: land use; airspace; noise; air quality; water resources; biological resources; cultural resources; geology, topography, and soils; hazardous and toxic materials and waste (HTMW); traffic and transportation; infrastructure and utilities; socioeconomics, environmental justice, and protection of children; and human health and safety.

At this early stage in the analysis, the geographic boundaries of the Area of Potential Effects (APE) for this undertaking are conservatively estimated to be the project boundary and those areas from which the construction would be visible. The Army anticipates

As we are beginning the analysis of the above-referenced resource areas, I would like to invite your input on the anticipated APE for this undertaking. As discussed previously, more information about specific project plans will be available for review as they are developed to better assist in evaluating the impacts the proposed project may create. I understand that information that you provide on tribal religious or cultural items will be offered voluntarily in the spirit of assisting with our decision making for the project. Based on the available information regarding the proposed action, we welcome any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and should be evaluated in the EA.

Any information pertaining to whether this action has the potential to affect tribal trust, subsistence, and/or cultural resources or if tribal rights and/or any protected resources may be affected by this proposed action would be greatly appreciated. Any general comments you may have on the proposed action and proposed alternatives, including discussing possible actions that would benefit your tribe, would also be welcome. I would be happy to answer any questions you may have about the project at this stage. Feel free to connect with me about the project via the contact information listed below. All information provided will be treated with the utmost confidentiality and in accordance with your wishes of how and whether this information can be used. I am also interested in locating any official tribal histories or historical reference materials that are more accurate and/or preferred by your tribe.

Determinations on the Army's process to identify historic properties within the APE and evaluation and effects determinations made in accordance with Section 106 of the NHPA will be made in consultation with all affected Native American tribes, as well as the State Historic Preservation Offices, and the interested public.

If you have questions or concerns, or require further information, please feel free to contact our Cultural Resource Manager, Jerald Glodeck, at 4216 Roberts Avenue, Fort Meade, Maryland, 20755, by phone at

Sincerely,



George B. Knight Environmental Division Chief



September 13, 2023

Directorate of Public Works

Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin P.O. Box 365 Oneida WI, 54155

The Army recognizes its responsibilities to maintain Government-to-Government relationship with all tribes affected by activities on Army Installations and our federal trust responsibility for those lands. In the interest of early and frequent communication under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended, pursuant to 36 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 800.3(f)(2) and as part of the Department of Defense's policy for Government-to-Government consultation with Native American tribes, I am writing to inform you that the Army is beginning the scoping process to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) for a new undertaking on Fort Meade, Maryland (FMMD), to include construction and operation of a new, approximately 26,450 square foot Child Development Center (CDC) facility to provide childcare services to families of active-duty military stationed at FMMD, as well as staff of tenants on post, military retirees, and other eligible units and agencies off post. This correspondence would serve to initiate consultation under Section 106 of the NHPA.

The Army will be preparing the EA under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 United States Code Section 4321 et seq.), the Council on Environmental Quality regulations implementing NEPA (40 CFR Parts 1500–1508), and the Army's regulations implementing NEPA (32 CFR Part 651). This project is in the early stages of planning. As soon as more detailed project information has been developed, formal tribal consultation will be initiated.

The purpose of this EA is to inform decision-makers, tribes, stakeholders, and the public of the potential environmental consequences and any associated mitigations, as applicable. Affected Native American tribes and interested persons, organizations, and agencies will have multiple opportunities to provide input on the proposed project. The following resources are evaluated in this EA: land use; airspace; noise; air quality; water resources; biological resources; cultural resources; geology, topography, and soils; hazardous and toxic materials and waste (HTMW); traffic and transportation; infrastructure and utilities; socioeconomics, environmental justice, and protection of children; and human health and safety.

At this early stage in the analysis, the geographic boundaries of the Area of Potential Effects (APE) for this undertaking are conservatively estimated to be the project boundary and those areas from which the construction would be visible. The Army anticipates the APE would include areas where the construction and operation of the facility may directly or indirectly cause changes in the character or use of historic properties.

As we are beginning the analysis of the above-referenced resource areas, I would like to invite your input on the anticipated APE for this undertaking. As discussed previously, more information about specific project plans will be available for review as they are developed to better assist in evaluating the impacts the proposed project may create. I understand that information that you provide on tribal religious or cultural items will be offered voluntarily in the spirit of assisting with our decision making for the project. Based on the available information regarding the proposed action, we welcome any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and should be evaluated in the EA.

Any information pertaining to whether this action has the potential to affect tribal trust, subsistence, and/or cultural resources or if tribal rights and/or any protected resources may be affected by this proposed action would be greatly appreciated. Any general comments you may have on the proposed action and proposed alternatives, including discussing possible actions that would benefit your tribe, would also be welcome. I would be happy to answer any questions you may have about the project at this stage. Feel free to connect with me about the project via the contact information listed below. All information provided will be treated with the utmost confidentiality and in accordance with your wishes of how and whether this information can be used. I am also interested in locating any official tribal histories or historical reference materials that are more accurate and/or preferred by your tribe.

Determinations on the Army's process to identify historic properties within the APE and evaluation and effects determinations made in accordance with Section 106 of the NHPA will be made in consultation with all affected Native American tribes, as well as the State Historic Preservation Offices, and the interested public.

If you have questions or concerns, or require further information, please feel free to contact our Cultural Resource Manager, Jerald Glodeck, at 4216 Roberts Avenue, Fort Meade, Maryland, 20755, by phone at

Sincerely,

George B. Knight Environmental Division Chief

From:	Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
То:	wechohawk@pawneenation.org
Cc:	Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA);
Subject:	Comments on a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland
Date:	Tuesday, September 12, 2023 12:58:43 PM
Attachments:	Pawnee Tribal Initial Coordination CDC5.pdf

Mr. Reed,

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Planning Division is supporting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation of the U.S. Army's Child Development Center (CDC) Project at Fort George G. Meade in Maryland. On behalf of Fort Meade, we are writing to respectfully request any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and that should be evaluated in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

We are deeply committed to ensuring that your voice is heard, and your issues and concerns are addressed promptly. We also understand the need to protect sacred and traditional knowledge and will ensure the utmost confidentiality and protection of that information. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Please direct any comments or questions you have to the undersigned below, or to:

Jerald Glodek, Cultural Resource Manager, Fort George G. Meade,

Thank you, Ariel



September 12, 2023

Directorate of Public Works

Mr. Matt Reed Historic Preservation Officer Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma 657 Harrison Street Pawnee, Oklahoma 74058

Dear Mr. Reed,

The Army recognizes its responsibilities to maintain Government-to-Government relationship with all tribes affected by activities on Army Installations and our federal trust responsibility for those lands. In the interest of early and frequent communication under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended, pursuant to 36 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 800.3(f)(2) and as part of the Department of Defense's policy for Government-to-Government consultation with Native American tribes, I am writing to inform you that the Army is beginning the scoping process to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) for a new undertaking on Fort Meade, Maryland (FMMD), to include construction and operation of a new, approximately 26,450 square foot Child Development Center (CDC) facility to provide childcare services to families of active-duty military stationed at FMMD, as well as staff of tenants on post, military retirees, and other eligible units and agencies off post. This correspondence would serve to initiate consultation under Section 106 of the NHPA.

The Army will be preparing the EA under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 United States Code Section 4321 et seq.), the Council on Environmental Quality regulations implementing NEPA (40 CFR Parts 1500–1508), and the Army's regulations implementing NEPA (32 CFR Part 651). This project is in the early stages of planning. As soon as more detailed project information has been developed, formal tribal consultation will be initiated.

The purpose of this EA is to inform decision-makers, tribes, stakeholders, and the public of the potential environmental consequences and any associated mitigations, as applicable. Affected Native American tribes and interested persons, organizations, and agencies will have multiple opportunities to provide input on the proposed project. The following resources are evaluated in this EA: land use; airspace; noise; air quality; water resources; biological resources; cultural resources; geology, topography, and soils; hazardous and toxic materials and waste (HTMW); traffic and transportation; infrastructure and utilities; socioeconomics, environmental justice, and protection of children; and human health and safety.

At this early stage in the analysis, the geographic boundaries of the Area of Potential Effects (APE) for this undertaking are conservatively estimated to be the project boundary

As we are beginning the analysis of the above-referenced resource areas, I would like to invite your input on the anticipated APE for this undertaking. As discussed previously, more information about specific project plans will be available for review as they are developed to better assist in evaluating the impacts the proposed project may create. I understand that information that you provide on tribal religious or cultural items will be offered voluntarily in the spirit of assisting with our decision making for the project. Based on the available information regarding the proposed action, we welcome any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and should be evaluated in the EA.

Any information pertaining to whether this action has the potential to affect tribal trust, subsistence, and/or cultural resources or if tribal rights and/or any protected resources may be affected by this proposed action would be greatly appreciated. Any general comments you may have on the proposed action and proposed alternatives, including discussing possible actions that would benefit your tribe, would also be welcome. I would be happy to answer any questions you may have about the project at this stage. Feel free to connect with me about the project via the contact information listed below. All information provided will be treated with the utmost confidentiality and in accordance with your wishes of how and whether this information can be used. I am also interested in locating any official tribal histories or historical reference materials that are more accurate and/or preferred by your tribe.

Determinations on the Army's process to identify historic properties within the APE and evaluation and effects determinations made in accordance with Section 106 of the NHPA will be made in consultation with all affected Native American tribes, as well as the State Historic Preservation Offices, and the interested public.

If you have questions or concerns, or require further information, please feel free to contact our Cultural Resource Manager, Jerald Glodeck, at 4216 Roberts Avenue, Fort Meade, Maryland, 20755, by phone at

Sincerely,



George B. Knight Environmental Division Chief

From:	Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
To:	darren.bonaparte@srmt-nsn.gov
Cc:	; Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
Subject:	Comments on a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland
Date:	Tuesday, September 12, 2023 1:00:37 PM
Attachments:	Saint Regis Mohawk Tribal Initial Coordination CDC5.pdf

Mr. Bonaparte,

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Planning Division is supporting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation of the U.S. Army's Child Development Center (CDC) Project at Fort George G. Meade in Maryland. On behalf of Fort Meade, we are writing to respectfully request any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and that should be evaluated in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

We are deeply committed to ensuring that your voice is heard, and your issues and concerns are addressed promptly. We also understand the need to protect sacred and traditional knowledge and will ensure the utmost confidentiality and protection of that information. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Please direct any comments or questions you have to the undersigned below, or to:

Jerald Glodek, Cultural Resource Manager, Fort George G. Meade,

Thank you, Ariel



September 12, 2023

Directorate of Public Works

Mr. Darren Bonaparte Saint Regis Mohawk Tribe Tribal Historic Preservation Officer 412 State Route 37 Akwesasne, NY 13655

Dear Mr. Bonaparte,

The Army recognizes its responsibilities to maintain Government-to-Government relationship with all tribes affected by activities on Army Installations and our federal trust responsibility for those lands. In the interest of early and frequent communication under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended, pursuant to 36 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 800.3(f)(2) and as part of the Department of Defense's policy for Government-to-Government consultation with Native American tribes, I am writing to inform you that the Army is beginning the scoping process to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) for a new undertaking on Fort Meade, Maryland (FMMD), to include construction and operation of a new, approximately 26,450 square foot Child Development Center (CDC) facility to provide childcare services to families of active-duty military stationed at FMMD, as well as staff of tenants on post, military retirees, and other eligible units and agencies off post. This correspondence would serve to initiate consultation under Section 106 of the NHPA.

The Army will be preparing the EA under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 United States Code Section 4321 et seq.), the Council on Environmental Quality regulations implementing NEPA (40 CFR Parts 1500–1508), and the Army's regulations implementing NEPA (32 CFR Part 651). This project is in the early stages of planning. As soon as more detailed project information has been developed, formal tribal consultation will be initiated.

The purpose of this EA is to inform decision-makers, tribes, stakeholders, and the public of the potential environmental consequences and any associated mitigations, as applicable. Affected Native American tribes and interested persons, organizations, and agencies will have multiple opportunities to provide input on the proposed project. The following resources are evaluated in this EA: land use; airspace; noise; air quality; water resources; biological resources; cultural resources; geology, topography, and soils; hazardous and toxic materials and waste (HTMW); traffic and transportation; infrastructure and utilities; socioeconomics, environmental justice, and protection of children; and human health and safety.

At this early stage in the analysis, the geographic boundaries of the Area of Potential Effects (APE) for this undertaking are conservatively estimated to be the project boundary

As we are beginning the analysis of the above-referenced resource areas, I would like to invite your input on the anticipated APE for this undertaking. As discussed previously, more information about specific project plans will be available for review as they are developed to better assist in evaluating the impacts the proposed project may create. I understand that information that you provide on tribal religious or cultural items will be offered voluntarily in the spirit of assisting with our decision making for the project. Based on the available information regarding the proposed action, we welcome any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and should be evaluated in the EA.

Any information pertaining to whether this action has the potential to affect tribal trust, subsistence, and/or cultural resources or if tribal rights and/or any protected resources may be affected by this proposed action would be greatly appreciated. Any general comments you may have on the proposed action and proposed alternatives, including discussing possible actions that would benefit your tribe, would also be welcome. I would be happy to answer any questions you may have about the project at this stage. Feel free to connect with me about the project via the contact information listed below. All information provided will be treated with the utmost confidentiality and in accordance with your wishes of how and whether this information can be used. I am also interested in locating any official tribal histories or historical reference materials that are more accurate and/or preferred by your tribe.

Determinations on the Army's process to identify historic properties within the APE and evaluation and effects determinations made in accordance with Section 106 of the NHPA will be made in consultation with all affected Native American tribes, as well as the State Historic Preservation Offices, and the interested public.

If you have questions or concerns, or require further information, please feel free to contact our Cultural Resource Manager, Jerald Glodeck, at 4216 Roberts Avenue, Fort Meade, Maryland, 20755, by phone at

Sincerely,



George B. Knight Environmental Division Chief

From:	Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
То:	joe.stahlman@sni.org
Cc:	Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA);
Subject:	Comments on a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland
Date:	Tuesday, September 12, 2023 1:02:45 PM
Attachments:	Seneca Nation Tribal Initial Coordination CDC5.pdf

Mr. Stahlman,

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Planning Division is supporting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation of the U.S. Army's Child Development Center (CDC) Project at Fort George G. Meade in Maryland. On behalf of Fort Meade, we are writing to respectfully request any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and that should be evaluated in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

We are deeply committed to ensuring that your voice is heard, and your issues and concerns are addressed promptly. We also understand the need to protect sacred and traditional knowledge and will ensure the utmost confidentiality and protection of that information. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Please direct any comments or questions you have to the undersigned below, or to:

Jerald Glodek, Cultural Resource Manager, Fort George G. Meade,

Thank you, Ariel



September 12, 2023

Directorate of Public Works

Mr. Joe Stahlman Tribal Historic Preservation Officer Seneca Nation of Indians 90 Ohi yo' Way Salamanca, New York 14779

Dear Mr. Stahlman,

The Army recognizes its responsibilities to maintain Government-to-Government relationship with all tribes affected by activities on Army Installations and our federal trust responsibility for those lands. In the interest of early and frequent communication under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended, pursuant to 36 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 800.3(f)(2) and as part of the Department of Defense's policy for Government-to-Government consultation with Native American tribes, I am writing to inform you that the Army is beginning the scoping process to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) for a new undertaking on Fort Meade, Maryland (FMMD), to include construction and operation of a new, approximately 26,450 square foot Child Development Center (CDC) facility to provide childcare services to families of active-duty military stationed at FMMD, as well as staff of tenants on post, military retirees, and other eligible units and agencies off post. This correspondence would serve to initiate consultation under Section 106 of the NHPA.

The Army will be preparing the EA under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 United States Code Section 4321 et seq.), the Council on Environmental Quality regulations implementing NEPA (40 CFR Parts 1500–1508), and the Army's regulations implementing NEPA (32 CFR Part 651). This project is in the early stages of planning. As soon as more detailed project information has been developed, formal tribal consultation will be initiated.

The purpose of this EA is to inform decision-makers, tribes, stakeholders, and the public of the potential environmental consequences and any associated mitigations, as applicable. Affected Native American tribes and interested persons, organizations, and agencies will have multiple opportunities to provide input on the proposed project. The following resources are evaluated in this EA: land use; airspace; noise; air quality; water resources; biological resources; cultural resources; geology, topography, and soils; hazardous and toxic materials and waste (HTMW); traffic and transportation; infrastructure and utilities; socioeconomics, environmental justice, and protection of children; and human health and safety.

At this early stage in the analysis, the geographic boundaries of the Area of Potential Effects (APE) for this undertaking are conservatively estimated to be the project boundary

As we are beginning the analysis of the above-referenced resource areas, I would like to invite your input on the anticipated APE for this undertaking. As discussed previously, more information about specific project plans will be available for review as they are developed to better assist in evaluating the impacts the proposed project may create. I understand that information that you provide on tribal religious or cultural items will be offered voluntarily in the spirit of assisting with our decision making for the project. Based on the available information regarding the proposed action, we welcome any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and should be evaluated in the EA.

Any information pertaining to whether this action has the potential to affect tribal trust, subsistence, and/or cultural resources or if tribal rights and/or any protected resources may be affected by this proposed action would be greatly appreciated. Any general comments you may have on the proposed action and proposed alternatives, including discussing possible actions that would benefit your tribe, would also be welcome. I would be happy to answer any questions you may have about the project at this stage. Feel free to connect with me about the project via the contact information listed below. All information provided will be treated with the utmost confidentiality and in accordance with your wishes of how and whether this information can be used. I am also interested in locating any official tribal histories or historical reference materials that are more accurate and/or preferred by your tribe.

Determinations on the Army's process to identify historic properties within the APE and evaluation and effects determinations made in accordance with Section 106 of the NHPA will be made in consultation with all affected Native American tribes, as well as the State Historic Preservation Offices, and the interested public.

If you have questions or concerns, or require further information, please feel free to contact our Cultural Resource Manager, Jerald Glodeck, at 4216 Roberts Avenue, Fort Meade, Maryland, 20755, by phone at

Sincerely,



George B. Knight Environmental Division Chief

From:	Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
To:	wtarrant@sctribe.com
Cc:	Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA);
Subject:	Comments on a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland
Date:	Tuesday, September 12, 2023 1:04:47 PM
Attachments:	Seneca-Cayuga Tribal Initial Coordination CDC5.pdf

Mr. Tarrant,

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Planning Division is supporting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation of the U.S. Army's Child Development Center (CDC) Project at Fort George G. Meade in Maryland. On behalf of Fort Meade, we are writing to respectfully request any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and that should be evaluated in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

We are deeply committed to ensuring that your voice is heard, and your issues and concerns are addressed promptly. We also understand the need to protect sacred and traditional knowledge and will ensure the utmost confidentiality and protection of that information. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Please direct any comments or questions you have to the undersigned below, or to:

Jerald Glodek, Cultural Resource Manager, Fort George G. Meade,

Thank you, Ariel



September 12, 2023

Directorate of Public Works

Mr. William Tarrant Seneca-Cayuga Tribe of Oklahoma Tribal Historic Preservation Officer P.O. Box 45322 Grove, OK 74345

Dear Mr. Tarrant,

The Army recognizes its responsibilities to maintain Government-to-Government relationship with all tribes affected by activities on Army Installations and our federal trust responsibility for those lands. In the interest of early and frequent communication under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended, pursuant to 36 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 800.3(f)(2) and as part of the Department of Defense's policy for Government-to-Government consultation with Native American tribes, I am writing to inform you that the Army is beginning the scoping process to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) for a new undertaking on Fort Meade, Maryland (FMMD), to include construction and operation of a new, approximately 26,450 square foot Child Development Center (CDC) facility to provide childcare services to families of active-duty military stationed at FMMD, as well as staff of tenants on post, military retirees, and other eligible units and agencies off post. This correspondence would serve to initiate consultation under Section 106 of the NHPA.

The Army will be preparing the EA under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 United States Code Section 4321 et seq.), the Council on Environmental Quality regulations implementing NEPA (40 CFR Parts 1500–1508), and the Army's regulations implementing NEPA (32 CFR Part 651). This project is in the early stages of planning. As soon as more detailed project information has been developed, formal tribal consultation will be initiated.

The purpose of this EA is to inform decision-makers, tribes, stakeholders, and the public of the potential environmental consequences and any associated mitigations, as applicable. Affected Native American tribes and interested persons, organizations, and agencies will have multiple opportunities to provide input on the proposed project. The following resources are evaluated in this EA: land use; airspace; noise; air quality; water resources; biological resources; cultural resources; geology, topography, and soils; hazardous and toxic materials and waste (HTMW); traffic and transportation; infrastructure and utilities; socioeconomics, environmental justice, and protection of children; and human health and safety.

At this early stage in the analysis, the geographic boundaries of the Area of Potential Effects (APE) for this undertaking are conservatively estimated to be the project boundary

As we are beginning the analysis of the above-referenced resource areas, I would like to invite your input on the anticipated APE for this undertaking. As discussed previously, more information about specific project plans will be available for review as they are developed to better assist in evaluating the impacts the proposed project may create. I understand that information that you provide on tribal religious or cultural items will be offered voluntarily in the spirit of assisting with our decision making for the project. Based on the available information regarding the proposed action, we welcome any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and should be evaluated in the EA.

Any information pertaining to whether this action has the potential to affect tribal trust, subsistence, and/or cultural resources or if tribal rights and/or any protected resources may be affected by this proposed action would be greatly appreciated. Any general comments you may have on the proposed action and proposed alternatives, including discussing possible actions that would benefit your tribe, would also be welcome. I would be happy to answer any questions you may have about the project at this stage. Feel free to connect with me about the project via the contact information listed below. All information provided will be treated with the utmost confidentiality and in accordance with your wishes of how and whether this information can be used. I am also interested in locating any official tribal histories or historical reference materials that are more accurate and/or preferred by your tribe.

Determinations on the Army's process to identify historic properties within the APE and evaluation and effects determinations made in accordance with Section 106 of the NHPA will be made in consultation with all affected Native American tribes, as well as the State Historic Preservation Offices, and the interested public.

If you have questions or concerns, or require further information, please feel free to contact our Cultural Resource Manager, Jerald Glodeck, at 4216 Roberts Avenue, Fort Meade, Maryland, 20755, by phone at

Sincerely,



George B. Knight Environmental Division Chief

From:	Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
To:	nathan.allison@mohican-nsn.gov
Cc:	Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA);
Subject:	Comments on a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland
Date:	Tuesday, September 12, 2023 1:06:44 PM
Attachments:	Stockbridge Munsee Tribal Initial Coordination CDC5.pdf

Mr. Allison,

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Planning Division is supporting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation of the U.S. Army's Child Development Center (CDC) Project at Fort George G. Meade in Maryland. On behalf of Fort Meade, we are writing to respectfully request any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and that should be evaluated in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

We are deeply committed to ensuring that your voice is heard, and your issues and concerns are addressed promptly. We also understand the need to protect sacred and traditional knowledge and will ensure the utmost confidentiality and protection of that information. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Please direct any comments or questions you have to the undersigned below, or to:

Jerald Glodek, Cultural Resource Manager, Fort George G. Meade,

Thank you, Ariel



September 12, 2023

Directorate of Public Works

Mr. Nathan Allison, Representative Stockbridge Munsee Community of Wisconsin N8476 Mo He Con Nuck Road Bowler, Wisconsin 54416

Dear Mr. Allison,

The Army recognizes its responsibilities to maintain Government-to-Government relationship with all tribes affected by activities on Army Installations and our federal trust responsibility for those lands. In the interest of early and frequent communication under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended, pursuant to 36 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 800.3(f)(2) and as part of the Department of Defense's policy for Government-to-Government consultation with Native American tribes, I am writing to inform you that the Army is beginning the scoping process to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) for a new undertaking on Fort Meade, Maryland (FMMD), to include construction and operation of a new, approximately 26,450 square foot Child Development Center (CDC) facility to provide childcare services to families of active-duty military stationed at FMMD, as well as staff of tenants on post, military retirees, and other eligible units and agencies off post. This correspondence would serve to initiate consultation under Section 106 of the NHPA.

The Army will be preparing the EA under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 United States Code Section 4321 et seq.), the Council on Environmental Quality regulations implementing NEPA (40 CFR Parts 1500–1508), and the Army's regulations implementing NEPA (32 CFR Part 651). This project is in the early stages of planning. As soon as more detailed project information has been developed, formal tribal consultation will be initiated.

The purpose of this EA is to inform decision-makers, tribes, stakeholders, and the public of the potential environmental consequences and any associated mitigations, as applicable. Affected Native American tribes and interested persons, organizations, and agencies will have multiple opportunities to provide input on the proposed project. The following resources are evaluated in this EA: land use; airspace; noise; air quality; water resources; biological resources; cultural resources; geology, topography, and soils; hazardous and toxic materials and waste (HTMW); traffic and transportation; infrastructure and utilities; socioeconomics, environmental justice, and protection of children; and human health and safety.

At this early stage in the analysis, the geographic boundaries of the Area of Potential Effects (APE) for this undertaking are conservatively estimated to be the project boundary and those areas from which the construction would be visible. The Army anticipates

As we are beginning the analysis of the above-referenced resource areas, I would like to invite your input on the anticipated APE for this undertaking. As discussed previously, more information about specific project plans will be available for review as they are developed to better assist in evaluating the impacts the proposed project may create. I understand that information that you provide on tribal religious or cultural items will be offered voluntarily in the spirit of assisting with our decision making for the project. Based on the available information regarding the proposed action, we welcome any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and should be evaluated in the EA.

Any information pertaining to whether this action has the potential to affect tribal trust, subsistence, and/or cultural resources or if tribal rights and/or any protected resources may be affected by this proposed action would be greatly appreciated. Any general comments you may have on the proposed action and proposed alternatives, including discussing possible actions that would benefit your tribe, would also be welcome. I would be happy to answer any questions you may have about the project at this stage. Feel free to connect with me about the project via the contact information listed below. All information provided will be treated with the utmost confidentiality and in accordance with your wishes of how and whether this information can be used. I am also interested in locating any official tribal histories or historical reference materials that are more accurate and/or preferred by your tribe.

Determinations on the Army's process to identify historic properties within the APE and evaluation and effects determinations made in accordance with Section 106 of the NHPA will be made in consultation with all affected Native American tribes, as well as the State Historic Preservation Offices, and the interested public.

If you have questions or concerns, or require further information, please feel free to contact our Cultural Resource Manager, Jerald Glodeck, at 4216 Roberts Avenue, Fort Meade, Maryland, 20755, by phone at

Sincerely,



George B. Knight Environmental Division Chief

From:	Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
То:	tonseneca@aol.com
Cc:	Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA);
Subject:	Comments on a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland
Date:	Tuesday, September 12, 2023 1:08:28 PM
Attachments:	TonawandaTribal Initial Coordination CDC5.pdf

Ms. Abrams,

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Planning Division is supporting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation of the U.S. Army's Child Development Center (CDC) Project at Fort George G. Meade in Maryland. On behalf of Fort Meade, we are writing to respectfully request any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and that should be evaluated in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

We are deeply committed to ensuring that your voice is heard, and your issues and concerns are addressed promptly. We also understand the need to protect sacred and traditional knowledge and will ensure the utmost confidentiality and protection of that information. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Please direct any comments or questions you have to the undersigned below, or to:

Jerald Glodek, Cultural Resource Manager, Fort George G. Meade,

Thank you, Ariel



September 12, 2023

Directorate of Public Works

Ms. Christine Abrams Administration Office Tonawanda Band of Seneca 7027 Meadville Road Basom, New York 14013

Dear Ms. Abrams,

The Army recognizes its responsibilities to maintain Government-to-Government relationship with all tribes affected by activities on Army Installations and our federal trust responsibility for those lands. In the interest of early and frequent communication under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended, pursuant to 36 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 800.3(f)(2) and as part of the Department of Defense's policy for Government-to-Government consultation with Native American tribes, I am writing to inform you that the Army is beginning the scoping process to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) for a new undertaking on Fort Meade, Maryland (FMMD), to include construction and operation of a new, approximately 26,450 square foot Child Development Center (CDC) facility to provide childcare services to families of active-duty military stationed at FMMD, as well as staff of tenants on post, military retirees, and other eligible units and agencies off post. This correspondence would serve to initiate consultation under Section 106 of the NHPA.

The Army will be preparing the EA under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 United States Code Section 4321 et seq.), the Council on Environmental Quality regulations implementing NEPA (40 CFR Parts 1500–1508), and the Army's regulations implementing NEPA (32 CFR Part 651). This project is in the early stages of planning. As soon as more detailed project information has been developed, formal tribal consultation will be initiated.

The purpose of this EA is to inform decision-makers, tribes, stakeholders, and the public of the potential environmental consequences and any associated mitigations, as applicable. Affected Native American tribes and interested persons, organizations, and agencies will have multiple opportunities to provide input on the proposed project. The following resources are evaluated in this EA: land use; airspace; noise; air quality; water resources; biological resources; cultural resources; geology, topography, and soils; hazardous and toxic materials and waste (HTMW); traffic and transportation; infrastructure and utilities; socioeconomics, environmental justice, and protection of children; and human health and safety.

At this early stage in the analysis, the geographic boundaries of the Area of Potential Effects (APE) for this undertaking are conservatively estimated to be the project boundary

As we are beginning the analysis of the above-referenced resource areas, I would like to invite your input on the anticipated APE for this undertaking. As discussed previously, more information about specific project plans will be available for review as they are developed to better assist in evaluating the impacts the proposed project may create. I understand that information that you provide on tribal religious or cultural items will be offered voluntarily in the spirit of assisting with our decision making for the project. Based on the available information regarding the proposed action, we welcome any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and should be evaluated in the EA.

Any information pertaining to whether this action has the potential to affect tribal trust, subsistence, and/or cultural resources or if tribal rights and/or any protected resources may be affected by this proposed action would be greatly appreciated. Any general comments you may have on the proposed action and proposed alternatives, including discussing possible actions that would benefit your tribe, would also be welcome. I would be happy to answer any questions you may have about the project at this stage. Feel free to connect with me about the project via the contact information listed below. All information provided will be treated with the utmost confidentiality and in accordance with your wishes of how and whether this information can be used. I am also interested in locating any official tribal histories or historical reference materials that are more accurate and/or preferred by your tribe.

Determinations on the Army's process to identify historic properties within the APE and evaluation and effects determinations made in accordance with Section 106 of the NHPA will be made in consultation with all affected Native American tribes, as well as the State Historic Preservation Offices, and the interested public.

If you have questions or concerns, or require further information, please feel free to contact our Cultural Resource Manager, Jerald Glodeck, at 4216 Roberts Avenue, Fort Meade, Maryland, 20755, by phone at

Sincerely,



George B. Knight Environmental Division Chief

From:	Poirier, Ariel B CIV USARMY CENAB (USA)
То:	<u>bprintup@hetf.org</u>
Cc:	Ramsey, Connie L CIV USARMY CENAB (USA);
Subject:	Comments on a Proposed Child Development Project on Fort Meade, Maryland
Date:	Tuesday, September 12, 2023 1:10:11 PM
Attachments:	Tuscarora Tribal Initial Coordination CDC5.pdf

Mr. Printup,

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Planning Division is supporting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation of the U.S. Army's Child Development Center (CDC) Project at Fort George G. Meade in Maryland. On behalf of Fort Meade, we are writing to respectfully request any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and that should be evaluated in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

We are deeply committed to ensuring that your voice is heard, and your issues and concerns are addressed promptly. We also understand the need to protect sacred and traditional knowledge and will ensure the utmost confidentiality and protection of that information. We appreciate your time and consideration.

Please direct any comments or questions you have to the undersigned below, or to:

Jerald Glodek, Cultural Resource Manager, Fort George G. Meade,

Thank you, Ariel



September 12, 2023

Directorate of Public Works

Mr. Bryan Printup, Representative Tuscarora Nation Tuscarora Community Center 5226 Walmore Road Lewiston NY, 14092

Dear Mr. Printup,

The Army recognizes its responsibilities to maintain Government-to-Government relationship with all tribes affected by activities on Army Installations and our federal trust responsibility for those lands. In the interest of early and frequent communication under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended, pursuant to 36 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 800.3(f)(2) and as part of the Department of Defense's policy for Government-to-Government consultation with Native American tribes, I am writing to inform you that the Army is beginning the scoping process to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) for a new undertaking on Fort Meade, Maryland (FMMD), to include construction and operation of a new, approximately 26,450 square foot Child Development Center (CDC) facility to provide childcare services to families of active-duty military stationed at FMMD, as well as staff of tenants on post, military retirees, and other eligible units and agencies off post. This correspondence would serve to initiate consultation under Section 106 of the NHPA.

The Army will be preparing the EA under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 United States Code Section 4321 et seq.), the Council on Environmental Quality regulations implementing NEPA (40 CFR Parts 1500–1508), and the Army's regulations implementing NEPA (32 CFR Part 651). This project is in the early stages of planning. As soon as more detailed project information has been developed, formal tribal consultation will be initiated.

The purpose of this EA is to inform decision-makers, tribes, stakeholders, and the public of the potential environmental consequences and any associated mitigations, as applicable. Affected Native American tribes and interested persons, organizations, and agencies will have multiple opportunities to provide input on the proposed project. The following resources are evaluated in this EA: land use; airspace; noise; air quality; water resources; biological resources; cultural resources; geology, topography, and soils; hazardous and toxic materials and waste (HTMW); traffic and transportation; infrastructure and utilities; socioeconomics, environmental justice, and protection of children; and human health and safety.

At this early stage in the analysis, the geographic boundaries of the Area of Potential Effects (APE) for this undertaking are conservatively estimated to be the project boundary

As we are beginning the analysis of the above-referenced resource areas, I would like to invite your input on the anticipated APE for this undertaking. As discussed previously, more information about specific project plans will be available for review as they are developed to better assist in evaluating the impacts the proposed project may create. I understand that information that you provide on tribal religious or cultural items will be offered voluntarily in the spirit of assisting with our decision making for the project. Based on the available information regarding the proposed action, we welcome any information you would like to share that might be relevant to potential impacts and should be evaluated in the EA.

Any information pertaining to whether this action has the potential to affect tribal trust, subsistence, and/or cultural resources or if tribal rights and/or any protected resources may be affected by this proposed action would be greatly appreciated. Any general comments you may have on the proposed action and proposed alternatives, including discussing possible actions that would benefit your tribe, would also be welcome. I would be happy to answer any questions you may have about the project at this stage. Feel free to connect with me about the project via the contact information listed below. All information provided will be treated with the utmost confidentiality and in accordance with your wishes of how and whether this information can be used. I am also interested in locating any official tribal histories or historical reference materials that are more accurate and/or preferred by your tribe.

Determinations on the Army's process to identify historic properties within the APE and evaluation and effects determinations made in accordance with Section 106 of the NHPA will be made in consultation with all affected Native American tribes, as well as the State Historic Preservation Offices, and the interested public.

If you have questions or concerns, or require further information, please feel free to contact our Cultural Resource Manager, Jerald Glodeck, at 4216 Roberts Avenue, Fort Meade, Maryland, 20755, by phone at

Sincerely,



George B. Knight Environmental Division Chief