

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: Continued Section 106 Consultation Meeting with the Office of Hawaiian Affairs Regarding the Modernization Project at Piihilaau Army Recreation Center (CRS-18-103).

1. In accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, the United States Army Garrison, Hawaii (USAG-HI) hosted a second consultation meeting to continue discussing a resolution to adverse effects as a result of the undertaking at Piihilaau Army Recreation Center (PARC); Modernization Project.
2. The meeting was held with the Office of Hawaiian Affairs on Friday, October 2, 2020 from 10:00 a.m. to 10:45 a.m. Due to COVID 19 restriction measures this meeting was held via teleconference. Enclosure 1 provides a list of attendees.
3. The meeting agenda included reviewing the modernization project details and discussing previous archaeology and ways to resolve adverse effects. Enclosure 2 provides summary notes of the meeting discussions.
4. The point of contact is Ms. Jackie Pamerleau-Walden, (808) 655-9727, Archaeologist, USAG-HI Directorate of Public Works, Environmental Division (DPW-ENV).

Jacqueline Pamerleau-Walden
Archaeologist
USAG-HI DPW-ENV

2 Enclosures:

1. List of Attendees
2. Meeting Notes

IMHW-PWE

SUBJECT: Continued Section 106 Consultation Meeting with the Office of Hawaiian Affairs Regarding the Modernization Project at Piihlaau Army Recreation Center (CRS-18-103).

Enclosure 1: List of Attendees

U.S. Army Garrison, Hawaii (USAG-HI)

Laura Gilda - Archaeologist, USAG-HI

Jacqueline Pamerleau-Walden - Archaeologist, USAG-HI

Native Hawaiian Organization (NHO)

Kai Markell - Office of Hawaiian Affairs, OHA

Lauren Morawski - Office of Hawaiian Affairs, OHA

SUBJECT: Continued Section 106 Consultation Meeting with the Office of Hawaiian Affairs Regarding the Modernization Project at Piliiaau Army Recreation Center (CRS-18-103).

Enclosure 2: Meeting Notes

Before the meeting began all participants confirmed they had received all the meeting documents.

Ms. Walden recapped the initial meeting (11 AUG 2020) and explained that the consulting parties included representatives for Koa Mana, Koa Ike, and Marae Ha'akoa, and the Hawaii State Historic Preservation Division. The project scope of work was reviewed.

Mr. Markell requested that the ground disturbance be the focus.

Ms. Walden explained that ground disturbance will include for the entire recreation area, ground-disturbing activities were explained, and the handout previously emailed was referenced. The depth range of ground disturbing activities such as constructing a new restroom at the north end of PARC, installing irrigation (no lower than 6 inches), redoing pavement and replacing fencing was discussed. A 1980s photo of PARC was included in the documents for this meeting. The photo illustrated the previous ground disturbance that occurred during the demolition of the cabins. Even though there has been previous ground disturbance that does not mean there will not be any archaeological findings. Previously *iwi* was discovered close to the surface under a sidewalk. The previous ground disturbance indicates that any discoveries may be in situ.

Mr. Markell requested the linear footage for the irrigation portion of the modernization project. **Ms. Walden** stated we currently do not have that information. **Ms. Morawski** asked if the following options of raising and / or building up the soil or looking at alternatives to grass were discussed. **Ms. Walden** recorded these alternatives for the proponents.

Mr. Markell asked about the Seawall Project, specially the human remains and the minimum number of individuals (MNI). **Ms. Gilda** updated the group on the *iwi* (27 burials + 3 isolates MNI) and explained the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA) process for the Seawall Project.

Mr. Markell requested a copy of the Notice of Intended Disposition. Ms. Gilda emailed the Notice of Disposition during the meeting.

Ms. Walden brought the discussion back to the current project at hand – the Modernization Project. The project description continued with the discussion of work planned around the cabins, landscaping, security, and cable installation. Project alternatives have included looking at options for above ground installation when possible and that all ground disturbance would be monitored. Project activities at the cove pavilion (on the north side of the stream) include replacing the fence, adding irrigation, and landscaping. The cove pavilion area is not part of the archaeological site. No previous archaeological discoveries have been recorded at the cove pavilion area. The installation of the fence at the cove pavilion will reuse the current post holes.

Mr. Markell asked about Koa Mana friction. **Ms. Gilda** explained that Mr. Lenchanko is participating in the Section 106 consultation but not the primary contact for Koa Mana on the CA. Mr. Silva declined to share his email when called, previous emails have bounced back, and no replies to mail have been received.

SUBJECT: Continued Section 106 Consultation Meeting with the Office of Hawaiian Affairs Regarding the Modernization Project at Piliiaau Army Recreation Center (CRS-18-103).

Ms. Walden explained that due to COVID 19 restrictions separate meetings had been arranged for consulting parties. All consulting parties were sent invitations to a meeting, if the consulting parties did not respond For Official Use Only (FOUO) information was not forwarded. No consulting parties have been excluded, but consulting parties must engage.

Mr. Markell expressed that he had a better understanding but he wants to review the data with **Ms. Morawski**.

Ms. Walden described the maps included in the meeting invitation are FOUO and not to be shared. The development of maps was reviewed; data from Riford 1984 and Hammatt 1985 was collated. The maps are living documents and will continue to be refined. Currently 'unknown' points are the focus.

Mr. Markell asked if the Oahu Island Burial Council (OIBC) has been included as Mr. Downer does not consider OIBC a NHO. **Ms. Walden** explained the (OIBC) was invited to participate as an interested party. Ms. Wong-Kalu was emailed an invitation to both Meeting 1 and Meeting 2. SHPD is participating in this in this Section 106 consultation.

Ms. Morawski asked to revisit the Seawall Project and suggested an after action analysis.

Ms. Gilda explained that a separate meeting will be scheduled to discuss the Seawall Project.

Ms. Walden stated that the one on one meetings with consulting parties have been productive and it has been good to discuss concerns and resolutions to adverse effects and to respond to issues.

The group was asked if there any additional questions or comments. **Mr. Markell** and **Ms. Morawski** had no further comments. The meeting adjourned.