

Table A MRS Background Information

DIRECTIONS: Record the background information below for the MRS to be evaluated. Much of this information is available from Service and DoD databases. If the MRS is located on a FUDS property, the suitable FUDS property information should be substituted. In the **MRS Summary**, briefly describe the UXO, DMM, or MC that are known or suspected to be present, the exposure setting (the MRS's physical environment), any other incidental nonmunitions-related contaminants (e.g., benzene, trichloroethylene) found at the MRS, and any potentially exposed human and ecological receptors. If possible, include a map of the MRS.

Munitions Response Site Name: FTBL-005-R-01 **WEBCASS #:** 51105.1051
Component: Active Army
Installation/Property Name: Fort Belvoir - Fort Belvoir North Area (FBNA)
Location (City, County, State): Fort Belvoir, Virginia, Fairfax County
Site Name/Project Name (Project No.): FTBL-005-R-01; Fort Belvoir North Area (EPG)

Date Information Entered/Updated: 22 SEPTEMBER 2025

POINT OF CONTACT: CHRIS MANIKAS, (703) 806-0030

PROJECT PHASE:

<input type="checkbox"/> PA	<input type="checkbox"/> SI	<input type="checkbox"/> RI	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FS	<input type="checkbox"/> RD
<input type="checkbox"/> RA-C	<input type="checkbox"/> RIP	<input type="checkbox"/> RA-O	<input type="checkbox"/> RC	<input type="checkbox"/> LTM

Media Evaluated (check all that apply):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Groundwater	<input type="checkbox"/> Sediment (human receptor)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (ecological receptor)
<input type="checkbox"/> Sediment (ecological receptor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (human receptor)

MRS Summary:

Documents used in support of this MRSP are the following:

- Stakeholder Involvement (SHI), Dated **xxxxx 2025**.
- Remedial Investigation/Focused Feasibility Study (RI-FFS), Dated January 2021.
- Investigation Summary Report (ISR), Dated June 2009.

The MRS is identified throughout the supporting documents as EPG and FBNA. FBNA is the Fort Belvoir North Area where this MRS is located. FBNA is also known as the EPG, Engineer Proving Ground.

The MRS was used for development and testing of an array of military engineering equipment and supplies in addition to providing training areas and storage for equipment and materials testing, mine deployment and recovery, and demolition (RI-FFS, Section 2.1, Page 2-1).

Munitions Known or Suspected at the MRS include: 60mm mortars, blasting caps, rocket motors, bangalore torpedo, fuzes, M1 practice landmines (fuzing unknown), M15 practice landmines (fuzing unknown), 3-inch anti-aircraft HE warhead, Det Cord, blasting caps, electric squibs, Teller mine fuzes, 155mm projectiles, 105mm projectiles, M6A1, 2.36-inch HEAT rocket, M19A1/2 Rifle Grenade, M20 practice landmine (fuzing unknown), illuminating signal flares, Tellermine 43s, M34 White Phosphorous hand grenade, tear gas projectiles (RI-FFS, Table 4-1, Page 4-4/5).

CHE is Rated as NKSH: Per the RI-FFS, Table 4-1, Page 4-4/5, only conventional munitions were used. There is no historical use of CWM at the MRS and no documentation of CWM use was found during the review of historical documents of FTBL-005-R-01.

HHE is Rated as NKSH: In 2009, the USEPA agreed with the NFA recommendation for MC on the FBNA. The Army concluded that MEC was unlikely to have released contaminants in sufficient amounts to cause an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment. Based on the results and concurrence of the USEPA and VEDQ regarding the MC investigation at the FNBA, no MC sampling was conducted as part of the RI fieldwork, and MC is not addressed further in this RI report (RI-FFS, Section 3.3.6, Page 3-29/30). The data provides no evidence of contaminant release at the MRS. No additional investigation and no action is required for the MRS. Accordingly, NFA is recommended for the MRS (ISR, Section 5.2, Page 5-1).

Stakeholder Involvement (SHI): **TBD**

Table A Continued

Description of Pathways for Human and Ecological Receptors: MEC Pathways are only potentially complete. The extensive nature of investigation and clearance at the site indicate that the probability of encountering MEC is low (RI-FFS, Table 4-1, Page 4-6).

Pathways for MC in Groundwater and Soil are considered incomplete based on previous MC investigations and regulator concurrences. No MC sampling was done during the RI (RI-FFS, Table 4-1, Page 4-5).

Description of Receptors (Human and Ecological): Potential human receptors include authorized installation personnel, industrial/commercial workers, contractors, visitors, hunters, and trespassers, and ecological receptors (RI-FFS, Section 2.2.9/10, Page 2-14/15)).

Table 1

EHE Module: Munitions Type Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are 11 classifications of munitions and their descriptions. Circle the scores that correspond with all the munitions types known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Note: The terms *practice munitions*, *small arms ammunition*, *physical evidence*, and *historical evidence* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Sensitive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ UXO that are considered most likely to function upon any interaction with exposed persons (e.g., submunitions, 40mm high-explosive [HE] grenades, white phosphorus [WP] munitions, high-explosive antitank [HEAT] munitions, and practice munitions with sensitive fuzes, but excluding all other practice munitions). ◆ Hand grenades containing energetic filler. ◆ Bulk primary explosives, or mixtures of these with environmental media, such that the mixture poses an explosive hazard. 	30
High explosive (used or damaged)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ UXO containing a high-explosive filler (e.g., RDX, Composition B), that are not considered "sensitive." ◆ DMM containing a high-explosive filler that have: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Been damaged by burning or detonation ▪ Deteriorated to the point of instability. 	25
Pyrotechnic (used or damaged)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ UXO containing a pyrotechnic filler other than white phosphorus (e.g., flares, signals, simulators, smoke grenades). ◆ DMM containing a pyrotechnic filler other than white phosphorus (e.g., flares, signals, simulators, smoke grenades) that have: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Been damaged by burning or detonation ▪ Deteriorated to the point of instability. 	20
High explosive (unused)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ DMM containing a high-explosive filler that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Have not been damaged by burning or detonation ▪ Are not deteriorated to the point of instability. 	15
Propellant	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ UXO containing mostly single-, double-, or triple-based propellant, or composite propellants (e.g., a rocket motor). ◆ DMM containing mostly single-, double-, or triple-based propellant, or composite propellants (e.g., a rocket motor) that are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Damaged by burning or detonation ▪ Deteriorated to the point of instability. 	15
Bulk secondary high explosives, pyrotechnics, or propellant	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ DMM containing mostly single-, double-, or triple-based propellant, or composite propellants (e.g., a rocket motor). ◆ DMM that are bulk secondary high explosives, pyrotechnic compositions, or propellant (not contained in a munition), or mixtures of these with environmental media such that the mixture poses an explosive hazard. 	10
Pyrotechnic (not used or damaged)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ DMM containing a pyrotechnic filler (i.e., red phosphorus), other than white phosphorus filler, that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Have not been damaged by burning or detonation ▪ Are not deteriorated to the point of instability. 	10
Practice	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ UXO that are practice munitions that are not associated with a sensitive fuze. ◆ DMM that are practice munitions that are not associated with a sensitive fuze and that have not: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Been damaged by burning or detonation ▪ Deteriorated to the point of instability. 	5
Riot control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ UXO or DMM containing a riot control agent filler (e.g., tear gas). 	3
Small arms	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Used munitions or DMM that are categorized as small arms ammunition. (Physical evidence or historical evidence that no other types of munitions [e.g., grenades, subcaliber training rockets, demolition charges] were used or are present on the MRS is required for selection of this category.) 	2
Evidence of no munitions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Following investigation of the MRS, there is physical evidence that there are no UXO or DMM present, or there is historical evidence indicating that no UXO or DMM are present. 	0
MUNITIONS TYPE	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 30).	30

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Munitions Type* classifications

Munitions Known or Suspected at the MRS include: 60mm mortars, blasting caps, rocket motors, bangalore torpedo, fuzes, M1 practice landmines (fuzing unknown), M15 practice landmines (fuzing unknown), 3-inch anti-aircraft HE warhead, Det Cord, blasting caps, electric squibs, Teller mine fuzes, 155mm projectiles, 105mm projectiles, M6A1, 2.36-inch HEAT rocket, M19A1/2 Rifle Grenade, M20 practice landmine (fuzing unknown), illuminating signal flares, Tellermine 43s, M34 White Phosphorous hand grenade, tear gas projectiles (RI-FFS, Table 4-1, Page 4-4/5).

2.36-inch rocket and WP are considered sensitive.

Table 2

EHE Module: Source of Hazard Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are 11 classifications describing sources of explosive hazards. Circle the scores that correspond with all the sources of explosive hazards known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Note: The terms *former range*, *practice munitions*, *small arms range*, *physical evidence*, and *historical evidence* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Former range	♦ The MRS is a former military range where munitions (including practice munitions with sensitive fuzes) have been used. Such areas include impact or target areas and associated buffer and safety zones.	10
Former munitions treatment (i.e., OB/OD) unit	♦ The MRS is a location where UXO or DMM (e.g., munitions, bulk explosives, bulk pyrotechnic, or bulk propellants) were burned or detonated for the purpose of treatment prior to disposal.	8
Former practice munitions range	♦ The MRS is a former military range on which only practice munitions without sensitive fuzes were used.	6
Former maneuver area	♦ The MRS is a former maneuver area where no munitions other than flares, simulators, smokes, and blanks were used. There must be evidence that no other munitions were used at the location to place an MRS into this category.	5
Former burial pit or other disposal area	♦ The MRS is a location where DMM were buried or disposed of (e.g., disposed of into a water body) without prior thermal treatment.	5
Former industrial operating facilities	♦ The MRS is a location that is a former munitions maintenance, manufacturing, or demilitarization facility.	4
Former firing points	♦ The MRS is a firing point, where the firing point is delineated as an MRS separate from the rest of a former military range.	4
Former missile or air defense artillery emplacements	♦ The MRS is a former missile defense or air defense artillery (ADA) emplacement not associated with a military range.	2
Former storage or transfer points	♦ The MRS is a location where munitions were stored or handled for transfer between different modes of transportation (e.g., rail to truck, truck to weapon system).	2
Former small arms range	♦ The MRS is a former military range where only small arms ammunition was used. (There must be evidence that no other types of munitions [e.g., grenades] were used or are present to place an MRS into this category.)	1
Evidence of no munitions	♦ Following investigation of the MRS, there is physical evidence that no UXO or DMM are present, or there is historical evidence indicating that no UXO or DMM are present.	0
SOURCE OF HAZARD	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 10).	10

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the **Source of Hazard** classifications in the space provided.

The MRS was used for development and testing of an array of military engineering equipment and supplies in addition to providing training areas and storage for equipment and materials testing, mine deployment and recovery, and demolition (RI-FFS, Section 2.1, Page 2-1).

Table 3

EHE Module: Location of Munitions Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are eight classifications of munitions locations and their descriptions. Circle the scores that correspond with **all** the locations where munitions are known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Note: The terms *confirmed*, *surface*, *subsurface*, *small arms ammunition*, *physical evidence*, and *historical evidence* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Confirmed surface	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Physical evidence indicates that there are UXO or DMM on the surface of the MRS. ◆ Historical evidence (i.e., a confirmed report such as an explosive ordnance disposal [EOD], police, or fire department report that an incident or accident that involved UXO or DMM occurred) indicates there are UXO or DMM on the surface of the MRS. 	25
Confirmed subsurface, active	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Physical evidence indicates the presence of UXO or DMM in the subsurface of the MRS, and the geological conditions at the MRS are likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena (e.g., drought, flooding, erosion, frost heave, tidal action), or intrusive activities (e.g., plowing, construction, dredging) at the MRS are likely to expose UXO or DMM. ◆ Historical evidence indicates that UXO or DMM are located in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena (e.g., drought, flooding, erosion, frost heave, tidal action), or intrusive activities (e.g., plowing, construction, dredging) at the MRS are likely to expose UXO or DMM. 	20
Confirmed subsurface, stable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Physical evidence indicates the presence of UXO or DMM in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena, or intrusive activities at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed. ◆ Historical evidence indicates that UXO or DMM are located in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena, or intrusive activities at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed. 	15
Suspected (physical evidence)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ There is physical evidence (e.g., munitions debris such as fragments, penetrators, projectiles, shell casings, links, fins), other than the documented presence of UXO or DMM, indicating that UXO or DMM may be present at the MRS. 	10
Suspected (historical evidence)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ There is historical evidence indicating that UXO or DMM may be present at the MRS. 	5
Subsurface, physical constraint	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ There is physical or historical evidence indicating that UXO or DMM may be present in the subsurface, but there is a physical constraint (e.g., pavement, water depth over 120 feet) preventing direct access to the UXO or DMM. 	2
Small arms (regardless of location)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ The presence of small arms ammunition is confirmed or suspected, regardless of other factors such as geological stability. (There must be evidence that no other types of munitions [e.g., grenades] were used or are present at the MRS to place an MRS into this category.) 	1
Evidence of no munitions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Following investigation of the MRS, there is physical evidence that there are no UXO or DMM present, or there is historical evidence indicating that no UXO or DMM are present. 	0
LOCATION OF MUNITIONS	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 25).	25

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Location of Munitions* classifications

MEC was found surface and subsurface (RI-FFS, Section 3.2, Pages 3-11, 3-12, 3-14, 3-17, 3-18, 3-20, 3-21, 3-22, 3-24 (actual)).

Erosion and Frost Heave are a concern according to the RI CSM (RI-FFS, Table 4-1, Page 4-6).

MD was found at the MRS (RI-FFS, Section 3.2, Pages 3-11, 3-12, 3-14, 3-17, 3-18, 3-20, 3-21, 3-22, 3-24 (actual)).

Table 4

EHE Module: Ease of Access Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are four classifications of barrier types that can surround an MRS and their descriptions. The barrier type is directly related to the ease of public access to the MRS. Circle the score that corresponds with the ease of access to the MRS.

Note: The term *barrier* is defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
No barrier	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ There is no barrier preventing access to any part of the MRS (i.e., all parts of the MRS are accessible). 	10
Barrier to MRS access is incomplete	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ There is a barrier preventing access to parts of the MRS, but not the entire MRS. 	8
Barrier to MRS access is complete but not monitored	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ There is a barrier preventing access to all parts of the MRS, but there is no surveillance (e.g., by a guard) to ensure that the barrier is effectively preventing access to all parts of the MRS. 	5
Barrier to MRS access is complete and monitored	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ There is a barrier preventing access to all parts of the MRS, and there is active, continual surveillance (e.g., by a guard, video monitoring) to ensure that the barrier is effectively preventing access to all parts of the MRS. 	0
EASE OF ACCESS	<p>DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 10).</p>	10

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the **Ease of Access** classification in the space provided.

There is no barrier on the MRS (RI-FFS, Section 2.2, Page 2-9).

Table 5

EHE Module: Status of Property Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are three classifications of the status of a property within the Department of Defense (DoD) and their descriptions. Circle the score that corresponds with the status of property at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Score
Non-DoD control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ The MRS is at a location that is no longer owned by, leased to, or otherwise possessed or used by DoD. Examples are privately owned land or water bodies; land or water bodies owned or controlled by state, tribal, or local governments; and land or water bodies managed by other federal agencies. ♦ The MRS is at a location that is owned by DoD, but that DoD has leased to another entity and for which DoD does not control access 24 hours per day. 	5
Scheduled for transfer from DoD control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ The MRS is on land or is a water body that is owned, leased, or otherwise possessed by DoD, and DoD plans to transfer that land or water body to the control of another entity (e.g., a state, tribal, or local government; a private party; another federal agency) within 3 years from the date the Protocol is applied. 	3
DoD control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ The MRS is on land or is a water body that is owned, leased, or otherwise possessed by DoD. With respect to property that is leased or otherwise possessed, DoD must control access to the MRS 24 hours per day, every day of the calendar year. 	0
STATUS OF PROPERTY	<p>DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).</p>	0

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Status of Property* classification

The MRS is under DoD Control, located on the Fort Belvoir North Area (RI-FFS, Section 2.1, Page 2-1).

Table 6

EHE Module: Population Density Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are three classifications for population density and their descriptions. Determine the population density per square mile that most closely corresponds with the population of the MRS, including the area within a two-mile radius of the MRS's perimeter. Circle the most appropriate score.

Note: Use the U.S. Census Bureau tract data available to capture the highest population density within a two-mile radius of the perimeter of the MRS.

Classification	Description	Score
> 500 persons per square mile	♦ There are more than 500 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located.	5
100–500 persons per square mile	♦ There are 100 to 500 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located.	3
< 100 persons per square mile	♦ There are fewer than 100 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located.	1
POPULATION DENSITY	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	5

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Population Density* classification in the space provided.

The population per square mile at Fort Belvoir is 862.7.

<https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/fortbelvoircdpvirginia/PST045221>

Table 7

EHE Module: Population Near Hazard Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are six classifications describing the number of inhabited structures near the MRS. The number of inhabited buildings relates to the potential population near the MRS. Determine the number of inhabited structures within two miles of the MRS boundary and circle the score that corresponds with the number of inhabited structures.

Note: The term *inhabited structures* is defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
26 or more inhabited structures	♦ There are 26 or more inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	5
16 to 25 inhabited structures	♦ There are 16 to 25 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	4
11 to 15 inhabited structures	♦ There are 11 to 15 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	3
6 to 10 inhabited structures	♦ There are 6 to 10 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	2
1 to 5 inhabited structures	♦ There are 1 to 5 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	1
0 inhabited structures	♦ There are no inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	0
POPULATION NEAR HAZARD	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	5

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the **Population Near Hazard** classification in the space provided.

There are more than 26 inhabited structures within two miles of the MRS

https://earth.google.com/web/@38.75549983,-77.20797274,79.93593491a,2500.05248122d,35y,-0h,0t,0r/data=CgRCAggBQgIIAEoNCP_____wEQAA

Table 8

EHE Module: Types of Activities/Structures Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are five classifications of activities and/or inhabited structures and their descriptions. Review the types of activities that occur and/or structures that are present within two miles of the MRS and circle the scores that correspond with **all** the activities/structure classifications at the MRS.

Note: The term *inhabited structure* is defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Residential, educational, commercial, or subsistence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with any of the following purposes: residential, educational, child care, critical assets (e.g., hospitals, fire and rescue, police stations, dams), hotels, commercial, shopping centers, playgrounds, community gathering areas, religious sites, or sites used for subsistence hunting, fishing, and gathering. 	5
Parks and recreational areas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with parks, nature preserves, or other recreational uses. 	4
Agricultural, forestry	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with agriculture or forestry. 	3
Industrial or warehousing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with industrial activities or warehousing. 	2
No known or recurring activities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ There are no known or recurring activities occurring up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary. 	1
TYPES OF ACTIVITIES/STRUCTURES	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	5

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the **Types of Activities/Structures** classifications in the space provided.

There are residential, educational, child care, critical assets (e.g., hospitals, fire and rescue, police stations, dams), hotels, commercial, shopping centers, playgrounds, community gathering areas, religious sites within two miles of the MRS boundary.

https://earth.google.com/web/@38.75549983,-77.20797274,79.93593491a,2500.05248122d,35y,-0h,0t,0r/data=CgRCAggBQgIIAEoNCP_____wEQAA

Table 9

EHE Module: Ecological and/or Cultural Resources Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are four classifications of ecological and/or cultural resources and their descriptions. Review the types of resources present and circle the score that corresponds with the ecological and/or cultural resources present on the MRS.

Note: The terms *ecological resources* and *cultural resources* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Ecological and cultural resources present	♦ There are both ecological and cultural resources present on the MRS.	5
Ecological resources present	♦ There are ecological resources present on the MRS.	3
Cultural resources present	♦ There are cultural resources present on the MRS.	3
No ecological or cultural resources present	♦ There are no ecological resources or cultural resources present on the MRS.	0
ECOLOGICAL AND/OR CULTURAL RESOURCES	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	3

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Ecological and/or Cultural Resources* classification in the space provided.

The small whorled pogonia (*Isotria medeoloides*) is a federal threatened and state endangered forest dwelling orchid at the MRS (RI-FFS, Section 2.2.10, Page 2-15).

No archaeological resources are on the MRS (RI-FFS, Section 6.3.1, Page 6-14).

Table 10
Determining the EHE Module Rating

				Source	Score	Value	
<p>DIRECTIONS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> From Tables 1–9, record the data element scores in the Score boxes to the right. Add the Score boxes for each of the three factors and record this number in the Value boxes to the right. Add the three Value boxes and record this number in the EHE Module Total box below. Circle the appropriate range for the EHE Module Total below. Circle the EHE Module Rating that corresponds to the range selected and record this value in the EHE Module Rating box found at the bottom of the table. <p>Note: An alternative module rating may be assigned when a module letter rating is inappropriate. An alternative module rating is used when more information is needed to score one or more data elements, contamination at an MRS was previously addressed, or there is no reason to suspect contamination was ever present at an MRS.</p>	Explosive Hazard Factor Data Elements						
	Munitions Type	Table 1	30	40			
	Source of Hazard	Table 2	10				
	Accessibility Factor Data Elements						
	Location of Munitions	Table 3	25	35			
	Ease of Access	Table 4	10				
	Status of Property	Table 5	0				
	Receptor Factor Data Elements						
	Population Density	Table 6	5	18			
	Population Near Hazard	Table 7	5				
	Types of Activities/Structures	Table 8	5				
	Ecological and/or Cultural Resources	Table 9	3				
	EHE MODULE TOTAL					93	
	EHE Module Total			EHE Module Rating			
	92 to 100			A			
	82 to 91			B			
	71 to 81			C			
	60 to 70			D			
	48 to 59			E			
	38 to 47			F			
less than 38			G				
Alternative Module Ratings			Evaluation Pending				
			No Longer Required				
			No Known or Suspected Explosive Hazard				
EHE MODULE RATING			A				

Table 11

CHE Module: CWM Configuration Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are seven classifications of CWM configuration and their descriptions. Circle the scores that correspond with **all** the CWM configurations known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Note: The terms *CWM/UXO*, *CWM/DMM*, *physical evidence*, and *historical evidence* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
CWM, that are either UXO, or explosively configured damaged DMM	The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ CWM that are UXO (i.e., CWM/UXO) ♦ Explosively configured CWM that are DMM (i.e., CWM/DMM) that have been damaged. 	30
CWM mixed with UXO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are undamaged CWM/DMM or CWM not configured as a munition that are commingled with conventional munitions that are UXO. 	25
CWM, explosive configuration that are undamaged DMM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are explosively configured CWM/DMM that have not been damaged. 	20
CWM/DMM, not explosively configured or CWM, bulk container	The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ Nonexplosively configured CWM/DMM either damaged or undamaged ♦ Bulk CWM (e.g., ton container). 	15
CAIS K941 and CAIS K942	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ The CWM/DMM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are CAIS K941-toxic gas set M-1 or CAIS K942-toxic gas set M-2/E11. 	12
CAIS (chemical agent identification sets)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ CAIS, other than CAIS K941 and K942, are known or suspected of being present at the MRS. 	10
Evidence of no CWM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ Following investigation, the physical evidence indicates that CWM are not present at the MRS, or the historical evidence indicates that CWM are not present at the MRS. 	0
CWM CONFIGURATION	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 30).	0

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the **CWM Configuration** classifications in the space provided.

Per the RI-FFS, Table 4-1, Page 4-4/5, only conventional munitions were used. There is no historical use of CWM at the MRS and no documentation of CWM use was found during the review of historical documents of FTBL-005-R-01.

Tables 12-19 are omitted IAW Army Guidance

Table 20
Determining the CHE Module Rating

	Source	Score	Value	
<p>DIRECTIONS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> From Tables 11–19, record the data element scores in the Score boxes to the right. Add the Score boxes for each of the three factors and record this number in the Value boxes to the right. Add the three Value boxes and record this number in the CHE Module Total box below. Circle the appropriate range for the CHE Module Total below. Circle the CHE Module Rating that corresponds to the range selected and record this value in the CHE Module Rating box found at the bottom of the table. <p>Note: An alternative module rating may be assigned when a module letter rating is inappropriate. An alternative module rating is used when more information is needed to score one or more data elements, contamination at an MRS was previously addressed, or there is no reason to suspect contamination was ever present at an MRS.</p>	CWM Hazard Factor Data Elements			
	CWM Configuration	Table 11	0	0
	Sources of CWM	Table 12		
	Accessibility Factor Data Elements			
	Location of CWM	Table 13		
	Ease of Access	Table 14		
	Status of Property	Table 15		
	Receptor Factor Data Elements			
	Population Density	Table 16		
	Population Near Hazard	Table 17		
	Types of Activities/Structures	Table 18		
	Ecological and/or Cultural Resources	Table 19		
	CHE MODULE TOTAL			0
	CHE Module Total		CHE Module Rating	
	92 to 100		A	
	82 to 91		B	
	71 to 81		C	
	60 to 70		D	
	48 to 59		E	
	38 to 47		F	
less than 38		G		
Alternative Module Ratings		Evaluation Pending		
		No Longer Required		
		No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard		
CHE MODULE RATING		No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard		

Table 21

HHE Module: Groundwater Data Element Table

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the **maximum concentrations** of all contaminants in the MRS's groundwater and their **comparison values** (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the **ratios** for each contaminant by dividing the **maximum concentration** by the **comparison value**. Determine the **CHF** by adding the contaminant **ratios** together, including any additional groundwater contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the **CHF**, use the **CHF Scale** to determine and record the **CHF Value**. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard present in the groundwater, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (µg/L)	Comparison Value (µg/L)	Ratios
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	
CHF > 100	H (High)	$CHF = \sum \frac{[\text{Maximum Concentration of Contaminant}]}{[\text{Comparison Value for Contaminant}]}$	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)		
2 > CHF	L (Low)		
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the CHF Value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).		

Migratory Pathway Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the groundwater migratory pathway at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the groundwater is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.	H
Potential	Contamination in groundwater has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.	M
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the groundwater to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).	L
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	

Receptor Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the groundwater receptors at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Identified	There is a threatened water supply well downgradient of the source and the groundwater is a current source of drinking water or source of water for other beneficial uses such as irrigation/agriculture (equivalent to Class I or IIA aquifer).	H
Potential	There is no threatened water supply well downgradient of the source and the groundwater is currently or potentially usable for drinking water, irrigation, or agriculture (equivalent to Class I, IIA, or IIB aquifer).	M
Limited	There is no potentially threatened water supply well downgradient of the source and the groundwater is not considered a potential source of drinking water and is of limited beneficial use (equivalent to Class IIIA or IIIB aquifer, or where perched aquifer exists only).	L
RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	

No Known or Suspected Groundwater MC Hazard

Media Not Sampled.

GW was not sampled as a part of this RI-FFS. GW has been sampled in the past and is being addressed in other delineated MRSs, AOPCs, and SWMUs within the MRA boundary (RI-FFS, Table 2-2, Pages 2-5, 2-6, and 2-7).

Table 22

HHE Module: Surface Water – Human Endpoint Data Element Table

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the **maximum concentrations** of all contaminants in the MRS's surface water and their **comparison values** (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the **ratios** for each contaminant by dividing the **maximum concentration** by the **comparison value**. Determine the **CHF** by adding the contaminant **ratios** together, including any additional surface water contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the **CHF**, use the **CHF Scale** to determine and record the **CHF Value**. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with human endpoints present in the surface water, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (µg/L)	Comparison Value (µg/L)	Ratios
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	
CHF > 100	H (High)	$CHF = \sum \frac{[\text{Maximum Concentration of Contaminant}]}{[\text{Comparison Value for Contaminant}]}$	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)		
2 > CHF	L (Low)		

CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the CHF Value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).
----------------------------------	--

Migratory Pathway Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the surface water migratory pathway at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the surface water is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.	H
Potential	Contamination in surface water has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.	M
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the surface water to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).	L

MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).
---------------------------------	---

Receptor Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the surface water receptors at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.	H
Potential	Potential for receptors to have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.	M
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.	L

RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).
------------------------	---

No Known or Suspected Surface Water (Human Endpoint) MC Hazard

Media Not Sampled.

In previous MC investigations, the only media of concern were Groundwater and Soil. Surface water has never been sampled in previous MC investigations. Based on the results and concurrence of the USEPA and VEDQ regarding the MC investigation at the FNBA, no MC sampling was conducted as part of the RI fieldwork, and MC is not addressed further in this RI report (RI-FFS, Section 3.3.6, Page 3-29).

Table 23

HHE Module: Sediment – Human Endpoint Data Element Table

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the **maximum concentrations** of all contaminants in the MRS's sediment and their **comparison values** (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the **ratios** for each contaminant by dividing the **maximum concentration** by the **comparison value**. Determine the **CHF** by adding the contaminant **ratios** together, including any additional sediment contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the **CHF**, use the **CHF Scale** to determine and record the **CHF Value**. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with human endpoints present in the sediment, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (mg/kg)	Comparison Value (mg/kg)	Ratios
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	
CHF > 100	H (High)	$CHF = \sum \frac{[\text{Maximum Concentration of Contaminant}]}{[\text{Comparison Value for Contaminant}]}$	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)		
2 > CHF	L (Low)		

CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the CHF Value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).
----------------------------------	--

Migratory Pathway Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the sediment migratory pathway at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the sediment is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.	H
Potential	Contamination in sediment has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.	M
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the sediment to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).	L

MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).
---------------------------------	---

Receptor Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the sediment receptors at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	H
Potential	Potential for receptors to have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	M
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	L

RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).
------------------------	---

No Known or Suspected Sediment (Human Endpoint) MC Hazard

Media Not Sampled.

In previous MC investigations, the only media of concern were Groundwater and Soil. Sediment has never been sampled in previous MC investigations. Based on the results and concurrence of the USEPA and VEDQ regarding the MC investigation at the FNBA, no MC sampling was conducted as part of the RI fieldwork, and MC is not addressed further in this RI report (RI-FFS, Section 3.3.6, Page 3-29).

Table 24

HHE Module: Surface Water – Ecological Endpoint Data Element Table

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the **maximum concentrations** of all contaminants in the MRS's surface water and their **comparison values** (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the **ratios** for each contaminant by dividing the **maximum concentration** by the **comparison value**. Determine the **CHF** by adding the contaminant **ratios** together, including any additional surface water contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the **CHF**, use the **CHF Scale** to determine and record the **CHF Value**. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with ecological endpoints present in the surface water, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (µg/L)	Comparison Value (µg/L)	Ratios
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum the Ratios	
CHF > 100	H (High)	$CHF = \sum \frac{[\text{Maximum Concentration of Contaminant}]}{[\text{Comparison Value for Contaminant}]}$	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)		
2 > CHF	L (Low)		
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the CHF Value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).		

Migratory Pathway Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the surface water migratory pathway at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the surface water is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.	H
Potential	Contamination in surface water has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.	M
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the surface water to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).	L
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	

Receptor Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the surface water receptors at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.	H
Potential	Potential for receptors to have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.	M
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.	L
RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	

No Known or Suspected Surface Water (Ecological Endpoint) MC Hazard

Media Not Sampled.

In previous MC investigations, the only media of concern were Groundwater and Soil. Surface water has never been sampled in previous MC investigations. Based on the results and concurrence of the USEPA and VEDQ regarding the MC investigation at the FNBA, no MC sampling was conducted as part of the RI fieldwork, and MC is not addressed further in this RI report (RI-FFS, Section 3.3.6, Page 3-29).

Table 25

HHE Module: Sediment – Ecological Endpoint Data Element Table

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the **maximum concentrations** of all contaminants in the MRS's sediment and their **comparison values** (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the **ratios** for each contaminant by dividing the **maximum concentration** by the **comparison value**. Determine the **CHF** by adding the contaminant **ratios** together, including any additional sediment contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the **CHF**, use the **CHF Scale** to determine and record the **CHF Value**. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with ecological endpoints present in the sediment, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (mg/kg)	Comparison Value (mg/kg)	Ratios
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum the Ratios	
CHF > 100	H (High)	$CHF = \sum \frac{[\text{Maximum Concentration of Contaminant}]}{[\text{Comparison Value for Contaminant}]}$	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)		
2 > CHF	L (Low)		
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the CHF Value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).		

Migratory Pathway Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the sediment migratory pathway at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the sediment is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.	H
Potential	Contamination in sediment has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.	M
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the sediment to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).	L
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	

Receptor Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the sediment receptors at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	H
Potential	Potential for receptors to have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	M
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	L
RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	

No Known or Suspected Sediment (Ecological Endpoint) MC Hazard

Media Not Sampled.

In previous MC investigations, the only media of concern were Groundwater and Soil. Sediment has never been sampled in previous MC investigations. Based on the results and concurrence of the USEPA and VEDQ regarding the MC investigation at the FNBA, no MC sampling was conducted as part of the RI fieldwork, and MC is not addressed further in this RI report (RI-FFS, Section 3.3.6, Page 3-29).

Table 26
HHE Module: Surface Soil Data Element Table

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the **maximum concentrations** of all contaminants in the MRS's surface soil and their **comparison values** (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the **ratios** for each contaminant by dividing the **maximum concentration** by the **comparison value**. Determine the **CHF** by adding the contaminant **ratios** together, including any additional surface soil contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the **CHF**, use the **CHF Scale** to determine and record the **CHF Value**. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard present in the surface soil, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (mg/kg)	Comparison Value (mg/kg)	Ratio
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum the Ratios	
CHF > 100	H (High)	$CHF = \sum \frac{[\text{Maximum Concentration of Contaminant}]}{[\text{Comparison Value for Contaminant}]}$	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)		
2 > CHF	L (Low)		
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the CHF Value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).		

Migratory Pathway Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the surface soil migratory pathway at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the surface soil is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.	H
Potential	Contamination in surface soil has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.	M
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the surface soil to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).	L
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	

Receptor Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the surface soil receptors at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to surface soil to which contamination has moved or can move.	H
Potential	Potential for receptors to have access to surface soil to which contamination has moved or can move.	M
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to surface soil to which contamination has moved or can move.	L
RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	

No Known or Suspected Surface Soil MC Hazard



Table 28

Determining the HHE Module Rating

DIRECTIONS:

1. Record the letter values (H, M, L) for the **Contaminant Hazard, Migration Pathway, and Receptor Factors** for the media (from Tables 21–26) in the corresponding boxes below.
2. Record the media's three-letter combinations in the **Three-Letter Combination** boxes below (three-letter combinations are arranged from Hs to Ms to Ls).
3. Using the **HHE Ratings** provided below, determine each media's rating (A–G) and record the letter in the corresponding **Media Rating** box below.

Media (Source)	Contaminant Hazard Factor Value	Migratory Pathway Factor Value	Receptor Factor Value	Three-Letter Combination (Hs-Ms-Ls)	Media Rating (A-G)	
Groundwater (Table 21)						
Surface Water/Human Endpoint (Table 22)						
Sediment/Human Endpoint (Table 23)						
Surface Water/Ecological Endpoint (Table 24)						
Sediment/Ecological Endpoint (Table 25)						
Surface Soil (Table 26)						
<p>DIRECTIONS (cont.):</p> <p>4. Select the single highest Media Rating (A is highest; G is lowest) and enter the letter in the HHE Module Rating box.</p> <p>Note: An alternative module rating may be assigned when a module letter rating is inappropriate. An alternative module rating is used when more information is needed to score one or more media, contamination at an MRS was previously addressed, or there is no reason to suspect contamination was ever present at an MRS.</p>				HHE MODULE RATING		NKSH
				HHE Ratings (for reference only)		
				Combination	Rating	
				HHH	A	
				HHM	B	
				HHL	C	
				HMM		
				HML	D	
				MMM		
				HLL	E	
MML						
MLL	F					
LLL	G					
Alternative Module Ratings		Evaluation Pending				
		No Longer Required				
		No Known or Suspected MC Hazard				

In 2009, the USEPA agreed with the NFA recommendation for MC on the MRS. The Army concluded that MEC was unlikely to have released contaminants in sufficient amounts to cause an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment. Based on the results and concurrence of the USEPA and VEDQ regarding the MC investigation at the FNBA, no MC sampling was conducted as part of the RI fieldwork, and MC is not addressed further in this RI report (RI-FFS, Section 3.3.6, Page 3-29/30). The data provides no evidence of contaminant release at the MRS. No additional investigation and no action is required for the MRS. Accordingly, NFA is recommended for the MRS (ISR, Section 5.2, Page 5-1).

Table 29
MRS Priority

DIRECTIONS: In the chart below, circle the letter **rating** for each module recorded in Table 10 (EHE), Table 20 (CHE), and Table 28 (HHE). Circle the corresponding numerical **priority** for each module. If information to determine the module rating is not available, choose the appropriate alternative module rating. The MRS Priority is the single highest priority; record this relative priority in the **MRS Priority or Alternative MRS Rating** at the bottom of the table.

Note: An MRS assigned Priority 1 has the highest relative priority; an MRS assigned Priority 8 has the lowest relative priority. Only an MRS with CWM known or suspected to be present can be assigned Priority 1; an MRS that has CWM known or suspected to be present cannot be assigned Priority 8.

EHE Rating	Priority	CHE Rating	Priority	HHE Rating	Priority
		A	1		
A	2	B	2	A	2
B	3	C	3	B	3
C	4	D	4	C	4
D	5	E	5	D	5
E	6	F	6	E	6
F	7	G	7	F	7
G	8			G	8
Evaluation Pending		Evaluation Pending		Evaluation Pending	
No Longer Required		No Longer Required		No Longer Required	
No Known or Suspected Explosive Hazard		No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard		No Known or Suspected MC Hazard	
MRS PRIORITY or ALTERNATIVE MRS RATING				2	