



FY21

# **Equal Employment Opportunity**



# Installation Management Command

**Management Directive 715** 



# FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

This page is intentionally Left Blank

| EEOC FORM<br>715-01<br>PART A - D           |  |                     |  |               |  |
|---|--|---------------------|--|---------------|--|
|   | For perio                                    | od covering Octobe  | er 1, 2020, to September 30, 20                                | 21.           |  |
| <b>PART A</b><br>Department                 | 1. Agency                                    |                     | Department of the Army   |               |  |
| or Agency<br>Identifying                    | 1.a. 2 <sup>nd</sup> level reportir          | ng component        | Installation Management Comma                                  | nd (IMCOM)    |  |
| Information                                 | 1.b. 3 <sup>rd</sup> level reportir          | ng component        | IMCOM Directorate – Sustainmen                                 | t (ID-S)      |  |
|   | 1.c. 4 <sup>th</sup> level reportin          | g component         | USAG, Aberdeen Proving Ground                                  |               |  |
|   | 2. Address                                   |                     | 6488 Rodman Road, Bldg. 4305,                                  | Suite 111     |  |
|   | 3. City, State, Zip C                        | Code                | Aberdeen Proving Ground MD 21                                  | 005           |  |
|   | 4. Agency Code                               | 5. FIPS Code        | ARBA   | 24025         |  |
| <b>PART B</b><br>Total                      | 1. Enter total numbe                         | r of permanent work | force  | 628           |  |
| Employment                                  | 2. Enter total number of temporary workforce |                     | force  | 14            |  |
|   | 3. Enter total number employees paid from    |                     | m non-appropriated funds                                       | 252           |  |
|   | TOTAL Workforce                              | [add lines]         | 894  |               |  |
| PART C.1<br>Head of                         | Agency Leadership                            | )                   | Name & Title   |               |  |
| Agency and<br>Head of                       | 1. Head of Agency                            |                     | COL John M. Casiano, Garrison Commander                        |               |  |
| Agency<br>Designee                          | 2. Head of Agency D                          | esignee             | Mr. Bruce Griggs, Deputy Garrison Commander                    |               |  |
|   | EEO Program Staf                             | f                   | Name, Title, Series, Pay Plan and Grade                        |               |  |
| PART C.2<br>Agency                          | 1. Principal EEO Dire                        | ctor/Official       | Mr. Deicherrie V. Stackhouse, EE                               | 0 Officer     |  |
| Official(s)<br>Responsible<br>For Oversight | 2. Affirmative Employ<br>Manager             | yment Program       | Mr. Deicherrie V. Stackhouse, EEO Officer                      |               |  |
| of EEO<br>Program(s)                        | 3. Complaint Process<br>Manager              | ing Program         | Ms. Monique N. Moore, EEO Specialist                           |               |  |
|   | 4. Former Disability I<br>(SEPM)             | Program Manager     | Mr. William Paolicelli, EEO Specialist (Oct 2020-April 2021)   |               |  |
|   | 5. Disability Program                        | Manager (SEPM)      | Ms. Lavon Forbes, EEO Specialist (April 2021-30 September 2021 |               |  |
|   | 6. Other Responsible                         | EEO Staff           | Ms. Crystal Gant, EEO Specialist                               |               |  |
|   | 7. Other Responsible                         | EEO Staff           | Ms. Antoinette Brown, EEO Speci                                | alist, Intern |  |
|   | 8.   |                     |  |               |  |

|  | EEOC FORM<br>715-01<br>PART A - D               |                                |  |
|--|---|--------------------------------|--|
|  | For period covering October 1, 2021, to Septemb | er 30, 2022.                   |  |
| <b>PART D</b><br>List of<br>Subordinate                | Subordinate Component and Location (City/State) |                                | Agency and FIPS<br>Codes                   |
| Components<br>Covered in This<br>Report                |   |                                |  |
|  |   |                                |  |
|  |   |                                |  |
|  |   |                                |  |
|  |   |                                |  |
|  |   |                                |  |
|  |   | -                              |  |
| PART D.2<br>Mandatory and<br>Optional<br>Documents for | Did the agency submit the following documents   | Please<br>respond Yes<br>or No | Comments                                   |
| this Report  | Organizational Chart                            | Yes                            | Completed<br>uploaded into the<br>Reporter |
|  | 462 Report                                      | Yes                            | Completed<br>uploaded into the<br>Reporter |
|  | EEO Policy                                      | Yes                            | Completed<br>uploaded into the<br>Reporter |
|  | Anti-harassment Policy                          | No                             |  |
|  | Disabled Veterans Affirmative Action Plan       | Yes                            | Completed<br>uploaded into the<br>Reporter |
|  | FEORP   | No                             |  |
|  | Facility Accessibility Surveys                  | No                             |  |
|  | Hispanic 9 point Plan                           | Yes                            | Completed<br>uploaded into the<br>Reporter |
|  |   |                                |  |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# 715 - PART E EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

# Organization info:

**Mission:** IMCOM delivers quality base support from the Strategic Support Area, enabling readiness for a globally responsive Army: <u>https://home.army.mil/apg/index.php/about/Garrison/EEO</u>.

**Location and Employees**: Aberdeen Proving Ground (APG), and Edgewood are located in Harford County, MD and Adelphi Laboratory Center, Adelphi, Maryland (Prince Georges County). APG falls under the Sustainment Directorate (ID-S) of the Installation Management Command (IMCOM) and provides Base Operations Support, Quality of Life, and Services Essential to the entire APG Community and the Warfighting Mission. APG is Harford counties largest employers.

**Workforce:** The APG Garrison workforce has **894** employees (including NAF) responsible for numerous technical achievements across a broad spectrum of military capabilities.

**EEO Services Delivered:** The United States Army Garrison (USAG), APG Equal Employment Opportunity Office (EEO) delivers services to major tenant commands, subordinate commands, and smaller tenant organizations (92 in total) which consist of informal complaint processing, formal complaint processing, Advisory Services, managing the Reasonable Accommodation Process, Anti-Harassment Program Process, Alternate Dispute Resolution (ADR), Annual and requested EEO Training, awards review (DA Form 1256), hiring panels and legal reviews for all Garrison formal complaints as well as for Tobyhanna, Fort Huachuca and Picatinny Arsenal.

**Serviced Population:** The servicing population includes Garrison (Appropriated and Non-Appropriated fund Employees and Contractors) MEDCOM, JPEO, PEO, NETCOM, LRC, CHRA, RNEC, MRICID, PHC, CECOM, CCDC, ATEC and 20th CBRNE. The servicing population that the Garrison EEO support and deliver services to is over 11,115 federal personnel (not including contractors) that work on or for tenant organizations of APG, Edgewood or Adelphi.

# Database info:

The data contained in this report was extracted from multiple sources that include: Defense Civilian Personnel Data System (DCPDS) utilizing Business Objectives Applications (BOBI) and iComplaints. The data reflects all Appropriated Fund (AF) employees as well as Non-Appropriated fund employees (NAF). Contractor information is

# FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

not included other than for statistical purposes (total population) outside of the general parameters of this report.

The MD 715 also requires each agency to analyze its workforce to ensure that qualified applicants from diverse groups are included in the workplace pool. The NCLF is used for comparisons. The Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) code used is 24 025, Maryland, Harford County.

# Limitations:

Race, ethnicity, and disability information contained in DCPDS is obtained through voluntary employee submissions. Employee perceptions for self-identification on race and ethnicity may not coincide with the standard categories prescribed by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC), the U.S. Census Bureau, or the Office of Personnel Management.

Applicant pool data is not available, limiting conclusions on the data tables.

# Workforce Analysis Summary:

As of 30 September 2021, the data enclosed in this report shows APG's Garrison data. The Garrison has direct command and control of 894 civilian employees (includes NAF personnel). There are 642 appropriated personnel and 252 non-appropriated personnel. Of that 14 are temporary personnel a decrease of 20 personnel.

The civilian workforce on APG (Garrison Employee's only) is compared to the National Civilian Labor Force (NCLF-Harford County). Data showed that overall civilian workforce was comprised of 66.33 % males employees, an increase of 4.03% from FY20 (64.11%) and 33.66% female employees, a decrease of 5.64% from FY20 (35.88%). Compared to the National Civilian Labor Force, males are above by 13.03% while females are below by 13.04%. Females have remained below the NNCLF for FY18, FY19 and FY20.

**Table: A1:** The examination of the workforce data indicated that the minority workforce (non-white males) population participation rates are above the **2010 NNCLF**. They are:

| Hispanic Males | NCLF 0.40%, APG 2.79%      | Hispanic Females    | NCLF 0.30%, APG 0.22%  |
|----------------|----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| White Males    | NCLF 51.10%, APG 46.64%    | White Females N     | CLF 44.70%, APG 10.62% |
| Black Males    | NCLF 1.20%, APG 7.04%      | Black Females       | NCLF 1.10%, APG 5.81%  |
| Asian Males    | NCLF 0.40%, APG 0.78%      | Asian Females       | NCLF 0.20%, APG 0.33%  |
| NH/OPI Males   | NCLF 0.00%, APG 0.33%      | NH/OPI Females      | NCLF 0.00%, APG 0.11%  |
| NA/NA Males    | NCLF 0.10%, APG 0.67%      | NA/NA Females       | NCLF 0.00%, APG 0.00%  |
| Two or more Ma | ales NCLF 0.20%, APG 8.05% | Two or more Females | NCLF 0.40%, APG 16.55% |

\*Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders (NH/OPI), Native Americans or Native Alaskan (NA/NA)

# Table: B1

## FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

The Federal goal for Individual's with a disability is 12%. The Garrison currently employs 98 Individuals With Disabilities (IWDs), 10.96%. This is below the Federal goal by 1.04%. The Federal goal for Person's with a targeted disability is 2%. The Garrison currently employs 29 Individuals With Disabilities (IWTDs), 3.24%. This is above the Federal goal by 1.24%. There were **74** personnel that have not self-identified.

# Grade Distribution for GS 11-GS 15 (Table A4-1):

# The NCLF is 53.30 for males and 46.70% for females

51 GS 11 personnel, 32 (63%) male (10% above) and 19 (37%) female (10% below) 88 GS 12 personnel, 60 (68%) male (15% above) and 28 (32%) female (19% below). 51 GS 13 personnel, 34 (67%) male (14% above) and 17 (33%) female (14% below). 14 GS 14 personnel, 11 (79%) male (26% above) and 3 (21%) female (32% below) 2 GS 15 personnel, 2 (100%) male and 0 females. Garrison does not consist of SES personnel.

# Grade Distribution for GS 11-GS 15, of IWDs and IWTDs (Table B4-1):

6 (12%) GS 11 IWDs and 3 (6%) were IWTDs. 15 (12%) GS 12 IWDs and 5 (6%) were IWTDs. 7 (12%) GS 13 IWDs and 2 (4%) were IWTDs. 2 (100%) GS 14 IWDs and 0 (0%) were IWTDs. 0 (0%) GS 15 IWDs and 0 (0%) were IWTDs. Garrison does not consist of SES personnel.

# Summary of Occupational Categories (Table A3-1-1):

The United States Federal Government is organized in terms of categories of workers. Federal jobs are grouped into eight (8) job categories: Officials and Managers, Professionals, Technicians, Administrative Support, Craft Workers, Operatives, Laborers, and Service workers.

# **Category 1 (Officials and Managers): There was 101 employees in this category**: males make up 54 (53.46%) and females made up 47 (46.53%) of the population. Compared to the NCLF, males are below by 4.43% while females were above by 4.43% of the NCLF. White males and White females dominate this category when compared to the NCLF with a difference of 39.60% for White males and 25.74% for White females. Hispanic males make up 1.98% while Hispanic females make up 0%. Hispanic males are above the NCLF by 1.78% and below by 0.70% for females. Black males make up 9.90% while Black females make up 17.82%, both are also above the NCLF by 9.90% for males and 16.02% for females. The participation rates for Asian females, Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander females and American Indian or Alaskan Native as well as two or more races male and female are higher than the NCLF. Asian male, Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander males and American Indian or Alaskan Native as well as two or more races male and female are consistent with the NCLF at 0%.

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

**Category 2 (Professionals): There are 161 employees in the category**: males dominated this category with a 75.77% (NCLF 43.50%) representation compared to 24.22% (NCLF 56.40%) for females. When compared to the NCLF, males were above by 32.27% and females were below by 32.18%. Records indicate that the discrepancy has existed since at least 2012 and remains consistent. Female representation rates above the NCLF were Black females (6.83% NCLF 0.70%), Asian females (.62% NCLF 0.40%) and two or more races females (0.62% NCLF 0.10%). White females are below the NCLF by 38.66% (APG 16.14% NCLF 54.80%) Hispanic females by 0.50% (0% NCLF 0.50%) American Indian or Alaskan Native males by 0.10% (0% NCLF 0.10%).

**Category 3 (Technicians): There are 27 employees in the category:** Males dominated this category with a 100% (NCLF 36.60%) representation compared to 0% (NCLF 63.30%) for females. When compared to the NCLF, males are above by 63.40% and females were below by 63.30%. Records indicate that the discrepancy has existed since at least 2012 and remains consistent. There were 7.41% Hispanic males (NCLF 0%), 81.48% white males (NCLF 36.60), 7.40% black males (NCLF 0%) and 3.70% American Indian or Alaskan Native NNCLF 0%). The NCLF for white females was 62.70% and 0.50% for Hispanic females.

**Category 4 (Administrative and Support Workers): There are 44 employees in the category:** Females represent 65.90% (NCLF 78.50) of the workforce in this category while males made up 34.09% (NCLF 21.50%). Compared to the NCLF, females were below 12.60%. White males (10.40% NCLF 20.00%), White females (58.05% NCLF 74.70%) and Two or more Races – males (0.33% NCLF 0.50%). Minorities, with the exception of males who claim Two or more races, were consistently above the CLS with White females and Black females making up 80.19% (58.05% and 22.14%) of this category.

**Category 5 (Craft Workers): There are 147 employees in the category:** This category has been heavily dominated by males historically. Males made up 95.91% of the workforce in this category against a 94.90% NCLF rate. Females made up 4.08% up from FY19, 1.47% and FY20, 2.09% of the workforce and are below compared to the NCLF by 1.12% (5.20%). There was one underutilized minority group in this category: Two or more races – females (0.00% NCLF 0.10%).

**Category 6 (Operatives): There are 91 employees in the category:** This category was heavily dominated by males with a representation of 94.50%, 12.80% above the NCLF (81.70%). Females made up only 5.49% (NCLF 18.20%), and were below by 12.71%. There were four underutilized groups in this category: Hispanic females (.00% NCLF 0.20%), White females (4.39% NCLF 17.39%) and Two or More races – females (0.00% NCLF 0.10%).

# FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

**Category 7 (Laborers and Helpers): There are 14 employees in the category:** This category is traditionally dominated by males with a representation of 94.85%, 5.85% above the NCLF (NCLF 89.00%). Females made up 7.14%, 4.06\$ below the NCLF (11.20). White males made up the majority of the workforce at 85.71% (NCLF 84.00), followed by White females (7.14% NCLF 11.20%). There were two groups in this category that fell below the NCLF: Black males (0.00% NCLF 3.50%) and Two or more races – males (0.00% NCLF 1.20%).

**Category 8 (Service Workers): There are 56 employees in the category:** This category was dominated by males with a representation rate of 94.64%, 51.34% (NCLF 43.30%). Females made up 5.35%, 51.45% below the NCLF (NCLF 56.80%). There were three groups in this category that fell below the NCLF: Hispanic females (0% NCLF 0.20%, White females (0% NCLF 54.70%) Asian males (0.00% NCLF 0.20%), Asian females (0.00% 0.20%) and American Indian or Alaskan Native males (0% NCLF 0.10%).

# Top Major Occupations on APG (Table A6-1)

| Series | s Description                     | 2021 | Male      | Female   |
|--------|-----------------------------------|------|-----------|----------|
| 0083   | Police                            | 81   | 76 (94%)  | 5 (6%)   |
| 0081   | Fire Protection and Prevention    | 62   | 58 (94%)  | 4 (6%)   |
| 0085   | Security Guard                    | 53   | 50 (94%)  | 3 (6%)   |
| 0301   | Misc. Administration and Program  | 34   | 21 (66%)  | 13 (38%) |
| 5301   | Misc. Industrial Equipment Maint. | 30   | 30 (100%) | 0 (0%)   |

There was a decrease of Police personnel from 93 Police to 81 (87 male and 6 female), and fire personnel from 65 to 62 (62 male and 3) and 5301 decrease from 31 to 30 (31 male 0 female) while there was an increase in Security from 36 to 53 (34 male and 2 females) and 0301 remained the same 21 (13 males and 8 females).

# Top Major Occupations on APG for IWDs and IWTDs (Table B6-1)

The top five major occupations for IWDs and IWTDs varied:

IWDs top occupations were 4749 Maintenance Mechanic (5), 5301 Misc. Industrial Equipment Maintenance (4), 0083 Police (10), 0080 Security (5) and 5705 Tractor Operating (8).

The top occupations for IWTDs were 0083 Police (3), 1035 Public Affairs (2), 0080 Security (2), 4749 Maintenance Mechanic (2), and 2151 Dispatching (2).

# Management Levels (Table A3-3-1):

There were 97 personnel in a supervisor or manager position, of that 78 (80.41% NCLF 57.80) were males and 19 (19.58% NCLF 42.10) were females. The pay plan and grade of Managers range from GS8-GS15 and WS10-WS17. There were 2 Hispanic males and 0 Hispanic females (2.06% NCLF 0.20% and 0% NCLF 0.70%). There were 60 White males and 9 White females (61.85% NCLF 57.50% and 9.27% NCLF38.50%). There were 13

## FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

Black males and 9 Black females (13.40% NCLF 0% and 9.27% NCLF 1.80%). There was 1 Asian male and 1 Asian female (1.03% NCLF 0% and 1.03% NCLF 0.70%). There were 2 two or more races male and 0 two or more races females (2.06% NCLF 0.20% and 0% NCLF 0.50%). There were no Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islanders male or females nor American Indian or Alaskan Native (0% NCLF 0%) for both races.

# Recognitions and Awards (Tables A-13 and B-13)

There were a total of 3 monetary awards given to employees that received a **level 1** on performance appraisals (0 Hispanic, 1 White, 2 Black, 0 Asian, 0 for both Native Hawaii or other Pacific Islander and American Indian or Native Alaskan and 0 Two or more races).

There were a total of 328 monetary awards given to employees that received a **level 3** on performance appraisals (21 Hispanic, 240 White, 50 Black, 4 Asian, 1 Native Hawaii or other Pacific Islander, 6 American Indian or Native Alaskan and 6 Two or more races).

There were a total of 3 monetary awards given to employees that received a **level 4** on performance appraisals (3 White, 0 Asian, 0 for Hispanic, Black, Native Hawaii or other Pacific Islander and American Indian or Native Alaskan and Two or more races).

There were a total of 257 employees that received a **level 5** on performance appraisals (3 Hispanic, 201 White, 40 Black, 4 Asian, 3 Native Hawaii or other Pacific Islander, 0 American Indian or Native Alaskan and 6 Two or more races). No other award data was available.

# Based on the data, the population of employees that received an award despite receiving an unacceptable level rating at level 1 is a Flag.

# Gains and losses in the workforce:

There was a gain of 5 personnel from the prior year (FY20, 889 and FY21, 894).

Of the total workforce there were 62 losses from NAF (FY20 314 and FY21 252) the loss consisted of 24 males and 38 females) of that 32 were Two or more races females and 19 Two or more races males.

Separations: 13 from AF. Of the 13, 7 were voluntary and 6 involuntary (1 Hispanic male, 9 White males and 2 Black females).

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# Form G summary

| Form G Element   | % 2020   | % 2021  | %      |
|--|----------|---------|--------|
|  |          |         | Change |
| A Demonstrated Commitment From Agency Leadership         | 7% (1)   | 7% (1)  | 0%     |
| B Integration of EEO into the Agency's Strategic Mission | 15% (6)  | 15% (6) | 0%     |
| C Management and Program Accountability                  | 23% (10) | 11% (5) | 50%    |
| D Proactive Prevention of Unlawful Discrimination        | 35% (5)  | 35% (5) | 0%     |
| E Efficiency   | 4% (1)   | 0% (0)  | 4%     |
| F Responsiveness and Legal Compliance                    | 0%       | 0% (0)  | 0%     |

# Model EEO Program Summary

# Essential Element A- Demonstrated commitment from agency leadership:

Requires the agency head to issue written policy statements ensuring workplace free of discrimination harassment and all commitment to Equal Employment Opportunity; that EEO policies have been communicated to all employees and that the Agency EEO policies are vigorously enforced by agency management.

# Strengths:

- The EEO Manager is an integral part of the Garrison Command's Staff and has direct and regular access to the Garrison Commander and the Deputy to the Garrison Commander. Additionally, the EEO Office is represented at Garrison staff meetings and disseminates EEO related information, events and activities.
- The EEO website is updated regularly with pertinent information for the workforce.
- The workforce is informed annually about the EEO process, ADR, Reasonable Accommodation Anti-Harassment and inappropriate workplace behaviors.
- The EEO Director participated in senior level staff meeting as well and disciplinary actions meeting (15-6).

# **Deficiency:**

- At present the agency does not recognize personnel for their EEO Accomplishments.

# **Corrective Action:**

- Work with DHR and Command team to collaborate in a way to recognize the workforce on their EEO accomplishments.

# Essential Element B- Integration of EEO into the agency's strategic mission:

Requires that the agency's EEO programs be organized and structured to maintain a workplace that is free from discrimination in any of the agency's policies, procedures or practices and

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

supports that agency strategic mission; the EEO office has appropriate effective means of informing the agency head and senior management officials of the status of EEO programs and are involved in and consulted on management and personnel actions; agency head; and sufficient human resources and budget are allocated to the EEO program.

# Strengths:

- The Garrison Commander meets bi-weekly with the Garrison EEO Directors for the regular staff call meetings.
- The EEO Director provided the State of the Agency Briefing to the Garrison Commander.
- EEO Director has direct access to senior leaders through personal contact, emails, meetings, and staff calls.
- Duties and responsibilities for EEO officials are clearly defined in AR 690-600, position descriptions as well as DPMAP performance objectives.
- EEO informal and formal complaints are processed within the required timeline.
- EEO personnel emphasis and encourage the use of ADR at all levels.

# **Deficiencies:**

- EEO Director did not take part in the current strategic plan. Nor does the Garrison strategic plan reference EEO/Diversity and Inclusion principles.

EEO staff is not trained to conduct a barrier analysis.

- Barrier Analysis are not conducted as a consequence of the high workload (complaints) and the inability to retain qualified EEO practitioners.
- Garrison does not have a functioning Special Emphasis Program (SEP). The SEP committee needs to be revitalized. The EEO staff was unable to dedicate staff members to revitalize the SEP committee without putting other EEO related elements/objectives at risk of failing.
- Lack of Collateral Duty Counselors to assist in counseling informal complaints add to the workload of the EEO Specialist and put other EEO related elements at risk such as training the workforce.
- The EEO offices does not have a budget.

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# **Corrective Action:**

- EEO Director will speak with the GC and DGC about adding the required EEO principles per EEOC to the new Strategic Plan.
- Apply EEO personnel for the barrier analysis training funded by the Army.
- Meet with the DGC about a budget for the EEO office.

# **Essential Element C- Management and Program Accountability:**

Requires the agency head to hold all managers, supervisors and EEO officials responsible for the effective implementation of the agency's EEO Program and Plan; EEO personnel must meet regularly to assess whether personnel programs, policies and procedures are in conformity with instructions contained in EEO Management Directives; EEO program officials are required to provide regular (monthly/quarterly/semi-annual) updates to management/supervisory officials.

# Strengths:

- EEO screens awards, and selections for the Senior Executive Service.
- EEO staff has good working relationship with CPAC and Legal officials.
- The EEO office notify the departments about all allegations of harassment or hostile work environment.
- The EEO office has an establish Disability Program Manager (DPM) to administer the RA process.
- The agency head support the anit-harassment program

# **Deficiencies**:

- The current Anti-Harassment policy does not mention corrective actions or reference the EEOC Enforcement Guidance on Vicarious Employment Liability for Unlawful Harassment by Supervisors (1999).
- No firewall exits between EEO Director and EEO Specialist that handle the Anti-Harassment Program per AR690-12. Waiting on DA/EEOC to develop additional guidance and separate position for Anti-Harassment Program Manager.
- Currently, other than the DGC and GC meetings, the EEO Officer and DHR Director do not meet to discuss EEO related policies, procedures, MD's or personnel programs.

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

- At present no timeline has been established to review any personnel related procedures or practices that may impede full participation in promotion, recognition, or development/training programs.
- Due to staffing shortage and high volume workload the EEO Office is not able to take on outreach and recruiting initiatives.
- Historically on APG the EEO office prepares the MD-715 report without assistance from DHR and other directorate.

# **Corrective Action:**

- The EEO team will work with the Command team on updating the Anti-Harassment policy to include the required verbiage.
- Work with the DHR and DGC on efforts to develop and conduct outreach and recruiting initiatives.
- Partner with DHR and possible other directorates that have employment data to assist with preparing the FY21 MD-715.

# **Essential Element D- Proactive Prevention:**

Requires that the agency head makes early efforts to prevent discriminatory actions and eliminate barriers to equal employment practices in the workplace.

# Strengths:

- Disability accommodation decisions/actions and medical retirement packages are reviewed by the Disability Program Manager for compliance.

The agency has a voluntary exit interview

# **Deficiencies:**

- At present EEO personnel does not regularly review sources of information to find barriers.
- At present the agency has not established actions plans to remove barriers, as no barriers have been identified due to understaffing of the EEO office as the complaint process requires great deal of attention. The high workload does not allow for additional duties without causing undue stress and high turnover of qualified EEO practitioners.
- The current websites does not include the Affirmative Action Plan for people with disabilities and targeted disabilities.

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# **Corrective Action:**

- Update the EEO website.

# **Essential Element E- Efficiency:**

Requires the agency head to have effective systems in place for evaluating the impact and effectiveness of the agency's EEO program as well as an efficient and dispute resolution program.

# Strengths:

- EEO uses the Business Objects Applications (BOBi) system to gather data on civilian employees. The iComplaints universe is an automated Army-wide complaints tracking system which monitors complaint activity. Both of these data sources were used to complete the MD-715 Report.
- An effective ADR program is in place and has an 82% success rate.
- Reasonable Accommodation Program is in place.
- The Informal and formal complaints processed timely.
- The EEO Office utilizes the iComplaints tracking system as per regulatory guidance.
- Legal sufficiency review are conducted by none Garrison legal team. The Garrison Complaints Manager maintains and active roster and assist Picatinny Arsenal and Tobyhanna with all legal reviews for all formal complaints.
- The EEO office developed and utilize an in house tracking spreadsheet to assist in monitoring complaints to ensure timelines.

# **Deficiencies:**

- N/A

# **Essential Element F- Responsiveness and Legal Compliance:**

Requires that federal agencies are in full compliance with EEO statutes and EEOC regulations, policy guidance, and other written instructions.

# Strengths:

- Legal compliance followed IAW Management Directive 110 and AR 690-600.
- The ADR Program Manager ensures compliance with all settlement agreements.

# FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

- All request for hearings are processes in a timely manner per 29 CFR
- The EEO Office ensure all documents are provided to EEOC within the required timeline.

# Deficiencies: N/A

# Accomplishments:

- Website updated to include PAS Program
- ADR is required for all USAG APG Management
- EEO newsletter established
- Setup quarterly Meetings with DHR and EEO
- EEO added to the out-processing form to have all outgoing personnel complete the exit survey.
- All non-responses/or late responses of RA request will be submitted to DGC, Mr. Bruce Griggs.
- -Project Inclusion: The Garrison implemented the Department of Army's Project Inclusion to drive a culture of diversity, awareness, and inclusion. The goal is to eliminate racism and extremism by establishing open and transparent feedback sessions supported by action plans that achieve tangible results. The Garrison conducted about 10 listening sessions with their employees, notes were taken and summaries were provided to the EEO Director for a roll-up
- Hired 2 EEO Specialist and 1 DA Intern

# FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# Complaints processing summary (processed by Garrison EEO Office):

APG EEO office provides complaint processing for multiple organization to include organizations from IMCOM, FORSCOM, Futures Command, AASC, ACC, MEDCOM, AMC that are located on APG, Edgewood and Adelphi in Maryland.

1. Processing Times:

# a. Informal Complaints Processed by Garrison

- 1) Total informal complaints initiated were 46
- 2) Average number of days from contact to closure is 27
  - (a) Cases counseled WITHIN 30 days = 27 (59%)
  - (b) Counseled WITHIN 31-90 days = 14 (30%)
    - i. Counseled within written extension period no longer than 60 days =7 (15%)
    - ii. Counseled within 90 days where individual participated in ADR = 5 (11%)
    - iii. Counseled within 31-90 days that were untimely = 2 (0.4%)

(c) Counseled BEYOND 90 days = 0 (0%)

# b. Informal Garrison Complaints

- 1) Total informal complaints initiated were 8
- 2) Average number of days from contact to closure is 27
  - (a) Cases counseled WITHIN 30 days = 6 (75%)
  - (b) Counseled WITHIN 31-90 days = 2 (25%)
    - Counseled within written extension period no longer than 60 days =0
      (0%)
    - ii. Counseled within 90 days where individual participated in ADR = 5 (63%)
    - iii. Counseled within 31-90 days that were untimely = 0 (0%)

(c) Counseled BEYOND 90 days = 0 (0%)

# c. Formal Complaints Processed by Garrison

- 1) Total number of formal complaints initiated were 19
- 2) Average days between formal filed and date ROI issued were 143 days

# d. Formal Garrison Complaints

- 1) Total number of formal complaints initiated were 4
- 2) Average days between formal filed and date ROI issued were 143 days

# 2. <u>ADR</u>

# (a) Informal Complaints Processed by Garrison

- 1) ADR offered 14 times (30%) out of 46 informal complaints
- 2) ADR accepted 13 times (93%) out of the 14 offered
- 3) Of the 13 pre-complaints accepted for ADR, 5 (38%) settled their complaints

## FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

4) 0 settled with monetary benefits totaling \$0

# (b) Informal Garrison Complaints

- 1) ADR offered 2 times (20%) out of 10 informal complaints
- 2) ADR accepted 2 times (100%) out of the 2 offered
- 3) Of the 2 pre-complaints accepted for ADR, 0 (0%) settled
- 4) 0 settled with monetary benefits totaling \$0, averaging \$0

# 3. Investigations

- (a) The Department of Defense Investigations and Resolutions Directorate completed **19** investigations for complaints Garrison processed.
  - Investigated within 180 days = 17 (89%)
  - 2) Investigated within 181-360 days = 2(11%)
  - 3) Investigated BEYOND 360 days = 0(0%)
  - 4) Untimely completed investigation = 0 (0%)

# Total investigation costs = \$110,491.27

- (b) The Department of Defense Investigations and Resolutions Directorate completed 4 investigations for Garrison complaints processed.
  - 1) Investigated within 180 days = 1 (100%)
  - 2) Investigated within 360 days = 0 (0%)
  - 3) Investigated BEYOND 360 days = 0 (0%)
  - 4) Untimely completed investigation= 0 (0%)

# Agency investigation costs = \$5,815.33

# Summary:

Of the total 24 complaints Garrison closed in FY21, 5 (2%) were withdrawn and 9 (41%) were settled, 8 (17%) were closed by a Final Agency Decision WITHOUT an Administrative Judge and 5 (17%) were closed by Final Agency orders WITH an Administrative Judge. The number of complaints filed in FY20 was 52. There was a total of 9 closures with benefits, 7 with monetary benefits totaling **\$1,766,310**.

The top 5 bases for complaints of discrimination processed by Garrison were reprisal, sex (female), age, race (Black), and physical disability. The top 5 claims/issues were non-sexual harassment, Termination, performance evaluation/appraisal, assignment of duties and Retirement (Constructive Discharge).

The top 5 bases for complaints processed by Garrison that were settled were reprisal, sex, religion, race (Black) and physical disability. The top 5 claims/issues were non-sexual harassment, disciplinary action, assignment of duties, terms/conditions of employment, and termination.

| <u>Total</u><br>inventory<br>(462) | <u>Median</u><br>informal<br>days | <u>Median</u><br>Formal<br>days | Number<br>of formals<br>beyond<br>180 days | <u>Number</u><br>formals_<br>accepted or<br>dismissed_ | <u>Number of</u><br>formals_<br>remanded | Number<br>of ADR<br>offered | Number of<br>ADR_<br>conducted |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <u>70</u>                          | <u>27</u>                         | <u>143</u>                      | <u>0</u>                                   | <u>19</u>  | 2  | <u>14</u>                   | <u>13</u>                      |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# Strategy for next FY:

The general plan for next year is the address the deficiencies in part G and the limited analysis with the appropriate parties based the objectives and planned activities on part H and I.

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# 715 - PART F CERTIFICATION of ESTABLISHMENT of CONTINUING EQUAL EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS

I, Deicherrie V. Stackhouse, EEO Director, am the Principal EEO Director/Official for: Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland.

The agency has conducted an annual self-assessment of Section 717 and Section 501 programs against the essential elements as prescribed by EEO MD-715. If an essential element was not fully compliant with the standards of EEO MD-715, a further evaluation was conducted and, as appropriate, EEO Plans for Attaining the Essential Elements of a Model EEO Program, are included with this Federal Agency Annual EEO Program Status Report.

The agency has also analyzed its work force profiles and conducted barrier analyses aimed at detecting whether any management or personnel policy, procedure or practice is operating to disadvantage any group based on race, national origin, gender or disability. EEO Plans to Eliminate Identified Barriers, as appropriate, are included with this Federal Agency Annual EEO Program Status Report.

I certify that proper documentation of this assessment is in place and is being maintained for EEOC review upon request.

**Mr. Deicherrie V. Stackhouse** EEO Director Signature of Principal EEO Director/Official Certifies that this Federal Agency Annual EEO Program Status Report is in compliance with EEO MD-715.

Signature of Agency Head or Agency Head Designee

Date

Date

John M. Casiano Commanding COL, IN

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# 715 - PART G Agency Self-Assessment Checklist

| Essential Element A: Demonstrated Commitment From agency Leadership<br>This element requires the agency head to communicate a commitment to equal employment opportunity and a<br>discrimination-free workplace. |  |              |   |  |  |
|--|--|--------------|---|--|--|
|  | A.1 - The agency issues an effective, up-to-   | Measure Met? | Comments  |  |  |
| Compliance<br>Indicator  | date EEO policy statement.   | (Yes/No)     | A "No" response to any measure in Part G is<br>a program deficiency requiring a Part H. |  |  |
| Measures   |  |              |   |  |  |
| A.1.a  | Does the agency annually issue a signed and dated<br>EEO policy statement on agency letterhead that<br>clearly communicates the agency's commitment to<br>EEO for all employees and applicants? If "yes",<br>please provide the annual issuance date in the<br>comments column. [see MD-715, II(A)]      | Yes          |   |  |  |
| A.1.b  | Does the EEO policy statement address all<br>protected bases (age, color, disability, sex<br>(including pregnancy, sexual orientation and gender<br>identity), genetic information, national origin, race,<br>religion, and reprisal) contained in the laws EEOC<br>enforces? [see 29 CFR § 1614.101(a)] | Yes          |   |  |  |
| -  | A.2 - The agency has communicated EEO policies and procedures to all employees.  | Measure Met? | Comments  |  |  |
| Compliance<br>Indicator<br>Measures  | policies and procedures to an employees.   | (Yes/No)     | A "No" response to any measure in Part G is<br>a program deficiency requiring a Part H. |  |  |
| A.2.a  | Does the agency disseminate the following policies<br>and procedures to all employees:   |              |   |  |  |
| A.2.a.1  | Anti-harassment policy? [see MD 715, II(A)]  | Yes          |   |  |  |
| A.2.a.2  | Reasonable accommodation procedures? [see 29<br>C.F.R § 1614.203(d)(3)]  | Yes          |   |  |  |
| A.2.b  | Does the agency prominently post the following<br>information throughout the workplace and on its<br>public website:<br>https://home.army.mil/apg/index.php/about/Garris<br>on/EEO   | Yes          |   |  |  |
| A.2.b.1  | The business contact information for its EEO<br>Counselors, EEO Officers, Special Emphasis<br>Program Managers, and EEO Director? [see 29<br>C.F.R § 1614.102(b)(7)]   | Yes          | -   |  |  |
| A.2.b.2  | Written materials concerning the EEO program,<br>laws, policy statements, and the operation of the<br>EEO complaint process? [see 29 C.F.R §<br>1614.102(b)(5)]  | Yes          | -   |  |  |

| A.2.b.3                               | Reasonable accommodation procedures? [see 29<br>C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(3)(i)] If so, please provide<br>the internet address in the comments column.  | Yes                                | https://home.army.mil/apg/index.php/abou<br>t/Garrison/EEO   |
|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|
| A.2.c                                 | Does the agency inform its employees about the following topics:   |                                    |  |
| A.2.c.1                               | EEO complaint process? [see 29 CFR §§<br>1614.102(a)(12) and 1614.102(b)(5)] If "yes",<br>please provide how often.  | Yes                                | Annually   |
| A.2.c.2                               | ADR process? [see MD-110, Ch. 3(II)(C)] If "yes", please provide how often.  | Yes                                | Annually   |
| A.2.c.3                               | Reasonable accommodation program? [see 29 CFR § 1614.203(d)(7)(ii)(C)] If "yes", please provide how often.   | Yes                                | Annually   |
| A.2.c.4                               | Anti-harassment program? [see EEOC Enforcement<br>Guidance on Vicarious Employer Liability for<br>Unlawful Harassment by Supervisors (1999), §<br>V.C.1] If "yes", please provide how often.   | Yes                                | Annually   |
| A.2.c.5                               | Behaviors that are inappropriate in the workplace<br>and could result in disciplinary action? [5 CFR §<br>2635.101(b)] If "yes", please provide how often.   | Yes                                | Annually   |
|                                       | A.3 - The agency assesses and ensures EEO  | Measure Met?                       | Comments   |
| Compliance<br>Indicator               | principles are part of its culture.  | (Yes/No)                           | A "No" response to any measure in Part G is<br>a program deficiency requiring a Part H.                |
| Measures                              |  |                                    |  |
| A.3.a                                 | Does the agency provide recognition to employees,<br>supervisors, managers, and units demonstrating<br>superior accomplishment in equal employment<br>opportunity? [see 29 CFR § 1614.102(a) (9)] If<br>"yes", provide one or two examples in the<br>comments section.   | No                                 | Currently working on recognitions such as cert. of appreciation, spotlight in the quarterly newsletter |
| A.3.b                                 | Does the agency utilize the Federal Employee   | Yes                                |  |
|                                       | Viewpoint Survey or other climate assessment tools<br>to monitor the perception of EEO principles within<br>the workforce? [see 5 CFR Part 250]  |                                    |  |
| This elem                             | Viewpoint Survey or other climate assessment tools to monitor the perception of EEO principles within  | structured to m                    | aintain a workplace that is free from  |
| This elemo                            | Viewpoint Survey or other climate assessment tools<br>to monitor the perception of EEO principles within<br>the workforce? [see 5 CFR Part 250]<br>Essential Element B: Integration of EEO in<br>ent requires that the agency's EEO programs are<br>discrimination and support the agency<br>B.1 - The reporting structure for the EEO | structured to m                    | aintain a workplace that is free from  |
| This eleme<br>Compliance<br>Indicator | Viewpoint Survey or other climate assessment tools<br>to monitor the perception of EEO principles within<br>the workforce? [see 5 CFR Part 250]<br>Essential Element B: Integration of EEO in<br>ent requires that the agency's EEO programs are<br>discrimination and support the ag  | structured to n<br>gency's strateg | naintain a workplace that is free from<br>ic mission.  |

| B.1.a                   | Is the agency head the immediate supervisor of the person ("EEO Director") who has day-to-day control over the EEO office? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(b)(4)]  | Yes          | The Garrison Commander is the 2 <sup>nd</sup> line<br>Supervisor of the EEO Officer          |
|-------------------------|--|--------------|--|
| B.1.a.1                 | If the EEO Director does not report to the agency<br>head, does the EEO Director report to the same<br>agency head designee as the mission-related<br>programmatic offices? If "yes," please provide the<br>title of the agency head designee in the comments.   | Yes          | Deputy Garrison Commander  |
| B.1.a.2                 | Does the agency's organizational chart clearly<br>define the reporting structure for the EEO office?<br>[see 29 CFR §1614.102(b)(4)]   | Yes          |  |
| B.1.b                   | Does the EEO Director have a regular and effective<br>means of advising the agency head and other<br>senior management officials of the effectiveness,<br>efficiency and legal compliance of the agency's EEO<br>program? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(c)(1); MD-715<br>Instructions, Sec. I]   | Yes          |  |
| B.1.c                   | During this reporting period, did the EEO Director<br>present to the head of the agency, and other senior<br>management officials, the "State of the agency"<br>briefing covering the six essential elements of the<br>model EEO program and the status of the barrier<br>analysis process? [see MD-715 Instructions, Sec.<br>I)] If "yes", please provide the date of the briefing<br>in the comments column. | Yes          | A short briefing was provided to the out-<br>going Commander prior to him PCSing<br>May 2021 |
| B.1.d                   | Does the EEO Director regularly participate in<br>senior-level staff meetings concerning personnel,<br>budget, technology, and other workforce issues?<br>[see MD-715, II(B)]  | Yes          |  |
|                         | B.2 - The EEO Director controls all aspects of   | Measure Met? | Comments   |
| Compliance<br>Indicator | the EEO program.   | (Yes/No)     | A "No" response to any measure in Part G is<br>a program deficiency requiring a Part H.      |
| Measures                |  |              |  |
| B.2.a                   | Is the EEO Director responsible for the<br>implementation of a continuing affirmative<br>employment program to promote EEO and to<br>identify and eliminate discriminatory policies,<br>procedures, and practices? [see MD-110, Ch.<br>1(III)(A); 29 CFR §1614.102(c)]   | Yes          |  |
| B.2.b                   | Is the EEO Director responsible for overseeing the<br>completion of EEO counseling [see 29 CFR<br>§1614.102(c)(4)]   | Yes          | -  |
| B.2.c                   | Is the EEO Director responsible for overseeing the fair and thorough investigation of EEO complaints? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(c)(5)] [This question may not be applicable for certain subordinate level components.]   | N/A          | The investigations are handle by IRD   |

| B.2.d                   | Is the EEO Director responsible for overseeing the timely issuing final agency decisions? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(c)(5)] [This question may not be applicable for certain subordinate level components.]  | N/A          | HQ Army EEOCCR is responsible for<br>overseeing the timely issuing of final<br>agency decisions.   |
|-------------------------|---|--------------|--|
| B.2.e                   | Is the EEO Director responsible for ensuring<br>compliance with EEOC orders? [see 29 CFR §§<br>1614.102(e); 1614.502]   | Yes          |  |
| B.2.f                   | Is the EEO Director responsible for periodically<br>evaluating the entire EEO program and providing<br>recommendations for improvement to the agency<br>head? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(c)(2)]  | Yes          |  |
| B.2.g                   | If the agency has subordinate level components,<br>does the EEO Director provide effective guidance<br>and coordination for the components? [see 29 CFR<br>§§ 1614.102(c)(2) and (c)(3)]  | N/A          |  |
|                         | B.3 - The EEO Director and other EEO  | Measure Met? | Comments   |
| Compliance<br>Indicator | professional staff are involved in, and consulted on, management/personnel actions.   | (Yes/No)     | A "No" response to any measure in Part G is<br>a program deficiency requiring a Part H.  |
| +                       |   |              |  |
| Measures                |   |              |  |
| B.3.a                   | Do EEO program officials participate in agency<br>meetings regarding workforce changes that might<br>impact EEO issues, including strategic planning,<br>recruitment strategies, vacancy projections,<br>succession planning, and selections for<br>training/career development opportunities? [see<br>MD-715, II(B)] | Yes          | Minus the Strategic Planning   |
| B.3.b                   | Does the agency's current strategic plan reference<br>EEO / diversity and inclusion principles? [see MD-<br>715, II(B)] If "yes", please identify the EEO<br>principles in the strategic plan in the comments<br>column.  | No           | new GC took command on 23 Jun 2021, per<br>the outgoing GC the strategic plan will be<br>revised under the new GC. The EEO Director<br>will speak with the new GC about the<br>required additions to the strategic plan. |
|                         | B.4 - The agency has sufficient budget and  | Measure Met? | Comments   |
| Compliance<br>Indicator | staffing to support the success of its EEO program.   | (Yes/No)     | A "No" response to any measure in Part G is<br>a program deficiency requiring a Part H.  |
| •                       |   |              |  |
| Measures                |   |              |  |
| B.4.a                   | Pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.102(a)(1), has the agency allocated sufficient funding and qualified staffing to successfully implement the EEO program, for the following areas:  |              |  |
| B.4.a.1                 | to conduct a self-assessment of the agency for possible program deficiencies? [see MD-715, II(D)]   | Yes          |  |

| B.4.a.2  | to enable the agency to conduct a thorough barrier<br>analysis of its workforce? [see MD-715, II(B)]  | Yes | recently hired 2 new EEO Specialist in<br>developmental positions and 1 DA CP28<br>EEO Intern. However, there are no funds to<br>have the staff trained to conduct a barrier<br>analysis.                           |
|----------|---|-----|---|
| B.4.a.3  | to timely, thoroughly, and fairly process EEO<br>complaints, including EEO counseling,<br>investigations, final agency decisions, and legal<br>sufficiency reviews? [see 29 CFR § 1614.102(c)(5)<br>& 1614.105(b) - (f); MD-110, Ch. 1(IV)(D) & 5(IV);<br>MD-715, II(E)]  | Yes |   |
| B.4.a.4  | to provide all supervisors and employees with<br>training on the EEO program, including but not<br>limited to retaliation, harassment, religious<br>accommodations, disability accommodations, the<br>EEO complaint process, and ADR? [see MD-715,<br>II(B) and III(C)] If not, please identify the type(s)<br>of training with insufficient funding in the comments<br>column. | Yes |   |
| B.4.a.5  | to conduct thorough, accurate, and effective field<br>audits of the EEO programs in components and the<br>field offices, if applicable? [see 29 CFR<br>§1614.102(c)(2)]   | N/A |   |
| B.4.a.6  | to publish and distribute EEO materials (e.g.<br>harassment policies, EEO posters, reasonable<br>accommodations procedures)? [see MD-715, II(B)]  | Yes | FY21 budget cuts minimized hard-copy<br>documents   |
| B.4.a.7  | to maintain accurate data collection and tracking<br>systems for the following types of data: complaint<br>tracking, workforce demographics, and applicant<br>flow data? [see MD-715, II(E)]. If not, please<br>identify the systems with insufficient funding in the<br>comments section.  | Yes | Minus Applicant data  |
| B.4.a.8  | to effectively administer its special emphasis<br>programs (such as, Federal Women's Program,<br>Hispanic Employment Program, and People with<br>Disabilities Program Manager)? [5 USC § 7201; 38<br>USC § 4214; 5 CFR § 720.204; 5 CFR §<br>213.3102(t) and (u); 5 CFR § 315.709]  | No  | Currently the EEO office has a Disability<br>Program Manager but other areas of SEPM<br>are not covered due to lack of adequate<br>staffing. Will review in the near future to re-<br>access collateral duty SEPMs. |
| B.4.a.9  | to effectively manage its anti-harassment program?<br>[see MD-715 Instructions, Sec. I); EEOC<br>Enforcement Guidance on Vicarious Employer<br>Liability for Unlawful Harassment by Supervisors<br>(1999), § V.C.1]   | Yes |   |
| B.4.a.10 | to effectively manage its reasonable<br>accommodation program? [see 29 CFR §<br>1614.203(d)(4)(ii)]   | Yes | No funds were allotted for the Disability<br>Program as per regulatory guidance   |
| B.4.a.11 | to ensure timely and complete compliance with<br>EEOC orders? [see MD-715, II(E)]   | Yes |   |
| B.4.b    | Does the EEO office have a budget that is separate from other offices within the agency? [see 29 CFR § 1614.102(a)(1)]  | Yes | EEO has a separate Line of Accounting<br>however the amount provided to EEO was<br>\$0.   |

| B.4.c                   | Are the duties and responsibilities of EEO officials  | Yes          |   |
|-------------------------|---|--------------|---|
|                         | clearly defined? [see MD-110, Ch. 1(III)(A), 2(III),<br>& 6(III)]   |              |   |
| B.4.d                   | Does the agency ensure that all new counselors and<br>investigators, including contractors and collateral<br>duty employees, receive the required 32 hours of<br>training, pursuant to Ch. 2(II)(A) of MD-110?                            | Yes          |   |
| B.4.e                   | Does the agency ensure that all experienced<br>counselors and investigators, including contractors<br>and collateral duty employees, receive the required<br>8 hours of annual refresher training, pursuant to<br>Ch. 2(II)(C) of MD-110? | Yes          |   |
| -                       |   | Measure Met? | Comments  |
| Compliance<br>Indicator | retains supervisors and managers who have<br>effective managerial, communications, and<br>interpersonal skills.   | (Yes/No)     | A "No" response to any measure in Part G is<br>a program deficiency requiring a Part H. |
| +                       |   |              |   |
| Measures                |   |              |   |
| B.5.a                   | Pursuant to 29 CFR § 1614.102(a)(5), have all<br>managers and supervisors received training on their<br>responsibilities under the following areas under the<br>agency EEO program:   |              |   |
| B.5.a.1                 | EEO Complaint Process? [see MD-715(II)(B)]  | Yes          |   |
| B.5.a.2                 | Reasonable Accommodation Procedures? [see 29<br>C.F.R. § 1614.102(d)(3)]  | Yes          |   |
| B.5.a.3                 | Anti-Harassment Policy? [see MD-715(II)(B)]   | Yes          |   |
| B.5.a.4                 | Supervisory, managerial, communication, and<br>interpersonal skills in order to supervise most<br>effectively in a workplace with diverse employees<br>and avoid disputes arising from ineffective<br>communications? [see MD-715, II(B)] | Yes          |   |
| B.5.a.5                 | ADR, with emphasis on the federal government's interest in encouraging mutual resolution of disputes and the benefits associated with utilizing ADR? [see MD-715(II)(E)]  | Yes          |   |
| -                       | B.6 - The agency involves managers in the<br>implementation of its EEO program.   | Measure Met? | Comments  |
| Compliance<br>Indicator | implementation of its EEO program.  | (Yes/No)     | A "No" response to any measure in Part G is<br>a program deficiency requiring a Part H. |
| +                       |   |              |   |
| Measures                |   |              |   |
| B.6.a                   | Are senior managers involved in the<br>implementation of Special Emphasis Programs?<br>[see MD-715 Instructions, Sec. I]  | No           |   |

| B.6.b                   | Do senior managers participate in the barrier<br>analysis process? [see MD-715 Instructions, Sec. I]   | No            |   |
|-------------------------|--|---------------|---|
| B.6.c                   | When barriers are identified, do senior managers<br>assist in developing agency EEO action plans (Part<br>I, Part J, or the Executive Summary)? [see MD-715<br>Instructions, Sec. I]   | No            |   |
| B.6.d                   | Do senior managers successfully implement EEO<br>Action Plans and incorporate the EEO Action Plan<br>Objectives into agency strategic plans? [29 CFR §<br>1614.102(a)(5)]  | No            |   |
|                         | Essential Element C: Management  | and Program A | ccountability   |
| This elem               | ent requires the agency head to hold all manager<br>effective implementation of the age  |               | •   |
|                         | C.1 - The agency conducts regular internal   | Measure Met?  | Comments  |
| Compliance<br>Indicator | audits of its component and field offices.   | (Yes/No)      | A "No" response to any measure in Part G is<br>a program deficiency requiring a Part H. |
| Measures                |  |               |   |
| C.1.a                   | Does the agency regularly assess its component<br>and field offices for possible EEO program<br>deficiencies? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(c)(2)] If<br>"yes", please provide the schedule for conducting<br>audits in the comments section.  | N/A           |   |
| C.1.b                   | Does the agency regularly assess its component<br>and field offices on their efforts to remove barriers<br>from the workplace? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(c)(2)]<br>If "yes", please provide the schedule for conducting<br>audits in the comments section.   | N/A           |   |
| C.1.c                   | Do the component and field offices make<br>reasonable efforts to comply with the<br>recommendations of the field audit? [see MD-715,<br>II(C)]   | N/A           |   |
|                         | C.2 - The agency has established procedures  | Measure Met?  | Comments  |
| Compliance<br>Indicator | to prevent all forms of EEO discrimination.  | (Yes/No)      | A "No" response to any measure in Part G is<br>a program deficiency requiring a Part H. |
| +                       |  |               |   |
| Measures                |  |               |   |
| C.2.a                   | Has the agency established comprehensive anti-<br>harassment policy and procedures that comply with<br>EEOC's enforcement guidance? [see MD-715, II(C);<br>Enforcement Guidance on Vicarious Employer<br>Liability for Unlawful Harassment by Supervisors<br>(Enforcement Guidance), EEOC No. 915.002, §<br>V.C.1 (June 18, 1999)] | Yes           |   |

| C.2.a.1 | Does the anti-harassment policy require corrective action to prevent or eliminate conduct before it  | Yes |   |
|---------|--|-----|---|
|         | rises to the level of unlawful harassment? [see<br>EEOC Enforcement Guidance on Vicarious Employer<br>Liability for Unlawful Harassment by Supervisors<br>(1999), § V.C.1]   |     |   |
| C.2.a.2 | Has the agency established a firewall between the<br>Anti-Harassment Coordinator and the EEO Director?<br>[see EEOC Report, Model EEO Program Must Have<br>an Effective Anti-Harassment Program (2006]   | Yes | Per the EEOC the Anti-Harassment Program<br>Manager should not work in the EEO office<br>and report directly to the agency head. At<br>present the EEO Specialist handle the<br>notification of the allegation. |
| C.2.a.3 | Does the agency have a separate procedure<br>(outside the EEO complaint process) to address<br>harassment allegations? [see Enforcement<br>Guidance on Vicarious Employer Liability for<br>Unlawful Harassment by Supervisors (Enforcement<br>Guidance), EEOC No. 915.002, § V.C.1 (June 18,<br>1999)]   | Yes |   |
| C.2.a.4 | Does the agency ensure that the EEO office informs<br>the anti-harassment program of all EEO counseling<br>activity alleging harassment? [see Enforcement<br>Guidance, V.C.]   | Yes |   |
| C.2.a.5 | Does the agency conduct a prompt inquiry<br>(beginning within 10 days of notification) of all<br>harassment allegations, including those initially<br>raised in the EEO complaint process? [see<br><u>Complainant v. Dep't of Veterans Affairs</u> , EEOC<br>Appeal No. 0120123232 (May 21, 2015);<br>Complainant v. Dep't of Defense (Defense<br>Commissary Agency), EEOC Appeal No.<br>0120130331 (May 29, 2015)] If "no", please<br>provide the percentage of timely-processed<br>inquiries in the comments column. | Yes |   |
| C.2.a.6 | Do the agency's training materials on its anti-<br>harassment policy include examples of disability-<br>based harassment? [see 29 CFR 1614.203(d)(2)]  | Yes |   |
| С.2.Ь   | Has the agency established disability reasonable accommodation procedures that comply with EEOC's regulations and guidance? [see 29 CFR 1614.203(d)(3)]  | Yes |   |
| C.2.b.1 | Is there a designated agency official or other<br>mechanism in place to coordinate or assist with<br>processing requests for disability accommodations<br>throughout the agency? [see 29 CFR<br>1614.203(d)(3)(D)]   | Yes |   |
| С.2.b.2 | Has the agency established a firewall between the Reasonable Accommodation Program Manager and the EEO Director? [see MD-110, Ch. 1(IV)(A)]  | Yes |   |
| C.2.b.3 | Does the agency ensure that job applicants can<br>request and receive reasonable accommodations<br>during the application and placement processes?<br>[see 29 CFR 1614.203(d)(1)(ii)(B)]   | Yes |   |

| C.2.b.4                 | Do the reasonable accommodation procedures<br>clearly state that the agency should process the<br>request within a maximum amount of time (e.g., 20<br>business days), as established by the agency in its<br>affirmative action plan? [see 29 CFR<br>1614.203(d)(3)(i)(M)] | Yes          |   |
|-------------------------|---|--------------|---|
| C.2.b.5                 | Does the agency process all accommodation<br>requests within the time frame set forth in its<br>reasonable accommodation procedures? [see MD-<br>715, II(C)] If "no", please provide the percentage<br>of timely-processed requests in the comments<br>column.              | No           | 50%   |
| C.2.c                   | Has the agency established procedures for<br>processing requests for personal assistance services<br>that comply with EEOC's regulations, enforcement<br>guidance, and other applicable executive orders,<br>guidance, and standards? [see 29 CFR<br>1614.203(d)(6)]        | Yes          |   |
| C.2.c.1                 | Does the agency post its procedures for processing<br>requests for Personal Assistance Services on its<br>public website? [see 29 CFR § $1614.203(d)(5)(v)$ ]<br>If "yes", please provide the internet address in the<br>comments column.                                   | Yes          | https://home.army.mil/apg/index.php/abou<br>t/Garrison/EEO                              |
| -                       | C.3 - The agency evaluates managers and   | Measure Met? | Comments  |
| Compliance<br>Indicator | supervisors on their efforts to ensure equal employment opportunity.  | (Yes/No)     | A "No" response to any measure in Part G is<br>a program deficiency requiring a Part H. |
| Measures                |   |              |   |
| C.3.a                   | Pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.102(a)(5), do all<br>managers and supervisors have an element in their<br>performance appraisal that evaluates their<br>commitment to agency EEO policies and principles<br>and their participation in the EEO program?                            | Yes          |   |
| C.3.b                   | Does the agency require rating officials to evaluate<br>the performance of managers and supervisors<br>based on the following activities:   |              |   |
| C.3.b.1                 | Resolve EEO problems/disagreements/conflicts,<br>including the participation in ADR proceedings? [see<br>MD-110, Ch. 3.I]   | Yes          |   |
| C.3.b.2                 | Ensure full cooperation of employees under his/her supervision with EEO officials, such as counselors and investigators? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(b)(6)]   | Yes          |   |
|                         |   |              |   |
| C.3.b.3                 | Ensure a workplace that is free from all forms of discrimination, including harassment and retaliation? [see MD-715, II(C)]   | Yes          |   |

|            | to supervise in a workplace with diverse  |              |   |
|------------|---|--------------|---|
|            | employees? [see MD-715 Instructions, Sec. I]  |              |   |
|            |   | <br>         |   |
| C.3.b.5    | Provide religious accommodations when such  | Yes          |   |
|            | accommodations do not cause an undue hardship?  |              |   |
|            | [see 29 CFR §1614.102(a)(7)]  |              |   |
| C.3.b.6    | Provide disability accommodations when such   | Yes          |   |
| CIGIDIO    | accommodations do not cause an undue hardship? [  |              |   |
|            | see 29 CFR §1614.102(a)(8)]   |              |   |
|            |   |              |   |
| C.3.b.7    | Support the EEO program in identifying and  | Yes          |   |
|            | removing barriers to equal opportunity. [see MD-  |              |   |
|            | 715, II(C)]   |              |   |
|            |   |              |   |
| C.3.b.8    | Support the anti-harassment program in  | Yes          |   |
|            | investigating and correcting harassing conduct. [see                                    |              |   |
|            | Enforcement Guidance, V.C.2]  |              |   |
|            |   |              |   |
| C.3.b.9    | Comply with settlement agreements and orders  | Yes          |   |
|            | issued by the agency, EEOC, and EEO-related cases                                       |              |   |
|            | from the Merit Systems Protection Board, labor  |              |   |
|            | arbitrators, and the Federal Labor Relations  |              |   |
|            | Authority? [see MD-715, II(C)]  |              |   |
|            |   | Ь/           | 1   |
| C.3.c      | Does the EEO Director recommend to the agency   | Yes          |   |
|            | head improvements or corrections, including   |              |   |
|            | remedial or disciplinary actions, for managers and                                      |              |   |
|            | supervisors who have failed in their EEO responsibilities? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(c)(2)] |              |   |
|            |   |              |   |
| C.3.d      | When the EEO Director recommends remedial or  | Yes          |   |
| ciola      | disciplinary actions, are the recommendations   |              |   |
|            | regularly implemented by the agency? [see 29 CFR  |              |   |
|            | §1614.102(c)(2)]  |              |   |
|            |   |              |   |
|            | C.4 - The agency ensures effective  | Measure Met? | Comments                                    |
|            | coordination between its EEO programs and   |              |   |
| Compliance | Human Resources (HR) program.   | (Yes/No)     | A "No" response to any measure in Part G is |
| Indicator  |   |              | a program deficiency requiring a Part H.    |
| _          |   |              |   |
| +          |   |              |   |
|            |   |              |   |
| Measures   |   |              |   |
|            |   | <br>         |   |
| C.4.a      | Do the HR Director and the EEO Director meet  | Yes          | Quarterly                                   |
|            | regularly to assess whether personnel programs,   |              |   |
|            | policies, and procedures conform to EEOC laws,  |              |   |
|            | instructions, and management directives? [see 29<br>CFR §1614.102(a)(2)]                |              |   |
|            |   |              |   |
| C.4.b      | Has the agency established timetables/schedules to                                      | Yes          | Annually                                    |
|            | review at regular intervals its merit promotion   |              | , and dry                                   |
|            | program, employee recognition awards program,   |              |   |
|            | employee development/training programs, and   |              |   |
|            | management/personnel policies, procedures, and  |              |   |
|            | practices for systemic barriers that may be   |              |   |
|            | impeding full participation in the program by all                                       |              |   |
|            | EEO groups? [see MD-715 Instructions, Sec. I]   |              |   |
| <u> </u>   |   |              |   |
| C.4.c      | Does the EEO office have timely access to accurate                                      | Yes          |   |
|            | and complete data (e.g., demographic data for   |              |   |
|            |   |              |   |

| Compliance<br>Indicator |   | (Yes/No)     | A "No" response to any measure in Part G is<br>a program deficiency requiring a Part H. |
|-------------------------|---|--------------|---|
|                         | C.6 - The EEO office advises<br>managers/supervisors on EEO matters.  | Measure Met? | Comments  |
| C.5.c                   | If the agency has a finding of discrimination (or<br>settles cases in which a finding was likely), does the<br>agency inform managers and supervisors about the<br>discriminatory conduct? [see MD-715, II(C)]  | Yes          | -   |
| C.5.b                   | When appropriate, does the agency discipline or<br>sanction managers and employees for<br>discriminatory conduct? [see 29 CFR<br>§1614.102(a)(6)] If "yes", please state the number<br>of disciplined/sanctioned individuals during this<br>reporting period in the comments. | No           |   |
| C.5.a                   | Does the agency have a disciplinary policy and/or<br>table of penalties that covers discriminatory<br>conduct? 29 CFR § 1614.102(a)(6); see also<br><u>Douglas v. Veterans Administration</u> , 5 MSPR 280<br>(1981)  | Yes          |   |
| Measures                |   |              |   |
| Compliance<br>Indicator | agency explores whether it should take a disciplinary action.   | (Yes/No)     | A "No" response to any measure in Part G is<br>a program deficiency requiring a Part H. |
|                         |   | Measure Met? |   |
| C.4.e.5                 | Assist in preparing the MD-715 report? [see MD-<br>715, II(C)]  | No           | HR or DHR does not assist in preparing the<br>MD715                                     |
| C.4.e.4                 | Identify and remove barriers to equal opportunity in the workplace? [see MD-715, II(C)]   | No           |   |
| C.4.e.3                 | Develop and/or provide training for managers and employees? [see MD-715, II(C)]   | Yes          | I   |
| C.4.e.2                 | Develop and/or conduct outreach and recruiting initiatives? [see MD-715, II(C)]   | No           | Outreach and recruiting not developed   |
| C.4.e.1                 | Implement the Affirmative Action Plan for<br>Individuals with Disabilities? [see 29 CFR<br>§1614.203(d); MD-715, II(C)]   | Yes          |   |
| C.4.e                   | Pursuant to Section II(C) of MD-715, does the EEO office collaborate with the HR office to:   |              |   |
| C.4.d                   | Does the HR office timely provide the EEO office<br>have timely access to other data (e.g., exit<br>interview data, climate assessment surveys, and<br>grievance data), upon request? [see MD-715, II(C)]   | Yes          |   |
|                         | workforce, applicants, training programs, etc.)<br>required to prepare the MD-715 workforce data<br>tables? [see 29 CFR §1614.601(a)]   |              |   |

| +                       |  |                 |   |
|-------------------------|--|-----------------|---|
| Measures                |  |                 |   |
| С.б.а                   | Does the EEO office provide<br>management/supervisory officials with regular EEO<br>updates on at least an annual basis, including EEO<br>complaints, workforce demographics and data<br>summaries, legal updates, barrier analysis plans,<br>and special emphasis updates? [see MD-715<br>Instructions, Sec. I] If "yes", please identify the<br>frequency of the EEO updates in the comments<br>column.                            | Yes             |   |
| С.б.Ь                   | Are EEO officials readily available to answer<br>managers' and supervisors' questions or concerns?<br>[see MD-715 Instructions, Sec. I]  | Yes             |   |
| This elen               | Essential Element D: Pro<br>nent requires that the agency head make early e<br>eliminate barriers to equal em  | fforts to preve | nt discrimination and to identify and   |
| -                       | D.1 - The agency conducts a reasonable<br>assessment to monitor progress towards   | Measure Met?    | Comments  |
| Compliance<br>Indicator | achieving equal employment opportunity<br>throughout the year.   | (Yes/No)        | A "No" response to any measure in Part G is<br>a program deficiency requiring a Part H. |
| +                       |  |                 |   |
| Measures                |  |                 |   |
| D.1.a                   | Does the agency have a process for identifying triggers in the workplace? [see MD-715 Instructions, Sec. I]  | Yes             |   |
| D.1.b                   | Does the agency regularly use the following sources<br>of information for trigger identification: workforce<br>data; complaint/grievance data; exit surveys;<br>employee climate surveys; focus groups; affinity<br>groups; union; program evaluations; special<br>emphasis programs; reasonable accommodation<br>program; anti-harassment program; and/or<br>external special interest groups? [see MD-715<br>Instructions, Sec. I] | Yes             |   |
| D.1.c                   | Does the agency conduct exit interviews or surveys that include questions on how the agency could improve the recruitment, hiring, inclusion, retention and advancement of individuals with disabilities? [see 29 CFR 1614.203(d)(1)(iii)(C)]  | Yes             |   |
| -                       | D.2 - The agency identifies areas where<br>barriers may exclude EEO groups (reasonable   | Measure Met?    | Comments  |
| Compliance<br>Indicator | basis to act.)   | (Yes/No)        | A "No" response to any measure in Part G is<br>a program deficiency requiring a Part H. |
| Measures                |  |                 |   |

| D.2.a                   | Does the agency have a process for analyzing the identified triggers to find possible barriers? [see MD-715, (II)(B)]  | Yes          |   |
|-------------------------|--|--------------|---|
| D.2.b                   | Does the agency regularly examine the impact of management/personnel policies, procedures, and practices by race, national origin, sex, and disability? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(a)(3)]   | No           |   |
| D.2.c                   | Does the agency consider whether any group of<br>employees or applicants might be negatively<br>impacted prior to making human resource<br>decisions, such as re-organizations and<br>realignments? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(a)(3)]   | Yes          |   |
| D.2.d                   | Does the agency regularly review the following<br>sources of information to find barriers:<br>complaint/grievance data, exit surveys, employee<br>climate surveys, focus groups, affinity groups,<br>union, program evaluations, anti-harassment<br>program, special emphasis programs, reasonable<br>accommodation program; anti-harassment<br>program; and/or external special interest groups?<br>[see MD-715 Instructions, Sec. I] If "yes", please<br>identify the data sources in the comments column. | No           |   |
|                         | D.3 - The agency establishes appropriate   | Measure Met? | Comments  |
| Compliance<br>Indicator | action plans to remove identified barriers.  | (Yes/No)     | A "No" response to any measure in Part G is<br>a program deficiency requiring a Part H. |
| <b>↓</b><br>Measures    |  |              |   |
| riedsules               |  |              |   |
| D.3.a.                  | Does the agency effectively tailor action plans to<br>address the identified barriers, in particular policies,<br>procedures, or practices? [see 29 CFR<br>§1614.102(a)(3)]  | No           |   |
| D.3.b                   | If the agency identified one or more barriers during<br>the reporting period, did the agency implement a<br>plan in Part I, including meeting the target dates for<br>the planned activities? [see MD-715, II(D)]  |              |   |
| D.3.c                   | Does the agency periodically review the effectiveness of the plans? [see MD-715, II(D)]  | No           |   |
|                         | D.4 - The agency has an affirmative action   | Measure Met? | Comments  |
| Compliance<br>Indicator | plan for people with disabilities, including those with targeted disabilities  | (Yes/No)     | A "No" response to any measure in Part G is<br>a program deficiency requiring a Part H. |
| Measures                |  |              |   |
| D.4.a                   | Does the agency post its affirmative action plan on<br>its public website? [see 29 CFR 1614.203(d)(4)]<br>Please provide the internet address in the<br>comments.  | Yes          | https://home.army.mil/apg/index.php/abou<br>t/Garrison/EEO                              |

| D.4.b                   | Does the agency take specific steps to ensure<br>qualified people with disabilities are aware of and<br>encouraged to apply for job vacancies? [see 29 CFR<br>1614.203(d)(1)(i)]  | Yes          |   |
|-------------------------|---|--------------|---|
| D.4.c                   | Does the agency ensure that disability-related<br>questions from members of the public are answered<br>promptly and correctly? [see 29 CFR<br>1614.203(d)(1)(ii)(A)]  | Yes          |   |
| D.4.d                   | Has the agency taken specific steps that are<br>reasonably designed to increase the number of<br>persons with disabilities or targeted disabilities<br>employed at the agency until it meets the goals?<br>[see 29 CFR 1614.203(d)(7)(ii)]                | Yes          |   |
|                         | Essential Element   |              | -   |
|                         | ent requires the agency head to ensure that there<br>fectiveness of the agency's EEO programs and an  |              |   |
|                         |   |              |   |
| -                       | E.1 - The agency maintains an efficient, fair,<br>and impartial complaint resolution process.   | Measure Met? | Comments  |
| Compliance<br>Indicator |   | (Yes/No)     | A "No" response to any measure in Part G is<br>a program deficiency requiring a Part H. |
| +                       |   |              |   |
| Measures                |   |              |   |
| E.1.a                   | Does the agency timely provide EEO counseling,<br>pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.105?   | Yes          |   |
| E.1.b                   | Does the agency provide written notification of rights and responsibilities in the EEO process during the initial counseling session, pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.105(b)(1)?   | Yes          |   |
| E.1.c                   | Does the agency issue acknowledgment letters<br>immediately upon receipt of a formal complaint,<br>pursuant to MD-110, Ch. 5(I)?  | Yes          |   |
| E.1.d                   | Does the agency issue acceptance letters/dismissal decisions within a reasonable time (e.g., 60 days) after receipt of the written EEO Counselor report, pursuant to MD-110, Ch. 5(I)? If so, please provide the average processing time in the comments. | Yes          |   |
| E.1.e                   | Does the agency ensure all employees fully<br>cooperate with EEO counselors and EEO personnel<br>in the EEO process, including granting routine<br>access to personnel records related to an<br>investigation, pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.102(b)(6)?        | Yes          | -   |
| E.1.f                   | Does the agency timely complete investigations,<br>pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.108?  | Yes          | The Proponent for Investigations is the Investigation and Resolution Directorate (IRD). |
| E.1.g                   | If the agency does not timely complete<br>investigations, does the agency notify complainants<br>of the date by which the investigation will be   | Yes          |   |

|                         | completed and of their right to request a hearing or file a lawsuit, pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.108(g)?   |              |  |
|-------------------------|---|--------------|--|
| E.1.h                   | When the complainant does not request a hearing,<br>does the agency timely issue the final agency<br>decision, pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.110(b)?   | Yes          |  |
| E.1.i                   | Does the agency timely issue final actions following<br>receipt of the hearing file and the administrative<br>judge's decision, pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.110(a)?  | Yes          |  |
| E.1.j                   | If the agency uses contractors to implement any<br>stage of the EEO complaint process, does the<br>agency hold them accountable for poor work<br>product and/or delays? [See MD-110, Ch. 5(V)(A)]<br>If "yes", please describe how in the comments<br>column.   | N/A          |  |
| E.1.k                   | If the agency uses employees to implement any<br>stage of the EEO complaint process, does the<br>agency hold them accountable for poor work<br>product and/or delays during performance review?<br>[See MD-110, Ch. 5(V)(A)]  | Yes          |  |
| E.1.I                   | Does the agency submit complaint files and other<br>documents in the proper format to EEOC through<br>the Federal Sector EEO Portal (FedSEP)? [See 29<br>CFR § 1614.403(g)]   | Yes          |  |
| -                       | E.2 - The agency has a neutral EEO process.   | Measure Met? | Comments   |
| Compliance<br>Indicator |   | (Yes/No)     | A "No" response to any measure in Part G is<br>a program deficiency requiring a Part H.  |
| +                       |   |              |  |
| Measures                |   |              |  |
| E.2.a                   | Has the agency established a clear separation<br>between its EEO complaint program and its<br>defensive function? [see MD-110, Ch. 1(IV)(D)]  | Yes          |  |
| E.2.b                   | When seeking legal sufficiency reviews, does the EEO office have access to sufficient legal resources separate from the agency representative? [see MD-110, Ch. 1(IV)(D)] If "yes", please identify the source/location of the attorney who conducts the legal sufficiency review in the comments column. | Yes          | The EEO Office utilize 6 different legal<br>agencies to conduct legal reviews; CCDC,<br>CECOM, ATEC, Fort Huachuca, Tobyhanna<br>and Picatinny Arsenal |
| E.2.c                   | If the EEO office relies on the agency's defensive function to conduct the legal sufficiency review, is there a firewall between the reviewing attorney and the agency representative? [see MD-110, Ch. 1(IV)(D)]   | Yes          | -  |
| E.2.d                   | Does the agency ensure that its agency<br>representative does not intrude upon EEO<br>counseling, investigations, and final agency<br>decisions? [see MD-110, Ch. 1(IV)(D)]   | Yes          | -  |
| E.2.e                   | If applicable, are processing time frames   | Yes          |  |

|                         | review for timely processing of complaints? EEOC<br>Report, <i>Attaining a Model Agency Program:</i><br><i>Efficiency</i> (Dec. 1, 2004)   |              |   |
|-------------------------|--|--------------|---|
|                         | E.3 - The agency has established and   | Measure Met? | Comments  |
| Compliance<br>Indicator | encouraged the widespread use of a fair<br>alternative dispute resolution (ADR) program.   | (Yes/No)     | A "No" response to any measure in Part G is<br>a program deficiency requiring a Part H. |
| Measures                |  |              |   |
|                         |  |              |   |
| E.3.a                   | Has the agency established an ADR program for use<br>during both the pre-complaint and formal complaint<br>stages of the EEO process? [see 29 CFR<br>§1614.102(b)(2)]            | Yes          |   |
| E.3.b                   | Does the agency require managers and supervisors to participate in ADR once it has been offered? [see MD-715, II(A)(1)]  | Yes          |   |
| E.3.c                   | Does the agency encourage all employees to use<br>ADR, where ADR is appropriate? [see MD-110, Ch.<br>3(IV)(C)]   | Yes          |   |
| E.3.d                   | Does the agency ensure a management official with settlement authority is accessible during the dispute resolution process? [see MD-110, Ch. 3(III)(A)(9)]                       |              |   |
| E.3.e                   | Does the agency prohibit the responsible<br>management official named in the dispute from<br>having settlement authority? [see MD-110, Ch.<br>3(I)]                              | Yes          |   |
| E.3.f                   | Does the agency annually evaluate the effectiveness of its ADR program? [see MD-110, Ch. 3(II)(D)]   | Yes          |   |
|                         | E.4 - The agency has effective and accurate  | Measure Met? | Comments  |
| Compliance<br>Indicator | data collection systems in place to evaluate its<br>EEO program.   | (Yes/No)     | A "No" response to any measure in Part G is<br>a program deficiency requiring a Part H. |
| +                       |  |              |   |
| Measures                |  |              |   |
| E.4.a                   | Does the agency have systems in place to<br>accurately collect, monitor, and analyze the<br>following data:  |              |   |
| E.4.a.1                 | Complaint activity, including the issues and bases<br>of the complaints, the aggrieved<br>individuals/complainants, and the involved<br>management official? [see MD-715, II(E)] | Yes          |   |
| E.4.a.2                 | The race, national origin, sex, and disability status of agency employees? [see 29 CFR §1614.601(a)]   | Yes          |   |
### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| E.4.a.3                 | Recruitment activities? [see MD-715, II(E)]  |                |   |
|-------------------------|--|----------------|---|
| E.4.a.4                 | External and internal applicant flow data concerning the applicants' race, national origin, sex, and disability status? [see MD-715, II(E)]  | No             | Applicant flow data not tracked   |
| E.4.a.5                 | The processing of requests for reasonable accommodation? [29 CFR § 1614.203(d)(4)]   | Yes            |   |
| E.4.a.6                 | The processing of complaints for the anti-<br>harassment program? [see EEOC Enforcement<br>Guidance on Vicarious Employer Liability for<br>Unlawful Harassment by Supervisors (1999), §<br>V.C.2]                            | Yes            |   |
| E.4.b                   | Does the agency have a system in place to re-<br>survey the workforce on a regular basis? [MD-715<br>Instructions, Sec. I]   | Yes            |   |
| -                       | E.5 - The agency identifies and disseminates   | Measure Met?   | Comments  |
| Compliance<br>Indicator | significant trends and best practices in its EEO program.  | (Yes/No)       | A "No" response to any measure in Part G is<br>a program deficiency requiring a Part H. |
| +                       |  |                |   |
| Measures                |  |                |   |
| E.5.a                   | Does the agency monitor trends in its EEO program<br>to determine whether the agency is meeting its<br>obligations under the statutes EEOC enforces? [see<br>MD-715, II(E)] If "yes", provide an example in the<br>comments. | Yes            | Annual Self-Assessment MD715  |
| E.5.b                   | Does the agency review other agencies' best  | Yes            | Newsletter  |
|                         | practices and adopt them, where appropriate, to<br>improve the effectiveness of its EEO program? [see  |                | Newcomer's Brief  |
|                         | MD-715, II(E)] If "yes", provide an example in the comments.   |                | Welcome Packet  |
| E.5.c                   | Does the agency compare its performance in the   | Yes            | Fort Lee  |
|                         | EEO process to other federal agencies of similar size? [see MD-715, II(E)]   |                | Fort Detrick  |
|                         |  |                | Redstone Arsenal  |
| This element            | Essential Element F: Responsiver<br>requires federal agencies to comply with EEO sta<br>written instru   | tutes and EEOC |   |
| -                       | F.1 - The agency has processes in place to   | Measure Met?   | Comments  |
| Compliance<br>Indicator | ensure timely and full compliance with EEOC<br>Orders and settlement agreements.   | (Yes/No)       | A "No" response to any measure in Part G is<br>a program deficiency requiring a Part H. |
| •                       |  |                |   |
| Measures                |  |                |   |
| F.1.a                   | Does the agency have a system of management controls to ensure that its officials timely comply  | Yes            |   |

# FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| Compliance<br>Indicator | efforts and accomplishments.  |              | A "No" response to any measure in Part G is<br>a program deficiency requiring a Part H. |
|-------------------------|---|--------------|---|
|                         | promptly provide EEOC with the required<br>documentation for completing compliance?<br>F.3 - The agency reports to EEOC its program   | Measure Met? | Comments  |
| F.2.a.4                 | agency timely forward the investigative file to<br>EEOC's Office of Federal Operations? [see 29 CFR<br>§1614.403(e)]<br>Pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.502, does the agency   | Yes          |   |
| F.2.a.2<br>F.2.a.3      | When there is a finding of discrimination that is not<br>the subject of an appeal by the agency, does the<br>agency ensure timely compliance with the orders of<br>relief? [see 29 CFR §1614.501]<br>When a complainant files an appeal, does the | Yes          |   |
| F.2.a.1                 | When a complainant requests a hearing, does the agency timely forward the investigative file to the appropriate EEOC hearing office? [see 29 CFR §1614.108(g)]  | Yes          |   |
| F.2.a                   | Does the agency timely respond and fully comply<br>with EEOC orders? [see 29 CFR §1614.502; MD-<br>715, II(E)]  | Yes          |   |
| Measures                |   |              |   |
| Compliance<br>Indicator | directives, orders, and other written instructions.   | (Yes/No      | A "No" response to any measure in Part G is<br>a program deficiency requiring a Part H. |
| -                       | F.2 - The agency complies with the law,<br>including EEOC regulations, management   | Measure Met? | Comments  |
| F.1.e                   | When EEOC issues an order requiring compliance by<br>the agency, does the agency hold its compliance<br>officer(s) accountable for poor work product and/or<br>delays during performance review? [see MD-110,<br>Ch. 9(IX)(H)]                    | Yes          |   |
| F.1.d                   | Are procedures in place to process other forms of ordered relief promptly? [see MD-715, II(F)]  | Yes          |   |
| F.1.c                   | Are there procedures in place to ensure the timely<br>and predictable processing of ordered monetary<br>relief? [see MD-715, II(F)]   | Yes          |   |
| F.1.b                   | Does the agency have a system of management<br>controls to ensure the timely, accurate, and<br>complete compliance with resolutions/settlement<br>agreements? [see MD-715, II(F)]   | Yes          |   |
|                         | with EEOC orders/directives and final agency<br>actions? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(e); MD-715, II(F)]   |              |   |

# FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| F.3.a | Does the agency timely submit to EEOC an accurate<br>and complete No FEAR Act report? [Public Law 107-<br>174 (May 15, 2002), §203(a)] |     | HQ Army EEOCCR submits to EEOC an<br>accurate and complete No FEAR Act<br>report                      |
|-------|--|-----|---|
| F.3.b | Does the agency timely post on its public webpage<br>its quarterly No FEAR Act data? [see 29 CFR<br>§1614.703(d)]                      | N/A | Starting FY 2019 commands will timely<br>post on its public webpage its quarterly<br>No FEAR Act data |

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# 715 - PART H EEO Plan To Attain the Essential Elements of a Model EEO Program

| Statement of Model Progran | 1 Essential Element Deficiency |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                            |                                |

| Type of Program Deficiency | Brief Description of Program Deficiency  |
|----------------------------|--|
| A.3.a                      | recognition of employees, supervisors, managers, and units demonstrating superior accomplishment in equal employment opportunity |
| C.2.c.1                    | Garrison does not have policy and procedures for requests for Personal Assistance on its website                                 |
| C.3.b.1                    | Participate in ADR for Garrison  |
| C.3.c –C.3.d               | Does the EEO Director recommend improvements or corrections, for the Department heads that failed in EEO responsibilities        |
| C.4.a<br>D.1.c             | EEO Director and DHR Director meet regularly to assess personnel programs, policies and procedures                               |
| E.3.b                      | Exit Interview Survey for Garrison Employees   |
|                            | Does the agency require managers and supervisors to participate in ADR once it has been offered                                  |

# Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan

| Date Initiated<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Objective  | Target Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Modified Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Date<br>Completed<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 10/01/2020                     | Establish and implement recognition for EEO accomplishments  | 09/01/2021                  |                               | 09/24/2021                        |
| 10/01/2020                     | Seek further guidance from IMCOM HQs EEO and post on website   | 09/01/2021                  |                               | 07/01/2021                        |
| 10/01/2020                     | Meet with GC and DGC on benefits of ADR  | 3/5/2021                    |                               | 12/01/2020                        |
| 10/01/2020                     | Meet with GC and GDC to EEO Director<br>recommendations on EEO related<br>responsibilities when Department heads fail<br>in EEO responsibilities | 3/5/2021                    |                               | 12/01/2020                        |
| 10/01/2020                     | Setup quarterly meeting between EEO and DHR  | 6/4/2021                    |                               | 3/24/2021                         |

-

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| Date Initiated<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Objective   | Target Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Modified Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Date<br>Completed<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 10/1/2020                      | Initial meeting to brainstorm ideas               | 1/5/2021                    |                               | 3/8/2021                          |
| 10/1/2020                      | Encourage the use of ADR for the entire workforce | 3/5/2021                    |                               | 3/01/2021                         |

## Responsible Official(s)

| Title        | Name                         | Performance Standards Address the Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |
|--------------|------------------------------|--|
| EEO Director | Mr. Deicherrie V. Stackhouse | No   |
| DGC          | Mr. Mitchell Jones           | Νο   |
| DHR Director | Ms. Janet Dettwiler          | Νο   |
| GC           | COL Timothy Druell           | Νο   |

# Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective

| Target Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Planned Activities   | Sufficient<br>Funding &<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|-----------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 06/01/2021                  | Initial meeting to brainstorm ideas on<br>establishing recognition for EEO<br>accomplishments              | Yes   |                                  | 07/7/2021                          |
| 9/3/2021                    | Telephonic meeting with IMCOM HQs EEO<br>Disability Program Manager to seek guidance<br>on the PAS program | Yes   |                                  | 12/15/2020                         |
| 9/3/2021                    | Add Information to Website   | Yes   |                                  | 07/15/2021                         |
| 9/3/2021                    | Setup Meeting  | Yes   |                                  | 12/01/2020                         |

## FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| Target Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Planned Activities   | Sufficient<br>Funding &<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|-----------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 9/3/2021                    | Setup quarterly Meetings with DHR and EEO  | Yes   |                                  | 3/24/2021                          |
| 9/3/2021                    | EEO added to the out-processing form to have<br>all outgoing personnel complete the exit<br>survey | Yes   |                                  | 3/8/2021                           |
| 9/3/2021                    | Meeting with GC and DGC  | Yes   |                                  | 12/01/2020                         |
|                             |  |   |                                  |                                    |

| Fiscal Year | Accomplishments  |
|-------------|--|
|             |  |
| 21          | Website updated to include PAS Program   |
| 21          | ADR is required for all USAG APG Management  |
| 21          | EEO newsletter established   |
| 21          | Setup quarterly Meetings with DHR and EEO  |
| 21          | EEO added to the out-processing form to have all outgoing personnel complete the exit survey |
|             |  |

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# 715 - PART H EEO Plan To Attain the Essential Elements of a Model EEO Program

# Statement of Model Program Essential Element Deficiency

| Type of Program Deficiency | Brief Description of Program Deficiency  |
|----------------------------|--|
|                            | Garrison Strategic Plan does not reference EEO/diversity and inclusion principles.   |
| B.3.b                      | To effectively administer its special emphasis programs (such<br>as, Federal Women's Program, Hispanic Employment Program,<br>and People with Disabilities Program Manager)? |
| B.4.a.8                    | Are senior managers involved in the implementation of SEPM?  |
| B.6.a                      | To effectively administer its special emphasis programs (such as, Federal Women's Program, Hispanic Employment Program, and People with Disabilities Program Manager)?       |
| B.6.c                      | Do senior managers participate in the barrier analysis process?  |
| B.6.d                      | When barriers are identified, do senior managers assist in developing agency EEO action plans (Part I, Part J, or the Executive Summary)?                                    |
|                            | Do senior managers successfully implement EEO Action Plans<br>and incorporate the EEO Action Plan Objectives into agency<br>strategic plans?                                 |

# Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan

| Date Initiated<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Objective  | Target Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Modified Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Date<br>Completed<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 10/01/2020                     | Review Current Garrison Strategic Plan                   | 03/01/2021                  | 3/01/2021                     |                                   |
| 10/01/2020                     | Meet with GC and DGC to advise a change                  | 09/01/2021                  | 7/15/2021                     |                                   |
| 10/01/2020                     | Have EEO personnel trained to administer the SEPM        | 11/25/2021                  | 9/7/2022                      |                                   |
| 10/01/2020                     | Have EEO personnel trained to conduct a barrier analysis | 11/25/2021                  | 9/7/2022                      |                                   |

## FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# Responsible Official(s)

| Title                 | Name              | Performance Standards Address the Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |
|-----------------------|-------------------|--|
| EEO Director (Acting) | Ms. Monique Moore | Νο   |
| DGC                   | Mr. Bruce Griggs  | No   |
| GC                    | COL John Casiano  | No   |

# **Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective**

| Target Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Planned Activities                          | Sufficient Funding &<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|-----------------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 6/1/2021                    | Meeting to review current<br>strategic plan | Yes  | 3/1/2022                         |                                    |
| 9/1/2021                    | Update strategic plan                       | yes  | 3/1/2022                         |                                    |

| Fiscal Year | Accomplishments |
|-------------|-----------------|
|             |                 |

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# 715 - PART H EEO Plan To Attain the Essential Elements of a Model EEO Program

# Statement of Model Program Essential Element Deficiency

| Type of Program Deficiency | Brief Description of Program Deficiency  |  |
|----------------------------|--|--|
| C.2.b.5                    | Does the agency process all accommodation request within the time frame set forth in its reasonable accommodation process? |  |
| C.4.e.2.                   | Develop and/or conduct outreach and recruiting initiatives?<br>[see MD-715, II(C)]   |  |
| C.4.e.4.                   | Identify and remove barriers to equal opportunity in the workplace? [see MD-715, II(C)]                                    |  |
| C.4.e.5                    | Assist in preparing the MD-715 report? [see MD-715, II(C)]   |  |
| C.5.b. c                   | When appropriate, does the agency discipline or sanction managers and employees for discriminatory conduct? [              |  |

# Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan

| Date Initiated<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Objective  | Target Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Modified Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Date<br>Completed<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 10/1/2020                      | Ensure all RA request process within set timeline  | 5/31/2021                   | 06/14/2022                    | 18 Oct 2021                       |
| 10/1/2020                      | Establish and Conduct quarterly EEO<br>Committee meeting with senior Garrison<br>leaders | 6/1/2021                    | 2/10/2021                     |                                   |
| 10/1/2020                      | Barrier Analysis Training  | 10/1/2021                   | 5/17/2021                     |                                   |
| 10/1/2020                      | Bi-annual Barrier Analysis Review  | 7/15/2021                   | 5/17/2021                     |                                   |

## Responsible Official(s)

| Title                 | Name              | Performance Standards Address the Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |
|-----------------------|-------------------|--|
| EEO Director (Acting) | Ms. Monique Moore | No   |

# FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| Title                     | Name             | Performance Standards Address the Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |
|---------------------------|------------------|--|
| Deputy Garrison Commander | Mr. Bruce Griggs | No   |
| Garrison Command          | COL John Casiano | no   |
|                           |                  |  |

# Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective

| Target Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Planned Activities  | Sufficient<br>Funding &<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|-----------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1/1/2021                    | Initial meeting   | Yes   | 6/14/2022                        |                                    |
| 10/1/2021                   | Develop SEPM recruitment letter   | Yes   | 6/14/2022                        |                                    |
| 10/1/2021                   | Develop Appointment Orders for SEPM   | Yes   | 8/01/2022                        |                                    |
| 10/1/2021                   | Canvass workforce for interested employees<br>on SEPM appointment   | Yes   | 9/01/2022                        |                                    |
| 6/1/2021                    | Initial Meeting to plan a way ahead (topics<br>MD-715, barrier analysis, SEP, and<br>incorporating action plan and objective into<br>current Garrison Strategic Plan) | Yes   | 5/01/2022                        |                                    |
| 9/30/2021                   | Barrier Analysis Training for staff   | NO  | 6/14/2022                        |                                    |
| 10/01/2021                  | Conduct limited analysis  | Yes   | 8/03/2022                        |                                    |

| Fiscal Year | Accomplishments |
|-------------|-----------------|
|-------------|-----------------|

## FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# 715 - PART H

# EEO Plan To Attain the Essential Elements of a Model EEO Program

# Statement of Model Program Essential Element Deficiency

| Type of Program Deficiency | Brief Description of Program Deficiency  |
|----------------------------|--|
|                            | Does the agency regularly examine the impact of management/personnel policies, procedures, and practices by race, national origin, sex, and disability? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(a)(3)]   |
| D.2.b.<br>D.2.d            | Does the agency regularly review the following sources of<br>information to find barriers: complaint/grievance data, exit surveys,<br>employee climate surveys, focus groups, affinity groups, union,<br>program evaluations, anti-harassment program, special emphasis<br>programs, reasonable accommodation program; anti-harassment<br>program; and/or external special interest groups? [see MD-715<br>Instructions, |
| D.3.a.<br>D.3.b.<br>D.3.c. | Does the agency effectively tailor action plans to address the identified barriers, in particular policies, procedures, or practices? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(a)(3)] c. I] If "yes", please identify the data sources in the comments column.  |
|                            | If the agency identified one or more barriers during the reporting period, did the agency implement a plan in Part I, including meeting the target dates for the planned activities? [see MD-715, II(D)]   |
|                            | Does the agency periodically review the effectiveness of the plans?<br>[see MD-715, II(D)]   |

## **Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan**

| Date Initiated<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Objective  | Target Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Modified Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Date<br>Completed<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 10/1/2020                      | Establish and Conduct quarterly EEO<br>Committee meeting with senior Garrison<br>leaders | 10/1/2021                   | 6/14/2022                     |                                   |
|                                |  |                             |                               |                                   |

# Responsible Official(s)

| Title                 | Name              | Performance Standards<br>Address the Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |
|-----------------------|-------------------|---|
| EEO Director (Acting) | Ms. Monique Moore | No  |

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| Title                        | Name   | Performance Standards<br>Address the Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |
|------------------------------|--|---|
| Garrison Commander           | COL John Casiano   | No  |
| Deputy Garrison<br>Commander | Mr. Bruce Griggs   | No  |
| Garrison Directors           | Ms. Renee Presley, Ms. Janet Dettwiler, Mr. Joseph Moscone, Mr.<br>Thomas Kuchar, Mr. Bruce Griggs, Mr. Robb Altenburg | No  |

# **Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective**

| Target Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Planned Activities  | Sufficient<br>Funding &<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|-----------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 6/1/2021                    | Initial Meeting to plan a way ahead (topics MD-<br>715, barrier analysis, SEP, and incorporating<br>action plan and objective into current Garrison<br>Strategic Plan | Yes   | 5/01/2022                        |                                    |
|                             |   |   |                                  |                                    |

| Fiscal Year | Accomplishments |
|-------------|-----------------|
|             |                 |

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# 715 - Part I EEO Plan To Eliminate Identified Barrier

# Statement of Condition That Was a Trigger for a Potential Barrier:

| Source of the<br>Trigger | Specific Workforce<br>Data Table | Narrative Description of Trigger  |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Workforce Tables         | Table A4-1                       | The participation rate for females in the permanent workforce is below NNCLF for GS-11 –GS-15 |
| Workforce Tables         | Table A4-1                       | The participation rate for females in the permanent workforce is below NNCLF for GS-11        |

# EEO Group(s) Affected by Trigger (Check)

| All Men  | х | All Women  |
|--|---|--|
| Hispanic or Latino Males                           |   | Hispanic or Latino Females                           |
| White Males  |   | White Females  |
| Black or African American Males                    |   | Black or African American Females                    |
| Asian Males  |   | Asian Females  |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander<br>Males |   | Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander<br>Females |
| American Indian or Alaska Native Males             |   | American Indian or Alaska Native Females             |
| Two or More Races Males                            |   | Two or More Races Females                            |

## **Barrier Analysis Process**

| Sources of Data       | Source<br>Reviewed?<br>(Yes or No) | Identify Information Collected                             |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Workforce Data Tables | yes                                | Reviewed table A1 to<br>compare NCLF rates to APG<br>rates |

# FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| Sources of Data   | Source<br>Reviewed?<br>(Yes or No) | Identify Information Collected |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Complaint Data (Trends)   | no                                 |                                |
| Grievance Data (Trends)   | no                                 |                                |
| Findings from Decisions (e.g., EEO, Grievance, MSPB, Anti-<br>Harassment Processes) | no                                 |                                |
| Climate Assessment Survey (e.g., FEVS)  | no                                 |                                |
| Exit Interview Data   | no                                 |                                |
| Focus Groups  | no                                 |                                |
| Interviews  | no                                 |                                |
| Reports (e.g., Congress, EEOC, MSPB, GAO, OPM)                                      | no                                 |                                |
| Other (Please Describe)   |                                    |                                |

# Status of Barrier Analysis Process

| Barrier Analysis Process Completed? | Barrier(s) Identified? |  |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| (Yes or No)                         | (Yes or No)            |  |
| no                                  | no                     |  |

# Statement of Identified Barrier(s)

| Description of Policy, Procedure, or Practice   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| OPM policy is to hire the best qualified candidate – no data to compare applicants to hires |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# **Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan**

| Objective   | Date Initiated<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Target Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Sufficient<br>Funding &<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Date Completed<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| When the EEO<br>office is trained,<br>conduct analysis<br>using 2020<br>NCLF data |                                |                             |   |                               |                                |
|   |                                |                             |   |                               |                                |

# Responsible Official(s)

| Title | Name | Performance Standards Address the Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |
|-------|------|--|
|       |      |  |
|       |      |  |

# **Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective**

| Target Date<br>(mm/dd/уууу) | Planned<br>Activities | Modified Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                             |                       |                               |                                 |
|                             |                       |                               |                                 |
|                             |                       |                               |                                 |

| Fiscal Year | Accomplishments |
|-------------|-----------------|
|             |                 |

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# 715 - Part I EEO Plan To Eliminate Identified Barrier

# Statement of Condition That Was a Trigger for a Potential Barrier:

| Source of the<br>Trigger | Specific Workforce Data<br>Table | Narrative Description of Trigger  |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Workforce Tables         | Table B-1                        | The participation rate for individuals with a disability is below the federal goal is 12% |

# EEO Group(s) Affected by Trigger (Check)

| x | All Men   | х | All Women   |
|---|---|---|---|
|   | Hispanic or Latino Males                        |   | Hispanic or Latino Females                        |
|   | White Males                                     |   | White Females                                     |
|   | Black or African American Males                 |   | Black or African American Females                 |
|   | Asian Males                                     |   | Asian Females                                     |
|   | Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Males |   | Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Females |
|   | American Indian or Alaska Native Males          |   | American Indian or Alaska Native Females          |
|   | Two or More Races Males                         |   | Two or More Races Females                         |

## **Barrier Analysis Process**

| Sources of Data         | Source<br>Reviewed?<br>(Yes or<br>No) | Identify Information Collected |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Workforce Data Tables   | Yes                                   |                                |
| Complaint Data (Trends) | no                                    |                                |
| Grievance Data (Trends) | no                                    |                                |

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| Sources of Data   | Source<br>Reviewed?<br>(Yes or<br>No) | Identify Information Collected |
|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Findings from Decisions (e.g., EEO, Grievance, MSPB, Anti-<br>Harassment Processes) | no                                    |                                |
| Climate Assessment Survey (e.g., FEVS)  | no                                    |                                |
| Exit Interview Data   | no                                    |                                |
| Focus Groups  | no                                    |                                |
| Interviews  | no                                    |                                |
| Reports (e.g., Congress, EEOC, MSPB, GAO, OPM)                                      | no                                    |                                |
| Other (Please Describe)   |                                       |                                |

# **Status of Barrier Analysis Process**

| Barrier Analysis Process Completed? | Barrier(s) Identified? |  |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| (Yes or No)                         | (Yes or No)            |  |
|                                     |                        |  |

# Statement of Identified Barrier(s)

| Description of Policy, Procedure, or Pract | ice |  |
|--|-----|--|
|  |     |  |
|  |     |  |

# Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| Objective   | Date Initiated<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Target Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Sufficient<br>Funding &<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Date Completed<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| When the EEO<br>office is trained,<br>conduct analysis<br>using 2020<br>NCLF data |                                |                             |   |                               |                                |
|   |                                |                             |   |                               |                                |

# Responsible Official(s)

| Title | Name | Performance Standards Address the Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |
|-------|------|--|
|       |      |  |
|       |      |  |

# Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective

| Target Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Planned<br>Activities | Modified Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                             |                       |                               |                                 |
|                             |                       |                               |                                 |

| Fiscal Year | Accomplishments |
|-------------|-----------------|
|             |                 |
|             |                 |

## FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# 715 - Part I EEO Plan To Eliminate Identified Barrier

# Statement of Condition That Was a Trigger for a Potential Barrier:

| Source of the<br>Trigger | Specific Workforce<br>Data Table | Narrative Description of Trigger   |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Workforce Tables         | Table A6-1                       | Low participation rate for personnel in job series: SECURITY<br>GUARD, ENGINEERING TECHNICAL, MECHANICAL<br>ENGINEERING, MANAGEMENT AND PROGRAM ANALYSIS,<br>MANAGEMENT CLERICAL AND ASSISTANCE, GENERAL PHYSICAL<br>SCIENCE |

# EEO Group(s) Affected by Trigger (Check)

|   | All Men   |   | All Women   |
|---|---|---|---|
|   | Hispanic or Latino Males                        |   | Hispanic or Latino Females                        |
|   | White Males                                     | х | White Females                                     |
|   | Black or African American Males                 |   | Black or African American Females                 |
| x | Asian Males                                     | х | Asian Females                                     |
|   | Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Males |   | Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Females |
| х | American Indian or Alaska Native Males          |   | American Indian or Alaska Native Females          |
|   | Two or More Races Males                         |   | Two or More Races Females                         |

## **Barrier Analysis Process**

| Sources of Data         | Source<br>Reviewed?<br>(Yes or<br>No) |   |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Workforce Data Tables   | Yes                                   | Reviewed table A4-1 to compare rates to APG rates |
| Complaint Data (Trends) | No                                    |   |

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| Sources of Data   | Source<br>Reviewed?<br>(Yes or<br>No) | Identify Information Collected |
|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Grievance Data (Trends)   | No                                    |                                |
| Findings from Decisions (e.g., EEO, Grievance, MSPB, Anti-<br>Harassment Processes) | No                                    |                                |
| Climate Assessment Survey (e.g., FEVS)  | No                                    |                                |
| Exit Interview Data   | No                                    |                                |
| Focus Groups  | No                                    |                                |
| Interviews  | No                                    |                                |
| Reports (e.g., Congress, EEOC, MSPB, GAO, OPM)                                      | No                                    |                                |
| Other (Please Describe)   | N/A                                   |                                |

# **Status of Barrier Analysis Process**

| Barrier Analysis Process Completed?                                       | Barrier(s) Identified? |
|---|------------------------|
| (Yes or No)   | (Yes or No)            |
| No; When the EEO office is trained, conduct analysis using 2020 NCLF data | No                     |

# Statement of Identified Barrier(s)

| Description of Policy, Procedure, or Practice         |
|---|
| To be identified upon completion of barrier analysis. |
|   |

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan

| Objective   | Date Initiated<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Target Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Sufficient<br>Funding &<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Date Completed<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| When the EEO<br>office is trained,<br>conduct analysis<br>using 2020 NCLF<br>data | 6/1/2021                       | 10/1/2021                   | No  |                               |                                |
|   |                                |                             |   |                               |                                |

# Responsible Official(s)

| Title                | Name                | Performance Standards Address the Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |
|----------------------|---------------------|--|
| EEO Director, Acting | Ms. Monique Moore   | No   |
| DHR Director         | Ms. Janet Dettwiler | No   |

# **Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective**

| Target Date<br>(mm/dd/уууу) | Planned<br>Activities | Modified Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                             |                       |                               |                                 |
|                             |                       |                               |                                 |

| Fiscal Year | Accomplishments |
|-------------|-----------------|
|             |                 |
|             |                 |

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# 715 - Part J Special Program Plan for the Recruitment, Hiring, Advancement, and Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To capture agencies' affirmative action plan for persons with disabilities (PWD) and persons with targeted disabilities (PWTD), Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) regulations (29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(e)) and Management Directive (MD) 715 require agencies to describe how their plan will improve the recruitment, hiring, advancement, and retention of applicants and employees with disabilities. All agencies, regardless of size, must complete this Part of the MD 715 report.

### Section I: Efforts to Reach Regulatory Goals

EEOC regulations (29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(7)) require agencies to establish specific numerical goals for increasing the participation of persons with reportable and targeted disabilities in the federal government.

1. Using the goal of 12% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWD</u> by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

| iever eldster in the permanent workforce. If yes , describe the eng |     | /0/(1 |
|---|-----|-------|
| a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWD)                                      | Yes | No    |
| b. Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWD)                                       | Yes | No    |
| Barrier Analysis not conducted                                      |     |       |

2. Using the goal of 2% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWTD</u> by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

| a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWD) | Yes | No |  |
|--------------------------------|-----|----|--|
| b. Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWD)  | Yes | No |  |
|                                |     |    |  |

Barrier Analysis not conducted

3. Describe how the agency has communicated the numerical goals to the hiring managers and/or recruiters.

| N/. | A |
|-----|---|
|-----|---|

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

### Section II: Model Disability Program

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(d)(1), agencies must ensure sufficient staff, training and resources to recruit and hire persons with disabilities and persons with targeted disabilities, administer the reasonable accommodation program and special emphasis program, and oversee any other disability hiring and advancement program the agency has in place.

A. Plan to Provide Sufficient and Competent Staffing for the Disability Program.

1. Has the agency designated sufficient qualified personnel to implement its disability program during the reporting period? If "no", describe the agency's plan to improve the staffing for the upcoming year.

| es |    | No | X       |
|----|----|----|---------|
|    |    |    |         |
|    |    |    |         |
|    |    |    |         |
| e  | 25 | 25 | es   No |

2. Identify all staff responsible for implementing the agency's disability employment program by the office, staff employment status, and responsible official.

|  | 1            | E Staff b<br>ment Sta | -                  | Responsible Official                                |
|--|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---|
| Disability Program Task  | Full<br>Time | Part<br>Time          | Collateral<br>Duty | - (Name, Title, Office,<br>Email)                   |
| Processing applications from PWD and PWTD  |              |                       |                    | CPAC Functions                                      |
| Answering questions from the public<br>about hiring authorities that take<br>disability into account |              |                       |                    | Lavon Forbes, DPM, APG<br>Lavon.forbes.civ@army.mil |
| Processing reasonable<br>accommodation requests from<br>applicants and employees                     |              |                       |                    | Lavon Forbes, DPM, APG<br>Lavon.forbes.civ@army.mil |
| Section 508 Compliance   |              |                       |                    | Lavon Forbes, DPM, APG<br>Lavon.forbes.civ@army.mil |
| Architectural Barriers Act (ABA)<br>Compliance   |              |                       |                    | Lavon Forbes, DPM, APG<br>Lavon.forbes.civ@army.mil |
| Special Emphasis Program for PWD<br>and PWTD   |              |                       |                    | Lavon Forbes, DPM, APG                              |

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| Disability Program Task | # of FTE Staff by<br>Employment Status |              |                    | Responsible Official            |  |
|-------------------------|--|--------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--|
|                         | Full<br>Time                           | Part<br>Time | Collateral<br>Duty | (Name, Title, Office,<br>Email) |  |
|                         |  |              |                    | Lavon.forbes.civ@army.mil       |  |

3. Has the agency provided disability program staff with sufficient training to carry out their responsibilities during the reporting period? If "yes", describe the training that disability program staff have received. If "no", describe the training planned for the upcoming year.

|   | Yes | No | X |
|---|-----|----|---|
| To attend training and classes for the Disability Program |     |    |   |
| To attend training and classes for the Disability Program |     |    |   |
|   |     |    |   |
|   |     |    |   |

B. Plan to Ensure Sufficient Funding for the Disability Program.

Has the agency provided sufficient funding and other resources to successfully implement the disability program during the reporting period? If "no", describe the agency's plan to ensure all aspects of the disability program have sufficient *funding* and other *resources*.

| Yes | X | No |  |
|-----|---|----|--|
|     |   |    |  |
|     |   |    |  |
|     |   |    |  |

# Section III: Plan to Recruit and Hire Individuals with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(1)(i) and (ii), agencies must establish a plan to increase the recruitment and hiring of individuals with disabilities. The questions below are designed to identify outcomes of the agency's recruitment program plan for PWD and PWTD.

A. Plan to Identify Job Applicants with Disabilities.

1. Describe the programs and resources the agency uses to identify job applicants with disabilities, including individuals with targeted disabilities.

Wounded Warrior Recruitment Strategy-CHRA will partner with Wounded Warrior (WW) Program offices to identify wounded veterans interested in employment into the Army Civilian Corps.

Schedule A Hiring- CHRA Schedule A Coordinators will review all supporting documentation for employment and meet with candidates to give them an overview of the program, Resumes review, discussion of interest in types of positions based on their experience and/or education level. Coordinators will do a summarization of meeting, candidates will be added to Master Roster used to identify candidates for potential positions that becomes available based off their experience.

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

2. Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(a) (3), describe the agency's use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A) to recruit PWD and PWTD for positions in the permanent workforce.

Schedule A Hiring Authority- Schedule A Coordinators will represent the APG CPAC at Special Emphasis Program events to include briefing, presentations, and training sessions. Schedule A coordinators will maintain a database of all Schedule A candidates resumes and search the Incoming CPAC work for potential positions that may fit a candidate.

Wounded Warrior Recruitment Strategy- CHRA will create and maintain an Expedited Wounded Warrior Referral Program which distributes the resumes of Wounded Warrior to Army Command and Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Officers.

3. When individuals apply for a position under a hiring authority that takes disability into account (e.g., Schedule A), explain how the agency (1) determines if the individual is eligible for appointment under such authority and (2) forwards the individual's application to the relevant hiring officials with an explanation of how and when the individual may be appointed.

Wounded Warrior Recruitment Strategy- Partner with Wounded Warrior Program to identify wounded veterans interested in employment. Create and maintain a WW resume inventory which is web-based and searchable by the WW's job interests and location preference. Propose a Department of Defense Priority Placement Program (PPP) that will give WW resumes maximum exposures across all DOD components.

Schedule A Coordinators-Review all supporting documentations for employment and meet with each candidates for an overview of the program and resume review. After the verification process, Coordinators search the incoming CPAC work for potential positions and inform the servicing HR Specialist of a potential qualified fit for the positions. The resumes are then sent to the HR Specialist to be forward to the hiring management official. The candidates may be placed non-competitively.

4. Has the agency provided training to all hiring managers on the use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A)? If "yes", describe the type(s) of training and frequency. If "no", describe the agency's plan to provide this training.

|  | Yes        |              | No         |      |
|--|------------|--------------|------------|------|
| Scheduled A Coordinators represent the APG at Special Emphasis Pr<br>presentations, and training sessions. | ogram ever | nts to inclu | ude briefi | ngs, |

B. Plan to Establish Contacts with Disability Employment Organizations

Describe the agency's efforts to establish and maintain contacts with organizations that assist PWD, including PWTD, in securing and maintaining employment.

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

The Agency will continue to partner with CPAC and the other organizations to assist with training and dissemination of information pertaining to hiring PWD and PWTD.

### C. Progression Towards Goals (Recruitment and Hiring)

1. Using the goals of 12% for PWD and 2% for PWTD as the benchmarks, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires in the permanent workforce? If "yes", please describe the triggers below.

| a. New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWD)  | Yes | No | Х |
|---|-----|----|---|
| b. New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWTD) | Yes | No | X |
| Applicant data not tracked                  |     |    |   |
|   |     |    |   |
|   |     |    |   |

2. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires for any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If "yes", please describe the triggers below.

| a. New Hires for MCO (PWD)  | Yes | No | Х |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|---|
| b. New Hires for MCO (PWTD) | Yes | No | Х |

Applicant data not tracked

3. Using the relevant applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the qualified *internal* applicants for any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If "yes", please describe the triggers below.

| a. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWD)  | Yes | No | X |
|--|-----|----|---|
| b. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWTD) | Yes | No | X |
| Applicant data not tracked             |     |    |   |

4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among employees promoted to any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If "yes", please describe the triggers below.

| a. Promotions for MCO (PWD)  | Yes | No | X |
|------------------------------|-----|----|---|
| b. Promotions for MCO (PWTD) | Yes | No | X |
| Applicant data not tracked   |     |    |   |
|                              |     |    |   |
|                              |     |    |   |

# Section IV: Plan to Ensure Advancement Opportunities for Employees with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R §1614.203(d) (1) (iii), agencies are required to provide sufficient advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities. Such activities might include specialized training and mentoring programs, career development opportunities, awards programs, promotions, and similar

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

programs that address advancement. In this section, agencies should identify, and provide data on programs designed to ensure advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities.

A. Advancement Program Plan

Describe the agency's plan to ensure PWD, including PWTD, have sufficient opportunities for advancement.

To partner with CHRA and the Wounded Warrior Program for Federal On-the Job Training (OJT) in the EEO office and utilizing borrowed Military Manpower to maximize training/experience in the EEO field.

B. Career Development Opportunities

1. Please describe the career development opportunities that the agency provides to its employees.

Details, webinars, TDY training, collateral duties

2. In the table below, please provide the data for career development opportunities that require competition and/or supervisory recommendation/approval to participate. [Collection begins with the FY 2018 MD 715 report, which is due on February 28, 2019.]

| Career                                  | Total Partic   | ipants        | PWD               |                  | PWTD              |                  |
|---|----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Development<br>Opportunities            | Applicants (#) | Selectees (#) | Applicants<br>(%) | Selectees<br>(%) | Applicants<br>(%) | Selectees<br>(%) |
| Internship<br>Programs                  | Unknown        |               |                   |                  |                   |                  |
| Fellowship<br>Programs                  | Unknown        |               |                   |                  |                   |                  |
| Mentoring<br>Programs                   | Unknown        |               |                   |                  |                   |                  |
| Coaching<br>Programs                    | Unknown        |               |                   |                  |                   |                  |
| Training<br>Programs                    | Unknown        |               |                   |                  |                   |                  |
| Detail<br>Programs                      | Unknown        |               |                   |                  |                   |                  |
| Other Career<br>Development<br>Programs | Unknown        |               |                   |                  |                   |                  |

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

3. Do triggers exist for <u>PWD</u> among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for the applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

| a. Applicants (PWD)            | Yes | No | Х |
|--------------------------------|-----|----|---|
| b. Selections (PWD)            | Yes | No | X |
|                                |     |    |   |
| Barrier Analysis not conducted |     |    |   |

4. Do triggers exist for <u>PWTD</u> among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs identified? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

| Yes | No | X |
|-----|----|---|
| Yes | No | X |
|     | ·  |   |
|     |    |   |

### C. Awards

1. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for any level of the time-off awards, bonuses, or other incentives? If "yes", please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

| a. Awards, Bonuses, and Incentives (PWD) | Yes | No | X |
|--|-----|----|---|
| b. Awards, Bonuses, and Incentives (PWTD | Yes | No | X |
|  |     |    |   |
|  |     |    |   |
|  |     |    |   |

2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for quality step increases or performance-based pay increases? If "yes", please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

| Yes | No | X |
|-----|----|---|
| Yes | No | X |
|     |    |   |
|     |    |   |

3. If the agency has other types of employee recognition programs, are PWD and/or PWTD recognized disproportionately less than employees without disabilities? (The appropriate benchmark is the inclusion rate.) If "yes", describe the employee recognition program and relevant data in the text box.

| a. Other Types of Recognition (PWD)  | Yes | No | X |
|--------------------------------------|-----|----|---|
| b. Other Types of Recognition (PWTD) | Yes | No | X |
|                                      |     |    |   |
|                                      |     |    |   |

### D. Promotions

1. Does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWD</u> among the qualified *internal* applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| a. SES         | i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) | Yes | No | X |
|----------------|--|-----|----|---|
|                | ii. Internal Selections (PWD)          | Yes | No | X |
| b. Grade GS-15 | i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) | Yes | No | X |
|                | ii. Internal Selections (PWD)          | Yes | No | X |
| c. Grade GS-14 | i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) | Yes | No | X |
|                | ii. Internal Selections (PWD)          | Yes | No | X |
| d. Grade GS-13 | i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) | Yes | No | X |
|                | ii. Internal Selections (PWD)          | Yes | No | X |

2. Does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWTD</u> among the qualified *internal* applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

| ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)          | Yes  | No  | X   |
|---|--|---|---|
| i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) | Yes  | No  | X   |
| ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)          | Yes  | No  | X   |
| i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) | Yes  | No  | X   |
| ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)          | Yes  | No  | X   |
| i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) | Yes  | No  | X   |
| ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)          | Yes  | No  | X   |
| i                                       | <ul> <li>Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)</li> <li>ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)</li> <li>ii. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)</li> <li>iii. Internal Selections (PWTD)</li> <li>iii. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)</li> </ul> | I.Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)YesII.Internal Selections (PWTD)YesII.Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)YesII.Internal Selections (PWTD)YesII.Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)YesII.Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)Yes | i.Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)YesNoii.Internal Selections (PWTD)YesNoii.Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)YesNoii.Internal Selections (PWTD)YesNoii.Internal Selections (PWTD)YesNoii.Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)YesNo |

3. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWD</u> among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

| a. New Hires to SES (PWD)   | Yes   | No | X |
|-----------------------------|-------|----|---|
| b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWD) | Yes   | No | X |
| c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWD) | Yes   | No | X |
| d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWD) | Yes   | No | X |
|                             | · · · | •  |   |

Barrier Analysis not conducted

4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWTD</u> among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

| a. New Hires to SES (PWTD)     | Yes | No | X |
|--------------------------------|-----|----|---|
| b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWTD)   | Yes | No | X |
| c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWTD)   | Yes | No | X |
| d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWTD)   | Yes | No | X |
| Barrier Analysis not conducted |     |    |   |

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

5. Does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWD</u> among the qualified *internal* applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

| a. Executives       | i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) | Yes | No | X |
|---------------------|--|-----|----|---|
|                     | ii. Internal Selections (PWD)          | Yes | No | X |
| b. Managers         | i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) | Yes | No | X |
|                     | ii. Internal Selections (PWD)          | Yes | No | X |
| c. Supervisors      | i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) | Yes | No | X |
|                     | ii. Internal Selections (PWD)          | Yes | No | X |
| Barrier Analysis no | t conducted                            |     |    |   |

6. Does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWTD</u> among the qualified *internal* applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

| a. Executives       | i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) | Yes | No | Х |
|---------------------|---|-----|----|---|
|                     | ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)          | Yes | No | X |
| b. Managers         | i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) | Yes | No | X |
|                     | ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)          | Yes | No | X |
| c. Supervisors      | i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) | Yes | No | X |
|                     | ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)          | Yes | No | X |
| Barrier Analysis no | t conducted                             |     |    |   |

7. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWD</u> among the selectees for new hires to supervisory positions? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

| a. New Hires for Executives (PWD)  | Yes | No | Х |
|------------------------------------|-----|----|---|
| b. New Hires for Managers (PWD)    | Yes | No | X |
| c. New Hires for Supervisors (PWD) | Yes | No | X |
|                                    |     |    |   |
| Barrier Analysis not conducted     |     |    |   |

8. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWTD</u> among the selectees for new hires to supervisory positions? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

| Yes  | No  | 1      |
|------|-----|--------|
| 1.00 | INO | X      |
| Yes  | No  | X      |
|      |     |        |
|      | Yes | Yes No |

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

## Section V: Plan to Improve Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To be a model employer for persons with disabilities, agencies must have policies and programs in place to retain employees with disabilities. In this section, agencies should: (1) analyze workforce separation data to identify barriers retaining employees with disabilities; (2) describe efforts to ensure accessibility of technology and facilities; and (3) provide information on the reasonable accommodation program and workplace personal assistance services.

## A. Voluntary and Involuntary Separations

1. In this reporting period, did the agency convert all eligible Schedule A employees with a disability into the competitive service after two years of satisfactory service (5 C.F.R. § 213.3102(u)(6)(i))? If "no", please explain why the agency did not convert all eligible Schedule A employees.

2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of <u>PWD</u> among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without disabilities? If "yes", describe the trigger below.

| a. Voluntary Separations (PWD    | Yes | No | Х |
|----------------------------------|-----|----|---|
| b. Involuntary Separations (PWD) | Yes | No | Х |
|                                  |     |    |   |
|                                  |     |    |   |
|                                  |     |    |   |
|                                  |     |    |   |
|                                  |     |    |   |

3. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of <u>PWTD</u> among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without targeted disabilities? If "yes", describe the trigger below.

| a.                                       | Voluntary Separations (PWTD | Yes | No | X |
|--|-----------------------------|-----|----|---|
| b. Involuntary Separations (PWTD) Yes No |                             |     |    | Х |
|  |                             |     |    |   |
|  |                             |     |    |   |
|  |                             |     |    |   |
|  |                             |     |    |   |

4. If a trigger exists involving the separation rate of PWD and/or PWTD, please explain why they left the agency using *exit interview results and other data sources*.

B. Accessibility of Technology and Facilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(4), federal agencies are required to inform applicants and employees of their rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. § 794(b),

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

concerning the accessibility of agency technology, and the Architectural Barriers Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. § 4151-4157), concerning the accessibility of agency facilities. In addition, agencies are required to inform individuals where to file complaints if other agencies are responsible for a violation.

1. Please provide the internet address on the agency's public website for its notice explaining employees' and applicants' rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.

https://home.army.mil/apg/index.php/my-fort/all-services/eeo-program

2. Please provide the internet address on the agency's public website for its notice explaining employees' and applicants' rights under the Architectural Barriers Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.

https://home.army.mil/apg/index.php/my-fort/all-services/eeo-program

3. Describe any programs, policies, or practices that the agency has undertaken, or plans on undertaking over the next fiscal year, designed to improve accessibility of agency facilities and/or technology.

To encourage employees to use the CAPS program as an alternate resource for RA requests for equipment that is available for free to federal employees

## C. Reasonable Accommodation Program

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(3), agencies must adopt, post on their public website, and make available to all job applicants and employees, reasonable accommodation procedures.

1. Please provide the average time frame for processing initial requests for reasonable accommodations during the reporting period. (Please do not include previously approved requests with repetitive accommodations, such as interpreting services.)

Average days 74, median days 46

2. Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the agency's reasonable accommodation program. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests, timely providing approved accommodations, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring accommodation requests for trends.

When policies, procedures and guidelines are followed according to AR 600-12, Appendix C for processing the RA requests the program is very effective.

D. Personal Assistance Services Allowing Employees to Participate in the Workplace Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(5), federal agencies, as an aspect of affirmative action, are required to provide personal assistance services (PAS) to employees who need them because of a targeted disability, unless doing so would impose an undue hardship on the agency.

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the PAS requirement. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests for PAS, timely providing approved services, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring PAS requests for trends.

There has not been a request for a PAS in FY21

# Section VI: EEO Complaint and Findings Data

A. EEO Complaint data involving Harassment

1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging harassment, as compared to the government-wide average?

| Yes No X |
|----------|
|----------|

2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging harassment based on disability status result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement?

Yes

3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination alleging harassment based on disability status during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.

B. EEO Complaint Data involving Reasonable Accommodation

1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging failure to provide a reasonable accommodation, as compared to the government-wide average?

|  | Yes |  | No | Х |
|--|-----|--|----|---|
|--|-----|--|----|---|

2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging failure to provide reasonable accommodation result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement?

| Yes | No | Х |  |
|-----|----|---|--|
|-----|----|---|--|

No

Х

3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination involving the failure to provide a reasonable accommodation during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.

## Section VII: Identification and Removal of Barriers

Element D of MD-715 requires agencies to conduct a barrier analysis when a trigger suggests that a policy, procedure, or practice may be impeding the employment opportunities of a protected EEO group.

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

1. Has the agency identified any barriers (policies, procedures, and/or practices) that affect employment opportunities for PWD and/or PWTD?

| Yes | No | Х |
|-----|----|---|
|     |    |   |

2. Has the agency established a plan to correct the barrier(s) involving PWD and/or PWTD?

| Yes | No | Х |
|-----|----|---|
|     |    |   |

3. Identify each trigger and plan to remove the barrier(s), including the identified barrier(s), objective(s), responsible official(s), planned activities, and, where applicable, accomplishments.

| Trigger 1                   | N/A                   |   |                               |                                    |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Barrier(s)                  |                       |   |                               |                                    |
| Objective(s)                |                       |   |                               |                                    |
| Responsible Offi            | cial(s)               | Performance Standard                            | s Address the Plar            | 1?                                 |
|                             |                       |   |                               |                                    |
|                             |                       |   |                               |                                    |
| Target Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Planned<br>Activities | Sufficient Staffing &<br>Funding<br>(Yes or No) | Modified Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|                             |                       |   |                               |                                    |
|                             |                       |   |                               |                                    |
|                             |                       |   |                               |                                    |
|                             |                       |   |                               |                                    |
|                             |                       |   |                               |                                    |
| Fiscal Year                 | Accomplishmen         | ts  |                               |                                    |

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

4. Please explain the factor(s) that prevented the agency from timely completing any of the planned activities.

N/A

5. For the planned activities that were completed, please describe the actual impact of those activities toward eliminating the barrier(s).

N/A

6. If the planned activities did not correct the trigger(s) and/or barrier(s), please describe how the agency intends to improve the plan for the next fiscal year.

N/A